



Reply to: Room for methodological improvement in gait speed study for COPD patients

Jessica A. Walsh^{1,2,8}, Ruth E. Barker^{1,3,4,8}, Samantha S.C. Kon^{1,4,8}, Sarah E. Jones¹, Winston Banya⁵, Claire M. Nolan^{1,3}, Suhani Patel^{1,3}, Oliver Polgar¹, Brigitte M. Haselden⁴, Michael I. Polkey^{3,6}, Paul Cullinan^{3,7} and William D-C. Man^{1,2,3,6}

¹Harefield Respiratory Research Group, Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospitals, Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ²Harefield Pulmonary Rehabilitation Unit, Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospitals, Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ³National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK. ⁴Dept of Respiratory Medicine, The Hillingdon Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK. ⁵Dept of Medical Statistics, Research & Development, Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospitals, Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ⁶Dept of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospitals, Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ⁷Dept of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Imperial College, London, UK. ⁸Contributed equally.

Corresponding author: Ruth E. Barker (r.barker2@rbht.nhs.uk)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

This study shows 4-m gait speed adds prognostic information for patients following an acute exacerbation of COPD; it should be considered in the development of future risk prediction models with appropriate validation and methodology <https://bit.ly/3jTSdED>

Cite this article as: Walsh JA, Barker RE, Kon SSC, *et al.* Reply to: Room for methodological improvement in gait speed study for COPD patients. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2101796 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01796-2021].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021.
For reproduction rights and
permissions contact
permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 30 June 2021
Accepted: 4 July 2021

Reply to N. Kuroda and co-workers:

We thank N. Kuroda and co-workers for their interest our work [1], and for sharing their methodological expertise. We agree with their comments and were transparent about the limitations of the study in our discussion. The comments around internal validation and model performance comparison are particularly pertinent to the development of risk prediction models.