

BAY 2253651 for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial (SANDMAN)

Copyright ©The authors 2021.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 2 July 2021 Accepted: 23 Aug 2021 To the Editor:

For obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA), few mechanical treatment options are available and no pharmacotherapy is approved [1–3]. However, safe and efficacious pharmacotherapy would have substantial appeal for many people with OSA.

A promising target is pharmacological treatment increasing upper airway stability by activating the genioglossus muscle. Its activation by the central respiratory control system is importantly modified by mechanoreceptive reflex mechanisms operating locally in the upper airways and modulated by changes in pharyngeal pressure [4–6]. It has been shown that genioglossus muscle activity and reflex modulation to changes in airway pressure are sleep stage dependent [7–9]. Many OSA patients have apnoea-free intervals in which genioglossus muscle activity is only 25–40% higher compared with sleep phases with frequent obstructive apnoeas [10].

Based on an animal model, one promising pharmacological agent which targets genioglossus muscle activation by amplifying upper airway reflex activity is BAY 2253651 [11]. This agent is a potent TASK-1 and TASK-3 potassium channel blocker with a high selectivity. The degree to which BAY 2253651 increases genioglossus muscle activity and thus improves OSA severity in humans is unknown. Accordingly, we performed a first-in-patient randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group-comparison study to investigate the efficacy and safety of BAY 2253651 for the treatment of OSA.

Adults currently on treatment for OSA with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) (\geqslant 3 months), with an apnoea–hypopnoea index (AHI) of 15–50 h⁻¹ after 48 h of CPAP withdrawal documented by polysomnography (PSG; night 1) (table 1) and at least 4 h of sleep time, were eligible to participate in the study. As genioglossus muscle activation alone in very severe and multifactorial OSA (*i.e.* AHI >50 h⁻¹) is less likely to be efficacious, these individuals were not enrolled.

Exclusion criteria included neck circumferences ≥44 cm, known severe respiratory tract allergies and known allergies or hypersensitivity to the study drugs, severely impaired breathing/nasal congestion within 2 days prior to randomisation, intake of a nasal decongestant during the intervention time, use of any topical medication containing local anaesthetics for nose and throat within 7 days before first investigational medicinal product administration, intake of medication for insomnia within 24 h prior to each PSG, history of severe heart failure or severe COPD, heavy smoking, and regular daily consumption of more than 1 L of xanthine-containing beverages.

All participants provided informed consent and were investigated at tertiary centres by an experienced investigator. The trial was registered *a priori* (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03603678; EudraCT-number 2017-001851-29) and an independent data monitoring committee was delegated.







Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

BAY 2253651 is a nasally applied genioglossus muscle activator *via* pharyngeal mucosal receptor stimulation (potassium channel blocker) aimed to treat obstructive sleep apnoea. Although well-tolerated and safe, there was no significant therapeutic effect. https://bit.ly/3zDbyia

Cite this article as: Gaisl T, Turnbull CD, Weimann G, *et al.* BAY 2253651 for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial (SANDMAN). *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2101937 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01937-2021].

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics and summary statistics for polysomnographic variables for night 1 (off continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)) and night 2 (intervention) visits (part A)

Parameter	Visit	Placebo	BAY 2253651
Age (years)	Baseline	68.2±6.0	58.6±8.0
Male sex	Baseline	8 (47.1%)	14 (82.4%)
Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²)	Baseline	32.39±5.09	33.19±7.03
Neck circumference (cm)	Baseline	39.85±2.83	41.44±2.60
ESS score (points)	Baseline	8.6±3.1	6.2±3.4
AHI (0-4) (h ⁻¹)	Night 1	27.79±13.65 (2.7 to 50.4)	30.46±14.37 (9.9 to 65.9)
	Night 2	29.83±16.22 (5.9 to 73.6)	32.90±13.67 (15.4 to 67.4)
	Δ night 1 to 2	2.04±14.49 (-17.2 to 37.0)	2.44±19.59 (-32.7 to 50.6)
ODI >4% (h ⁻¹)	Night 1	28.25±11.58 (5.0 to 48.6)	29.79±9.96 (13.1 to 48.3)
	Night 2	29.69±10.41 (12.8 to 54.5)	31.86±11.44 (7.4 to 47.0)
	Δ night 1 to 2	1.45±8.41 (-6.4 to 26.1)	2.07±8.79 (-10.6 to 19.7)
Sleep efficiency (%)	Night 1	82.30±12.19 (57.3 to 97.7)	85.38±8.49 (63.8 to 98.2)
	Night 2	82.70±10.57 (63.5 to 95.5)	86.36±7.33 (70.2 to 95.2)
	Δ night 1 to 2	0.40±11.17 (-23.3 to 18.3)	0.98±6.64 (-9.3 to 12.3)
Snoring events	Night 1	219.07±68.42 (145.0 to 343.0)	250.00 ±101.71 (16.0 to 405.0)
	Night 2	248.60±118.16 (26.0 to 425.0)	285.81±124.59 (50.0 to 474.0)
	Δ night 1 to 2	29.53±111.80 (-246.0 to 151.0)	35.81±108.46 (-112.0 to 308.0)
Total sleep time (h)	Night 1	5.94±0.87 (4.4 to 7.7)	6.62±0.96 (5.3 to 8.6)
	Night 2	6.27±0.96 (4.0 to 7.6)	7.09±0.78 (5.8 to 9.1)
	Δ night 1 to 2	0.33±1.11 (-2.0 to 1.7)	0.48±0.84 (-1.0 to 1.8)
S _{aO2} <90% (T90) (min)	Night 1	90.81±118.96 (0.0 to 361.0)	49.93±48.94 (4.7 to 191.6)
	Night 2	93.41±99.74 (2.0 to 301.5)	82.05±76.46 (1.4 to 287.8)
	Δ night 1 to 2	2.61±39.09 (-82.2 to 81.5)	33.95±53.49 (-34.6 to 173.7)

Data are presented as mean \pm sD (with ranges where appropriate), unless otherwise stated. In the placebo group, the number of per protocol analysis subjects was 15 for both nights. In the BAY 2253651 group, the numbers of per protocol analysis subjects were 16 for night 1, and 15 for night 2. ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; AHI: apnoea—hypopnoea index; ODI: oxygen desaturation index; S_{aO_2} : arterial oxygen saturation; Δ : delta (difference).

Part A had a multicentre, randomised, parallel, double-blind, placebo-controlled, group comparison design with a single nasal dose ($100 \mu g$) of BAY 2253651. Participants were randomised to either $100 \mu g$ of BAY 2253651 intranasally or to a placebo nose spray and then went to sleep (night 2).

Part B was an open-label follow-up with 100 µg BAY 2253651 applied at home over 5 consecutive nights. Data from home pulse oximetry for the parameters "oxygen desaturation index (ODI) \geqslant 3%, all night"; "ODI \geqslant 4%, all night"; and "mean peripheral oxygen saturation (S_{DO})" were collected.

Participants were stratified (1:1) according to OSA severity (moderate OSA with AHI 15–30 *versus* severe OSA with AHI 31–50 events per h sleep) after the first PSG using an interactive voice/web response system (IxRS). For part A, the nasal sprays containing BAY 2253651 or corresponding placebo were identical in appearance (size, colour and shape). The packaging and labelling were designed to maintain blinding to the site staff as well as to the participants. The study data remained blinded until all clinical assessments have been completed, database lock and authorisation of data release according to standard operating procedures.

PSG was conducted and scored according to the recommendations from the American Academy of Sleep Medicine from 2007 (AASM 2007 Version B) and endophenotypes ("treatable traits") were determined [12–15].

Responder rates (where a responder was defined by the reduction of the AHI (0–4 h) from baseline ≥50%) of the placebo and active arm were compared using a Bayesian approach. This study was planned to fulfil the go criterion if the posterior probability that the responder rate in the active arm is larger than the responder rate under placebo exceeds 0.95. On the assumption of a response rate of 0.10 to placebo and 0.40 to the active drug, 30 participants per treatment arm were needed to provide 87% probability to go. The sponsor terminated the trial for futility after data review of the first 30 subjects randomised.

34 participants (mean±sp age 63.4±8.5 years, 64.7% males) with recurrent moderate to severe OSA were randomised. Recruitment started on 13 August, 2018 and the last follow-up was at the 23 May, 2019.

Craniofacial phenotyping presented no relevant differences between AHI strata for parameters with strong relationship to OSA severity.

The responder rate was 1 (6.3%) for BAY 2253651 and 1 (6.7%) for placebo. There were no differences in any of the standard PSG parameters (all p>0.05) (table 1). The posterior probability that the responder rate under BAY 2253651 is larger than the responder rate under placebo equals 0.476. There were no overall group differences in endophenotype traits, but the mean of the peak inspiratory airflow during sleep was higher at baseline in those who had an improvement in their AHI with BAY 2253651 (defined as any reduction in AHI from baseline) *versus* those who did not $(0.92\pm0.10\ versus\ 0.74\pm0.12\%$ wakefulness; p=0.005).

Due to early termination only nine subjects proceeded with the open label multiple dose study part B (5 nights), where no relevant changes from baseline were observed for the parameters "ODI \geqslant 3%, all night"; "ODI \geqslant 4%, all night"; and "mean S_{pO_3} ".

Considering potential cardiovascular side-effects of potassium channel blockers, no relevant changes or adverse events were observed for local side-effects, heart rate, blood pressure, and ECG rhythm. One participant had a temporarily increased QT-interval 6 days after last intake of BAY 2253651 while taking Citalopram (but not during the intervention night).

A single dose of 100 µg BAY 2253651, applied nasally, did not lead to a reduction in AHI in people with moderate to severe OSA off CPAP. A limitation of our trial is the small sample size, as the trial was terminated early due to futility. Another limitation is missing data on actual drug delivery. While an effect on polysomnographic parameters of OSA can be ruled out with confidence (based on part A and B data), the trial was non-informative from a mechanistic point of view. A proof of mechanism trial with a chemically altered follow-up compound is currently recruiting patients (NCT04236440).

Finally, there were no major discernible differences in other polysomnographic or pulse oximetric parameters between the BAY 2253651- and placebo-treated patient groups. However, similar to other non-CPAP interventions and consistent with OSA endophenotyping concepts, those who have less collapsible pharyngeal airways at baseline may be more likely to respond favourably to pharmacotherapy. Lastly, BAY 2253651 was safe and well-tolerated in the treated group of OSA patients.

Thomas Gaisl ¹, Chris D. Turnbull^{2,3}, Gerrit Weimann⁴, Sigrun Unger⁵, Rudolf Finger⁵, Charles Xing⁶, Peter A. Cistulli^{7,8}, Sophie West⁹, Alan K.I. Chiang ¹⁰, Danny J. Eckert ¹¹, John R. Stradling^{2,3} and Malcolm Kohler^{1,12}

¹Sleep Disorders Center and Pulmonary Division, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ²National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ³Oxford Centre for Respiratory Medicine, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK. ⁴Clinical Experimentation, Pharma Research Center, Bayer Pharma, Wuppertal, Germany. ⁵Clinical Operations, Pharma Research Center, Bayer Pharma, Wuppertal, Germany. ⁶Global Development, Bayer Healthcare Company, Beijing, China. ⁷Sleep Research Group, Charles Perkins Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ⁸Dept of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Centre for Sleep Health and Research, Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, Australia. ⁹Newcastle Regional Sleep Centre, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ¹⁰Neuroscience Research Australia (NeuRA), Sydney, Australia. ¹¹Adelaide Institute for Sleep Health, Flinders health and Medical Research Institute, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia. ¹²Zurich Center for Interdisciplinary Sleep Research, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Corresponding author: Malcolm Kohler (malcolm.kohler@usz.ch)

Acknowledgements: We thank all the participants who volunteered for this study. We also acknowledge the contributions of all colleagues which were involved in the recruitment and study processes, especially Tsogyal Latshang (Kantonsspital Graubünden), Sarosh Irani (Kantonsspital Aarau), Yvonne Nussbuamer (Kantonsspital Schaffhausen), Robert Thurnheer (Kantonsspital Münsterlingen), Jean-Luc Kurzen (Spital Männedorf), Marc Spielmanns (Zürcher RehaZentrum Wald), Alexander Turk and Patrick Schihin (Spital Horgen), Lungenliga Glarus (Thomas Brack and Alice Odermatt), The Oxford Centre for Respiratory Medicine (Kallirroi Lamprou and Blake

Marsh), The Oxford Respiratory Trials Unit (Dushendree Sen, Tara Harris and Debby Nicoll) and Lunge Zürich (Michael Schlunegger, Dominic Karrer and Sylke Meier). We also thank the patients who committed to the study and often travelled long distances to participate. Without their effort, this project would not have been possible. The authors would like to thank Simone Steinbach (professional medical writer) for her contribution to the manuscript draft.

This study was registered at Clinicaltrials.gov with identifier NCT03603678. Original data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions: G. Weimann, S. Unger, R. Finger and C. Xing had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study concept and design: T. Gaisl, C.D. Turnbull, G. Weimann, S. Unger, R. Finger, C. Xing, P.A. Cistulli, D.J. Eckert, J.R. Stradling and M. Kohler. Acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data: all authors. Drafting of the manuscript: T. Gaisl, G. Weimann, M. Kohler and S. Steinbach (professional medical writer). Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors. Statistical analysis: T. Gaisl and S. Unger. Administrative, technical or material support: T. Gaisl, G. Weimann, R. Finger, C. Xing, J.R. Stradling and M. Kohler. Study supervision: G. Weimann, R. Finger, C. Xing and M. Kohler. Independent supervision, compliance regulations, data management and monitoring: PRA Health Sciences.

Conflict of interest: This work was supported by Bayer (sponsor). G. Weimann, S. Unger, R. Finger and C. Xing are employees (including stock options) of the sponsor. T. Gaisl, C.D. Turnbull, P.A. Cistulli, S. West, D.J. Eckert, J.R. Stradling and M. Kohler report personal fees from Bayer during the conduct of the study. P.A. Cistulli, A.K.I. Chiang, D.J. Eckert, J.R. Stradling and M. Kohler report grants from Bayer (all through their respective employer) during the conduct of the study. P.A. Cistulli has an appointment to an endowed academic Chair at the University of Sydney that was created from ResMed funding. He receives no personal fees and this relationship is managed by an Oversight Committee of the University. He has received research support from ResMed, SomnoMed, and Zephyr Sleep Technologies, outside the submitted work. He is a consultant to Zephyr Sleep Technologies, Signifier Medical Technologies, SomnoMed, and ResMed and writer for Wolters Kluwer and Quintessence, outside the submitted work. He has a pecuniary interest in SomnoMed related to a previous role in R&D 2004, outside the submitted work. A.K.I. Chiang and D.J. Eckert report grants from Cooperative Research Centre Project Grant (Australian Government, Academia and Industry collaboration, Industry partner: Oventus Medical), outside the submitted work. D.J. Eckert reports research grants and personal fees from Apnimed and is member of the advisory board of Apnimed, outside the submitted work. J.R. Stradling reports personal fees from Resmed UK, outside the submitted work. M. Kohler reports personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from GSK, grants and personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Mundipharma, personal fees from OM Pharma, personal fees from AstraZeneca, all outside the submitted work; and he is a founder and board member of Deep Breath Intelligence Ltd, a company that provides services in the field of breath analysis.

Support statement: This work was supported by the study sponsor, Bayer HealthCare. J.R. Stradling and C.D. Turnbull acknowledge support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. C.D. Turnbull was supported by an NIHR Academic Clinical Lectureship. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

References

- McEvoy RD, Antic NA, Heeley E, et al. CPAP for prevention of cardiovascular events in obstructive sleep apnea. N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 919–931.
- Weaver TE, Grunstein RR. Adherence to continuous positive airway pressure therapy: the challenge to effective treatment. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2008; 5: 173–178.
- 3 Gaisl T, Haile SR, Thiel S, et al. Efficacy of pharmacotherapy for OSA in adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev 2019; 46: 74–86.
- 4 Pillar G, Fogel RB, Malhotra A, et al. Genioglossal inspiratory activation: central respiratory vs mechanoreceptive influences. Respir Physiol 2001; 127: 23–38.
- Carberry JC, Hensen H, Fisher LP, *et al.* Mechanisms contributing to the response of upper-airway muscles to changes in airway pressure. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2015; 118: 1221–1228.
- 6 Osman AM, Carberry JC, Gandevia SC, et al. Changes in pharyngeal collapsibility and genioglossus reflex responses to negative pressure during the respiratory cycle in obstructive sleep apnoea. J Physiol 2020; 598: 567–580.
- 7 Eckert DJ, McEvoy RD, George KE, et al. Genioglossus reflex inhibition to upper-airway negative-pressure stimuli during wakefulness and sleep in healthy males. J Physiol 2007; 581: 1193–1205.

- 8 Horner RL, Innes JA, Morrell MJ, et al. The effect of sleep on reflex genioglossus muscle activation by stimuli of negative airway pressure in humans. *J Physiol* 1994; 476: 141–151.
- 9 Carberry JC, Jordan AS, White DP, et al. Upper airway collapsibility (Pcrit) and pharyngeal dilator muscle activity are sleep stage dependent. Sleep 2016; 39: 511–521.
- Jordan AS, White DP, Lo YL, et al. Airway dilator muscle activity and lung volume during stable breathing in obstructive sleep apnea. Sleep 2009; 32: 361–368.
- Wirth KJ, Steinmeyer K, Ruetten H. Sensitization of upper airway mechanoreceptors as a new pharmacologic principle to treat obstructive sleep apnea: investigations with AVE0118 in anesthetized pigs. Sleep 2013; 36: 699-708.
- 12 Iber CA-IS, Chesson AL, Qaun SF. The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications, 1st edn. Westchester, American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2007.
- 13 Sands SA, Edwards BA, Terrill PI, et al. Phenotyping pharyngeal pathophysiology using polysomnography in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 197: 1187–1197.
- 14 Edwards BA, Eckert DJ, McSharry DG, et al. Clinical predictors of the respiratory arousal threshold in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014; 190: 1293–1300.
- 15 Gray EL, McKenzie DK, Eckert DJ. Obstructive sleep apnea without obesity is common and difficult to treat: evidence for a distinct pathophysiological phenotype. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2017; 13: 81–88.