



Should diffusing capacity quality control be treated like other laboratory devices?

Jeffrey M. Haynes¹, Gregg L. Ruppel ^{©2} and David A. Kaminsky³

¹Pulmonary Function Laboratory, Elliot Health System, Manchester, NH, USA. ²Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO, USA. ³Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, VT, USA.

Corresponding author: Jeffrey M. Haynes (jhaynes@elliot-hs.org)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

The ERS/ATS D_{LCO} standards recommend that a weekly D_{LCO} test should be performed with a 3-L syringe and the V_A from this should be 3±0.3 L. This report suggests that a tighter range (±3 sD) provides better D_{LCO} quality control than fixed arbitrary limits. https://bit.ly/3EvkEj0

Cite this article as: Haynes JM, Ruppel GL, Kaminsky DA. Should diffusing capacity quality control be treated like other laboratory devices? *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2102642 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.02642-2021].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021. For reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 5 Oct 2021 Accepted: 20 Oct 2021 To the Editor:

Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide ($D_{\rm LCO}$) is an important pulmonary function test for the diagnosis and management of obstructive, restrictive and pulmonary vascular disease. The 2017 European Respiratory Society (ERS)/American Thoracic Society (ATS) standards for single-breath carbon monoxide uptake in the lungs recommends that a weekly $D_{\rm LCO}$ simulation be performed with a calibrated 3-L syringe [1]. This type of simulation provides quality control values for both $D_{\rm LCO}$ and alveolar volume ($V_{\rm A}$). After accounting for system dead space, an acceptable simulated $V_{\rm A}$ is defined as 3±0.3 L (gas conditions at atmospheric temperature, pressure, dry). We previously suggested that fixed arbitrary ranges for spirometry calibration verification were inferior to limits based on the performance of the device (±2 standard deviations), which is commonly used to determine quality control ranges in laboratory medicine [2]. This recommendation was included in the 2019 ATS/ERS spirometry technical standard [2, 3]. We believe that a similar recommendation is appropriate for $V_{\rm A}$ simulation.