



Reply: The new ERS/ATS standards on lung function test interpretation: some extant limitations

Sanja Stanojevic¹, David A. Kaminsky², Martin Miller³ and Bruce Thompson⁴

¹Department of Community Health and Epidemiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. ²Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, University of Vermont Larner College of Medicine, Burlington, VT, USA. ³Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. ⁴Melbourne School of Health Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia.

Corresponding author: Sanja Stanojevic (sanja.stanojevic@dal.ca)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

The updated technical statement on pulmonary function test interpretation focuses on physiological interpretation. There are important considerations regarding how these updated standards can be incorporated into clinical practice. <https://bit.ly/3xDZGOJ>

Cite this article as: Stanojevic S, Kaminsky DA, Miller M, *et al.* Reply: The new ERS/ATS standards on lung function test interpretation: some extant limitations. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 60: 2201112 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01112-2022].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2022.
For reproduction rights and
permissions contact
permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 30 May 2022
Accepted: 1 June 2022

Reply to J.A. Neder:

We would like to thank J.A. Neder for his thoughtful correspondence and critical review of the updated ERS/ATS technical statement on pulmonary function test interpretation [1]. As the updated technical document focuses on physiological interpretation of pulmonary function tests, we welcome the discussion on how to incorporate these updated standards into clinical practice.