The burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in adults in 17 countries: GAN Phase I study
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Abstract

Aims Asthma, hay fever and eczema are three common chronic conditions. There have been no recent multi-country data on the burden of these three conditions in adults; the aims of this study are to fill this evidence gap.

Methods The Global Asthma Network Phase I is a multi-country cross-sectional population-based study using the same core methodology as the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood Phase III. It provides data on the burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in children and adolescents, and, for the first time, in their parents/guardians.

Results Data were available from 193912 adults (104061 female; mean±SD age 38±7.5 years) in 43 centres in 17 countries. The overall prevalence (range) of symptoms was 6.8% (0.9–32.7%) for current wheeze, 4.4% (0.9–29.0%) for asthma ever, 14.4% (2.8–45.7%) for hay fever ever and 9.9% (1.6–29.5%) for eczema ever. Centre prevalence varied considerably both between countries and within countries. There was a moderate correlation between hay fever ever and asthma ever, and between eczema ever and hay fever ever at the centre level. There were moderate to strong correlations between indicators of the burden of disease reported in adults and the two younger age groups.

Conclusion We found evidence for a substantial burden of asthma, hay fever ever and eczema ever in the countries examined, highlighting the major public health importance of these diseases. Prevention
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There is a substantial global burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in adults, which represents a major global public health problem. Accessible, affordable, equitable and effective strategies are needed to reduce this burden across the life-course. https://bit.ly/3uBTQfl

strategies and equitable access to effective and affordable treatments for these three conditions would help mitigate the avoidable morbidity they cause.

**Introduction**

Asthma, hay fever and eczema are three common chronic conditions that typically start in childhood and often continue across the life-course [1]. All three conditions cause considerable morbidity globally, especially when basic effective treatments are unavailable [2, 3]. Asthma is an important cause of avoidable mortality [4].

The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) investigated the symptom prevalence and determinants of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema in schoolchildren on two previous occasions (ISAAC Phase I in 1993–5 and ISAAC Phase III in 2001–3) [5–16]. The work of ISAAC has been continued by the Global Asthma Network (GAN) through ISAAC centres and new centres that are interested in GAN Phase I. This study is a multi-country population-based cross-sectional study designed to assess the three conditions and their severity, management and risk factors in 13–14-year-old adolescents, 6–7-year-old children and their parents/guardians using the same methods as ISAAC Phase III [17].

There has been no large survey on the prevalence of asthma in adults since the World Health Organization implemented the World Health Survey (WHS) in 2002 and 2003 [18], and no such surveys for hay fever ever or eczema ever. In this paper we report data on the prevalence of asthma symptoms, hay fever ever and eczema ever in adults in GAN Phase I. We compare their global patterns, and contrast them with those observed in children in the same populations.

**Methods**

The GAN methodology has previously been published [17, 19], and will only be briefly summarised here.

**Participants**

The adult participants were the parents (or guardians) of children and adolescents in GAN Phase I [19]. Cluster sampling was applied to randomly select at least 10 schools from a geographically defined sampling frame. All schools were included if there were <10 schools in the sampling frame. The compulsory age group in GAN Phase I was adolescents, who self-completed written questionnaires at school. Additional inclusion of 6–7-year-olds was optional. Schools could choose to offer parents/guardians the option to complete similar questionnaires on their own health (the adult group), and the linkage between adults and children and adolescents was documented.

**Questionnaires**

Questionnaires for adults were developed by building on questionnaires used in ISAAC and the European Community Respiratory Health Survey [20, 21]. The original questionnaire was in English, with translation to local languages and back-translation to English completed using a specific methodology common to ISAAC and GAN [17].

**Definitions**

“Current wheeze” in asthma was defined as a positive answer to the question “Have you had wheezing or whistling in the chest in the past 12 months?” People with “severe asthma symptoms” were defined as those with current wheeze who, in the past 12 months, reported having had four or more attacks of wheeze, or more than one night per week sleep disturbance from wheeze, or wheeze affecting speech. “Asthma ever” was defined as a positive answer to the question “Have you ever had asthma?” “Hay fever ever” was defined as a positive answer to the question “Have you ever had hay fever?” “Eczema ever” was defined as a positive answer to the question “Have you ever had eczema?”

**Sample size and study power**

Sample sizes of at least 1000 and preferably 3000 were sought for the adolescents and children within each centre [18]. We aimed for a participation rate of >80% for the adolescents and >70% for the children [22]. The actual response rate was 90% for adolescents and 79% for children [23]. We were unable to calculate a conventional response rate for the adults because some schoolchildren have only one parent or guardian and the number of adults who received the questionnaire was unknown. The estimated median participation rate of adults, using a “per child” approach [22], was 82.9% (range 30.2–100%); the median for four centres could not be calculated owing to insufficient information.
Data handling and analysis

All centres submitted their datasets and a Centre Report documenting the methodology used to the GAN Global Centre in Auckland (New Zealand) [17]. A first quality control check was performed together with a careful review of the Centre Report for adherence to protocol. Depending on the language used locally, the dataset was then sent to one of the two GAN data centres, in Murcia, Spain (Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking centres) or London, UK (all other languages), for a standardised and coordinated data check. Centres reported in this analysis are any centres that were included in the analysis of data from children and adolescents [19] that also collected data from adults. For prevalence estimations, the number of positive answers to a specific symptom in the centre was divided by the number of completed questionnaires.

Global national income (GNI) category for each country was calculated using cut-off points provided by the World Bank in June 2020 [24], and countries were classified as high income countries (HICs), upper middle income countries (UMICs) or low income/lower middle income countries (LICs/LMICs). A Spearman correlation coefficient was used to estimate the correlation between symptoms of different conditions and between age groups using centre-level data. The correlation was defined as strong if the correlation coefficient was $\geq 0.7$, moderate if $0.4 \leq r < 0.7$ and weak if $<0.4$. Multilevel log-binomial regression was used to estimate how much of the variability of each symptom’s prevalence was dependent on centre-level variation, additional to within-centre binomial sampling error. Because the intraclass correlation coefficient was $>5\%$ (ICC$>0.05$) in the null model in all instances, multilevel models fitting centre as a random effect were used to estimate the effect of sex and GNI in the prevalence of symptoms of the three conditions. A uniform approach to data processing, checking and analysis was used, using Stata versions 13–15 (Stata Corp LLC, College Station, TX, USA).

Centre funding and ethics

All centres in GAN Phase I obtained their own funding and applied for ethics approval from their local ethics committee before starting the study.

Role of the funding source

The funding sources had no role in study design; collection, analysis and interpretation of data; writing of the report; or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Results

Data were collected from 193,912 adults (104,061 female, mean±SD age 38±7.5 years, 5.0% >50 years old, 16.8% current smokers, 47.2% parents of adolescents) in 43 centres (including 12 ISAAC Phase I and 19 ISAAC Phase III centres) in 17 countries between 2015 and 2020 (supplementary tables S1 and S2). The prevalence of current wheeze was highest (10.6%, 95% CI 10.2–10.9%) among participants from HICs, followed by 8.4% (8.2–8.6%) among participants from UMICs and 3.6% (3.5–3.8%) among participants from LICs/LMICs (table 1). Similar trends across GNI categories were noted for asthma ever, severe asthma symptoms and hay fever ever, with the exception of eczema ever which was lowest in UMICs.

Asthma

The prevalence of asthma ever was 4.4%, ranging from 0.9% in Gjilan and Ferizaj, Kosovo, to 29.0% in Costa Rica. Heterogeneity was high both between countries and between centres within countries (figure 1, supplementary table S1 and supplementary figures S1 and S2). Centre-level variation explained 21.8% of

<p>| TABLE 1 | Prevalence of asthma, hay fever ever and eczema ever in centres grouped by GNI |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GNI</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Centres, n</th>
<th>Total, n</th>
<th>Current wheeze</th>
<th>Asthma ever</th>
<th>Severe asthma symptoms*</th>
<th>Severe asthma symptoms* (population denominator)</th>
<th>Eczema ever</th>
<th>Hay fever ever</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIC</td>
<td>2015–2020</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30,556</td>
<td>3231 (10.6%)</td>
<td>3106 (10.2%)</td>
<td>1179 (36.5%)</td>
<td>1179 (3.9%)</td>
<td>5081 (16.6%)</td>
<td>9453 (30.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMIC</td>
<td>2015–2020</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>74,897</td>
<td>6299 (8.4%)</td>
<td>3502 (4.7%)</td>
<td>2669 (42.4%)</td>
<td>2669 (3.6%)</td>
<td>5377 (7.2%)</td>
<td>9736 (13.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIC/LMIC</td>
<td>2017–2019</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88,459</td>
<td>3208 (3.6%)</td>
<td>1926 (2.2%)</td>
<td>1161 (36.2%)</td>
<td>1161 (1.3%)</td>
<td>8791 (9.9%)</td>
<td>8695 (9.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>193,912</td>
<td>12,738 (6.6%)</td>
<td>8534 (4.4%)</td>
<td>5009 (39.3%)</td>
<td>5009 (2.6%)</td>
<td>19,249 (9.9%)</td>
<td>27,884 (14.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%), unless otherwise indicated. Chi-squared p-values for comparison between GNI categories: current wheeze <0.0001, asthma ever <0.0001, severe asthma symptoms <0.0001, severe asthma symptoms (population denominator) <0.0001, eczema ever <0.0001, hay fever ever <0.0001. GNI: gross national income; HIC: high income country; UMIC: upper middle income country; LIC/LMIC: low income country/lower middle income country.*: current wheeze denominator; #: total participants denominator.
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the variability of the prevalence in the multilevel analysis. Women had a higher prevalence of asthma ever than men (4.8% versus 3.9%; adjusted relative risk (aRR) for men 0.85, 95% CI 0.82–0.89).

The overall prevalence of current wheeze was 6.6%, ranging from 0.9% in New Delhi, India, to 32.7% in Tegucigalpa, Honduras. Heterogeneity of the prevalence of current wheeze was high (figure 2, supplementary table S1 and supplementary figures S1 and S2). Centre-level variation explained 13.1% of the variability of the prevalence of current wheeze in the multilevel analysis. Women had a higher prevalence of current wheeze than men (6.8% versus 6.2%; aRR for men 0.97, 95% CI 0.94–1.00).

The prevalence of severe asthma symptoms was 2.6%, ranging from 0.2% in Bikaner, India, to 20.9% in Tegucigalpa, Honduras. The prevalence of severe asthma symptoms among those who reported current wheeze was 39.3%, ranging from 15.0% in Bikaner, India, to 63.9% in Tegucigalpa, Honduras (supplementary table S1 and supplementary figures S1 and S2). Centre-level variation accounted for 17.0% of the variability of the prevalence of severe asthma symptoms. Women had a higher prevalence of severe asthma symptoms than men (2.9% versus 2.2%; aRR for men 0.83, 95% CI 0.82–0.90).

**Hay fever**

The prevalence of hay fever ever was 14.4%, ranging from 2.8% in Ibadan, Nigeria, to 45.7% in Bangkok, Thailand (figure 3, supplementary table S1 and supplementary figures S1 and S2). Centre-level variation explained 21.8% of the variability of the prevalence of hay fever ever. The prevalence of hay fever ever was higher in women than men (14.7% versus 14.0%; aRR for men 0.92, 95% CI 0.90–0.93).

**Eczema**

The prevalence of eczema ever was 9.9%, ranging from 1.6% in Tijuana, Mexico, to 29.5% in Bangkok, Thailand (figure 4, supplementary table S1 and supplementary figures S1 and S2). Centre-level variation explained 19.6% of the variability of the prevalence of eczema ever. The prevalence of eczema ever was higher in women than men (10.0% versus 9.9%; aRR for men 0.90, 95% CI 0.88–0.93).

**Correlations of prevalence between the three conditions**

There was moderate correlation between the prevalence of hay fever ever and asthma ever (Rho 0.54, 95% CI 0.32–0.76), and between eczema ever and hay fever ever (Rho 0.66, 95% CI 0.48–0.83), but no
FIGURE 2 Map of the prevalence of current wheeze. The symbols indicate prevalence values of <5% (blue squares), 5 to <10% (green circles), 10 to <20% (yellow diamonds) and ⩾20% (red stars).

FIGURE 3 Map of the prevalence of hay fever ever. The symbols indicate prevalence values of <5% (blue squares), 5 to <10% (green circles), 10 to <20% (yellow diamonds) and ⩾20% (red stars).
significant correlation between asthma ever and eczema ever (Rho 0.13, 95% CI −0.19–0.45) at the centre level (figure 5). The correlation between the prevalence of hay fever ever and asthma ever and between the prevalence of eczema ever and hay fever ever remained after stratification by sex (supplementary figure S3).

**Relationship between age groups**
There was strong correlation between the prevalence of asthma ever in adults versus adolescents (Rho 0.87, 95% CI 0.79–0.95), between asthma ever in adults versus children (Rho 0.83, 95% CI 0.66–1.00), between current wheeze in adults versus adolescents (Rho 0.81, 95% CI 0.68–0.94), between severe asthma symptoms in adults versus adolescents (Rho 0.79, 95% CI 0.67–0.92), between severe asthma symptoms in adults versus children (Rho 0.82, 95% CI 0.65–0.98) between hay fever ever in adults versus adolescents (Rho 0.75, 95% CI 0.57–0.92), between eczema ever in adults versus adolescents (Rho 0.87, 95% CI 0.78–0.95) and between eczema ever in adults versus children (Rho 0.71, 95% CI 0.51–0.91). There was moderate correlation between current wheeze in adults versus children, and between hay fever ever in adults versus children (supplementary figure S4).

**Discussion**
The major findings of GAN Phase I were as follows: 1) the overall prevalence of symptoms of current wheeze, asthma ever, hay fever ever and eczema ever was 6.6%, 4.4%, 14.4% and 9.9%, respectively; 2) centre prevalence varied considerably both between countries and within countries; 3) the burden of all three conditions was higher in female participants and in higher income countries; 4) there was a moderate correlation between hay fever ever and asthma ever, and between eczema ever and hay fever ever at the centre level; 5) there were moderate to strong correlations between the prevalence of asthma symptoms, hay fever ever and eczema ever reported in adults and the two younger age groups.

A multi-country survey on the prevalence of asthma in adults was conducted by the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) in the 1990s [25]. The questions used in the ECRHS were “Have you had wheezing or whistling in your chest at any time in the last 12 months?” and “Have you had an attack of asthma in the last 12 months?” [26]. The ECRHS reported large geographical variations in the prevalence of asthma [26]. The median prevalence of current asthma was 4.5% (range 2.0–11.9%) in ECRHS stage one and 5.2% (range 1.2–13.0%) in ECRHS stage two [27]. Women had a higher prevalence of current asthma.
than men and the prevalence of wheeze was negatively associated with age. The ECRHS concluded that the geographical variations in the prevalence of asthma were most likely due to environmental factors [7]. The WHS enrolled 308218 adults aged \( \geq 18 \) years from 64 countries [18]. The WHS defined current wheeze symptoms as a positive response to “during the last 12 months, have you experienced any of the following: 1) attacks of wheezing or whistling breathing? (yes/no); or 2) attacks of wheezing that came on after you stopped exercising or some other physical activity? (yes/no)”. The WHS reported that global prevalence of current wheeze symptoms was 9.2%, ranging from 2.4% in Vietnam to 24.0% in Brazil. The prevalence of

\[
\text{FIGURE 5} \quad \text{Rank correlation values and scatter plots of prevalence of the three conditions at the centre level. The dashed line is the identity line. Rank correlation coefficient (Rho) and 95\% CI are shown in each graph.}
\]
current wheeze symptoms increased with age, was higher among men than women, more common in smokers than non-smokers, and was relatively high in HICs and LICs and relatively low in middle income countries [18]. In our survey, the prevalence of asthma ever varied markedly between centres, as did the prevalence of current wheeze symptoms, with less variability within countries than seen in children and adolescents. Current severe asthma symptoms were commonly reported (range 15.0–63.9%) among participants reporting wheeze in the past 12 months across all centres, suggesting a concerning level of poor asthma control [28]. There was a clear association between GNI category and the prevalence of current wheeze, which was highest in HICs and lowest in LICs/LMICs, similar to the pattern seen of a lower prevalence of current wheeze symptoms in children and adolescents in LICs/LMICs [19]. Asthma symptoms were more common in female participants, as seen in the adolescent group and other studies of older children [5, 29] and the ECRHS. The WHS reported that men were more likely to report current wheeze symptoms, perhaps because its study population was older (34% aged >50 years), and 30% were smokers, thus may have had wheeze due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Whether the difference between our findings and those of WHS with regards to GNI was attributable to differences in definitions (e.g. of symptoms), study population, different prevalence of environmental risk factors and genetic backgrounds requires further investigation.

The ECRHS reported that the median prevalence of nasal allergy and hay fever was 20.9% (range 9.5–40.9%) [27]. Subjects with perennial rhinitis were more likely to have current asthma. In our study, the prevalence of hay fever ever was 14.4% but was variable (from 2.8% in Ibadan, Nigeria, to 45.7% in Bangkok, Thailand) with less variability within countries. This was also seen in children and adolescents, as was an association between hay fever and GNI categories, with the greatest burden seen in HICs [19]. Consistent with the ECRHS, our study shows moderate correlation between the prevalence of hay fever ever and asthma ever.

The overall prevalence of eczema ever was 9.9% but this varied from 1.6% in Tijuana, Mexico, to 29.5% in Bangkok, Thailand, with less variability within countries. We did find an association between eczema ever and GNI categories, with the greatest burden seen in HICs, which was also seen in children and adolescents in GAN Phase I [19]. A difference between sexes (more prevalent in females) was found in previous ISAAC surveys, the adolescents in GAN Phase I and other cohort studies [8, 19, 30, 31] and we found the same in this study of adults. No significant correlation between the prevalence of asthma ever and eczema ever in adults was identified. Because eczema tends to occur in the early stage of life and decreases with age [32], whether this was in part due to recall bias was unknown.

There was considerable variation in the prevalence of all three conditions in adults, which was partly accounted for by centre-level variation. We speculate that the difference in the prevalence of risk factors may have contributed to the difference in observed prevalence between centres and countries; risk factors associated with the three conditions collected as part of GAN Phase I will be analysed and reported separately, which should provide more insight into this issue. We found moderate to strong correlations between the prevalence of asthma symptoms, hay fever ever and eczema ever reported in adults and the two younger age groups, likely indicating that parents/guardians and the two younger age groups have similar environmental and genetic risk factors of the three conditions.

The strengths and weaknesses of the ISAAC and GAN Phase I methodology have been discussed in depth previously [5] and have been summarised elsewhere [17, 19]. We acknowledge the limitations of the small number of GAN Phase I centres versus ISAAC Phase I and III, the self-selection of centres potentially limiting representativeness, challenges of inferring clinical diagnoses from self-reporting via questionnaires (e.g. risk of recall bias and lack of direct physician diagnoses), and the difficulties around the translation of concepts such as “wheezing” into different languages. Furthermore, we did not collect information on current symptoms of hay fever and eczema, but only information of hay fever ever and eczema ever, which may not represent the current prevalence of hay fever and eczema because both conditions may remit during adolescence. Moreover, it is possible that parents of children with hay fever or eczema may be more aware of these two conditions and more likely to report having hay fever ever or eczema ever than parents of children with no hay fever or eczema; such potential recall bias may affect the correlation of the prevalence of hay fever ever and eczema ever between children and adults. There was difficulty in obtaining a high response rate for some centres [23]. The correlation analysis is an ecological analysis (at centre level) and these correlations may not hold at the individual level. Key additional strengths are the linkages between the child, adolescent and adult participants that have enabled additional analyses, including exploring the relationship between symptoms reported by the different age groups. However, recruiting the parents of the child and adolescent participants will have led to a degree of selection bias.
and the included adult population may not be fully representative of the local population in terms of factors including age and socioeconomic status.

In conclusion, the present study offers a unique picture of current symptoms related to asthma, and lifetime history of asthma, hay fever and eczema. Our findings in adults were largely consistent with our findings in children and adolescents (particularly) [19] and the burden of the three conditions seems to correlate across the three age groups. Further studies are needed to confirm whether findings from one group may be cautiously extrapolated to the others.
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