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Positive-pressure mechanical ventilation saving lives was proved during the poliomyelitis epidemics of the 1950s. Since that time there has been a growing increase in the use of ventilatory support, and it has been closely associated with the development of critical care medicine(1). Positive-pressure ventilation can be life-saving in patients with acute severe hypoxemia that is refractory to more conservative measures. In patients with severe cardiopulmonary distress for whom the effort of breathing is intolerable, mechanical ventilation (MV) substitutes for the action of the respiratory muscles(1). Mechanical ventilation is often lifesaving but is associated with serious complications, in part because it is delivered to patients at high risk of lung or cardiac compromise. These complications may be related to the direct mechanical effects of the intrathoracic pressures generated by the ventilator, to alveolar and systemic inflammation, or to neural stimulation(2). Endotracheal intubation is a critical procedure(3) in which patients are at risk of respiratory and circulatory compromise. Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) using facial or nasal masks which revealed as particularly helpful in the long-term treatment of patients with chronic respiratory failure including in the context of obesity(4), can also improve pulmonary gas exchange rapidly in patients with acute ventilatory failure. As compared with conventional treatment, NIV may reduce the need for endotracheal intubation and thus decrease mortality(1). Whilst NIV
demonstrated a strong evidence for its benefits in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (5), and cardiogenic pulmonary edema, it may also be used in the management of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (6). In a sub-study of a large observational study to understand the global impact of severe acute respiratory failure, NIV was used in about 15% of patients with ARDS, irrespective of the severity of hypoxemia. However, use of NIV, in comparison with invasive ventilation, had important implications for patient management. Although mortality rate was low in patients successfully managed with NIV, patients who failed NIV had a high mortality. NIV may be associated with a worse intensive care unit outcome than invasive mechanical ventilation in moderate to severe ARDS (6). Lastly, NIV may be used prior to the occurrence of ARDS or when weaning for invasive mechanical ventilation.

According to its broad use from Home to Intensive Care Unit (ICU), including pre-hospital, pneumology ward, emergency and operating room, MV should comply with a large number of specifications. On one side of the spectrum, home-MV is non-invasively delivered by using specific Bi-level, turbine-driven devices. The ventilators are small, easy to transport, silent, space-saving and their main objectives/challenges are management of leaks, optimal patient’s comfort and maximal patient-ventilator synchrony for allowing long-term acceptance of MV (7). These have very sensitive triggers and smart algorithms for cycling the breathing cycle. On the other side ICU patients receive invasive MV from very sophisticated and costly machines with electromagnetic valves to cycle the breathe, large screen displaying flow, pressure and volume, a lot of ventilatory modes and monitoring of trans-pulmonary pressure, end-expiratory lung volume, or electrical impedance tomography lung imaging, as the most recent implementations from the applied respiratory physiology field. The main goals of ICU ventilators are accuracy, reliability and high performance. Bench studies showed that both home-dedicated and ICU ventilators have variability between brands for, as an example, leaks compensation in the former (8) and tidal volume and positive end-expiratory pressure delivery accuracy for the latter (9). There are, however, overlaps as patients with chronic respiratory failure may receive invasive MV at home through a tracheostomy, and ICU patients in acute respiratory failure may be given NIV through dedicated devices. These latter devices compared favorably to ICU ventilators with NIV mode (10). Some intermediate ventilators used at home can support the life in step-down units, with limitations regarding power and FIO2 (11). It is worth mentioning that peripheral devices like interfaces, humidifier, and ventilator circuit are also key items for an efficient MV in any setting.

In the present issue, Garmendia et al report on the design and testing of an affordable and easy-to-build non-invasive bilevel pressure ventilator to allow reducing the serious shortage of ventilators. This is mainly dedicated to low/middle-income countries
The ventilator is built using off-the-shelf materials easily available and has a simple design: a high-pressure blower, two pressure transducers and a controller with a digital display. The total retail cost being less than 75 US$), with construction details open source provided for free replication. The ventilator was evaluated and compared with a commercially available device in the bench using an actively breathing patient simulator and in 12 healthy volunteers submitted to high airway resistance or to simulated restrictive syndrome. The ventilator provided inspiratory/expiratory pressures up to 20/10 cmH2O, respectively, with a satisfactory functioning when compared to the commercial device. The authors thus concluded that this very low cost bilevel pressure support ventilator was easy-to-build and provided potential use in under-resourced areas of developing countries or during pandemic conditions such as those imposed currently by the SARS-CoV2 virus(12).

The ongoing Covid-19 pandemic has stressed the health care system all over the world probably as never before. Because Covid-19 results in an acute hypoxemic respiratory failure that may culminate to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) the number of ICU beds and the amount of ventilators have become resources of the utmost value. As the number of ICU beds have been increased dramatically by upgrading step-down units and post-operative recovery rooms, we have faced with a shortage of ventilators (13-15), probably for the first time since ICU was born, arising ethical issues (16). The response to the ventilators shortage was multiple: enhancement of the production of existing devices, which requires cooperation between industries with different skills (https://www.boursorama.com/bourse/actualites/coronavirus-france-un-consortium-air-liquide-psa-valeo-schneider-pour-produire-des-respirateurs-0ddb10c93c9a76b2dffe2a28d6597130), sharing ventilators between 2 or more patients and building-up of custom-made and cheap ventilators. This strategy of building reliable and cheap ventilators even for ICU patients is not new (17) and sounds like tracheostomy, iron lung and early ICU ventilators were pushed up in an emergency at the time of the poliomyelitis epidemic in 1952 (18). It could be that this response resulted in a substandard delivery of MV. However, as in our daily life the COVID-19 pandemic forces us to catch the essential of the technological support. As an example, even though many ventilatory modes equip ICU ventilators, two of them only, volume-control and pressure support, are actually used in more than 80% of ICU patients (19). Hypoxemic acute respiratory failure due to SARS-Cov2 does not check every item of the Berlin definition for ARDS (20) and is characterized by a marked hypoxemia with relatively preserved lung compliance (21). Therefore, whilst some authors advocate to deliver oxygen and delay intubation (22), some others preferred rapid intubation (23). NIV is not an option in this setting for different reasons. In MERS-CoV infection it was associated with a high rate of failure (24). In a large
database of ARDS patients, NIV was associated with higher mortality (25) presumably because it delays intubation. Furthermore, it can enhance virus spread, and risk of ventilator-induced lung injury due to large tidal volume (26). Clinicians are indeed reluctant to use NIV in the current COVID 19 pandemics (27). A recent clinical update indicated that in case of Covid-19 ARDS, noninvasive support may stabilize the clinical course in mild cases, provided that the patient does not exert excessive inspiratory efforts. However, if respiratory drive is not reduced by oxygen administration and/or noninvasive support, persistently strong spontaneous inspiratory efforts simultaneously increase tissue stresses and raise pulmonary trans-vascular pressures, vascular flows, and fluid leakage. Deterioration of lung function may then rapidly ensue(28).

During the current Covid-19 epidemics, the institution of interventions including sanitary cordon, traffic restriction, social distancing, home quarantine, centralized quarantine, and symptom survey was temporally associated with reduced effective reproduction number of SARS-CoV-2 (secondary transmission) and the number of confirmed cases(29). The implementation of these measures helped controlling the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic in China. The ultimate goal is to remain below the ICU capacity. In France for instance, this ICU capacity underwent a large increase in the last weeks to face the rapid surge of patients in critical conditions. Capacities have been stretched in the most affected regions, and patients have been transferred to other regions for adequate care. Focusing on the longer term, systematic investments to further increase such capacity (including structures, material, personnel, training programs) may help accelerating the gain of immunity in the population and reducing the overall duration of the epidemic(30). Indeed, the situation could become dramatic in LMICs if COVID-19 pandemic spreads. In an international audit of ICU patients worldwide assessing variations between hospitals and countries in terms of ICU mortality, it was evidenced a significant association between the risk of death and the global national income, suggesting also that ICU organization has an important effect on risk of death(31). However, strategies to prevent and manage critical illness must be extrapolated to regions where diagnostic, monitoring and therapeutic technologies widely available in high-income countries are lacking(32). Mechanical ventilators designed to meet the challenges of resource-limited settings as reported by Garmendia et al. in the current ERJ issue, are now more widely available. However, until now, their dissemination has been slow, partly due to a lack of trained clinicians and institutional reticence. Challenges to the safe delivery of mechanical ventilation in these settings also include maintaining a reliable supply of important disposables (filters, circuits), training and retaining biomedical engineers for ventilator maintenance, and ensuring patient safety when arterial blood gas analyzers are
A relevant comment in the paper by Gamendia et al. was that the medical device industry that searches and delivers life-enhancing innovative solutions, is a model which is hardly suitable to low-income settings that are usually resource scarce, and where the provision of even adequate basic services to the population is challenging. They thus suggested that their approach combining easy-to-build non-invasive ventilator and open-source hardware description, may not only allow for adequate availability of ventilators to patients but may also contribute to the development of the local industry network in LMICs. The growing COVID-19 crisis threatens to disproportionately hit developing countries, not only as a health crisis in the short term but as a devastating social and economic crisis over the months and years to come(33). Under-resourced hospitals and fragile health systems are likely to be overwhelmed. This may be further exacerbated by a spike in cases, as up to 75 per cent of people in least developed countries lack access to soap and water. Thus, any attempt to tackle the coming health issues in LMICs is just very welcome.