

From the authors:

We thank L. Mascitelli and colleagues for their interesting comments about the role of the renin–angiotensin system in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Our review [1] was intended to provide guidance on current established therapies rather than consider potential future treatment options and hence we did not include a comment relevant to this interesting but, as yet, unproven treatment approach. The only data we are aware of that directly addresses this were published recently in the *European Respiratory Journal* and did not find improvements in pre-specified outcomes after blockade of the renin–angiotensin system [2]. This does not preclude a role for this system in some settings in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; however, it did make us cautious about commenting specifically on the role of these drugs in a review of current disease management.

**P.M.A. Calverley and P. Albert**

Division of Infection and Immunity, Clinical Sciences Centre, University Hospital Aintree, Liverpool, UK.

#### STATEMENT OF INTEREST

None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Albert P, Calverley PMA. Drugs (including oxygen) in severe COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 1114–1124.
- 2 Andreas S, Herrmann-Lingen C, Raupach T, *et al.* Angiotensin II blockers in obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27: 972–979.

DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00102108

## Massive haemoptysis: the definition should be revised

To the Editors:

Massive haemoptysis represents one of the most challenging conditions in clinical practice. The condition is potentially lethal and, therefore, warrants clear understanding and precise definition. The definition of massive haemoptysis has not been completely agreed upon and varies widely in the literature. It is unfortunate that almost all previous definitions of massive haemoptysis relied only on the volume of expectorated blood. The use of expectorated blood volume alone to define massive haemoptysis is often misleading and confusing for three main reasons. First, no cut-off volume has been agreed upon in the literature. While AMIRANA *et al.* [1] proposed an amount of 100 mL of expectorated blood in 24 h to define massive haemoptysis, COREY and HLA [2] defined massive haemoptysis as expectoration of  $\geq 1,000$  mL of blood over 24 h. In the middle of the spectrum we find other studies that use 200 mL [3], 240 mL [4], 500 mL [5] or 600 mL [6] as a cut-off volume for the definition of massive haemoptysis. Secondly, in real practice, the quantification of haemoptysis is often difficult and, from a clinical point of view, such criteria are not useful [7]. In many instances the amount of expectorated blood may be exaggerated by patients. Furthermore, in a majority of patients, quantification of expectorated blood volume may underestimate the overall amount of blood loss because the volume of blood engulfing the involved lobes or lungs is not quantified and may be significant [8]. Thirdly, morbidity and mortality in patients with haemoptysis depend on not only the volume of expectorated blood but also the rate of bleeding, the ability of the patient to clear blood from the airways and the extent and severity of any underlying lung disease [9]. The confusion created by the arbitrary use of the volume of expectorated blood to define massive haemoptysis has led other authors to consider the magnitude of effects (namely airway obstruction and hypotension) as the defining factors [10, 11].

I feel that the confusion will persist if we continue to use the word “massive”. The term “massive haemoptysis” is a general

term that was originally selected to describe the magnitude of life-threatening bleeding. Over time, this term became a loosely applied descriptor for the condition as the word “massive” necessitates the identification of a specific volume of blood. Therefore, in order to precisely define this serious condition, we should move away from using the word massive. The term “life-threatening haemoptysis” may provide a fascinating and rich understanding of the condition. Thus, life-threatening haemoptysis may be defined as any haemoptysis that: 1) is  $>100$  mL in 24 h; 2) causes abnormal gas exchange/airway obstruction; or 3) causes haemodynamic instability. The cut-off volume of 100 mL per 24 h has been selected because it is the smallest amount of haemoptysis that is reported in literature to threaten the life of the patient.

**W.H. Ibrahim**

Dept of Medicine, Hamad General Hospital, Doha, Qatar.

#### STATEMENT OF INTEREST

None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Amirana M, Frater R, Tirschwell P, Janis M, Bloomberg A, State D. An aggressive surgical approach to significant hemoptysis in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1968; 97: 187–192.
- 2 Corey R, Hla KM. Major and massive hemoptysis: reassessment of conservative management. *Am J Med Sci* 1987; 294: 301–309.
- 3 Knott-Craig CJ, Oosthuizen JG, Rossouw G, Joubert JR, Barnard PM. Management and prognosis of massive hemoptysis. Recent experience with 120 patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1993; 105: 394–397.
- 4 Brinson GM, Noone PG, Mauro MA, *et al.* Bronchial artery embolization for the treatment of hemoptysis in patients