PRACTICE OF MEDICINE IN EUROPE

Home treatment for chronic respiratory insufficiency: the situation in Europe in 1992

B. Fauroux, P. Howard, J.F. Muir

For The European Working Group on Home Treatment for Chronic Respiratory Insufficiency supported by the Rehabilitation and Chronic Care group of The European Respiratory Society and The International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

Home treatment for chronic respiratory insufficiency: the situation in Europe in 1992. B. Fauroux, P. Howard, J.F. Muir, for The European Working Group on Home Treatment for Chronic Respiratory Insufficiency supported by the Rehabilitation and Chronic Care group of The European Respiratory Society and The International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. ©ERS Journals Ltd 1994.

ABSTRACT: The search for improved quality of life and a reduction in health care costs is leading to the development of home treatment. The organization of home treatment for chronic respiratory patients in 13 European countries was evaluated at the end of 1992 by means of a questionnaire.

Switzerland and the Association Nationale pour le Traitement A Domicile de l'Insuffisance Respiratoire Chronique (ANTADIR) in France maintain registers for patients on oxygen therapy, mechanical ventilation and sleep disorders. Sweden and Poland have a national register for patients receiving oxygen. In other countries, some information can be obtained from the National Health Service or the commercial provider. Oxygen concentrators are used preferentially in all countries except Italy, Denmark, Spain and The Netherlands. Home ventilator treatment is generally performed by volume-cycled ventilators. National prescription rules exist in some parts of Spain, Switzerland and Belgium. In other countries, such as Germany, prescriptions rely on recommendations elaborated by specialists or international guidelines. Service and equipment are provided by national organizations, health services, commercial companies or hospitals. Home supervision of the patient is performed by a nurse and/or a doctor and equipment maintenance by a technician.

Important differences exist between countries in Europe in home treatment of chronic respiratory disease. Comparative analysis should help achieve uniform standards and provide a basis for future research.

Eur Respir J., 1994, 7, 1721-1726.

Members of the European Working Group on Home Treatment for Chronic Respiratory Insufficiency: P. Dubois, F. Smeets (Belgium); D. Viskum (Denmark); B. Fauroux, J.F. Muir, D. Robert, E. Chailleux, ANTADIR (France); A. Wilke, G. Laier-Groenveld (Germany); P. Howard, J. Shneerson, J. Waterhouse (England); L. Clancy, P. Kelly (Ireland); C. Donner (Italy); M. Kampelmacher (The Netherlands); U. Aasebo (Norway); J. Zielinski, M. Tobiasz (Poland); J. Escarrabill (Spain); K. Ström (Sweden); M. Pfister, R. de Haller (Switzerland).

Correspondence: B. Fauroux ANTADIR 66 Bd St Michel 75006 Paris France

Keywords: Chronic respiratory insufficiency home treatment mechanical ventilation oxygen therapy

Received: January 12 1994 Accepted after revision June 12 1994

Chronic respiratory disease continues to increase in industrialized countries. The use of tobacco products and improved survival principally account for the increase in numbers of patients with chronic respiratory insufficiency (CRI).

The search for improvements in quality of life and a reduction in health care costs is leading to the development of home treatment [1]. Long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) and mechanical ventilation (MV) are two major treatments for CRI from either pulmonary, skeletal or neuromuscular origin [2, 3]. With recent developments in sleep-related disorders, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) ventilation is increasing. Important differences exist between countries in medical home care of CRI patients. Comparison of estimated numbers of patients and their treatment, the organization of home care, the supply of material and the financial organization is of interest. The two major aims of this analysis

are to establish an outline of the situation in Europe and allow countries to benefit from the experience of others.

Methods

An informal group from within the European Respiratory Society (ERS: Rehabilitation and Chronic Care) and the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease met in 1992. Specialists in chronic respiratory care from 13 countries compared notes.

The general organization for home care of CRI was evaluated by means of a questionnaire at the end of 1992. Information on home treatments (LTOT, MV and CPAP), prescribers of such treatments, prescription trends and practical organization of home care (supply of material, supervision of patients and equipment) were sought. Data were collected by the Association Nationale pour le

1722 B. FAUROUX ET AL.

Traitement A Domicile de l'Insuffisance Respiratoire Chronique (ANTADIR) (France). For information on therapy, some countries were able to provide complete information (for example, through a national register). Others could only offer partial information, estimated from samples obtained from the health service or a commercial company. In a few countries, even this partial information was difficult to obtain.

Results

General information

Complete information on patients treated included diagnostic information (either obstructive, restrictive or mixed pulmonary disease), age and sex, equipment supplied, (table 1), service provided and therapeutic schedules.

The level of information available differed according to the type of therapy (table 2). Information was easier to obtain for LTOT than for MV. The current status in Sweden clearly illustrates this situation. A national register of patients receiving LTOT for chronic hypoxaemia due to respiratory failure of varying origin was started by the Swedish Society of Chest Medicine in 1987 [4]. Patients under LTOT at home are also registered in Poland, where LTOT was introduced in 1986 [5]. These national registers gave interesting analyses on survival [6-13]. Switzerland has also had a national register for both LTOT and MV since 1990 through its specialized Association for Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. The country is divided into 26 cantons, and for each canton precise numbers of ventilators, oxygen concentrators, CPAP units, inhalers, aspirators and oxygensparing devices are indexed. In Norway and Denmark, a national register for LTOT has recently been started and hopes to provide similar information.

From 1981, in France, the associative system ANTADIR has managed 32 national regions, covering approximatively 70% of patients receiving home treatment for CRI. Since 1984, a subsection of about 80% of ANTADIR's patients have been surveyed to provide a precise annual report about patient status and their equipment [10]. A major outcome has been survival analysis [10, 11].

Table 1. - Equipment in use in the home

Oxygen cylinders
Oxygen concentrators
Liquid oxygen
Portable oxygen
Oxygen-conserving devices
Pressure-cycled ventilators
Volume-cycled ventilators
Negative pressure ventilators
Phrenic nerve stimulators
Continuous positive airway pressure machines
Bilevel pressure machines
Aspirators
Humidifiers
Nebulizers

Partial information (usually age and sex of the recipient) and the oxygen prescription for patients receiving LTOT can be obtained from analysis of Health Service data in Belgium. The organization of the country into different districts as in Denmark, Italy or Germany, or community care areas as in Ireland, complicates the collection of national information [12].

A national register exists for liquid oxygen only in Norway. In Spain, a separate register for LTOT exists in some regions. Complete information can be obtained for home treatment *via* a reimbursement system in Catalonia, but there is no information for other areas of Spain [14, 15]. In Great Britain and The Netherlands, precise information about LTOT and MV is difficult to obtain [16]. In England, information about oxygen concentrators can be obtained from the commercial supply companies, but this does not include diagnostic information. The British Thoracic Society plans a national register of home mechanical ventilation.

Complete information on MV can be obtained in Denmark, Spain (Catalonia), Belgium, Switzerland and France, but only the latter two countries have a national register for home MV.

Important differences exist in the number of patients treated with CPAP for obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). In 1982, France had more than 5,000 patients treated for OSA and it is now thought that 0.3–5.0% of the population may have the syndrome [17]. There are fewer patients in Belgium, Denmark, Italy, Poland and Switzerland. In England, Germany and Sweden, only partial information can be obtained but the numbers, at least in England, are likely to be much less than in France. Agreed schedules of diagnostic tests should evolve in order to prevent inappropriate prescription and excessive medical costs

Equipment provided

In all countries, both adults and children receive LTOT at home for lung disease and other less common problems, such as chest wall deformities and sequelae of tuberculosis. Poland is the only country where oxygen is prescribed only for lung diseases [5]. The oxygen source differs between countries (table 2). Cylinders are used by 80% of the patients in Denmark, Spain and The Netherlands, whereas 80% of the patients receive liquid oxygen in Italy. The other countries, such as England, have a preference for concentrators; these constitute the only oxygen source in Poland. Ireland and Germany cannot provide an estimate of proportional use because of the existence of different community care areas [12]. Liquid oxygen has recently been introduced for the mobile patient in Sweden and Belgium.

Both adults and children receive MV at home for chronic lung disease, neuromuscular disease, chest wall deformities and central hypoventilation in all countries, except in Denmark and Poland where this treatment is almost unknown in the home. Volume-cycled ventilators only are used in Italy and Belgium, and most other countries use them predominantly. England uses volume and pressure-cycled ventilators equally, plus a significant

Table 2. - Level of information that can be obtained in Europe concerning home treatment for chronic respiratory care (update: December 1992)

	Belgium	Denmark	England	France	Germany	Ireland	Italy	The Netherlands	Norway	Poland	Spain (Catalonia)	Sweden	Switzerland
Level of information about	number of pa	tients on hor	ne treatmer	nt									
LTOT	On approval	Complete	Partial	Complete*	Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial	Yes	Catalonia	Complete	Complete
MV	via	Complete	Partial	on 70% of	Complete	Partial	Partial	Yes	Partial	Few	complete	Partial	} ^
CPAP	NHS	Partial	Partial	patients	Partial	Partial	Partial	No	Partial	patients Yes	·	Complete	,
Information about patients	On approval	No	No	70%	Partial	Partial	Yes	Yes	For LTOT	Yes		For LTOT	Complete
disease	via NHS							No	only	Yes		only	_
Source of oxygen			A	NTADIR/priva	te				·			•	
Gas cylinder	-	80%	Yes	2%/20%	Yes	No liquid	5%	80%	45%	1%	84%	14%	Very few
Liquid oxygen	33%	-	No	13%/40%	Yes	oxygen	80%	5%	10%	-	1%	1%	-
Concentrator	67%	20%	Yes	85%/40%	Yes	concentrators essentially	15%	15%	45%	99%	15%	85%	100%
Mechanical ventilation													
Volume-cycled ventilation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pressure-cycled ventilation	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Few	Yes	-	-	-	Very few
Int neg P ventilation	No	-	Yes	35	<u>~</u> 20	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	-	Yes	Very few
Phrenic nerve stimulation	3	-	10-20	10-20	10	No	-	-	1	-	No	3	-
BiPAP	Yes^{\dagger}	No	Yes	<u>~</u> 100	Yes	No	Yes	Probably	Yes	No	Exceptionally	Yes	Yes

^{*:} ANTADIR: is in charge of 70% of the 40,000 patients receiving domiciliary respiratory care in France. The other 30% are in the charge of commercial companies. †: rapidly growing - not yet reimbursed. -: not known. LTOT: long-term oxygen therapy; MV: mechanical ventilation; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; BiPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; NHS: National Health Service. Ventilation: Int Neg P Ventilation: intermittent negative pressure ventilation.

Table 3. - Prescribers of home treatment and national recommendations

	Belgium	Denmark	England	France	Germany	Ireland	Italy	The Netherlands	Norway	Poland	Spain (Catalonia)	Sweden	Switzerland
Prescribers of home LTOT or MV	Lung physician + paediatrician essentially	Varies from county to county	Lung physician suggests and GP prescribes	Every physician	Every physician	Lung physician + GP + public health doctor	Lung physician + intensive care practitioner	Every physician but essentially lung physician	Lung physician + internist	Lung physician	Lung physician + intensive care practitioner	Lung physician + paediatrician	LTOT: GP + lung physician MV: lung physician + care practitioner
National prescription rules	Yes	No	Only for LTOT by concentrators	For LTOT only	Yes	Varies from county to county	No	No	For LTOT and MV	for LTOT only	Yes	For LTOT only	Yes

Table 4. - Supply of equipment, home supervision of patients and equipment, and financial organization

	Belgium	Denmark	England	France	Germany	Ireland	Italy	The Netherlands	Norway	Poland	Spain (Catalonia)	Sweden	Switzerland
Distribution systems	Hospital or commercial	Commercial companies	LTOT:	ANTADIR (70%)	Commercial	l LTOT:	Commercial companies	Centre for home MV	LTOT:	NHS	Commercial companies	Liquid gas: commercial	LTOT:
(Supply of equipment)	companies	·	companies MV: hospitals CPAP: hospital/NHS /or private purchase	Commercial companies 30%		companies MV: hospitals	·	+ commercial companies	companies MV: local hospitals		·	companies Others: local hospital	organization MV: commercial companies
Home supervision of:													
Patients	Nurse (technician occasionally)	Nurse, doctor, technician	GP + sometimes nurse	Nurse, technician	Doctor, technician	LTOT: GP Concentrators technician from companies	Doctor, nurse	Doctor, social nurse, technician	Doctor, nurse, technician	Doctor nurse	Nurse	National lung organization + technician	National lung organization
Equipment	Technician from hospital or commercial company	Technician	Commercial company	Technician	Technician	- -	Technician	Centre for home MV + commercial companies	NHS		Commercial companies	National lung organization + technician	National lung organization
Who is in charge of the costs of:													
LTOT	NHS	NHS	NHS	NHS	Public & private (N)HS	NHS	NHS	NHS and medical insurance	NHS	NHS	NHS	NHS local county	Medical insurance companies and national
MV	NHS	NHS	NHS	NHS	(N)HS	NHS	NHS	companies	NHS	NHS	NHS	NHS local county	lung organization
СРАР	NHS	NHS	NHS	On discussion	(N)HS	Patients rent or buy CPAP, sometimes NHS	CPAP reimbursed in some cases	NHS	NHS	NHS	NHS	NHS some local counties	

number of negative pressure ventilators. Negative pressure ventilation for neuromuscular disease is also used in Sweden, Italy and in one centre in The Netherlands. Only 35 patients (0.5% of the patients under the auspices of ANTADIR receiving home MV) are thought to receive this treatment in France.

Regulation of prescription

In Europe, every physician may prescribe home LTOT or MV (table 3). The situation is complicated in Denmark and The Netherlands where treatments may be prescribed by nonspecialist physicians. In England, physicians advise on LTOT but the family doctor prescribes the treatment.

In Belgium, Catalonia, Italy, Germany, Poland [5], and Ireland, fewer physicians prescribe home treatments for CRI and national guidelines advise correct prescription. In Germany, some health insurance companies monitor correct prescription. LTOT can be prescribed by any physician but reimbursement rules and the national register have ensured that LTOT is rarely prescribed by other than chest physicians in Sweden [4], and the guidelines issued by the Swedish Society of Chest Medicine are well adhered to. Oxygen is prescribed for a mean of around 18 h·day-1 and compliance is satisfactory in approximately 70% of the patients [4].

Prescription rules exist in France, Great Britain, Poland and some regions of Spain for LTOT only and in Germany, Spain (Catalonia), Belgium [18, 19] and Switzerland [20–22] both for LTOT and MV. The latter country is the only one with an element of control of prescription. Review of its experience on adherence to guidelines and patient's compliance has been published [23, 24]. In Sweden [4], Norway, Poland [5] and Italy, recommendations have been created by specialists in the absence of national guidelines. A survey in Ireland in 1986 revealed that 28 of the 32 community care areas would welcome national guidelines on LTOT.

Organization of supply of equipment and home supervision

Equipment supply is provided by commercial companies, hospitals or national organizations, and health services, both nationalized and private (table 4). A combination of different suppliers exists in Belgium, Great Britain, France and Switzerland. In Norway, the National Health Service buys the MV equipment and gives it to the patient for as long as necessary, but oxygen is provided by commercial companies.

Home supervision of the patients is usually performed by medical and/or nursing personnel and occasionally by technical staff, but its quality is highly variable (table 4). For LTOT, equipment is supervised by a technician in all countries. There is technical support for the equipment, usually provided by the commercial companies. MV is usually supervised by clinicians (The Netherlands, Ireland, Great Britain, Switzerland). In Italy, no systematic home supervision is organized for patients with CRI but

rehabilitation centres and home care services based on voluntary associations supply this to some patients.

Costs

In nearly all countries, the National Health Service is responsible for the costs of LTOT and MV (table 4). Exceptions are Sweden, where the local county provides concentrators, ventilators, CPAP and mobile oxygen, and The Netherlands where medical insurance companies participate to reimburse LTOT but not MV. In Switzerland, all therapeutic costs are supported by medical insurance companies in collaboration with the specialized Association for Tuberculosis and Pulmonary Diseases. In Italy, patients rent or buy their own CPAP equipment. In Belgium, the national social security allows mutual medical insurance companies to pay for home treatment for CRI prescribed in approved hospital departments [18, 19]. In all countries, cost includes home installation and supervision of equipment, except in England and Wales, where this only applies to oxygen concentrators.

Discussion

Chronic chest disease affects more disabled individuals than almost any other disorder. Many develop respiratory failure, which will ultimately cause death. The nature of the disease demands treatment for periods between several months and several years. Home care is the only reasonable treatment method but requires a cocktail of support modalities unfamiliar to the hospital environment.

This paper defines categories for two treatments where information from European countries is available. In many countries, the information is incomplete, erratic in its detail and characterized only by its paucity for outcome modalities. The cost of chronic care is overwhelming most National Health Services. Cost benefit analyses of costly treatments must eventually be possible.

The major differences between countries are the existence or not of a national register, the prescribers of home treatment, the prescription rules which are implemented only in some countries, and the equipment used at home for LTOT and MV. These differences are explained by the historical origin of home care in each country, the different impact of commercial companies, and the supervision of insurance companies on doctor's prescriptions. The differences in reimbursement systems and in organization of home care explain the differences of costs.

The object of treatment by LTOT or MV is increased survival and improved quality of life. After the two large trials [2, 3] limited data have since been published. A number of countries are capable of collecting data through their registers, and all should do so. Quality of life is currently difficult to measure but specific questionnaires are being developed. Most countries know precisely or approximately how many patients are treated. The most successful data collection is undertaken through national

Thoracic Societies. This could become a model for the less well-organized countries, such as the UK [16] and The Netherlands.

Therapeutic guidelines are variously written; some countries have none at all. Surely, the European Respiratory Society should organize uniform guidelines with representatives of national societies? The guidelines would include criteria for patient selection, evaluation and monitoring.

Equipment used for LTOT and MV has been successfully evaluated in France through the ANTADIR organization [25]. Sporadic reports emanate from other countries. A central group could monitor equipment performance and its maintenance. Home care is a research as well as a therapeutic area. The multiplicity of systems throughout Europe demonstrates that no ideal mechanism has yet been found. The type of care worker, specialist or generalist with specialist training, has still to be agreed. The part to be played by general practitioners and consultants is far from clear. Chronic care is moving into the home, collaborative comparison of equipment type and performance would be most valuable. Financially disadvantageous systems exist in some countries. Presumably, Health Service finance directors might correct such anomalies, if they were aware of them.

Important differences exist between countries in Europe in home treatment of chronic respiratory disease. Comparative analysis should help achieve uniform standards and provide a basis for future research.

References

- Donner CF, Howard P, Robert D. Patient selection and techniques for home mechanical ventilation. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 1993; 48: 40–47.
- Medical Research Council Working Party. Long-term domiciliary oxygen therapy in chronic hypoxic cor pulmonale complicating chronic bronchitis and emphysema. *Lancet* 1981; i: 681–686.
- Nocturnal Oxygen Therapy Trial Group. Continuous or nocturnal oxygen therapy in hypoxaemic chronic obstructive airways disease: a clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1980: 93: 391–398.
- Ström K, Boe J. A national register for long-term oxygen therapy in chronic hypoxemia: preliminary results. *Eur Respir J* 1988; 1: 952–958.
- Gorecka D, Sliwinski P, Zielinski J. Adherence to entry criteria and one year experience of long-term oxygen therapy in Poland. Eur Respir J 1992; 5: 848–852.
- Ström K, Boe J. The Swedish Society of Chest Medicine. Quality assessment and predictors of survival in longterm domiciliary oxygen therapy. Eur Respir J 1991; 4: 50–58
- Ström K, Pehrsson K, Boe J, Nachemson A. Survival of patients with severe thoracic spine deformities receiving domiciliary oxygen therapy. *Chest* 1992; 102: 164–168.
- Ström K, the Swedish Society of Chest Medicine. Survival of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease receiving long-term domiciliary oxygen therapy. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993; 147: 585–591.

- 9. Ström K, Boman G, the Swedish Society of Chest Medicine. Long-term oxygen therapy in parenchymal lung diseases: an analysis of survival. *Eur Respir J* 1993; 6: 1264–1270.
- Chailleux E, ANTADIR. A prognostic index of survival for COPD patients on long-term oxygen therapy: a study of 1,063 patients from the ANTADIR register. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993; 147: A324.
- ANTADIR, Chailleux E, Binet F, Sadoul P. Facteurs pronostiques de la survie des insuffisants respiratoires obstructifs traités par oxygénothérapie à long-terme: données de l'observatoire de l'ANTADIR. Rev Mal Respir 1992; 9: 603–611.
- Healy E, Kelly P, Clancy L. Long-term home oxygen; current practices in Ireland. *Irish Med J* 1993; 86: 62–63.
- 13. Keller R, Ragaz A, Borer P. Predictors for early mortality in patients with long-term oxygen home therapy. *Respiration* 1985; 48: 216–221.
- Granados A, Escarrabill J, Soler M. Situacion de la oxigenoteràpia domiciliària en Cataluna. Arch Bronconeumol 1992; 28: 264–266.
- Ordre de 10 d'octubre de 1990, de regulacio de la prestacio del servei d'oxigenoteràpia domiciliària amb mitjans concertats. Departament de Sanitat i Seguretat Social. Diari Oficial de la Generalitat de Cataluna. N°1357; 22/10/1990; 4740–4741.
- Howard P. Home mechanical ventilation and respiratory care in the United Kingdom. Eur Respir Rev 1992; 2: 416–417.
- Durieux P, Neukirch F. Epidemiologie du syndrome d'apnées du sommeil de l'adulte. Rev Mal Respir 1990; 7: 441–449.
- 18. Institut National Assurance-Maladie-Invalidité (INAMI). Convention entre le Comité de Gestion du Service des Soins de Santé de l'INAMI et les Services Hospitaliers. "Oxygénothérapie de longue durée à domicile par concentrateur ou par oxygène liquide". B-1150 Bruxelles - Belgique.
- 19. Institut National Assurance-Maladie-Invalidité (INAMI). Convention entre le Comité de Gestion du Service des Soins de Santé de l'INAMI et les Services Hospitaliers. "Assistance ventilatoire mécanique au long cours à domicile". B-1150 Bruxelles - Belgique.
- Association suisse contre la Tuberculose et les Maladies Pulmonaires. Direction pour l'oxygénothérapie continue à domicile des insuffisants respiratoires. Bull Office Féd Santé Publ. Annexe C, 22.06.1989.
- Association suisse contre la Tuberculose et les Maladies Pulmonaires. Oxygénothérapie ambulatoire à l'aide d'appareils portables. Bull Office Féd Santé Publ. Annexe C, 22.06.1989.
- Association suisse contre la Tuberculose et les Maladies Pulmonaires. Lignes directrices pour la ventilation mécanique à domicile. Bull Office Féd Santé Publ. Annexe C, 17.06.1991.
- Bongard JP, De Haller R. Oxygénothérapie au long cours en Suisse. Schweiz Med Wschr 1989; 119: 110–115.
- Chevrolet JCl, Fitting JW, Domenighetti G, Knoblauch A. Ventilation mécanique à domicile en Suisse en 1990.
 Schweiz Med Wschr 1991; 121: 368–377.
- Muir JF, Voisin C, Ludot A. Home mechanical ventilation (HMV). National Insurance System (France). Eur Respir Rev 1992; 2: 418–421.