



SHAREABLE PDF

# A randomised trial of high-flow nasal cannula in infants with moderate bronchiolitis

Philippe Durand <sup>1</sup>, Tamazoust Guiddir<sup>1</sup>, Christèle Kyheng<sup>1</sup>, Florence Blanc<sup>2</sup>, Olivier Vignaud<sup>3</sup>, Ralph Epaud <sup>4</sup>, Frédéric Dugelay<sup>4</sup>, Isabelle Breant<sup>5</sup>, Isabelle Badier<sup>6</sup>, Vanessa Degas-Bussièrè<sup>7</sup>, Florence Phan<sup>8</sup>, Valérie Soussan-Banini<sup>9</sup>, Agnès Lehnert<sup>10</sup>, Célestin Mbamba<sup>11</sup>, Catherine Barrey<sup>12</sup>, Cédric Tahiri<sup>13</sup>, Marion Decobert<sup>14</sup>, Marie Saunier-Pernaudet<sup>15</sup>, Irina Craiu<sup>1</sup>, Mélanie Taveira<sup>16</sup> and Vincent Gajdos<sup>16,17</sup> for the Bronchopti study group<sup>18</sup>

**Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Pediatric Emergency Dept, Bicêtre University Hospital, Assistance Publique–Hôpitaux de Paris, Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. <sup>2</sup>Villeneuve-Saint Georges Intercommunal Hospital, Villeneuve-Saint Georges, France. <sup>3</sup>Meaux Hospital, Meaux, France. <sup>4</sup>Créteil Intercommunal Hospital, Créteil, France. <sup>5</sup>Melun Hospital, Melun, France. <sup>6</sup>Poissy Hospital, Poissy, France. <sup>7</sup>Sud-Francilien Hospital, Corbeil-Essonnes, France. <sup>8</sup>Chartres Hospital, Chartres, France. <sup>9</sup>Ambroise Paré University Hospital, Assistance Publique–Hôpitaux de Paris, Boulogne-Billancourt, France. <sup>10</sup>Montargis Hospital, Amilly, France. <sup>11</sup>Gien Hospital, Gien, France. <sup>12</sup>Bry sur Marne Hospital, Bry sur Marne, France. <sup>13</sup>Dourdan Hospital, Dourdan, France. <sup>14</sup>Orsay Hospital, Orsay, France. <sup>15</sup>Fontainebleau Hospital, Fontainebleau, France. <sup>16</sup>Antoine Béclère University Hospital, Assistance Publique–Hôpitaux de Paris, Clamart, France. <sup>17</sup>Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, INSERM U1018, Villejuif, France. <sup>18</sup>A list of members of the Bronchopti study group can be found in the acknowledgements section.

**Correspondence:** Philippe Durand, Paediatric Intensive Care Unit, Bicêtre Hospital, Paris-Saclay University, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, 78 rue du Général Leclerc, 94275 Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France. E-mail: philippe.durand2@aphp.fr

@ERSpublications

This randomised trial found no evidence of lower rate of escalating respiratory support among patients receiving high-flow oxygen therapy admitted for a first episode of moderate bronchiolitis in the paediatric emergency department <https://bit.ly/2xsvqJG>

**Cite this article as:** Durand P, Guiddir T, Kyheng C, *et al.* A randomised trial of high-flow nasal cannula in infants with moderate bronchiolitis. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 1901926 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01926-2019>].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** The objective was to determine whether high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC), a promising respiratory support in infant bronchiolitis, could reduce the proportion of treatment failure requiring escalation of care.

**Methods:** In this randomised controlled trial, we assigned infants aged <6 months who had moderate bronchiolitis to receive either HFNC at 3 L·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> or standard oxygen therapy. Crossover was not allowed. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients in treatment failure requiring escalation of care (mostly noninvasive ventilation) within 7 days following randomisation. Secondary outcomes included rates of transfer to the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU), oxygen, number of artificial nutritional support-free days and adverse events.

**Results:** The analyses included 268 patients among the 2621 infants assessed for inclusion during two consecutive seasons in 17 French paediatric emergency departments. The percentage of infants in treatment failure was 14% (19 out of 133) in the study group, compared to 20% (27 out of 135) in the control group

(OR 0.66, 95% CI 0.35–1.26;  $p=0.21$ ). HFNC did not reduce the risk of admission to PICU (21 (15%) out of 133 in the study group *versus* 26 (19%) out of 135 in the control group) (OR 0.78, 95% CI 0.41–1.41;  $p=0.45$ ). The main reason for treatment failure was the worsening of modified Wood clinical asthma score (m-WCAS). Short-term assessment of respiratory status showed a significant difference for m-WCAS and respiratory rate in favour of HFNC. Three pneumothoraces were reported in the study group.

**Conclusions:** In patients with moderate bronchiolitis, there was no evidence of lower rate of escalating respiratory support among those receiving HFNC therapy.