



## "Rehab for all!" Is it too early in pulmonary arterial hypertension?

*To the Editor:*

Chronic pulmonary hypertension shares abnormalities found in chronic left heart failure and chronic respiratory failure, in which rehabilitation has been proposed [1, 2]. In a recent statement endorsed by the European Respiratory Society, GRÜNIG *et al.* [3] proposed an exhaustive review of available data on rehabilitation in the setting of chronic pulmonary hypertension. The main messages proposed are "Specialised exercise training in patients with pulmonary hypertension appears to be effective, cost-efficient and safe."

The task force should to be warmly thanked for this important document. However, while we fully share the hope that rehabilitation programmes may improve the lives of patients with pulmonary hypertension, we also believe that more data are needed to transform these experts' opinions into recommendations with a high level of evidence.

The current gold standard to assess the efficacy of any therapeutic intervention remains a randomised controlled trial, designed specifically to avoid related potential bias. As quoted by the authors, most of the current available evidence was provided by a single centre, questioning the scalability thereof to general practice. Moreover, all the current trials suffer from a high risk of bias (mainly performance bias and reporting bias), as illustrated in the last Cochrane review [4]. Although functional exercise capacities may improve with rehabilitation in patients with pulmonary hypertension as reported in chronic heart and respiratory failure, the results remain frail. The major limitation is related to the absence of controlled trials. Lack of long-term data following the rehabilitation programme is another limitation, as the potential effect of rehabilitation depends on the continuation of exercise.

The safety of each intervention is as important as its efficacy. Exercise occupies a paradoxical position in the field of pulmonary hypertension, particularly in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). For instance, the 2015 European guidelines [5] recommend supervised exercise training (IIB), but also advise against physical activity leading to distressing symptoms (recommendation IIC). Although no concerns were raised from previous studies, the safety results are questioned in multicentre studies.

The common reluctance with rehabilitation is the potential deleterious haemodynamic effect. To date, only one study provides data on the haemodynamic effect of rehabilitation in patients with PAH [6]. Surprisingly, rehabilitation (performed in a single centre) was associated with improved haemodynamics, including cardiac output. These results call for a multicentre validation.

It also seems premature to us to conclude on the cost-effectiveness of rehabilitation, as there are still doubts as to its long-term efficacy and safety. The cost may differ from one country to another, but also from one organisation and one programme to another (inpatient rehabilitation in one or more centres; home-based rehabilitation programmes?)

We then hope that the on-going prospective, randomised trial (FONCE-HTAP trial, NCT02579954) will help to better assess the efficacy and safety of rehabilitation programme in the field of PAH, by addressing some of the questions raised in this correspondence. This trial includes a Zelen method also known as the "two-stage randomised consent design" [7] in order to build comparable groups, with the control group being unaware of the intervention group. This design protects the trial from a disappointment bias, which may lead to a pollution of the control group by the intervention (in this setting, an unsupervised auto-rehabilitation programme of patients randomised to the control group). Our multicentre trial also includes long-term training (52 weeks), with a home-based programme following hospital-supervised





@ERSpublications

**Rehabilitation appears to be beneficial in PAH patients, but more research is needed before increasing the level of evidence for recommendations.** <http://bit.ly/33zutuZ>

**Cite this article as:** Bertoletti L, Bouvaist H, Tromeur C, *et al.* "Rehab for all!" Is it too early in pulmonary arterial hypertension? *Eur Respir J* 2019; 54: 1901558 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01558-2019>].

rehabilitation for the first 12 weeks. Haemodynamic assessment with right heart catheterisation during the study will allow us to confirm the safety of the intervention.

In conclusion, we share with the panellists the opinion that rehabilitation may significantly improve the functional capacity of patients, hopefully without a negative haemodynamic impact; however, we do consider that the evidence currently available is not sufficient to propose a grade I-A level of evidence.

**Laurent Bertoletti** <sup>1,2,3</sup>, **Hélène Bouvaist**<sup>4</sup>, **Cécile Tromeur**<sup>5</sup>, **Souad Bezzeghoud**<sup>1,3</sup>, **Claire Dauphin**<sup>6</sup>, **Irina Enache**<sup>7</sup>, **Arnaud Bourdin**<sup>8</sup>, **Marie-France Seronde**<sup>9</sup>, **David Montani** <sup>10</sup>, **Ségolène Turquier**<sup>11</sup> and **Christophe Pison**<sup>12</sup> on behalf of the FONCE-HTAP investigators<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Service de Médecine Vasculaire et Thérapeutique, CHU de St-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France. <sup>2</sup>INSERM, UMR1059, Equipe Dysfonction Vasculaire et Hémostase, Université Jean-Monnet, Saint-Etienne, France. <sup>3</sup>INSERM, CIC-1408, CHU Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France. <sup>4</sup>Service de cardiologie, CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France. <sup>5</sup>Département de médecine vasculaire, interne et pneumologie, EA 3878 (GETBO), Hôpital de la Cavale Blanche, CHRU de Brest, Brest, France. <sup>6</sup>Service de cardiologie, CHU de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France. <sup>7</sup>Service de Physiologie, CHU de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. <sup>8</sup>Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France. <sup>9</sup>Service de Cardiologie, CHU de Besançon, Besançon, France. <sup>10</sup>Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital du Kremlin Bicêtre, AP-HP, Paris, France. <sup>11</sup>Service de physiologie, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France. <sup>12</sup>Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France. <sup>13</sup>For a full list of FONCE-HTAP investigators, please refer to the Acknowledgements section.

Correspondence: Laurent Bertoletti, Dept of Vascular Medicine and Therapeutics, Hôpital Nord, CHU St-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, 42000, France. E-mail: laurent.bertoletti@gmail.com

Received: 5 Aug 2019 | Accepted: 7 Aug 2019

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Deirdre Epinat (Laniel Traduction SA, Saint-Etienne, 42000, France) for English editing.

The FONCE-HTAP investigators are as follows. Steering committee: Laurent Bertoletti (Chairman), Hélène Bouvaist, Bruno Degano, Christophe Pison. Coordination centre: Laurent Bertoletti, Souad Bezzeghoud, Carine Labruyere. Investigators: Saint-Etienne: Laurent Bertoletti (PI), Stéphanie Chomette Ballereau, Sandrine Accassat, Elodie De Magalhaes, David Hupin, Pierre Labeix, Pierre Croisille. Grenoble: Christophe Pison (PI), Hélène Bouvaist, Bruno Degano, Marianne Noirclerc. Clermont-Ferrand: Claire Dauphin (PI), Romain Tresorier, Frédéric Costes. Brest: Cécile Tromeur (PI), Francis Couturaud, Christophe Gut-Gobert. Lyon: Ségolène Turquier (PI), Vincent Cottin, Julie Traclet, Sophie Lamoureux, Clément Deudon. Strasbourg: Irina Enache (PI), Marianne Riou, Mathieu Canuet, Armelle Schuller, Evelyne Lonsdorfer. Besançon: Marie-France Seronde (PI), Pauline Marie Roux. Montpellier: Arnaud Bourdin (PI), Clément Boissin, Anne-Sophie Gamez-Dubuis. Paris: David Montani (PI), Gilles Garcia, Pierantonio Laveneziana, Antoine Guerder, Marc Humbert.

Conflict of interest: L. Bertoletti has nothing to disclose. H. Bouvaist reports grants and non-financial support from GSK and MSD, and personal fees and non-financial support from Actelion, outside the submitted work. C. Tromeur has nothing to disclose. S. Bezzeghoud has nothing to disclose. C. Dauphin has nothing to disclose. I. Enache has nothing to disclose. A. Bourdin reports grants, personal fees, non-financial support and other from AstraZeneca, GSK and Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees and other from Chiesi, personal fees, non-financial support and other from Novartis, Actelion and Sanofi Regeneron, and other funding from United Therapeutics, Vertex, Galapagos, Biogen and BTG, outside the submitted work. M-F. Seronde has nothing to disclose. D. Montani reports grants and personal fees from Actelion and Bayer, and personal fees from GSK, MSD and Pfizer, outside the submitted work. S. Turquier has nothing to disclose. C. Pison has nothing to disclose.

Support statement: Support was received from the Ministère des Affaires Sociales et de la Santé, grant PHRCi 2013, and AIRE (Aide à la REcherche médicale de proximité; <https://aire-loire.fr/>). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

## References

- 1 Abramson MJ, Crockett AJ, Frith PA, *et al*. COPDX: An update of guidelines for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with a review of recent evidence. *Med J Aust* 2006; 184: 342–345.
- 2 Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, *et al*. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37: 2129–2200.
- 3 Grünig E, Eichstaedt C, Barberà JA, *et al*. ERS statement on exercise training and rehabilitation in patients with severe chronic pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 53: 1800332.
- 4 Morris NR, Kermeen FD, Holland AE. Exercise-based rehabilitation programmes for pulmonary hypertension. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 1: CD011285.
- 5 Galiè N, Humbert M, Vachiery JL, *et al*. 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The Joint Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur Respir J* 2015; 46: 903–975.
- 6 Ehlken N, Lichtblau M, Klose H, *et al*. Exercise training improves peak oxygen consumption and haemodynamics in patients with severe pulmonary arterial hypertension and inoperable chronic thrombo-embolic pulmonary hypertension: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37: 35–44.
- 7 Zelen M. Randomized consent designs for clinical trials: an update. *Stat Med* 1990; 9: 645–656.



# Multicentre trials on specialised exercise training and rehabilitation are useful in patients with pulmonary hypertension

*From the authors:*

We would like to thank L. Bertoletti and co-workers for their important comments. We fully agree that more multicentre data, especially on the haemodynamic effects of rehabilitation programmes, are needed and might be helpful for re-evaluating the current level of evidence and indication of exercise training in pulmonary hypertension. A new grading of evidence and recommendation of treatment was not the purpose of the task force statement and hence not alluded to. This has to be done through authorised committees. We also agree with L. Bertoletti and co-workers' excellent description of the methodological difficulties of measuring the efficacy and long-term outcome of exercise training in pulmonary hypertension. Therefore, the new initiative for a prospective, randomised trial using new methods for randomisation is highly welcomed and should be supported. However, while Zelen's design [1], which is implemented in the new study, offers many advantages, it also comes with challenging problems and cannot be generally recommended for rehabilitation trials. The design has to be adapted to comply with the new European Union regulations on data protection (patients have to consent to serve as a control group). Furthermore, the Zelen design has difficulties addressing performance bias, which was pointed out as one of the two main methodological issues in studies on exercise training in pulmonary hypertension by a recent Cochrane review [2]. Specifically, performance bias may not be excluded, as control patients will not receive the amount of care which patients receive in a structured exercise training programme. Reporting bias, the second main shortcoming highlighted by the Cochrane review, should always be avoided, irrespective of the chosen study design.

New multicentre registries and randomised controlled trials of exercise training in pulmonary hypertension patients are clearly needed. In this regard, members of this European Respiratory Society task force built-up a standardised pulmonary hypertension rehabilitation programme in their respective centres across 10 European countries and also started a prospective, randomised controlled trial on the effect of exercise training in pulmonary hypertension (EU-TRAIN-01 trial, NCT03345212).

Hence, we should work together to establish a standardised rehabilitation programme in pulmonary hypertension centres to make this therapy available for the patients within their country and to implement this non-pharmacological intervention into standard care. Therefore, we very much appreciate the comments and initiative of L. Bertoletti and colleagues.

**Ekkehard Grünig<sup>1</sup>, Nicola Benjamin<sup>1</sup>, Christina A. Eichstaedt<sup>1</sup> and Andrew J. Peacock<sup>2</sup> on behalf of the ERS task force on pulmonary hypertension rehabilitation co-authors**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Pulmonary Hypertension, Thoraxklinik at the University Hospital Heidelberg, Translational Lung Research Center Heidelberg (TLRC), German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Heidelberg, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Scottish Pulmonary Vascular Unit, Golden Jubilee National Hospital, Glasgow, UK.

Correspondence: Ekkehard Grünig, Centre for Pulmonary Hypertension, Thoraxklinik at Heidelberg University Hospital, Röntgenstraße 1, 69126 Heidelberg, Germany. E-mail: [ekkehard.gruenig@med.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:ekkehard.gruenig@med.uni-heidelberg.de)

Received: 16 Aug 2019 | Accepted: 16 Aug 2019

@ERSpublications

**Multicentre trials on specialised exercise training and rehabilitation in patients with pulmonary hypertension are needed to provide further evidence on its haemodynamic effects and to show implementation in different healthcare systems is possible.** <http://bit.ly/2L4Mrgt>

**Cite this article as:** Grünig E, Benjamin N, Eichstaedt CA, *et al.* Multicentre trials on specialised exercise training and rehabilitation are useful in patients with pulmonary hypertension *Eur Respir J* 2019; 54: 1901631 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01631-2019>].

Conflict of interest: E. Grünig reports grants and personal fees from Bayer/MSD and Actelion, grants from GSK, United Therapeutics and Novartis, personal fees from SCOPE, OrPha Swiss GmbH and Zurich Heart House, outside the submitted work. N. Benjamin reports personal fees for lectures from Bayer and Actelion, outside the submitted work. C.A. Eichstaedt has nothing to disclose. A.J. Peacock reports grants from Bayer, Actelion and GSK, personal fees from MSD and Arena, outside the submitted work.

### References

- 1 Zelen M. Randomized consent designs for clinical trials: an update. *Stat Med* 1990; 9: 645–656.
- 2 Morris NR, Kermeen FD, Holland AE. Exercise-based rehabilitation programmes for pulmonary hypertension. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 1: CD011285.

Copyright ©ERS 2019