Stomach as a source of colonization of the respiratory tract during mechanical ventilation: association with ventilator-associated pneumonia
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ABSTRACT: The aetiopathogenesis of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) requires abnormal oropharyngeal and gastric colonization and the further aspiration of their contents to the lower airways. VAP develops easily if aspiration or inoculation of microorganisms occur in patients with artificial airways, in whom mechanical, cellular and/or humoral defences are altered.

Well-known risk factors for gastric colonization include: alterations in gastric juice secretion; alkalization of gastric contents; administration of enteral nutrition; and the presence of bilirubin. However, the role of the colonized gastric reservoir in the development of VAP remains debatable.

Evidence in favour of the role of the stomach in the development of VAP comes mainly from randomized, controlled trials of selective gut decontamination and stress ulcer prophylaxis in the intensive care unit (ICU), in which reducing the bacterial burden of the stomach decreases the incidence of nosocomial respiratory infections. However, at least three studies of flora have found an absence of stomach origin of pneumonia occurring during mechanical ventilation.

Prophylactic measures suggested to prevent VAP in relation to the gastric reservoir include: treatment for stress ulcers with sucralfate; prevention of duodenal reflux with metoclopramide; reduction of gastric burden and bacterial translocation by selective digestive decontamination; acidification of enteral feeding; and jejunal feeding. Gastro-oesophageal reflux can be prevented by using small bore nasogastric tubes and jejunal feeding. The aspiration of gastric contents can be reduced by positioning patients in a semirecumbent position, checking the patency of the tube cuff, and aspiration of subglottic secretions.

The role of the stomach as a reservoir for microorganisms causing ventilator-associated pneumonia is still controversial but despite the debate, there is major evidence in the literature in favour of the gastric origin of part of these pulmonary infections.

The understanding of the pathogenesis of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) has evolved during recent years. The incidence of this common complication of mechanical ventilation ranges 9–70% [1–3]. The aetiopathogenesis of VAP requires abnormal oropharyngeal and gastric colonization and the further aspiration of their contents to the lower airways [4, 5]. Nevertheless, recent information, in contrast to other studies, suggests that the stomach is not always a reservoir for colonization [6]. Other sites which can potentially harbour microorganisms involved in the pathogenesis of VAP include gingival plaque and periodontal pockets [7] (fig. 1). Inoculation of colonizing bacteria into the distal airways occurs as contaminated oropharyngeal and/or gastric secretions reach the endotracheal tube and trachea. These contaminated secretions are then propelled to the distal airways by inspiratory airflow from mechanical ventilation or endotracheal tube manipulation. If the host’s mechanical, cellular and/or humoral defences are overwhelmed, then bacterial proliferation exceeds bacterial clearance, leading to the development of VAP [8]. An alternative aetiopathogenic mechanism to explain the development of VAP is bacterial translocation, although this mechanism is still under investigation [9].

In the present article, we review the possible role of the stomach in the aetiopathogenesis of VAP, emphasizing the following issues: 1) risk factors for gastric colonization; 2) clinical evidence of gastric aspiration to the lower airways in mechanically-ventilated patients; 3) clinical evidence and controversies of the role of the gastric reservoir in ventilator-associated pneumonia; 4) the role of bacterial translocation as a mechanism for the development of VAP; and 5) a summary of prophylactic measures.

Risk factors for gastric colonization

The stomach has been postulated to be an important reservoir of organisms that cause VAP [10, 11].
stomach's role may vary depending on the patient’s underlying condition and on prophylactic or therapeutic interventions. In healthy persons, few bacteria entering the stomach survive in the presence of hydrochloric acid at pH <2. The potent bactericidal activity of hydrochloric acid in gastric secretions was first demonstrated by Garrod [12].

There are four well-known risk factors for gastric colonization: 1) alterations in secretion of gastric juice; 2) alkalinization of gastric contents; 3) administration of enteral nutrition, and 4) the presence of bilirubin. When gastric pH increases from the normal levels to ≥4, microorganisms are able to multiply to high concentrations in the stomach. This can occur in patients with advanced age, malnutrition, achlorhydria, ileus, or upper gastrointestinal diseases [5]. Alkalinization of gastric contents in the critically ill patient may result from the intrinsic decrease of gastric acid production or from the use of antacids or histamine type 2 (H2) antagonists, which neutralize or block secretion of gastric acid. Du Moulin et al. [13] and Donowitz et al. [14] were among the first authors to demonstrate the relationship between alkalinization of gastric contents and overgrowth of bacteria.

Figure 2 shows the correlation between gastric pH and concentrations of Gram-negative bacilli in gastric secretions was first demonstrated by Garrod [12].

There are four well-known risk factors for gastric colonization: 1) alterations in secretion of gastric juice; 2) alkalinization of gastric contents; 3) administration of enteral nutrition, and 4) the presence of bilirubin. When gastric pH increases from the normal levels to ≥4, microorganisms are able to multiply to high concentrations in the stomach. This can occur in patients with advanced age, malnutrition, achlorhydria, ileus, or upper gastrointestinal diseases [5]. Alkalinization of gastric contents in the critically ill patient may result from the intrinsic decrease of gastric acid production or from the use of antacids or histamine type 2 (H2) antagonists, which neutralize or block secretion of gastric acid. Du Moulin et al. [13] and Donowitz et al. [14] were among the first authors to demonstrate the relationship between alkalinization of gastric contents and overgrowth of bacteria.

Figure 2 shows the correlation between gastric pH and concentrations of Gram-negative bacilli in the gastric contents from critical care patients receiving antacids (r=0.4; p<0.001). Several other authors have demonstrated this relationship [5]. A recent work by Prod’hom et al. [15] clearly confirms that decreasing gastric pH by use of sucralfate (mean pH 4.30) decreases gastric colonization when compared to antacids (mean pH 7.10) or ranitidine (mean pH 6.27). Therefore, the relationship between gastric pH and overgrowth of gastric bacteria seems clear. However, it is important to note that indications for stress ulcer prophylaxis have been restricted to patients with alterations in coagulation disorders or who need mechanical ventilation [16]. An interesting alternative explanation for overgrowth of Gram-negative bacilli in the gastric contents could be the increase in gingival and periodontal presence of these microorganisms, which contaminate saliva [6]. This contaminated saliva is swallowed into a more favourable gastric environment, allowing bacterial proliferation and successful gastric colonization.

Enteral nutrition is the usual way to feed critically ill patients. Nutrients administered via a nasogastric tube (NGT) have a relatively high pH, and for that reason may modify gastric pH and promote gastric colonization. Pingleton et al. [17] demonstrated gastric colonization in 100% of 18 ventilated patients receiving enteral nutrition without antacid or H2 blockers. Sixty-three percent of the patients developed nosocomial pneumonia. Some authors have tried to modify the negative effects of enteral nutrition on gastric pH. For instance, Heyland et al. [18] decreased the rate of gastric colonization by giving acidified enteral nutrition. Montecalvo et al. [19] achieved a lesser degree of gastric bacterial overgrowth by feeding through an endoscopically placed jejunal tube. These two clinical trials confirm the relationship between enteral diet and alkalinization of gastric pH.

Inglis et al. [20] demonstrated that the presence of bilirubin (>10 mg·L−1) in gastric aspirates is the most important factor related to the presence of Gram-negative bacilli in gastric juice. The presence of bilirubin in gastric contents must be explained by a decreased duodenal motility, and for that reason pharmacological interventions directed to increasing gastric and duodenal motility could be of prophylactic interest. The model proposed by these authors consisted of retrograde colonization, in which impaired gastric and small intestinal motility permit bacterial overgrowth in the duodenum. Gram-negative bacilli are then transferred to the stomach by gastroduodenal reflux, and increase in number due to a high
intragastric pH. Gram-negative bacilli are then regurgitated in stomach contents via the oesophagus, and finally aspirated into the trachea.

**Clinical evidence for gastric aspiration to the lower airways**

Direct and indirect evidence exists in the medical literature indicating that gastric contents can be aspirated into the lower airways despite the apparent patency of an endotracheal tube cuff. At least two publications [21, 22] have found frequent presence of glucose in endotracheal secretions, indicating aspiration of enteral feeding. However, this method has been seriously questioned by KINSEY et al. [23], since they suggested that the concentration of glucose in tracheal secretions appears to be determined, in part, by ambient extracellular glucose concentrations. These authors concluded that measurement of glucose in tracheal secretions is unlikely to be useful in monitoring tube feeding aspiration in tracheally-intubated, enterally fed patients.

By labelling the gastric contents with technetium sulphur colloid and studying patients in two positions (semi-recumbent and supine), we detected radioactivity counts in a time-dependent pattern in the bronchial secretions, indicating pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents. A great percentage of aspiration could be prevented in the supine position [24]. In a further study using the same methodology, we reproduced this model but observed that body position did not influence gastro-oesophageal reflux measured by the presence of radioactivity in the oropharynx [25] (fig. 3). Consequently, although supine position is crucial in preventing gastric aspiration to the lower airways, this manoeuvre is only partially efficacious in the prophylaxis of retrograde oropharyngeal colonization from the stomach. Other studies have found similar results [26]. A recent study from our group [27] showed that in 61% of the pneumonia episodes in reintubated patients, the causal microorganism was previously isolated from either the oropharynx or the stomach. Moreover, the authors suggest that in reintubated patients the risk of gastric aspiration increases, particularly if a nasogastric tube is kept in place after extubation.

In summary, all these studies show that in mechanically-ventilated patients with a nasogastric tube in place, there is gastro-oesophageal reflux and aspiration of stomach contents to lower airways.

**Evidence and controversies regarding the role of a gastric reservoir in development of VAP**

**Evidence in favour**

GARVEY et al. [28], studying gastric and oropharyngeal flora, found that tracheobronchial colonization was preceded by gastric colonization in 12 out of 25 critically ill patients. Similarly, APTE et al. [29] found a gastric origin in around 50% of 31 patients with VAP. Our
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Evidence against

Despite all these arguments in favour of the role of a gastric reservoir in the development of VAP, several studies have shown the opposite results. At least four studies of flora have found an absence [6, 37–39] of stomach origin of pneumonia originating during mechanical ventilation. These studies were performed in respiratory, trauma, neurosurgical, and mixed ICU populations. For instance, Reusser et al. [38] examined the role of gastric microbial colonization and endotoxaemia in the genesis of VAP in 40 neurosurgical patients requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 48 h. Nosocomial pneumonia occurred in 15 patients, septicaemia in five, and meningitis in one. The stomach was the evident source of infection in only one patient with pneumonia. Of 140 serum samples, 12 (9%) from 10 patients showed detectable endotoxin levels, but there was no association between endotoxaemia or coagulation activation and the presence of microorganisms in the stomach. Bonten et al. [39] studied sequences of colonization of different species of microorganisms in 59 intubated patients who developed 14 episodes of pneumonia, and could not find a sequence of colonization from the stomach to the upper airways in those patients. In addition, initial colonization with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacter spp. was more often demonstrated in the trachea as compared with the stomach. These authors, in another study [40], significantly reduced colonization of the oropharynx and trachea by using topical antimicrobial prophylaxis.

Two further studies [41, 42] from the same group, administered selective oropharyngeal antibiotics, without gastric decontamination, to prevent nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically-ventilated patients, and could find no association between the gastric colonization and the aetiological causative agents of the pulmonary infections. They concluded that nosocomial pneumonia could be prevented by the local application of nonabsorbable antibiotics to the oropharynx and, subsequently, that the gastric reservoir does not play any role in VAP.

Cook et al. [43] examined the differential effect of drugs used for stress ulcer prophylaxis on nosocomial pneumonia by reviewing 48 randomized controlled trials in a meta-analysis. They found 1,198 eligible patients for their analysis, concluding that stress ulcer prophylaxis with drugs which raise gastric pH does not increase the incidence of pneumonia in comparison to placebo or control therapy. These results, according to the authors, suggest that perhaps alkalization of gastric contents it is not a crucial factor in the aetiopathogenesis of VAP.

Finally, in a review article by Simms [44], the role of the gastric reservoir and the role of gastric pH have again been seriously questioned. In particular, the article from Prod'hom et al. [15] has been more rigorously evaluated in terms of the incidence of pneumonia. This article shows that when analysing only those patients with a gastric pH higher than 4, the incidence of late-onset pneumonia was not statistically different among the treatment groups, sucralfate, antacids and ranitidine.
**Is bacterial translocation an aetiopathogenic mechanism of VAP?**

For the past decade, clinical and basic scientists have been exploring the hypothesis that the gastrointestinal tract plays a central role in the pathogenesis of multiple organ dysfunction caused by critical illness. The gut hypothesis for multiple organ dysfunction starts with the premise that an important function of the gastrointestinal tract is to serve as a barrier, limiting the systemic absorption of intraluminal microbes and toxins. The gut hypothesis for multiple organ dysfunction then proposes that the barrier function of the gastrointestinal tract is altered in critically ill patients. A potential consequence of deranged barrier function is bloodstream invasion by gut-derived pathogens, leading to primary bacteraemia, or fungaemia, or even metastatic infections [45].

The alteration of the gastric mucosa can be indirectly measured by tonometry, measuring the carbon dioxide tension (PCO2) of saline introduced into a balloon catheter inserted in the stomach cavity. Consequently, gastric pH can be calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbach equation. It has been confirmed that an intramucosal gastric pH (pHi) below 7.32 is representative of gastric ischaemia and can lead to bacterial translocation, FIDDIAN-GREEN and BAKER [9] retrospectively studied intramucosal gastric pH in 54 critically ill patients, finding that in those with certain, probable, or possible pneumonia, pHi was significantly lower (7.13–7.20) compared to patients without pneumonia (7.36). Furthermore, in a multivariate analysis of risk factors for the development of VAP, they found that the best stand-alone predictors for nosocomial pneumonia were bleeding from stress ulceration, the severity of illness, and intramucosal acidosis in the stomach. Possible criticisms of these work were that it is impossible to know from the study whether intramucosal gastric acidosis was the cause or the consequence of VAP. Furthermore, the study was performed retrospectively, and there is no clear explanation for the diagnostic methods employed to diagnose pneumonia. Other authors [38] did not find an association between the presence of microorganisms in the stomach and endotoxaemia or activation of coagulation disorders. We have performed a prospective study [46] on 14 patients with pneumonia and 15 controls, who were haemodynamically stable and did not receive vasoactive drugs, to determine the role of gastric intramucosal pH. The study was observational and performed after the clinical diagnosis of pneumonia has been established; the latter was microbiologically confirmed. The mean pHi for pneumonia patients was 7.40 versus 7.46 for control patients (ns). However, there were 42% pneumonia episodes in which pHi measurement was lower than 7.32. Again, we do not know whether this was a cause or consequence, but can say, at least, that 58% of patients with pneumonia did not have intramucosal gastric acidosis. Sequential studies of pHi gastric measurements are warranted to elucidate bacterial translocation as an alternative mechanism for development of VAP.

**Prophylactic measures in relation to the gastric reservoir**

Although this is not the purpose of the present review, we will summarize in this article some well-recognized prophylactic measures to avoid gastric colonization, gastro-oesophageal reflux and gastric aspiration, and perhaps bacterial translocation.

**Gastric colonization**

The first logical measure is trying to avoid alkaline gastric pH. Sucralfate is a drug which effectively prevents stress ulceration in critically ill patients without markedly increasing gastric pH. The effects of sucralfate on reducing the incidence of VAP have been highly controversial. A meta-analysis by COOK et al. [43] concluded that the use of sucralfate is associated with a lower incidence of pneumonia in comparison with drugs which raise gastric pH, although they pointed out the importance of performing further prospective, controlled, randomized trials. A similar conclusion regarding the beneficial effect of sucralfate was obtained by TRYBA [47] in a meta-analysis. A recent study by PROD’HOM et al. [15] has confirmed these conclusions. However, the mechanism of action of sucralfate is not clear and some have invoked the antibacterial effects of this agent [48]. Our policy is to give sucralfate to critically ill patients, since the cost of this agent is similar to antacids or H2 blockers. Other measures, such as administration of acidified diets or jejunal feeding, that could be recommended and seem to decrease gastric pH, have not been extensively investigated and, hence, cannot yet be routinely applied [18, 19].

An interesting measure suggested in the paper by INGLIS et al. [20] would be the administration of agents which increase duodenal motility in order to avoid a retrograde presence of conjugated bilirubin in the stomach. There are still no published trials that confirm this prophylactic measure, although it has been routinely applied for other reasons in many units.

Selective digestive decontamination (SDD) by the administration of several antibiotics into the stomach cavity has been a controversial prophylactic measure for many years. The majority of SDD trials have demonstrated [32, 36] a reduction of the bacterial burden of gastric content and, hence, a decrease in the incidence of respiratory infections acquired during mechanical ventilation. However, some double-blind trials [49–52] did not confirm these findings, particularly regarding late-onset pneumonia, although in these trials the rate of exogenous pneumonia was not reported. Furthermore, there is no clear, demonstrative evidence beneficial effects of SDD on mortality. Since the cost-effectiveness of this prophylactic measure is not clear we cannot routinely recommend this measure to prevent secondary pulmonary infections originated from the stomach reservoir. However, in young trauma patients and in other specific subpopulations, full selective digestive decontamination regimens, including intravenous cefotaxime, could be recommended. Unpublished information regarding a meta-analysis of individual data from randomized trials suggests that SDD could reduce mortality rate in VAP in the subset of population receiving topical plus systemic antibiotics and scoring an initial Simplified Acute Physiological Score (SAPS) of 10–14.

**Bacterial translocation**

If bacterial translocation does infact play a role in the aetiopathogenesis of VAP, the latter could be prevented
Table 1. – Risk factor and recommended prophylactic measures to prevent VAP in relation to gastric reservoir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinalization</td>
<td>Sucralfate, acidification of enteral feeding, avoid antacids/H-2 blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenal reflux</td>
<td>Metoclopramide, jejunal feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric colonization</td>
<td>SDD, acidification of enteral feeding, jejunal feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial translocation</td>
<td>SDD (?), early treatment of haemodynamic instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric colonization</td>
<td>Alkalinization, sucralfate, acidification of enteral feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration of gastric contents</td>
<td>Semirecumbent position (45°), patency of tube cuff, aspiration of subglottic secretions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAP: ventilator-associated pneumonia; SDD: selective digestive decontamination; NGT: nasogastric tube.

by gastric decontamination. Martínez-Pellús et al. [53] demonstrated a decrease in blood levels of endotoxaemia in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (a model representing ischaemia-reperfusion) when administering full SDD regimens, including intravenous cefotaxime. Further studies are needed to prove the efficacy of gut decontamination to prevent VAP related to bacterial translocation.

Gastro-oesophageal reflux and aspiration

The possible aspiration of gastric contents to the lower airways is first related to the presence of gastro-oesophageal reflux. As demonstrated by our group, gastro-oesophageal reflux is a very common phenomenon in mechanically-ventilated patients and is probably due to the presence of nasogastric tubes [25]. Reducing the bore of nasogastric tubes could be a prophylactic measure to reduce gastro-oesophageal reflux. Semirecumbent body position is an imperative policy in mechanically-ventilated patients to reduce pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents. This measure seems, in addition, to reduce the incidence and mortality of VAP [36]. Finally, all the measures out of the scope of this chapter focusing on the prevention of aspiration are measures that will prevent aspiration of gastric contents. Of particular interest is the use of endotracheal tubes with a double lumen, which prevent the aspiration of secretions pooled above the tube cuff [54, 55]. Table 1 shows a list summarizing the prophylactic measures for VAP in relation to the gastric reservoir.

In conclusion, despite the controversies regarding the role of the stomach as a reservoir for microorganisms causing ventilator-associated pneumonia, there is major evidence in the literature in favour of the gastric origin of part of these pulmonary infections. The role of bacterial translocation has still to be ascertained.
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