



Development of a tool to detect small airways dysfunction in asthma clinical practice

Janwillem Kocks^{1,2,3,4}, Thys van der Molen^{1,2}, Jaco Voorham⁵, Simonetta Baldi⁶, Maarten van den Berge^{2,4}, Chris Brightling⁶, Leonardo M. Fabbri⁷, Monica Kraft⁸, Gabriele Nicolini⁹, Alberto Papi⁷, Klaus F. Rabe¹⁰, Salman Siddiqui¹¹, Dave Singh¹², Judith Vonk^{2,13}, Marika Leving¹ and Bertine Flokstra-de Blok^{1,2,14}

¹General Practitioners Research Institute, Groningen, The Netherlands. ²University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen Research Institute Asthma and COPD (GRIAC), Groningen, The Netherlands. ³Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute, Singapore. ⁴University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Pulmonology, Groningen, The Netherlands. ⁵DTIRS – Data to Insights Research Solutions, Lisboa, Portugal. ⁶Institute for Lung Health, NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK. ⁷Respiratory Medicine, Department of Translational Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy. ⁸Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, and Asthma and Airway Disease Research Center, University of Arizona Health Sciences, Tucson, AZ, USA. ⁹Department of Global Medical Affairs, Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A., Parma, Italy. ¹⁰LungenClinic Grosshansdorf and Department of Medicine, Christian Albrechts University, Member of the German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Kiel, Germany. ¹¹National Heart and Lung Institute (NHLI), Imperial College, London, UK. ¹²Centre for Respiratory Medicine and Allergy, Manchester University NHS Foundation Hospital Trust, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. ¹³University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Epidemiology, Groningen, The Netherlands. ¹⁴University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Beatrix Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatric Pulmonology and Pediatric Allergology, Groningen, The Netherlands.

Corresponding author: Bertine Flokstra-de Blok (bertine@gpri.nl)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Asthma patients with small airways dysfunction (SAD) could be identified reasonably well by asking about wheezing at rest and a few patient characteristics, but accuracy to predict SAD increases considerably when using lung function tests <http://bit.ly/3TGEoHC>

Cite this article as: Kocks J, van der Molen T, Voorham J, *et al.* Development of a tool to detect small airways dysfunction in asthma clinical practice. *Eur Respir J* 2023; 61: 2200558 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00558-2022].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2023.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

This article has an editorial commentary:
<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02307-2022>

Received: 15 March 2022
Accepted: 31 Oct 2022

Abstract

Background Small airways dysfunction (SAD) in asthma is difficult to measure and a gold standard is lacking. The aim of this study was to develop a simple tool including items of the Small Airways Dysfunction Tool (SADT) questionnaire, basic patient characteristics and respiratory tests available depending on the clinical setting to predict SAD in asthma.

Methods This study was based on the data of the multinational ATLANTIS (Assessment of Small Airways Involvement in Asthma) study including the earlier developed SADT questionnaire. Key SADT items together with clinical information were now used to build logistic regression models to predict SAD group (less likely or more likely to have SAD). Diagnostic ability of the models was expressed as area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and positive likelihood ratio (LR+).

Results SADT item 8, “I sometimes wheeze when I am sitting or lying quietly”, and the patient characteristics age, age at asthma diagnosis and body mass index could reasonably well detect SAD (AUC 0.74, LR+ 2.3). The diagnostic ability increased by adding spirometry (percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s: AUC 0.87, LR+ 5.0) and oscillometry (resistance difference between 5 and 20 Hz and reactance area: AUC 0.96, LR+ 12.8).

Conclusions If access to respiratory tests is limited (*e.g.* primary care in many countries), patients with SAD could reasonably well be identified by asking about wheezing at rest and a few patient characteristics. In (advanced) hospital settings patients with SAD could be identified with considerably higher accuracy using spirometry and oscillometry.

