Pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma revisited
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ABSTRACT This general review sought to clarify the pathophysiological, diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic features of pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma.

MALT lymphoma is the most common pulmonary B-cell lymphoma, which usually occurs in the context of acquired MALT. The disease is slow-growing with an asymptomatic chronic alveolar opacity visible on radiography. Diagnosis requires tissue samples that should be retrieved using minimally invasive techniques, such as bronchoscopy or computed tomography-guided biopsies. The pathophysiology includes cytogenetic abnormalities and autoimmune diseases, whereas an association with a chronic pulmonary infection is still suspected but not yet demonstrated. Disease prognosis is typically excellent and the current available treatments are discussed in this review, including the decision not to treat, surgery, and single- or double-agent chemotherapy.
Introduction

Our previous review pertaining to mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma was published over 10 years ago [1]. We thus deemed it appropriate to provide an update in view of the recent advances that have been made on this topic, covering disease pathophysiology, diagnostic approaches and novel treatments. B-cell lymphoma may occur in the lungs as a secondary localisation originating from extrapulmonary lymphomas. T-cell lymphomas, however, are uncommon. Primary pulmonary lymphoma (PPL) is defined as a clonal lymphoid proliferation arising from the lung and detected either clinically or radiologically [2, 3]. The most common PPL types are, in order of decreasing frequency [2, 4]: 1) extranodal marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) of MALT; 2) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL); and 3) lymphomatoid granulomatosis.

This general review sought to focus on and clarify the pathophysiological, diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic features of pulmonary MALT lymphoma.

Terminology

MALT lymphoma is the most common type of indolent B-cell PPL (table 1) [5], originating from post-germinal centre memory B-cells. They belong to the group of B-cell MZLs, which also includes nodal and splenic MZL. However, these three MZL subtypes present very distinct clinical, morphological and molecular features [6–10]. MALT lymphomas represent 8% of adult cases diagnosed with non-Hodgkin lymphoma [11], making them the fourth most common histological subtype after DLBCL, follicular lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma [5].

From MALT to MALT lymphoma

MALT lymphomas rarely occur at sites where MALT is physiologically abundant, e.g. the Peyer's patches of the terminal ileum, instead they are most frequently found rather at sites usually devoid of MALT such as the stomach, salivary glands, lungs and thyroid.

Marginal zone and bronchial mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue

The histological features of the marginal zone of lymphoid follicles was first described in the spleen [12], and later recognised in other sites such as the Peyer's patches and in lymph nodes. Functionally, marginal zone B-lymphocytes are memory B-cells, and are involved in T-cell-dependent or -independent immune responses. MALT is a lymphoid tissue specialised in defending the mucosa [2], first described in the gastrointestinal tract in animal models and then later in the ileum in humans. MALT is composed of four compartments: 1) organised mucosal lymphoid tissue that consists of reactive lymphoid follicles, which form Peyer's patches when concentrated in the terminal ileum; 2) the lamina propria; 3) intraepithelial lymphocytes; and 4) the mesenteric lymph nodes (figure 1) [2].

Peyer's patches are mucosal non-encapsulated aggregates of lymphoid tissue, the structure of which is similar to that of nodal lymphoid follicles. The marginal zone surrounds the mantle zone of the follicle and extends towards the mucosal surface. It is composed of centrocyte-like cells, which resemble monocytoid cells and express pan-B-antigens, surface IgM and surface IgA1, whereas they are negative for surface IgD, CD5 and CD10. This differentiates them from naive B-cells, which do express surface IgD. Marginal zone B-cells have mutated Ig variable region genes and the majority are post-germinal centre memory B-cells. The B-cells found in MALT retain the ability to return to the tissues in which they

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 Primary pulmonary lymphoma according to the World Health Organization classification of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mature B-cell neoplasms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue [MALT] lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma/Waldenström macroglobulinaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary pulmonary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary pulmonary plasmacytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphomatoid granulomatosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mature T-cell and natural killer-cell neoplasms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral T-cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic large cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural killer-/T-cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hodgkin lymphoma</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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underwent antigen stimulation, probably by means of surface integrin expression. Marginal zone B-cells can also form clusters of intraepithelial B-cells. The lamina propria contains IgA-secreting plasma cells, macrophages, and B- and T-lymphocytes. The intraepithelial lymphocytes predominantly found in the jejunum consist of CD8 T-cells that express the human mucosal lymphocyte-1 antigen (HML-1/CD103). The basic structure of mesenteric lymph nodes is the same as that of peripheral lymph nodes, with a prominent marginal zone. Memory B-cells from post-germinal centres circulate in the peripheral blood and include cells from the marginal zone of the spleen, lymph nodes and MALT.

Bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue (BALT) was first described in fetal and neonatal lungs affected by pulmonary infections of undetermined nature [2]. BALT can also be found in follicular bronchiolitis and can be associated with various autoimmune disorders, such as Sjögren’s syndrome, yet is not found in normal lungs. The stomach is the most commonly-affected organ in terms of MALT lymphoma, and several features of stomach MALT lymphoma can be extrapolated to MALT lymphoma in other locations like the lungs.

**MALT chronic antigen stimulation**

Lymphomas of the marginal zone are generally associated with chronic antigen stimulation, regardless of whether the antigens are auto-antigens or of microbial origin (figure 2) [12]. This is an unusual form of lymphoproliferation, in which the infectious agent does not infect or directly transform the lymphoid cells, unlike lymphomas associated with Epstein-Barr virus, human herpesvirus 8 or human T-cell leukaemia virus 1 [13]. In MZLs, the infectious agent increases the risk of lymphomatous transformation by chronically stimulating B-lymphocyte proliferation. In cases of chronic antigen stimulation, such as *Helicobacter pylori* infection, MALT develops in the stomach and can later undergo lymphomatous transformation starting with the B-lymphocytes in the marginal zone. In the original observation of gastric MALT lymphoma, the malignant B-cell clone process initially required the presence of the *H. pylori* antigen in order to proliferate, with *H. pylori* being detected in almost 90% of gastric biopsies from patients with gastric MALT lymphoma [14, 15], and its eradication leading to complete and prolonged disease remission in 60–80% of early-stage gastric MALT lymphoma cases. *H. pylori* eradication has also been reported to be effective in localised gastric DLBCL [12, 14, 16]. However, some recent studies show an increasing rate of *H. pylori*-negative patients of up to 30–50% of gastric MALT lymphoma cases [17]. The reason for this remains unclear; the liberal use of antibiotics in patient with suspected *H. pylori* infection or symptoms might be a potential explanation for a shift in MALT lymphoma characteristics in the near future.

Other infectious agents have been suggested as possible causes of MALT lymphoma at other sites [12, 18, 19]. A causal relationship has been suggested between *Campylobacter jejuni* infection and small intestine MALT lymphoma, formerly known as α-chain disease or Mediterranean lymphoma, as well as between hepatitis C virus infection and some cases of splenic MZL. Several studies have also found associations, although no
proven causal relationship, between *Borrelia burgdorferi* (the agent that causes Lyme disease) infection and skin MALT lymphoma, and between *Chlamydophila psittaci* and ocular adnexal MALT lymphoma. A causative antigen associated with MALT lymphoma in the lungs has not yet been identified. One study used PCR in order to detect DNA traces of *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *C. psittaci* or *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in tissues from patients with pulmonary MALT lymphoma (*n*=69). The results were compared with control specimens of other pulmonary lymphoproliferative disorders (*n*=30) and non-lymphoproliferative disorders (*n*=44) [20]. In this study, chlamydiaceae DNA was detected more frequently in MALT tissue than in samples taken from patients with non-lymphoproliferative disorders, although the difference was not statistically significant. Mycoplasma DNA was not detected. In addition, a recent multicentre European study using a 16S ribosomal RNA-based approach found DNA from *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* in 57 out of 124 pulmonary MALT lymphomas versus 15 out of 82 controls (*p*=0.004) [21]. Further studies are now required to prove the causal relationship between this pathogen and pulmonary MALT lymphoma. Using a non-targeted approach, our team assiduously pursues its research in this field using modern microbiology techniques. Powerful tools have been developed for both DNA and RNA sequencing [22], enabling the analysis of all the DNA and RNA present in a given sample, which could provide some evidence of a specific pathogen’s presence being associated with pulmonary MALT lymphoma [23].

Chronic antigen stimulation may also be of autoimmune origin (figure 2). MALT lymphomas in the salivary and thyroid glands have been more commonly observed in patients with autoimmune disease, Sjögren’s syndrome or Hashimoto’s thyroiditis. A meta-analysis involving 29 423 patients confirmed that those with Sjögren’s syndrome exhibited an increased risk of MZL [24]. Primary or secondary Sjögren’s syndrome was reported to be associated with a 3.5-fold increased risk of any type of lymphoma, a 400-fold increased risk of salivary gland MALT lymphoma, and a 5-fold increased risk of lymphoma at other extranodal sites. Systemic lupus erythematosus was associated with a 2.7-fold increased risk of any type of lymphoma, and a 12.9-fold increased risk of extranodal lymphoma. Disorders involving chronic antigen stimulation, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, and, in particular, Sjögren’s syndrome, are all recognised risk factors for developing pulmonary MALT lymphoma [24].

Due to environmental risk factors, bakers and oil workers display an increased risk of ocular adnexal and cutaneous MALT lymphomas, respectively [25]. However, this risk has not yet been demonstrated in pulmonary MALT lymphomas.
Cytogenetic abnormalities

The cytogenetic abnormalities that characterise and promote MALT lymphomas have been known for several years (table 2 and figure 2). Both the frequency and type of cytogenetic abnormality vary depending on the lymphoma’s site, and may also be related to the patient’s origin. By contrast with other forms of lymphoma, MALT lymphomas are not characterised by a diagnostic genetic aberration, with the exception being t(11;18)(q21;q21) API2-MALT1 discussed below, but rather display a variety of genetic features. Cytogenetic abnormalities are most frequently found in the lungs, and translocations are the most common type of alterations, with t(11;18)(q21;q21) being the most common translocation found and specific to MALT lymphomas [26]. This translocation is detected in 42% of pulmonary cases, 22% of gastric cases and 15% of intestinal cases, though it is absent in most cases of thyroid, salivary gland and liver MALT lymphoma [27, 28]. In gastric MALT lymphoma, the t(11;18)(q21;q21) translocation has been associated with lymph node dissemination and resistance to H. pylori-targeting antibiotics. As a consequence of this, the API2 gene (apoptosis inhibitor 2), located on chromosome 11, fuses with the MALT1 gene (MALT lymphoma-associated translocation), located on chromosome 18, resulting in the production of a chimeric protein: AP12–MALT1. Other translocations include the t(1;14)(p22;q32) BCL10-IgH, t(14;18)(q32;q21) IgH-MALT1, two rarer translocations that have been reported in the stomach, lung and skin for the t(1;14) and in the liver, lung and ocular adnexa for the t(14;18) [27]. In each case, the translocation results in transcriptional dysregulation of the modified gene and overexpression of BCL10 and MALT1, respectively, in the tumour cells. Another translocation, t(3;14)(p14.1;q32) FOXP1-IgH, has recently been described, but is not specific for MALT lymphomas since it has also been reported in DLBCLs. Its presence excludes t(11;18)(q21;q21). FOXP1 protein is also overexpressed in MALT lymphomas with trisomy 3, suggesting that increased gene copy number may be another mechanism of deregulated gene expression.

All the translocations listed above, with the exception of t(3;14)(p14.1;q32), result in constitutive activation of the nuclear factor-κB signalling pathway. The aforementioned translocations can be detected either by interphase fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections or alternatively by reverse transcriptase PCR assays in frozen tumour samples [26]. Other cytogenetic abnormalities associated with MALT lymphoma are trisomy 3 and 18 [26]. Aneuploidy is rarely associated with t(11;18). Although diagnosis of API2-MALT1 translocation in gastric MALT lymphoma is associated with resistance to antibiotic eradication of H. pylori, and to more frequent disseminated disease at diagnosis, the prognostic or predictive value of cytogenetic abnormalities have not been assessed in pulmonary MALT lymphoma [29]. It has also been suggested that presence of API2-MALT1 translocation is associated with a better response to chemotherapy (see later) [29].

Finally, MALT lymphoma could develop as a result of both cytogenetic abnormalities and antigenic stimulation. Illustrating this point, a mouse model simulating BCL10 or API2-MALT overexpression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytogenetic abnormality</th>
<th>Site (frequency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t(11;18)(q21;q21) API2-MALT1</td>
<td>Lung (30–50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intestine (~40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stomach (5–~30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ocular adnexa (0–5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(14;18)(q32;q21) IgH-MALT1</td>
<td>Ocular adnexa, skin, salivary glands and liver [frequent]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stomach (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(1;14)(p22;q32) BCL10-IgH</td>
<td>Stomach (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(3;14)(p14.1;q32) FOXP1-IgH</td>
<td>All sites (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thyroid (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ocular adnexa (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skin (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trisomy 3, 12, 18</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salivary glands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ocular adnexa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information from [15].
demonstrated the development of marginal zone hyperplasia without lymphoma. However, the mice undergoing API2-MALT1 overexpression developed lymphoma following antigenic stimulation with Freund adjuvant [30–32].

**Epidemiology, clinical tests and imaging**

PPLs are rare clinical entities, representing only 0.5–1% of lung neoplasia cases (figure 3). MALT lymphomas represent over 80% of PPL cases, constituting the most common type of pulmonary lymphoma [33–35]. PPL onset usually occurs at ~50–60 years of age, very occasionally affecting those under 30 years old [1]. Smoking rates (~35%) were not found to be higher among people that develop PPL than in the general population [35], and women were affected just as often as men. The presence of an immune system disorder was identified as a predisposing factor for developing a MALT lymphoma, with a recent study reporting that 16% of patients presented an autoimmune disease at the time of diagnosis [35].

In nearly half of MALT lymphoma cases the patients are asymptomatic at diagnosis, and investigations are initiated solely due to an abnormal chest radiograph. If symptoms are present, they are mostly nonspecific and commonly include cough, minimal dyspnoea and chest pain, haemoptysis is also sometimes reported. Crepitant rales are detected by pulmonary auscultation in less than 20% of cases. While general symptoms like fever and weight loss are observed in less than a quarter of MALT lymphoma patients, these are particularly associated with aggressive disease forms [1].

On radiological examination, MALT lymphomas typically manifest as a chronic alveolar localised opacity, less than 5 cm in diameter, and are associated with air bronchogram in nearly 50% of cases [36–40]. Computed tomography (CT) (figure 4), which is more sensitive than standard radiography, has demonstrated that the majority of MALT lesions are bilateral (60–70% of cases) and multiple (70–77% of cases) [41, 42]. There is no topographic predominance. Opacities contain a clear patch within each lesion, corresponding to the intact bronchial lumen. MALT lymphomas are often diagnosed based on the presence of distended bronchi within lesions [42]. The most frequent patterns are consolidations (~55%), nodules (~55%) and masses (~50%), and ~85% of the patients have airways within the lesions. Micronodules (~20%), ground-glass opacities (~25%) and septal lines (~10%) are less frequent [36–38]. Ultimately, the CT scan pattern may be a solitary pulmonary nodule and even more rarely a cystic or a cavitary lesion. Cystic lung lesions are frequently associated with associated amyloid or light chain deposition and cavitary lesions suggest a higher grade lymphoma [43–45]. Hilar or mediastinal lymphadenopathy may also be found.

![FIGURE 3 Respective percentages of lung neoplasia. Primary pulmonary lymphomas (PPL) are rare; with mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas being the most common type of PPL. NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma.](image-url)
on the CT scan in ~15% of cases, but are usually <1.5 cm and a mild pleural effusion in ~10% of cases [36]. The mean time between the initial abnormal clinical or radiological findings and diagnosis is 9 months, although this may vary widely from 15 days to 8 years [35–39].

On the clinical level, the challenge is to correctly diagnose MALT lymphoma based on radiological findings of chronic diffuse or localised alveolar opacities, which can correspond to several different aetiologies (table 3).

**Diagnostic approach**

In cases where patients present with pulmonary lesions and when a MALT lymphoma is suspected, several approaches may be required to obtain sufficient information for a correct diagnosis, in order to exclude other inflammatory conditions and malignancies as well as accurately classify the exact lymphoma subtype. Tissue biopsy is the gold standard for diagnosis. FFPE biopsy samples are used for histological assessment and immunohistochemistry, while frozen samples are employed for molecular genetic analysis.

| TABLE 3 Main aetiologies to be considered in cases of chronic single or multiple alveolar opacities |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Frequent causes</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial or viral pneumonia, slow to resolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organising pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary infarction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Less frequent causes</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lepidic adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-alveolar sarcoidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial pneumonia involving slow-growing organisms [nocardiosis, actinomycosis]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biopsy samples can also provide cells for cytological examination and flow cytometry. The goal of the diagnostic approach is to use minimally invasive techniques, such as bronchoscopy and CT-guided needle biopsy, in order to limit the number of invasive procedures, such as thoracotomy with surgical biopsies.

**Contribution of bronchoscopy with biopsies and bronchoalveolar lavage**

While the macroscopic findings on bronchoscopy are usually normal [36], abnormalities like inflammatory mucosa and bronchial stenosis may be observed [36]. Polypoid endobronchial lesions are very rare and usually point towards a small cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Bronchial and transbronchial biopsies are more fruitful when carried out on endobronchial lesions or guided by the topography of abnormalities mapped by CT scanning [36]. The sensitivity of bronchial and transbronchial biopsies in detecting MALT lymphoma have been reported to be 31 and 88%, respectively (figure 5) [35].

Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) performed during bronchoscopy may also aid in diagnosing chronic alveolar opacities. This technique can indicate the absence of tumour epithelial cells that are found in other malignancies, e.g. lepidic adenocarcinoma (formerly termed bronchioloalveolar carcinoma), or the presence of pathogens pointing towards a chronic infection. The presence of lymphocytic alveolitis can also be indicative of PPL [46], although this lymphocytosis often presents a T-cell phenotype and does not appear to be specific to PPL, unless the B-lymphocyte level is greater than 10% [36, 46, 47]. Lymphocytes obtained by BAL may also include monocytoid, centrocyte-like or plasmacytoid cells. The diagnostic value of B-lymphocyte alveolitis is at its most significant if the clonality can be demonstrated by illustrating the

**FIGURE 5** The diagnosis strategy adopted in a retrospective series of 63 patients with pulmonary opacity. Step 1: 61 bronchial and transbronchial biopsies during bronchoscopy; step 2: computed tomography (CT)-guided percutaneous transparietal biopsies. In the absence of a previous diagnosis, the final step was an open lung biopsy. Reproduced from [35].
Residual lymphoid follicles may be highlighted with the staining of CD21+ CD23+ residual follicular which allows exclusion of the diagnosis of mantle cell lymphoma or chronic lymphocytic leukaemia [46]. Bronchovascular bundles and interlobular septa (figure 7) [34, 37, 39, 40, 60]. B-cell lymphoma. Tumour cells express CD20 and CD79a B-cell antigens and expand along lymphoma and to exclude reactive follicular hyperplasia or secondary localisation to the lung of a nodal lymphomas can be morphologically and immunophenotypically complex, they are sometimes associated with reactive elements such as non-neoplastic reacting T-cells, granulomas, amyloid or fibrosis, rendering any diagnosis difficult using just small biopsy samples. When a localised lesion is present, a surgical approach provides the opportunity for radical treatment to be performed at the same time as the biopsy procedure (see the section on Prognosis and treatment).

If no specific lesion is identified by bronchoscopy, CT-guided aspiration and biopsy must be considered. This technique is particularly appropriate for peripheral nodules or masses. The sensitivity of this test has been reported to be 80% (figure 5) [35]. Diagnostic surgery may then be performed as a last resort. This invasive approach requires significant effort, expense and post-biopsy recovery time for the patient; however, it does offer the advantage of providing larger biopsy samples than less invasive procedures. Lymphomas can be morphologically and immunophenotypically complex, they are sometimes associated with reactive elements such as non-neoplastic reacting T-cells, granulomas, amyloid or fibrosis, rendering any diagnosis difficult using just small biopsy samples. When a localised lesion is present, a surgical approach provides the opportunity for radical treatment to be performed at the same time as the biopsy procedure (see the section on Prognosis and treatment).

It has been reported that a diagnosis of MALT lymphoma has been made using endobronchial ultrasound or endoscopic ultrasound in experienced hands, with flow cytometry performed on samples [55]. Although endobronchial ultrasound has been reported to be a good diagnosis tool for large B-cell and Hodgkin lymphoma [55–57], this approach seems unsuitable in pulmonary MALT lymphoma as mediastinal lymphadenopathies are infrequent (~15%) and of a small size (<1.5 cm diameter) [35]. Transbronchial cryobiopsy has recently been developed and is actually discussed in the diagnosis algorithm for diffuse interstitial lung diseases [58]. As histological confirmation usually comes from small samples that may be retrieved from transbronchial or transthoracic biopsies [35], cryobiopsy could be discussed in experienced teams in an attempt to avoid surgical biopsy [59].

Pathological diagnostic criteria
The diagnosis of MALT lymphoma is established based on histological analysis of tumour tissue. The typical macroscopic characteristics of MALT lymphoma are a whitish mass, which is poorly delimited and soft, not dissimilar in texture to the cut surface of a lymph node affected by the lymphoma. Rare cases of focally cystic MALT lymphoma have been observed.

The histological features of MALT lymphoma are the presence of lymphoid infiltrate expanding the marginal zone of reactive lymphoid follicles composed of small cells with a variable cytological appearance including small round lymphocytes, centrocyte-like cells or monocytoid cells. Scattered centroblasts are present. Plasma cell differentiation is often seen in the lung. The tumour cells infiltrate the bronchiolar or the alveolar epithelium resulting in lymphoepithelial lesions. Follicular colonisation by the tumour cells may be observed [34, 37, 60–62]. Cohesive sheets of large B-cells must suggest the diagnosis of DLBCL associated with MALT lymphoma.

Plasma cells may be numerous and may or may not show light chain restriction. Tumour cell infiltrates may also be seen along the bronchovascular bundles and interlobular septa in the masses’ periphery, such as the alveolar and bronchiolar walls [63]. The density of MALT lymphoma infiltration often produces a widening of the alveolar walls, and collapses the residual alveolar lumens. The airways are often left intact, which correlates with air bronchograms observed on CT scans (figure 6). More unusual forms of MALT lesions may include amyloid deposits or granulomatous reaction, vascular invasion [62–66], or fibrosis of varying degrees [63], although these are not key diagnostic features.

Contribution of immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemistry analysis of FFPE tissue sections is mandatory to confirm the diagnosis of MALT lymphoma and to exclude reactive follicular hyperplasia or secondary localisation to the lung of a nodal B-cell lymphoma. Tumour cells express CD20 and CD79a B-cell antigens and expand along bronchovascular bundles and interlobular septa (figure 7) [34, 37, 39, 40, 60–63, 67]. They are CD5 negative which allows exclusion of the diagnosis of mantle cell lymphoma or chronic lymphocytic leukaemia [46]. Residual lymphoid follicles may be highlighted with the staining of CD21+ CD23+ residual follicular dendritic cells [35, 45, 47]. Small reactive T-lymphocytes (CD3) can also be detected within the parietal alveolar infiltrate and around the peribronchiolar nodules [61, 63]. Plasma cell differentiation is further explored with immunostaining with anti-kappa and anti-lambda antibodies, and is very useful to distinguish MALT lymphoma with monotypic plasma cells from a reactive plasma cell infiltrate. Morphology and
immunohistochemistry allow the exclusion of lung localisation of mantle cell, follicular, lymphoplasmacytic or lymphocytic lymphomas [61, 63]. The proliferative index is usually low (Ki-67 <10%).

**Contribution of molecular biology**

Current molecular biological techniques involve PCR-based methods using frozen or FFPE tissue samples (sometimes with prior microdissection) to determine B-cell clonality. This analysis is very useful for cases where the morphological and immunohistochemical features are not sufficient to establish the diagnosis of MALT lymphoma. Chromosomal translocations are detected either by reverse transcriptase PCR or by interphase FISH on FFPE tissue sections using break apart probes for the MALT1 gene. FISH techniques can also detect extra copies of chromosomes 3, 8 and 18, which are common in MALT lymphomas. As an alternative to immunohistochemistry with anti-kappa and anti-lambda antibodies, chromogenic in situ hybridisation with kappa and lambda probes may also be used to determine if the tumoral plasma cell component shows light chain restriction (clonal). However, molecular biology is not sufficient for the diagnosis of MALT lymphoma even in presence of a specific translocation. Moreover, specific translocations are not actually treated by a targeted therapy.

**Differential diagnosis**

The primary challenge in terms of histology, particularly when using a small specimen, is to distinguish MALT lymphoma from: 1) diffuse lymphoid hyperplasia and lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia; 2) follicular bronchiolitis and chronic aspecific inflammatory reaction; or 3) other low grade B-cell lymphomas, as previously discussed, and 4) chronic nonspecific inflammatory reaction [62, 68]. The distinction of MALT lymphoma from organised pneumonia and/or nonspecific interstitial pneumonia relies mainly on histopathological features and the demonstration of an abundant small B-cell infiltrate extending beyond the reactive lymphoid follicles with a characteristic lymphangitic pattern of infiltration spreading along bronchovascular bundles and interlobular septa, features that may be overlooked in small biopsy specimens. While lymphoepithelial lesions may be observed in reactive conditions, detecting an intraepithelial lymphocytic infiltrate with a dual CD20/CD43-positive phenotype is nonetheless a strong indicator of MALT lymphoma [62].

---

**FIGURE 6** Representative section of a computed tomography (CT) image, and lung macroscopic and microscopic examinations obtained from a patient with pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. a) The CT image shows alveolar localised opacity with distended bronchi within lesions. b) Macroscopic examination shows a whitish mass that is revealed to be MALT lymphoma in the microscopic examination (haematoxylin and eosin stain, 25× magnification) with a representative section of c) bronchial wall and d) bronchial distention due to alveolar collapse.
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A unique nodular presentation may correspond to plasma cell granuloma, an inflammatory myofibroblastic tumour or IgG4 syndrome. IgG4-related disease may be distinguished from pulmonary MALT lymphoma by the presence of abundant IgG4-positive cells admixed with a moderate amount of eosinophils, storiform-type fibrosis, obliteratorive phlebitis and arteritis. This diagnosis is supported by an IgG4+/IgG+ cell ratio of >40% evaluated on tissue sections and elevated serum IgG4 concentration. However, a potential relationship between IgG4-related disease and extranodal MZL has been recently discussed in the literature, based on rare observations of ocular adnexal or cutaneous MZL associated with heavy infiltrations of IgG4 plasma cells or arising from an underlying IgG4-related disease [69]. To the best of our knowledge, similar features have not yet been reported in the context of pulmonary MALT lymphomas. In difficult cases, molecular based methods for B-cell clonality and interphase FISH are useful tools to distinguish reactive conditions from lymphoma.

A few cases of synchronous occurrence of lung adenocarcinoma and pulmonary MALT lymphomas have been reported in the literature as already described in gastric MALT lymphomas [70, 71]. Although this event is very rare, clinicians and pathologists should be aware of the possible association of these two diseases.

**Prognosis and treatment**

*Pre-therapeutic staging*

Lymph node lymphoma with secondary dissemination to the lungs can be ruled out using a CT scan with contrast medium injection of the chest, abdomen and pelvis (table 4). Bone marrow biopsy is not essential, but may show MALT lymphoma dissemination in 13–30% of cases (figure 3) [7, 35, 72–74]. Similarly, concomitant disease in other mucosa-associated lymphoid sites is present in 25–35% of cases (figure 3) [73–75], and is more frequently observed in nondigestive MALT lymphomas. In a recent study of 63

---

**FIGURE 7** Representative histology of pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. 

a) Low magnification showing a nodular lymphoid infiltrate of the lung, expanding the marginal zone of reactive lymphoid follicles (*) infiltrating the bronchiolar epithelium (haematoxylin and eosin stain, 25× magnification). b) Small lymphoid cells forming lymphoepithelial lesion (haematoxylin and eosin stain, 25× magnification) with c) a CD20 immunophenotype (25× magnification). d) Lymphoepithelial lesions (arrow) are highlighted with anti-cytokeratin AE1/AE3 immunostaining (25× magnification).
MALT lymphoma cases, ∼50% exhibited extrapulmonary involvement, 33% stomach involvement and 14% bone marrow involvement [35]. Other mucosal sites must be assessed in symptomatic patients only, these sites include the eyes, ears, nose and throat, in addition to magnetic resonance imaging or ultrasound of the salivary and lacrimal glands, if there is any doubt, and gastroscopy and colonoscopy. Some cases may require evaluation of small bowel transit.

Positron emission tomography (PET) using 18F-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) has yet to be investigated in detail. Its sensitivity and specificity can vary depending on the organ under consideration. PET-FDG assessment of the stomach appears to be associated with high rates of false-negatives, achieving a sensitivity of between 50 and 89% [76]. When assessing pulmonary disease it produces better results, with a sensitivity of between 80 and 100% [77, 78]. PET-FDG cannot enable assessment of bone marrow involvement [35], although can detect plasmacytic differentiation with higher sensitivity [79].

The only laboratory tests that are useful in pretreatment screening are lactate dehydrogenase levels, serum electrophoresis and immunoelectrophoresis. Monoclonal gammopathy, which is present in eight out of 10 IgM-type cases, is also found in 20–60% of MALT lymphoma cases. It is detected more frequently if plasmacytic differentiation is revealed [36, 38–40] and in extrapulmonary disease cases [35]. Finally, increased β2-microglobulin level appears to be an independent factor associated with poor prognosis [73].

**Prognostic factors**

The prognosis for patients with MALT lymphomas is good, with overall 5-year survival rates surpassing 80% and a median survival of over 10 years [34–40, 60, 65, 73–75, 80]. MALT lymphoma patients actually benefit from longer overall survival than patients with nodal or spleen MZL [7]. However, the survival of patients with MALT lymphoma has not been demonstrated to be equivalent to that of the general population [33, 65]. The median survival of patients exhibiting MALT lymphoma of the digestive tract does not differ from that of other sites, yet progression-free survival seems to be shorter for diseases at other sites, particularly the lungs [72]. A long period of monitoring is required in these cases, as almost 50% of patients experience disease recurrence, either in the same location or outside the thoracic region [36, 40, 60, 65, 67], >2 years after surgical resection.

The prognostic factors for MALT lymphomas have not yet been clearly demonstrated. None of the factors like sex, delay to diagnosis, symptom presence, whether or not the lesions were bilateral, extrapulmonary involvement or medullar location offered prognostic power [35]. In a multivariate analysis including all disease sites, elevated β2-microglobulin levels [73] and stage IV classification according to the Ann Arbor system [81] were found to influence prognosis. In a study of 63 patients with pulmonary MALT lymphoma, age and performance status were poor prognostic factors for overall survival [35]. A further retrospective study involving 48 MALT lymphoma patients failed to identify any prognostic factors at all [82]. In a retrospective cohort of lymphoma associated with Sjögren’s syndrome, active Sjögren’s syndrome was associated with worse prognosis. However, this cohort included both MALT lymphoma and DLBCL cases [83]. Very recently, Thebblemont et al. [84] proposed a simple and effective prognosis factor including only age >70 years, Ann Arbor stage ≥2 and elevated lactate dehydrogenase. In a cohort of 393 patients, these
three factors, when added together, discriminated three risk groups with different 5-year progression-free survival (78%, 63% and 29%; p<0.001) and 5-year overall survival (99%, 92% and 74%; p<0.001).

The transformation of MALT lymphoma to DLBCL has been suggested by the fact that the two histological subtypes could be observed on sequential biopsies in a single patient, with similar rearrangements of the IGH genes and accumulation of genetic abnormalities [27, 34, 38, 40, 61, 63, 85]. However, given the presence of (11:18), a more aggressive treatment strategy could be justified [29].

Main therapeutic options

The most recent recommendations have centred on the more frequent gastric MALT lymphoma [86, 87]. No microorganism has been identified that plays an equivalent role to H. pylori in gastric lymphoma. We are thus unable to use effective antibiotic treatment for pulmonary MALT lymphoma. However, a partial response has recently been reported in a patient with pulmonary MALT lymphoma, undergoing four courses of 14 days of clarithromycin (2 g per day) without evidence of any pathogen [88].

Current treatments include surgery, chemotherapy, immunotherapy and radiotherapy. It is not possible to assess the relative effectiveness of these treatments, as comparative groups do not exist, and even non-treatment could be considered [89]. Nevertheless, surgical resection or radiotherapy may be considered if the lesion is localised [36, 38, 90]. Radiotherapy may then offer the benefit of less morbidity [91, 92].

The use of chemotherapy alone is permitted in cases of bilateral or extrapulmonary disease, or in cases of disease recurrence or progression. Multiple-agent chemotherapy treatment, such as CHOP (cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin, oncovin and prednisone), is not thought to be superior to single-agent chemotherapy treatment using either chlorambucil or fludarabine [35, 36]. Furthermore, cyclophosphamide- or anthracycline-based chemotherapies were poor prognostic factors for progression-free survival in one study, in comparison with chlorambucil [35]. Anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies (rituximab) are effective, producing a 70% response rate in MALT lymphoma irrespective of the disease site, yet they are associated with high disease recurrence rates (36%) [93].

A prospective phase III study involved 231 patients not suitable for local therapy, who were initiated on a course of rituximab or rituximab–chlorambucil [94]. The primary objective was achieved, with significantly better 5-year event-free survival rates observed in the double-therapy group compared with the monotherapy group (68% versus 50%; p=0.02). Double-therapy increased the complete response rate (78% versus 65%). The 5-year progression-free survival rate was increased in the double-therapy group, although not significantly [94]. The 5-year survival was not improved (89% rate). Grade 3–4 neutropenia was more common in the double-therapy group, whereas infection and toxicity-related death rates were equivalent in both groups. Rituximab alone was also evaluated in a third arm that was opened as a secondary trial, the results of which are expected. In a retrospective cohort of gastric MALT lymphoma, the remission rate was 100% at 6 weeks when applying double-therapy, versus 45% with rituximab alone [29]. In the same cohort, the complete response rate after 104 weeks of chlorambucil alone was 78% (20 out of 27) versus 39% in patients with and without the t(11:18), respectively [95]. However, there is no data available on pulmonary MALT lymphoma cases, despite the common presence of t(11:18) in this setting. Alternative therapies that have proven antitumoral effects are currently available, such as purine analogues (fludarabine and cladribine), pentostatin, nuclear factor-κB inhibitor (bortezomib) or multiple-agent chemotherapy with chlorambucil/mitoxantrone/prednisone or bendamustine/rituximab [87, 96, 97]. However, the respective value of each treatment is difficult to estimate, particularly considering their side-effects [98]. Lenalidomide or bortezomib have also been evaluated as second-line therapy [99–103].

Finally, local therapy (radiotherapy or surgery) should be considered when feasible in localised disease. Medical therapy must take into consideration age, symptoms, dissemination and performance status, and a watch-and-wait attitude could potentially be the best solution. The first line therapy that is actually most used is rituximab–chlorambucil therapy. However, one should consider the increased cost and the risk of haematological complications compared with giving chlorambucil alone as an option. Ultimately a wait and see attitude may be proposed in asymptomatic patients with limited disease, particularly among elderly patients or patients with comorbidities.

Conclusion

Significant progress has been made in identifying the oncogenic mechanisms involved in the development of pulmonary MALT lymphoma. To date, no infectious agent has been isolated as the cause for pulmonary MALT lymphomas, which may play an equivalent role to H. pylori in the development of gastric MALT lymphomas. The diagnosis of clonal lymphoproliferative disease has also benefited from using immunohistochemistry and molecular biological techniques. The potential contribution of these techniques
should be more widely evaluated, in particular using bronchoscopic biopsy with small specimens in order to avoid traumatic thoracotomy procedures that are, at times, performed purely for diagnostic purposes.

In the absence of randomised trials specifically focused on pulmonary MALT lymphoma, the same treatment is currently offered for all MALT lymphoma types, regardless of the localisation. When there is other localisation or respiratory contraindications, surgery or radiotherapy should be considered. Otherwise rituximab–chlorambucil should be used on a case-by-case basis, and in some instances, simply monitoring the patient may be the most appropriate approach.
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