



# ADRB2 Gly16Arg polymorphism, asthma control and lung function decline

C. Rebordosa, M. Kogevinas, S. Guerra, F. Castro-Giner, D. Jarvis, L. Cazzoletti, I. Pin, V. Siroux, M. Wjst, J.M. Antò, R. de Marco, X. Estivill, A.G. Corsico, R. Nielsen and C. Janson

**ABSTRACT:** Arg/Arg homozygotes for the Gly16Arg polymorphism in the  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor gene (*ADRB2*) have a reduced response to short-acting  $\beta_2$ -agonists but no effect has been associated with long-acting  $\beta_2$ -agonists (LABAs).

We selected 604 subjects with current asthma from the European Community Respiratory Health Study to evaluate whether asthma control and lung function decline were associated with Gly16Arg polymorphism, and to test whether LABA or inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) use modified these effects.

There was an increased risk of noncontrolled asthma (OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.01–1.75;  $p=0.046$ ) for each Arg allele. Among nonusers of ICS, the odds ratio of noncontrolled asthma among Arg/Arg versus Gly/Gly subjects was 2.73 (95% CI 1.28–5.82;  $p=0.009$ ). No increased risk of noncontrolled asthma associated with the Arg allele was observed among ICS and/or LABA users. For each Arg allele, a mean  $\pm$  SE decrease in decline in forced expiratory volume in 1 s of  $7.7 \pm 2.5$  mL $\cdot$ yr $^{-1}$  was found ( $p$ -value for trend 0.003), irrespective of ICS or LABA use. Arg/Arg subjects had an increased risk of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) versus Gly/Gly subjects, with an odds ratio of 2.51 (95% CI 1.12–5.63;  $p=0.025$ ) if they did not use ICS.

The Arg allele was associated with poorer asthma control, a steeper lung function decline and BHR. Absence of genotypic effects on asthma control among ICS users may be due to reversed  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor desensitisation.

**KEYWORDS:** Asthma control,  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor polymorphisms, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, corticosteroids, lung function

Asthma is a complex disease characterised by reversible airflow obstruction, hyper-responsiveness, airway remodelling and inflammation. In genetically predisposed individuals, environmental factors, such as viral infections or bacterial lipopolysaccharide, may modify the likelihood of developing asthma [1]. Genes such as the  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor gene (*ADRB2*) may modify the response to therapy among asthmatics. *ADRB2* is located on chromosome 5q31–q32 and encodes the  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor ( $\beta_2$ -AR), a G-protein-coupled receptor that is expressed in airway smooth muscle and induces bronchial relaxation [2]. *In vitro* studies have shown that the nonsynonymous single-nucleotide polymorphism at position 46 (rs1042713; herein referred to as Gly16Arg) in the *ADRB2* gene shows an enhanced agonist-promoted downregulation [3]. *In vitro* studies evaluating concomitant use of steroids and  $\beta_2$ -agonists also suggest that inhaled

corticosteroids (ICSs) may counteract  $\beta_2$ -AR desensitisation [4]. *In vivo* evidence suggests the presence of a differential response to short-acting  $\beta_2$ -agonist (SABA) treatment according to *ADRB2* Gly16Arg genotype [5]. Other studies have found similar differential responses among Arg/Arg homozygotes regularly treated with long-acting  $\beta_2$ -agonists (LABAs) [6, 7]. However, recent randomised clinical trials show that there is no pharmacogenetic short-term effect associated with LABA use [8, 9]. The long-term consequences of this polymorphism in lung function and whether ICSs may counteract  $\beta_2$ -AR desensitisation have yet to be determined. We evaluated whether the *ADRB2* Gly16Arg polymorphism is associated with short-term asthma control and long-term lung function decline in an asthmatic adult population, and whether these effects may be modified by the concomitant use of ICSs or LABAs.

## AFFILIATIONS

For a full list of the authors' affiliations, see the Acknowledgements section.

## CORRESPONDENCE

C. Rebordosa  
Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology  
Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM)  
88 Dr. Aiguàder Road  
E-08003  
Barcelona  
Spain  
E-mail: crebordo@email.arizona.edu

## Received:

Sept 14 2010  
Accepted after revision:  
Feb 23 2011  
First published online:  
March 24 2011

This article has supplementary material available from [www.erj.ersjournals.com](http://www.erj.ersjournals.com)

## METHODS

### Study population and design

The European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) is a multicentre, longitudinal cohort study that recruited 18,811 subjects in 1991 (ECRHS I) and followed an eligible sample of them (59%) up to 2001 (ECRHS II). The study included several structured interviews and clinical tests. Details of the study have been described elsewhere [10]. Local ethics committees at each centre approved the study protocols. Of the 10,933 subjects who participated in ECRHS II, 5,065 subjects who had DNA samples available were genotyped for several polymorphisms, including the *ADRB2* Gly16Arg polymorphism, as part of a more extensive genotyping project. There was no statistically significant differences in the proportion of subjects with asthma or physician-diagnosed asthma between those subjects genotyped and those who were not genotyped. From the 5,065 genotyped subjects, we selected 604 with "current physician-diagnosed asthma". Current asthma was defined as self-reported physician-diagnosed asthma in combination with having had asthma symptoms or having used asthma medication in the previous 12 months. We excluded all nonasthmatic subjects and those asthmatics who did not fall within our definition of current physician-diagnosed asthma. The mean  $\pm$  SE follow-up for these subjects was  $8.8 \pm 0.7$  yrs.

### Outcomes and exposures definition

In the assessment of asthma severity, the 2006 Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines shifted from asthma severity to asthma control, where treatment is no longer part of the classification, although most clinical features are still the same [11]. Asthma control was defined according to the 2006 GINA guidelines and was previously assessed in this population [12]. Asthma was classified in ECRHS II as controlled if all of the following features were present: diurnal symptoms less than once a week and no asthma attacks in the previous 3 months; no activity (work and other activities) limitations in the previous 12 months; no nocturnal symptoms in the previous 3 months; SABAs twice or less per week in the previous 3 months; no use of oral steroids in the previous 12 months; and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>)  $\geq$ 80% predicted. Asthma was considered partly controlled if one or two of these features were absent, and uncontrolled if more than two features were absent or if: asthma, shortness of breath or wheezing had caused hospital/emergency department admissions in the previous 12 months; oral steroids were used in short courses or continuously in the last 12 months; or the subject had  $>12$  asthma attacks (one or more per week) in the previous 3 months. In some of the multivariate analyses, partially controlled and uncontrolled asthma were grouped together as "noncontrolled asthma". Estimates of risk associated with the Gly16Arg polymorphism for each of these two categories can be found in the online data supplement. Lung function decline was defined as FEV<sub>1</sub> decline in millilitres per year of follow-up between the two surveys. Subjects were defined as having bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) if they had a  $\geq$ 20% decrease in FEV<sub>1</sub> with a methacholine dose  $\leq$ 1 mg, measured by methacholine challenge test. If the total dose of 1 mg was taken and the FEV<sub>1</sub> fall was  $<20\%$ , the subject was considered to have no BHR. The methacholine challenge dose-response slope was transformed as  $100/\log\text{-slope}+10$  to normalise the data, as previously performed by

CHINN *et al.* [13]. Use of inhaled SABAs, LABAs and ICSs were defined as answering "yes" to having used the drug in the previous 12 months. Use of ICSs during the whole period within the two surveys was also assessed, as described by DE MARCO *et al.* [14].

### Genotyping

Genotyping and quality control for the rs1042713 polymorphism in the *ADRB2* gene were performed as a part of more extensive genotyping at the Centre for Genomic Regulation of the Spanish National Genotyping Centre (Barcelona, Spain), as described previously [15].

### Statistical analysis

The Chi-squared test (for categorical variables) and ANOVA (for continuous variables) were used to test differences in sociodemographic and clinical characteristics by genotype group. Odds ratios (ORs) of noncontrolled asthma were estimated using logistic regression models. Controlled asthma was used as the reference group to compute relative risks ratios of uncontrolled and partially controlled asthma using multinomial logistic regression (data shown in the online supplement). Linear regression models were used to test genotype effects on decline in FEV<sub>1</sub>. Both co-dominant and additive genetic models were tested. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was confirmed among "controlled" current physician-diagnosed asthmatics and among the whole population by Chi-squared exact test. Potential confounders were selected *a priori* based on a literature review, including those reported to be determinants of asthma control in this population [12] and those potentially related to asthma severity at baseline that may potentially influence later control. Covariates were removed from statistical models if there was  $<10\%$  change in the genotype effects, except for age and sex, which were forced into the models. Final models were adjusted for age, and sex, body mass index and BHR in the analysis of asthma control, and height, baseline FEV<sub>1</sub>, and history of current, former or never tobacco smoking in the analysis of FEV<sub>1</sub> decline. Interactions between ICSs, LABAs and genotypes were tested and defined as significant if  $p < 0.05$ . Subjects included in the analysis were of European Caucasian origin. The impact of population stratification in our population of self-reported Caucasians was assessed in two previous studies using a genomic control approach [16] and the EIGENSTRAT method [17]. Both methods found no evidence of population stratification with a  $\lambda$  of 1.06 for asthma using the genomic control approach [15] and no subdivisions of populations in the EIGENSRAT analysis [18]. STATA 10 SE (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used to perform statistical analyses.

## RESULTS

Mean  $\pm$  SD age at the time of the ECRHS II interview was  $42 \pm 7.3$  yrs and 59% (n=356) of the participants were female. Of the 604 current physician-diagnosed asthmatics, 37% (n=221) were homozygous for the major *ADRB2* allele (Gly/Gly), 46% (n=277) were heterozygous (Gly/Arg) and 18% (n=106) were homozygous for the minor allele (Arg/Arg). Overall, 46.5% (n=281) of asthmatics used ICSs during the previous 12 months, 27.5% (n=166) used ICSs every year during the period between the two surveys, 60.6% (n=358) used SABAs and 16.7% (n=100) used LABAs during the

previous 12 months. The proportion of subjects with BHR was higher among those carrying the Arg/Arg genotype (64.1%) than among those carrying the Gly/Arg (55.6%) and Gly/Gly (45.7%) genotypes ( $p=0.04$ ). No other statistically significant differences in the distribution of sociodemographic and clinical variables at baseline (1991) and at follow-up (1999) were found between *ADRB2* genotypes (table 1), or between the genotyped and nongenotyped populations (data not shown). At baseline, 65% of the subjects had rare or occasional symptoms, only 9% of the subjects had used oral steroids the previous 12 months and <3% of the subjects had FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤60% pred.

### Asthma control

Among the 604 current physician-diagnosed asthmatics, 27.3% ( $n=156$ ) were considered to have controlled asthma and 72.7% ( $n=416$ ) noncontrolled asthma at the time of the ECRHS II interview. Among noncontrolled asthmatics, 57.7% ( $n=240$ ) subjects had partially controlled asthma and 42.3% ( $n=176$ ) uncontrolled asthma. 32 subjects were not classified due to missing data. There were no statistically significant differences in the specific clinical features used to define asthma control between Gly16Arg genotypes (table 2).

There was an increased risk of noncontrolled asthma per each Arg allele (OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.01–1.75;  $p=0.046$ ) (table 3). There was a statistically significant interaction between ICS use and

*ADRB2* genotype ( $p=0.046$ ) on the risk of having noncontrolled asthma. Subjects with the Arg/Arg genotype not using ICSs during the previous 12 months showed a nearly three-fold increased risk (OR 2.73, 95% CI 1.28–5.82;  $p=0.009$ ) of noncontrolled asthma as compared to Gly/Gly subjects. No interaction between *ADRB2* genotype and LABA was observed for asthma control ( $p=0.879$ ). When stratifying by both ICSs and LABAs, we observed no differences between Gly16Arg genotypes within users of ICSs, irrespective of LABA use. Among nonusers of ICSs and LABAs, there was an increased OR of 1.61 (95% CI 1.11–2.35;  $p=0.013$ ) for each Arg allele increase (table 3). Due to small numbers in the users of LABAs alone, no ORs were computed; however, the  $p$ -value for the Fisher exact test was 0.1, with 100% ( $n=12$ ) of the subjects in the Gly/Arg or Arg/Arg groups having noncontrolled asthma versus 50% ( $n=2$ ) among the Gly/Gly subjects. Similar estimates by genotype and drug exposure were observed when evaluating the risk of different categories of noncontrolled, partially controlled and uncontrolled asthma (see table E1 in the online supplement).

### Decline in FEV<sub>1</sub>

The mean ± SE decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> between ECRHS I and II was 24.6 ± 44.9 mL·yr<sup>-1</sup> among the 527 subjects with data available in both studies, with a mean duration of follow-up of 8.8 ± 0.7 yrs. *ADRB2* genotype was not associated with FEV<sub>1</sub> at the end of follow-up (1999) ( $p$ -value for trend ( $p_{\text{trend}}$ )=0.2) or with FEV<sub>1</sub> at baseline (1991) ( $p_{\text{trend}}$ =0.4). Asthmatics with the Gly/Arg and Arg/Arg genotypes had a decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> that was, on average, 8 and 15 mL·yr<sup>-1</sup> steeper than that of carriers of the Gly/Gly genotype, respectively (table 4). Similarly, per Arg allele, there was a decrease in FEV<sub>1</sub> of 7.7 ± 2.5 mL·yr<sup>-1</sup> ( $p=0.003$ ). Reductions in FEV<sub>1</sub> among Arg/Arg subjects were observed for nonuse of ICS and nonuse of LABA. Nonusers of LABAs carrying the Arg/Arg genotype had reductions in FEV<sub>1</sub> over the 9-yr follow-up that were almost double those of Gly/Gly subjects (22 versus 39 mL·yr<sup>-1</sup>;  $p_{\text{trend}}=0.004$ ). Similarly, nonusers of ICS showed statistically significant trends ( $p\sim 0.02$ ) by genotype, although estimates of FEV<sub>1</sub> decline were very similar to those among users of ICS. A similar pattern was seen when evaluating ICS use for each year during the period between the two surveys (data not shown).

### Bronchial hyperresponsiveness

The prevalence of BHR was significantly different between genotypes with increased prevalence per Arg allele (table 1). However, only 375 out of the total 604 asthmatics included in our study completed the methacholine test and were included in this analysis. When further investigating this association, taking into account the potential for confounding, we found that Arg/Arg had an increased risk of BHR, with an OR of 2.11 (95% CI 1.15–3.89;  $p=0.01$ ) as compared with Gly/Gly subjects; this OR increased to 2.51 (95% CI 1.12–5.63;  $p=0.025$ ) if they did not use ICS, and the estimates remained the same whether they used LABAs or not, although only nonusers of LABAs remained statistically significant. Similar findings were obtained when evaluating dose–response slope with significant differences between Arg/Arg and Gly/Gly when ICSs or LABAs were not used (see table E2, and figs 1 and 2 in the online supplement).

**TABLE 1** Distribution of the main sociodemographic and clinical characteristics in subjects with current physician-diagnosed asthma according to *ADRB2* Gly16Arg genotype in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) II population<sup>#</sup>

	Gly/Gly	Gly/Arg	Arg/Arg	p-value
<b>Subjects</b>	221	277	106	
<b>Age yrs</b>	42.6 ± 7.6	42.2 ± 7.2	41.8 ± 7.4	0.65
<b>Age of onset &lt;16 yrs</b>	84 (38.9)	13 (49.1)	44 (41.5)	0.07
<b>Females</b>	127 (57.2)	168 (60.6)	61 (57.6)	0.73
<b>Body mass index kg·m<sup>-2</sup></b>	26.4 ± 5.8	26.0 ± 5.0	25.8 ± 5.2	0.55
<b>Smoking</b>				
Current	65 (29.7)	79 (28.8)	25 (23.8)	0.16
Former	71 (32.4)	66 (24.1)	32 (30.5)	
Never	83 (37.9)	129 (47.1)	48 (45.7)	
<b>Inhaled SABAs</b>	126 (58.3)	160 (59.5)	72 (67.9)	0.23
<b>Inhaled LABAs</b>	38 (17.5)	41 (14.9)	21 (19.8)	0.48
<b>Inhaled steroids</b>	107 (49.5)	120 (43.6)	54 (50.9)	0.29
<b>FEV<sub>1</sub> % pred</b>				
At ECRHS I	98.04 ± 18.1	97.6 ± 17.2	94.7 ± 18.0	0.25
At ECRHS II	96.80 ± 16.3	97.6 ± 15.8	95.1 ± 16.5	0.42
<b>BHR</b>	64 (45.7)	95 (55.6)	41 (64.1)	<b>0.04</b>
<b>Total IgE &gt;100 kU·L<sup>-1</sup></b>	97 (44.9)	148 (54.2)	59 (55.7)	0.07
<b>Chronic cough or phlegm</b>	56 (25.9)	80 (29.3)	30 (29.1)	0.69
<b>Allergic rhinitis</b>	111 (50.7)	168 (61.1)	58 (54.7)	0.06

Data are presented as n, mean ± SD or n (%), unless otherwise stated. SABA: short-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist; LABA: long-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist; FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; % pred: % predicted; BHR: bronchial hyperresponsiveness; Ig: immunoglobulin. Bold indicates statistically significant p-values. #: n=604.

**TABLE 2** Distribution of asthma control and the clinical features used to define asthma control among subjects with current physician-diagnosed asthma according to *ADRB2* Gly16Arg genotype in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) II population<sup>#</sup>

	Gly/Gly	Gly/Arg	Arg/Arg
<b>Subjects</b>	221	277	106
<b>Asthma control</b>			
Controlled	67 (42.95)	67 (42.95)	22 (14.10)
Noncontrolled	138 (33.17)	196 (47.12)	82 (19.71)
Partially controlled	80 (33.33)	109 (45.42)	51 (21.25)
Uncontrolled	58 (32.95)	87 (49.43)	31 (17.61)
<b>Features used to define asthma control</b>			
Diurnal symptoms in the previous 3 months ≥1 per week	53 (24.2)	82 (29.9)	29 (27.4)
Asthma attacks in the previous 3 months			
Yes	64 (29.9)	95 (35.1)	35 (33)
≥1 per week	27 (12.2)	33 (11.9)	12 (11.3)
Nocturnal symptoms in the previous 3 months	69 (31.5)	99 (36.3)	29 (27.6)
Activity limitation in the previous 3 months	51 (24.3)	70 (27)	23 (23)
SABAs in the previous 3 months			
>2 per week	60 (29.8)	76 (29.6)	33 (32.7)
Use of oral steroids in the previous 3 months			
Yes	13 (6)	20 (7.2)	10 (9.5)
Short courses or continuous	10 (4.6)	11 (4)	5 (4.8)
FEV <sub>1</sub> <80% pred at ECRHS II	32 (15)	37 (14.1)	20 (19.2)
Emergency department visit in the previous 12 months	10 (4.6)	18 (6.5)	7 (6.6)
Hospitalisation in the previous 12 months	2 (0.9)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)

Data are presented as n or n (%). SABA: short-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist; FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; % pred: % predicted. <sup>#</sup>: n=604.

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluated asthma control, decline in lung function and BHR in relation to the *ADRB2* Gly16Arg genotype and the interactions of this gene with asthma medication among

subjects with asthma participating in the ECRHS prospective cohort study. An increased risk of having noncontrolled asthma and a steeper lung function decline were associated with the *ADRB2* Arg allele, supporting previous findings [5, 19].

**TABLE 3** Odds ratios of noncontrolled asthma according to *ADRB2* Gly16Arg genotypes, and stratified by inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and long-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist (LABA) use in the previous 12 months as reported in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey II population<sup>#</sup>

	Risk of noncontrolled asthma							
	ICS use	LABA use	Per Arg allele <sup>†</sup>			Among Arg/Arg <sup>+</sup>		
			Subjects n	OR (95% CI)	p-value	Subjects n	OR (95% CI)	p-value
<b>All asthmatics</b>			557	1.33 (1.01–1.75)	0.046	300	1.73 (0.97–3.09)	0.07
<b>ICS nonusers</b>	No		287	1.76 (1.21–2.54)	<b>0.003</b>	146	2.73 (1.28–5.82)	<b>0.009</b>
<b>ICS users</b>	Yes		266	1.02 (0.63–1.68)	0.92	151	1.06 (0.38–2.94)	0.91
<b>LABA nonusers</b>		No	462	1.35 (1.00–1.82)	0.05	245	1.81 (0.96–3.41)	0.07
<b>LABA users</b>		Yes	90	1.27 (0.56–2.91)	0.57	52	1.37 (0.27–6.92)	0.70
<b>ICS or LABA nonusers</b>	No	No	273	1.61 (1.11–2.35)	0.013	139	2.32 (1.07–5.04)	0.033
<b>ICS nonusers + LABA users</b>	No	Yes	16	NA	NA	8	NA	NA
<b>ICS users + LABA nonusers</b>	Yes	No	188	1.06 (0.58–1.93)	0.86	106	1.23 (0.34–4.54)	0.75
<b>ICS and LABA users</b>	Yes	Yes	76	0.97 (0.42–2.28)	0.95	45	0.88 (0.16–4.82)	0.89

Model adjusted for sex, age, body mass index and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. Additive models were estimated by modelling the categorical *ADRB2* genotype variable as continuous. Bold indicates p-values that passed Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (p<0.05/9=0.0056). NA: not available. <sup>#</sup>: n=604; <sup>†</sup>: additive model; <sup>+</sup>: versus Gly/Gly.

**TABLE 4** Decline in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>) from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey I to II, according to *ADRB2* Gly16Arg genotypes, and stratified by inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and long-acting  $\beta_2$ -agonist (LABA) use<sup>#</sup>

	ICS use	LABA use	FEV <sub>1</sub> decline <sup>*</sup> mL·yr <sup>-1</sup>				
			Subjects	Gly/Gly	Gly/Arg	Arg/Arg	ptrend
<b>All asthmatics</b>			519	21 (11–30)	29 (201–38)	36 (25–46)	<b>0.003</b>
<b>ICS nonusers</b>	No		272	21 (8–34)	31 (20–42)	39 (25–53)	0.007
<b>ICS users</b>	Yes		248	17 (1–32)	28 (13–42)	31 (14–48)	0.062
<b>LABA nonusers</b>		No	438	22 (12–33)	31 (22–41)	39 (27–50)	<b>0.004</b>
<b>LABA users</b>		Yes	83	7 (33–20)	18 (44– +9)	18 (48– +12)	0.338
<b>ICS or LABA nonusers</b>	No	No	258	24 (11–38)	32 (21–44)	40 (26–55)	0.022
<b>ICS nonusers + LABA users</b>	No	Yes	14	+13 (55– +81)	47 (122– +28)	25 (115– +66)	0.228
<b>ICS users + LABA nonusers</b>	Yes	No	178	18 (0.7–36)	30 (13–46)	39 (19–59)	0.028
<b>ICS and LABA users</b>	Yes	Yes	68	10 (42– +22)	17 (48– +14)	10 (45– +25)	0.92

Data are presented as n or mean (95% CI), unless otherwise stated. The p-value for the trend (ptrend) was calculated by linear regression assuming an additive model and modelling the categorical *ADRB2* genotype variable as continuous. Bold indicates p-values that passed Bonferroni correction for multiple testing ( $p < 0.05/9 = 0.0056$ ). “+” indicates an increase (not a decline) in FEV<sub>1</sub>. The p-value for the interaction between Gly16Arg genotypes and ICS was  $p = 0.9$ , between Gly16Arg genotypes and LABA was  $p = 0.8$ , and between LABA and ICS was  $p = 0.5$ . #:  $n = 519$ ; \*: linear regression models were adjusted for sex, age, height, current, former or never tobacco smoker and FEV<sub>1</sub> at baseline (1991).

The relationship between Gly16Arg genotypes and asthma control was mostly observed among subjects not using ICS, and was not different among subjects taking LABAs and those not taking them, in accordance with recent results by BLEECKER *et al.* [9]. Our results also support the idea that there is no need to avoid LABA therapy in patients with asthma with the Arg/Arg, as suggested by the Long-Acting  $\beta$ -Agonist Response by Genotype (LARGE) trial [8]. In nonusers of ICS, the Gly/Gly genotype was protective for asthma control. Unlike asthma control, ICS use did not modify the impact of genotype on longitudinal FEV<sub>1</sub> decline. Airway hyperresponsiveness was not different between users and nonusers of LABAs within the Arg/Arg or Gly/Gly genotypes and these results do not confirm recent findings in the LARGE trial; on the contrary, we did find differences between genotypes within nonusers of LABA and ICS, with Arg/Arg subjects having an increased risk of BHR as compared with Gly/Gly subjects. We did not find any differences in BHR among Gly/Gly subjects as reported in the LARGE trial [8].

Our results also suggest that these genotypic effects on asthma control are not present among users of ICS. One explanation of this could be a reduction of agonist tolerance associated with ICS use, as suggested by experimental data [4]. Airway smooth muscle tone is controlled by guanosine triphosphate-binding protein ( $G_s$ ) $\alpha$ - (*i.e.*  $\beta_2$ -AR) and  $G_s$ q-coupled receptors producing relaxation and contraction, respectively [20]. Acute desensitisation occurs through phosphorylation of the receptor by G-protein-coupled receptor kinases in the presence of agonists, and by protein kinases A and C in the absence of agonists. As a consequence, the  $\beta_2$ -AR is decoupled from the G-protein. Desensitisation over the longer term is associated with a decrease in receptor number as a result of decreased mRNA expression, and increased receptor degradation and recycling [21]. It has been suggested that genetically mediated paradoxical bronchial obstruction or hyperresponsiveness may

occur with long-term use of  $\beta$ -agonists [22]. Steroids have shown in experimental *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies to reverse functional desensitisation of  $\beta_2$ -AR [4, 23, 24], increase receptor expression and density, and enhance expression of  $G_s$  $\alpha$ , producing a dose-dependent increase in cyclic adenosine monophosphate levels [25, 26]. However, in humans, loss of bronchoprotection from regularly administered  $\beta_2$ -agonists seems to reverse only with acute high doses of ICS [27, 28] and it is not clear that this happens with chronic use of ICS at low or medium doses [29–31]. Recent findings suggest that the mechanism by which ICS plus LABA therapy exerts its synergistic beneficial effects is through an increased anti-inflammatory activity and an attenuation of airway remodelling [32]. Additionally, despite a *post hoc* finding and high number of missing values in this variable, an increased risk of BHR among carriers of the Arg allele and no interaction with ICS use suggest that BHR may occur through persistent activation of  $\beta_2$ -AR by LABAs.  $\beta_2$ -AR is a  $G_s$  $\alpha$ -coupled receptor and persistent activation may lead not only to reduced bronchial relaxation over time but also, as suggested by MCGRAW *et al.* [20], to a cross-talk between  $G_s$  $\alpha$  and  $G_s$ q pathways that would lead to increased phospholipase C $\beta$  expression, increased inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate production and  $Ca^{2+}$  release, inducing increased smooth muscle contraction.

Our study has several strengths and limitations. Our sample size may be considered small and the confidence intervals too wide. However, using the additive model, 0.37 cases per control with complete data ( $n = 572$ ), a baseline asthma control disease risk among Gly/Gly subjects of 0.67, and a mean decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> of 25 mL, we had 80% power to detect an effect measure of OR 1.47 in asthma control and a 7.5 mL·yr<sup>-1</sup> difference in FEV<sub>1</sub> decline. Estimates for users of LABAs alone (without ICSs) had large standard errors due to small sample sizes and are hard to interpret, although they go in the same direction as results from recent randomised clinical trials [8, 9].

Similarly, when evaluating partially controlled and uncontrolled asthma separately, the results do not seem to be additive, although this may be due to small numbers in some of the subgroups evaluated. Confounding cannot be ruled out as an alternative explanation for our results. However, analyses were adjusted for known potential confounders and the genotypic groups were comparable for most of the basic demographic characteristics. Similarly, the impact of confounding due to population stratification in this population was assessed in previous studies and found to be small [17, 18]. Thus, our conclusions are limited to this population of Caucasians, and additional studies of the protection of ICS may be warranted in populations from other ethnicities. Additionally, to avoid spurious associations due to multiple comparisons, we performed the minimum number of statistical tests that were needed to answer our study questions. Restriction of the analysis to current physician-diagnosed asthmatics and similarity between cases and controls for the outcome variables ensured that we were not evaluating patients other than asthmatics. We acknowledge that this is a very mild population of asthmatics at baseline and at follow-up, with a mean FEV<sub>1</sub> of ~97% pred, and preferentially rare and occasional symptoms at both time periods, and this may restrict the generalisability of our results to mild–moderate asthmatics only. Measurement of FEV<sub>1</sub> in two time-points may be inaccurate; however, we do not expect misclassification to be different between genotypic groups. Duration of follow-up was taken into account to evaluate decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> and analyses were also adjusted for initial FEV<sub>1</sub> levels. Sensitivity analysis excluding SABA use from the asthma control definition was performed and no change in the results was obtained, excluding use of SABA as an explanation for our results. Finally, assessment of drug exposure is likely to have been affected by some measurement error in our study, since drug use during the previous 12 months was defined independently of the dose and duration of use, and based on patients' recall. However, measurement error was probably comparable across genotypes and should not have jeopardised our results. Furthermore, the ability to detect a protective effect of ICS even with the limitations of drug exposure assessment may reflect the potency and importance of ICS. The observational nature of this study does not completely exclude potential for confounding when evaluating the effect of drugs use. At the same time, the prospective nature of this study with adequately long follow-up and minimal losses to follow-up is a major strength, and provides a unique setting to evaluate genetic effects on lung function decline over a period of 9 yrs.

In conclusion, in this large, population-based, prospective cohort study, the *ADRB2* gene Gly16Arg substitution was associated with an increased risk of having poorly controlled asthma, an accelerated longitudinal lung function decline and a higher prevalence of airway hyperresponsiveness among physician-diagnosed asthmatics. Genotypic effects on asthma control were not present among ICS users and this may be due to reversed  $\beta_2$ -AR desensitisation.

#### SUPPORT STATEMENT

Co-ordination of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey II is funded by the European Commission as part of their Quality of Life Programme (grant code QLK4-CT-1999-01237). C. Rebordosa received a grant from the Spanish Government (Instituto de Salud Carlos III).

#### STATEMENT OF INTEREST

A statement of interest for R. Nielsen can be found at [www.erj.ersjournals.com/site/misc/statements.xhtml](http://www.erj.ersjournals.com/site/misc/statements.xhtml)

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors' affiliations are: C. Rebordosa, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology and Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain, and Arizona Respiratory Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA; M. Kogevinas, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology and Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, and CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain, and National School of Public Health, Athens, Greece; S. Guerra, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology and Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, and CIBERESP, Madrid, Spain; F. Castro-Giner, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology and Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain; D. Jarvis, Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health Group, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK; L. Cazzoletti, Dept of Medicine and Public Health, University of Verona, Verona, Italy; I. Pin, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, Grenoble, France; V. Siroux, INSERM U823, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France; M. Wjst, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease/Molecular Pneumology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Munich-Neuherberg, Germany; J.M. Antò, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology and Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain; R. de Marco, Dept Medicina e Sanità pubblica, Sezione di Epidemiologia e Statistica Medica, Verona, Italy; X. Estivill, Genes and Disease Program, Center for Genomic Regulation, Barcelona, Spain; A.G. Corsico, Division of Respiratory Diseases, IRCCS San Matteo Hospital Foundation, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy; R. Nielsen, Dept of Thoracic Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; and C. Janson, Dept of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Castro-Giner F, Kauffmann F, de Cid R, *et al.* Gene–environment interactions in asthma. *Occup Environ Med* 2006; 63: 776–786.
- 2 Hawkins GA, Weiss ST, Bleecker ER. Clinical consequences of ADR $\beta$ 2 polymorphisms. *Pharmacogenomics* 2008; 9: 349–358.
- 3 Moore PE, Laporte JD, Abraham JH, *et al.* Polymorphism of the  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor gene and desensitization in human airway smooth muscle. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162: 2117–2124.
- 4 Cooper PR, Panettieri RA. Steroids completely reverse albuterol-induced  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor tolerance in human small airways. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 122: 734–740.
- 5 Israel E, Chinchilli VM, Ford JG, *et al.* Use of regularly scheduled albuterol treatment in asthma: a genotype-stratified, randomised, placebo-controlled cross-over trial. *Lancet* 2004; 364: 1505–1512.
- 6 Wechsler ME, Lehman E, Lazarus SC, *et al.*  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor polymorphisms and response to salmeterol. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 173: 519–526.
- 7 Tattersfield AE, Harrison TW.  $\beta$ -Adrenoceptor polymorphisms: focus moves to long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 173: 473–474.
- 8 Wechsler ME, Kunselman SJ, Chinchilli VM, *et al.* Effect of  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor polymorphism on response to longacting  $\beta_2$  agonist in asthma (LARGE trial): a genotype-stratified, randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 1754–1764.
- 9 Bleecker ER, Nelson HS, Kraft M, *et al.*  $\beta_2$ -receptor polymorphisms in patients receiving salmeterol with or without fluticasone propionate. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 181: 676–687.
- 10 Janson C, Anto J, Burney P, *et al.* The European Community Respiratory Health Survey: what are the main results so far? *Eur Respir J* 2001; 18: 598–611.

- 11 National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. NHLBI/WHO Workshop Report. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute publication no. 02-3659. Bethesda, National Institutes of Health, 2006.
- 12 Cazzoletti L, Marcon A, Janson C, *et al.* Therapy and Health Economics Group of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Asthma control in Europe: a real-world evaluation based on an international population-based study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: 1360–1367.
- 13 Chinn S, Arossa WA, Jarvis DL, *et al.* Variation in nebulizer aerosol output and weight output from the Mefar dosimeter: implications for multicentre studies. *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10: 452–456.
- 14 De Marco R, Marcon A, Jarvis D, *et al.* ECRHS Therapy Group. Inhaled steroids and lung function decline in asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119: 611–617.
- 15 Castro-Giner F, Kogevinas M, Imboden M, *et al.* Joint effect of obesity and TNFA variability on asthma: two international cohort studies. *Eur Respir J* 2009; 33: 1003–1009.
- 16 Clayton DG, Walker NM, Smyth DJ, *et al.* Population structure, differential bias and genomic control in a large-scale, case-control association study. *Nat Genet* 2005; 37: 1243–1246.
- 17 Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, *et al.* Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 2006; 38: 904–909.
- 18 Castro-Giner F, Künzli N, Jacquemin B, *et al.* Traffic-related air pollution, oxidative stress genes, and asthma (ECHRS). *Environ Health Perspect* 2009; 117: 1919–1924.
- 19 Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG, Manoli EN, Ioannidis JP. Meta-analysis of the association of  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor polymorphisms with asthma phenotypes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 115: 963–972.
- 20 McGraw DW, Almoosa KF, Paul RJ, *et al.* Antithetic regulation by  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors of Gq receptor signaling *via* phospholipase C underlies the airway  $\beta$ -agonist paradox. *J Clin Invest* 2003; 112: 619–626.
- 21 Giembycz MA, Kaur M, Leigh R, *et al.* A holy grail of asthma management: toward understanding how long-acting  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor agonists enhance the clinical efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids. *Br J Pharmacol* 2008; 153: 1090–1104.
- 22 Martinez FD. Safety of long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists—an urgent need to clear the air. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 2637–2639.
- 23 Mak JC, Hisada T, Salmon M, *et al.* Glucocorticoids reverse IL-1 $\beta$ -induced impairment of  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptor-mediated relaxation and up-regulation of G-protein-coupled receptor kinases. *Br J Pharmacol* 2002; 135: 987–996.
- 24 Chong LK, Drury DE, Dummer JF, *et al.* Protection by dexamethasone of the functional desensitization to  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor-mediated responses in human lung mast cells. *Br J Pharmacol* 1997; 121: 717–722.
- 25 Mak JC, Nishikawa M, Barnes PJ. Glucocorticoids increase  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor transcription in human lung. *Am J Physiol* 1995; 268: L41–L46.
- 26 Kalavantinich K, Scharm CM. Dexamethasone potentiates high-affinity  $\beta$ -agonists binding and G $_s\alpha$  protein expression in airway smooth muscle. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2000; 278: L1101–L1106.
- 27 Tan KS, Grove A, McLean A, *et al.* Systemic corticosteroid rapidly reverses bronchodilator subsensitivity induced by formoterol in asthmatic patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 156: 28–35.
- 28 Aziz I, Lipworth BJ. A bolus of inhaled budesonide rapidly reverses airway subsensitivity and  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor down-regulation after regular inhaled formoterol. *Chest* 1999; 115: 623–628.
- 29 Kalra S, Swystun VA, Bhagat R, *et al.* Inhaled corticosteroids do not prevent the development of tolerance to the bronchoprotective effect of salmeterol. *Chest* 1996; 109: 953–956.
- 30 Page CP, Spina D.  $\beta_2$ -agonists and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2006; 31: 143–162.
- 31 Wilson AM, Gray RD, Hall IP, *et al.* The effect of  $\beta_2$ -adrenoreceptor haplotypes on bronchial hyper-responsiveness in patients with asthma. *Allergy* 2006; 61: 254–259.
- 32 Kelly MM, O'Connor TM, Leigh R, *et al.* Effects of budesonide and formoterol on allergen-induced airway responses, inflammation, and airway remodeling in asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 125: 349–356.