

### EUROPEAN RESPIRATORY journal

FLAGSHIP SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF ERS

### **Early View**

Research letter

# Home spirometry in bronchiolitis obliterans post allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplant

Clémence Loiseau, François Lemonnier, Odile Randrianarivelo, Raphael Itzykson, Stéphanie Nguyen, Marie Hélène Becquemin, Colas Tcherakian, Madalina Uzunov, Emilie Catherinot, Elisabeth Rivaud, Hélène Salvator, Philippe Devillier, Laurent Sutton, Jean-Paul Vernant, Louis Jean Couderc, Nathalie Dhèdin

Please cite this article as: Loiseau C, Lemonnier F, Randrianarivelo O, *et al*. Home spirometry in bronchiolitis obliterans post allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplant. *Eur Respir J* 2018; in press (https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02328-2017).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

Copyright ©ERS 2018

## Home spirometry in bronchiolitis obliterans post allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplant

Clémence Loiseau\*<sup>1</sup>, François Lemonnier\*<sup>2</sup>, Odile Randrianarivelo<sup>3</sup>, Raphael Itzykson<sup>4</sup>, Stéphanie Nguyen<sup>5</sup>, Marie Hélène Becquemin<sup>3</sup>, Colas Tcherakian<sup>1,8,9</sup> Madalina Uzunov<sup>5</sup>, Emilie Catherinot<sup>1</sup>, Elisabeth Rivaud<sup>1</sup>, Hélène Salvator<sup>1,8,9</sup>, Philippe Devillier<sup>1,8,9</sup>, Laurent Sutton<sup>6</sup>, Jean-Paul Vernant<sup>5</sup>, Louis Jean Couderc<sup>#1,8,9</sup> and Nathalie Dhédin<sup>#5,7</sup>.

- 1, Respiratory Diseases Department, Foch Hospital, Suresnes
- 2, Haematology Department, Henri Mondor Hospital, Créteil
- 3, Functional Pulmonary Test Unit, Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris
- 4, Haematology Department, Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris
- 5, Haematology Department, Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris
- 6, Haematology Department, Argenteuil Hospital, Argenteuil
- 7, Haematology Department, Adolescents and Young Adults Unit, Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris
- 8, Faculté des Sciences de la Vie Simone Veil, Université de Versailles St Quentin
- 9, UPRES EA 220, Suresnes, and on behalf of the Immunodeficiency Lung Study Group of Foch Hospital
- \* Equal contribution as first authors
- # Equal contribution as last authors

Correspondence information: Nathalie Dhédin, Hôpital Saint Louis, Service d'Hématologie, Unité Adolescents Jeunes Adultes (AJA), 1 avenue Claude Vellefaux, 75010 Paris, France, nathalie.dhedin@aphp.fr, and Louis-Jean Couderc, Hôpital Foch, service de Pneumologie, 40 rue Worth, 92150 Suresnes, France, lj.couderc@hopital-foch.org

**Take home message:** Long-term outcome of patients with BOS post HSCT with home spirometry is encouraging despite suboptimal adherence

#### To the Editor:

Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) is a well-characterised late-onset noninfectious pulmonary complication of allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), occurring in 2% to 26% of recipients[1]. It is considered to be a pulmonary manifestation of graft *versus* host disease (GVHD)[2] and is associated with a mortality rate varying from 14 to 100 % in historical series[3, 4]. Although immunosuppressive drugs are modestly effective, early diagnosis and treatment could improve its outcome[5]. After lung transplantation, home spirometry (HS) monitoring of pulmonary function allows early detection of BOS[6]; it is associated with a better response to steroids[7] and consequently is considered as a standard of care[8]. Our group was the first to report the use of HS in 37 HSCT recipients[9]. More recently, Cheng et al. showed a good correlation between HS and classical laboratory spirometry in this population[10]. We present here the incidence and long-term outcome of BOS occurring after HSCT in an extended cohort of 110 patients monitored with HS.

This single-centre study was conducted in 110 patients who received an allogeneic HSCT for haematological malignancy from June 2001 to November 2008. Inclusion criteria were: a) living in the Paris area, b) having a landline telephone and c) consenting to the study. BOS was defined as a persistent non-reversible obstructive ventilatory impairment, with a drop of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) above 20% compared to baseline value before the graft, after exclusion of other causes, using the international society of heart and lung transplantation criteria[11]. No histological confirmation was required due to the high risk of the procedure in this population.

Before HSCT, complete pulmonary functional tests (PFT) were performed and patients were trained to perform flow-volume curves twice a week with HS (individual portable spirometer, Spirotel\*, M-Elect, France, and its modem). HS monitoring was scheduled to start three months after transplantation for 18 months. HS results were centrally transmitted in real time using a landline telephone for analysis and monitoring. For each patient, correlation between data from HS and from classical laboratory spirometry was checked before transplant. When HS deterioration was detected,

with a FEV $_1$  drop superior to 20%, patients were referred to pulmonologists for BOS diagnosis by PFT confirmation and performance of a high resolution thoracic computed-tomography scan with expiratory phases and an infectious workup including a fiberoptic bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage to rule out a pulmonary infection. The trial was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki.

Main characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1. The median time from transplant to HS monitoring onset was 4.4 months (IQR 3 – 7.1) and the monitoring was continued for a median time of 16.2 months (IQR 7.2 – 21.2). According to the criteria published by Kugler et al.[12], good adherence (>80% scheduled HS effectively performed) was observed in 35.5% patients, moderate adherence (50 - 80% HS performed) in 31.8% and poor adherence (50% HS performed) in 32.7%. During the follow-up time, 49 drops 20% in FEV<sub>1</sub> in HS were reported in 34 patients, and 34/49 (69%) in 26 patients were confirmed by standard spirometry. Seventeen drops were related to BOS diagnosis (N=13) or worsening (N=4), but HS anomalies also allowed the detection of other pulmonary complications: infections (N=6), pulmonary embolism (N=1), cardiac failure (N=3) or others (N=7).

Twenty-six BOS were diagnosed at a median time of 14 months (IQR 10.5 – 21.1) post HSCT, leading to a 5-year cumulative incidence of 27.7% (95% CI 19.9 – 38.8%). In 13 of the cases, BOS detection was made by HS. Reasons for lack of BOS diagnosis by HS were non-adherence (N=6), BOS occurring before (N=2) or after (N=4) monitoring or non-specified (N=1). Finally, HS detected 13/20 (65%) BOS occurring during the effective period of equipment: 9/11 (82%) in good/moderate adherers *versus* 4/9 (44%) in poor adherers. Thus, HS was a useful tool for BOS detection in good adherers.

At BOS diagnosis, three patients were asymptomatic. The median initial drop in FEV<sub>1</sub>, compared with pre-transplant data, was 39.9% (IQR 27.3 – 45.8). All patients with BOS except one presented extra pulmonary manifestations of chronic GVHD. The 5-year cumulative incidence of BOS was 36.9% (95% CI 25.2 - 48.5%) in patients with chronic GVHD, compared to 2.4% (95% CI 0 - 7%) in those who did

not (p=0.006 Fine and Gray test). This confirmed that patients with extrapulmonary chronic GVHD have the highest risk of developing BOS, and could represent a group of patients that could benefit from HS.

BOS features were followed for a median of 9.4 years (IQR 7.4 – 10.7). The median maximal drop in FEV<sub>1</sub>, compared with pre-transplant data, was 45.8% (IQR 36.4 – 58.5). Treatment of BOS consisted in systemic steroids less than 1 mg/kg/day in 65.4% patients, associated with other immunosuppressive therapies depending on those previously administrated and on GVHD extrapulmonary organ involvement and specific pulmonary treatment (inhaled corticosteroids and bronchodilatators, and azithromycin) as well as infectious prophylaxis. At last evaluation, worsening of FEV<sub>1</sub> (decrease >15% compared to diagnosis) was observed in seven (26.9%) patients whereas improvement (increase >15%) occurred in eight (30.8%) and stabilisation (variation  $\leq$ 15%) in 11 (42.3%). From the 26 patients with BOS, two died of evolution of BOS associated with respiratory infection leading to an 8-year mortality due to BOS of 8.1% (95% CI 0 – 19.1%).

Aiming to indirectly evaluate the HS efficacy, we compared BOS outcome in good/moderate or poor adherers and failed to detect any difference between these patients (Table 1). Although this could argue against the HS utility after HSCT, no definitive conclusions can be drawn and only a randomised trial would definitely conclude about the HS usefulness.

We report here with a long follow-up time the features of BOS in a population of HSCT recipients monitored by HS, remarkable by the absence of pejorative effect of BOS occurrence. Indeed, only two of the 26 BOS patients died from respiratory failure, while 73% patients showed a favourable evolution (FEV<sub>1</sub> stable or improved) which has been correlated to a better outcome in post HSCT BOS[13]. It is noteworthy that BOS incidence, 27.7%, was similar to other studies[14] and FEV<sub>1</sub> drop at diagnosis was close to that reported in the NIH series[15] making unlikely the good outcome of BOS patients being related to a lower gravity of BOS.

We showed in this large cohort that HS was feasible in HSCT patients. However, adherence rate was 35.5%, which is lower than the 68% observed after pulmonary transplantation[12]. This low adherence rate could be a limiting factor for HS use in HSCT patients. In further studies, specific interventions are warranted to improve adherence of these patients less aware of the severity of pulmonary complications than lung transplant patients. These include a) a better education of patients with dedicated consultations, requiring a close collaboration between haematologists, pulmonologists and patients, b) development of systems with internet based transmission of HS data and c) providing reminders to patients through an automated reminding system.

Our study shows that HS allows BOS detection in most adherer patients and raises the issue of a potential favourable impact of HS on post HSCT BOS outcome. A randomized trial, comparing HS versus absence of HS monitoring in HSCT patients, with specific interventions to improve adherence, would definitively address the interest of this non-invasive procedure on BOS outcome.

### Acknowledgment

We thank LVL Medical Company for technical assistance with home spirometry monitoring devices and Polly Gobin for English language revision.

#### References

- 1. Chien JW, Martin PJ, Gooley TA, Flowers ME, Heckbert SR, Nichols WG, Clark JG. Airflow obstruction after myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2003; 168: 208–214.
- 2. Bergeron A, Godet C, Chevret S, Lorillon G, Peffault de Latour R, de Revel T, Robin M, Ribaud P, Socié G, Tazi A. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after allogeneic hematopoietic SCT: phenotypes and prognosis. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2013; 48: 819–824.
- 3. Soubani AO, Uberti JP. Bronchiolitis obliterans following haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2007; 29: 1007–1019.
- 4. Rhee CK, Ha JH, Yoon JH, Cho BS, Min WS, Yoon HK, Lee JW. Risk Factor and Clinical Outcome of Bronchiolitis Obliterans Syndrome after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Yonsei Med. J.* 2016; 57: 365–372.
- 5. Williams KM, Chien JW, Gladwin MT, Pavletic SZ. Bronchiolitis obliterans after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *JAMA* 2009; 302: 306–314.
- 6. Verleden SE, Sacreas A, Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, Verleden GM. Advances in Understanding Bronchiolitis Obliterans After Lung Transplantation. *Chest* 2016; 150: 219–225.
- 7. Finkelstein SM, Snyder M, Stibbe CE, Lindgren B, Sabati N, Killoren T, Hertz MI. Staging of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome using home spirometry. *Chest* 1999; 116: 120–126.
- 8. Robson KS, West AJ. Improving survival outcomes in lung transplant recipients through early detection of bronchiolitis obliterans: Daily home spirometry versus standard pulmonary function testing. *Can J Respir Ther* 2014; 50: 17–22.
- 9. Guihot A, Becquemin M-H, Couderc L-J, Randrianarivelo O, Rivaud E, Philippe B, Sutton L, Neveu H, Tanguy M-L, Vernant J-P, Dhédin N. Telemetric monitoring of pulmonary function after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Transplantation* 2007; 83: 554–560.
- Cheng G-S, Campbell AP, Xie H, Stednick Z, Callais C, Leisenring WM, Englund JA, Chien JW, Boeckh M. Correlation and Agreement of Handheld Spirometry with Laboratory Spirometry in Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplant Recipients. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation* 2016; 22: 925–931.
- 11. Meyer KC, Raghu G, Verleden GM, Corris PA, Aurora P, Wilson KC, Brozek J, Glanville AR, ISHLT/ATS/ERS BOS Task Force Committee. ISHLT/ATS/ERS BOS Task Force Committee. An international ISHLT/ATS/ERS clinical practice guideline: diagnosis and management of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Eur. Respir. J. 2014; 44: 1479–1503.
- 12. Kugler C, Fuehner T, Dierich M, DeWall C, Haverich A, Simon A, Welte T, Gottlieb J. Effect of adherence to home spirometry on bronchiolitis obliterans and graft survival after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2009; 88: 129–134.
- 13. Dudek AZ, Mahaseth H, DeFor TE, Weisdorf DJ. Bronchiolitis obliterans in chronic graft-versus-host disease: analysis of risk factors and treatment outcomes. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2003; 9: 657–666.

- 14. Chien JW, Duncan S, Williams KM, Pavletic SZ. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation-an increasingly recognized manifestation of chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2010; 16: S106-114.
- 15. Abedin S, Yanik GA, Braun T, Pawarode A, Magenau J, Goldstein SC, Levine JE, Kitko CL, Couriel DR. Predictive Value of Bronchiolitis Obliterans Syndrome Stage Op in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease of the Lung. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation* 2015; 21: 1127–1131.

|   | ARACTERISTICS OF THE POPULATION      | N= 110 (%)   |                  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Gender  | Male                                 | 59 (53.6)  |                  |
| Age at transplant, years  |                                      | 46.3 (40.1 – 54.7)   |                  |
| Diagnosis   | Acute Leukaemia                      | 28 (25.5)  |                  |
|   | Non Hodgkin Lymphoma                 | 34 (30.9)  |                  |
|   | Myeloma                              | 16(14.5)   |                  |
|   | Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia        | 10 (9.1)   |                  |
|   | Other <sup>1</sup>                   | 22 (20)  |                  |
| Previous HSCT   | Autologous                           | 45 (40.9)  |                  |
|   | Allogeneic                           | 3 (2.7)  |                  |
| Conditioning regimen  | Myeloablative / Reduced Intensity    | 57 (51.8) / 54 (49.1)  |                  |
|   | With anti thymocyte globulin         | 29 (26.4)  |                  |
|   | Total Body Irradiation               |  |                  |
|   | > 6 Gray                             | 38 (34.5)  |                  |
|   | ≤ 6 Gray                             | 12 (10.9)  |                  |
| Stem cell source  | Peripheric Blood Stem Cells          | 59 (53.6)  |                  |
|   | Bone Marrow                          | 50 (45.5)  |                  |
|   | Cord Blood                           | 1 (0.9)  |                  |
| Donor / Recipient sex Mismatch  |                                      | 55 (50)  |                  |
| Type of Donor  GvHD prophylaxis²  | Matched related                      | 58 (52.7)  |                  |
|   | Matched unrelated                    | 46 (41.8)  |                  |
|   | Mismatched                           | 6 (5.5)  |                  |
|   | Cyclosporine + methotrexate          | 65 (59.1)  |                  |
|   | Cyclosporine + mycophenolate mofetil | 22 (20)  |                  |
|   | Other                                | 11 (10)  |                  |
| 5-year overall survival   |                                      | 71,4% (95% CI 62.8 – 80.1 %)   |                  |
| 5-year non relapse mortality  |                                      | 15,1% (95% CI 6.5 – 23.7%)   |                  |
|   |                                      |  |                  |
| CHARACTERISTICS AND EVOLUTION OF THE BOS POPULATION   |                                      | N= 26(%)   |                  |
| Time from transplant to BOS, months (range)   |                                      | 14 (10.5 – 21.1)   |                  |
|   |                                      |  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis   |                                      | 23 (88.5)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis<br>Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis   |                                      | 23 (88.5)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No   |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited   |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)<br>7 (26.9)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited Extensive   |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited   |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)<br>7 (26.9)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)<br>7 (26.9)<br>18 (69.2)<br>19 (73.1)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis Yes  |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)<br>7 (26.9)<br>18 (69.2)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No   |                                      | 23 (88.5)<br>1 (3.8)<br>7 (26.9)<br>18 (69.2)<br>19 (73.1)<br>7 (26.9)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline  |                                      | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> ³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> , % baseline Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> at last follow-up, % baseline   |                                      | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis Yes No Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> <sup>3</sup> at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> , % baseline   | OS diagnosis)                        | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> ³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> , % baseline Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC   | DS diagnosis)                        | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable  | OS diagnosis)                        | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3)  |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BO Improving Stable Worsening  | OS diagnosis)                        | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement   |                                      | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BO Improving Stable Worsening  |                                      | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> ³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> , % baseline Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematologic   | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> ³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> , % baseline Drop in FEV <sub>1</sub> at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematologic   |                                      | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7)   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No  Limited  Extensive  Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes  No  Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline  Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric  Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline  Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline  FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving  Stable  Worsening  Oxygenotherapy requirement  Death of respiratory failure without haematologic  | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)  N= 26  | p=0.84           |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematological EFFECT OF ADHERENCE IN FEV1 EV Drop in FEV1 at diagnosis, % baseline  | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 - 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 - 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 - 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)   | p=0.84           |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis  Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematologic  EFFECT OF ADHERENCE IN FEV1 EV Drop in FEV1 at diagnosis, % baseline Good/moderate adherers  | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)  N= 26  | p=0.84           |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematologic  EFFECT OF ADHERENCE IN FEV1 EV Drop in FEV1 at diagnosis, % baseline Good/moderate adherers Poor adherers   | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)  N= 26  | p=0.84<br>p=0.62 |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematological EFFECT OF ADHERENCE IN FEV1 EV Drop in FEV1 at diagnosis, % baseline Good/moderate adherers Poor adherers Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline   | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)  N= 26  37.1 (25.3 – 50.7) 39.9 (34.9 – 41.8)                   |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BC Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematologic  EFFECT OF ADHERENCE IN FEV1 EV Drop in FEV1 at diagnosis, % baseline Good/moderate adherers Poor adherers Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Good/moderate adherers                               | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)  N= 26  37.1 (25.3 – 50.7) 39.9 (34.9 – 41.8)  46 (38.4 – 58.6) |                  |
| Pulmonary symptoms at BOS diagnosis Chronic Graft versus Host Disease at diagnosis  No Limited Extensive Immunosuppression therapy at diagnosis  Yes No Drop in FEV1³ at diagnosis, % baseline Predicted FEV1 at diagnosis, % theoric Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline Drop in FEV1 at last follow-up, % baseline FEV1 evolution at last follow up (compared to BO Improving Stable Worsening Oxygenotherapy requirement Death of respiratory failure without haematologic  EFFECT OF ADHERENCE IN FEV1 EV Drop in FEV1 at diagnosis, % baseline Good/moderate adherers Poor adherers  Maximal Drop in FEV1, % baseline  Good/moderate adherers Poor adherers Poor adherers | ial relapse                          | 23 (88.5)  1 (3.8) 7 (26.9) 18 (69.2)  19 (73.1) 7 (26.9) 39.9 (27.3 – 45.8) 59 (51 - 74) 45.8 (36.4 – 58.5) 31.2 (23.5 – 49.1)  8 (30.8) 11 (42.3) 7 (26.9) 2 (7.7) 2 (7.7)  N= 26  37.1 (25.3 – 50.7) 39.9 (34.9 – 41.8)  46 (38.4 – 58.6) |                  |

Table 1: Characteristics of the population. Data are expressed as median (interquartile range) or number (percent). **Upper part**: haematological characteristics. <sup>1</sup> Others include: Myelodysplasic syndromes, chronic myelogenous leukemia, Hodgkin lymphoma, bone marrow failure, myeloproliferative syndromes, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, hemoglobin disorders. <sup>2</sup> 12 missing data. **Middle part**: Characteristics and evolution of the BOS population. <sup>3</sup> expressed in percentage of pre transplant values. **Lower part**: Evolution of FEV<sub>1</sub> according to adherence group in the BOS population. Good/Moderate adherers N=15, Poor adherers N=11 (p, unpaired t test).