



# Reduced prevalence of latent tuberculosis infection in diabetes patients using metformin and statins

*To the Editor:*

Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of tuberculosis (TB) disease and adverse TB outcomes [1]. Emerging evidence suggests diabetes is also associated with latent TB infection (LTBI), and population-based studies reported the prevalence of LTBI among US adults with diabetes to be more than twice that of adults without diabetes (11.6% *versus* 4.6%) [2, 3]. Given the rapid increase of global diabetes prevalence in regions with high TB burdens, clinical and public health interventions targeting this co-epidemic would avert substantial morbidity and mortality [4].

Metformin and statins are widely used inexpensive therapies to prevent metabolic and cardiovascular complications among patients with diabetes. Studies in euglycaemic mice reported that metformin and statins reduced lung bacillary load in early and late phases of TB infection when administered either alone or in combination with anti-TB drugs [5, 6]. Retrospective data from patients with diabetes and TB from our and other studies provide evidence of metformin efficacy in human TB [5, 7–10]. These studies variously reported that use of metformin *versus* any other diabetic treatment was associated with a lower risk of progressing to pulmonary TB disease, lower risk of cavitary TB, lower risk of death during anti-TB therapy, improved sputum conversion rates and lower risk of recurrent TB. Similarly, a population-based cohort study using Taiwanese insurance data reported nearly 50% lower incidence of TB disease in adults using statins compared with matched controls without statin use [11]. Only one small (n=220) study from Singapore examined metformin use in the context of LTBI and did not assess statin use [12].

Whether the relationship between diabetes and LTBI is modified by metformin or statins has not been thoroughly evaluated. If metformin or statin use reduces the risk of LTBI in patients with diabetes, there may be additional rationale for evaluating these therapies as TB prevention tools. This study aimed to determine if the association between diabetes and prevalence of LTBI was different by metformin or statin use.

We conducted a cross-sectional study using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2012, a three-stage probability sample designed to be representative of non-institutionalised US adults [13]. Data collected from NHANES includes an in-person interview, a health examination and laboratory measurements.

Diabetes and pre-diabetes status were defined by self-report and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c). Participants who self-reported a previous diabetes diagnosis by a healthcare professional were classified as having diabetes regardless of HbA1c. Participants without self-reported history of diabetes were classified by HbA1c as euglycaemic ( $\leq 5.6\%$ ), prediabetes (5.7–6.4%) or diabetes ( $\geq 6.5\%$ ) following American Diabetes Association guidelines [14]. LTBI prevalence was measured by QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-tube (QFT; QIAGEN, Venlo, the Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's instructions and by 0.1 mL purified protein derivative tuberculin skin test (TST), which were read 46–76 h after placement and indurations  $\geq 10$  mm were defined as TST positive.

Metformin, statin and non-metformin diabetes (insulin, sulfonylureas and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors) medication use were defined by self-report. During NHANES interviews, all participants were



@ERSpublications

**New data indicate metformin and statin use reduce incidence of active TB, but if they prevent TB infection (LTBI) is unknown. We used national data to report LTBI prevalence was highest among participants with diabetes who did not use statins or metformin.** <http://ow.ly/W21v30nehnt>

**Cite this article as:** Magee MJ, Salindri AD, Kornfeld H, *et al.* Reduced prevalence of latent tuberculosis infection in diabetes patients using metformin and statins. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 53: 1801695 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01695-2018>].

TABLE 1 Diabetes and prevalence of latent tuberculosis by metformin and statin use, NHANES adult participants 2011–2012

Diabetes status		QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT)			Tuberculin skin test (TST)		
		QFT positive <sup>#</sup> % (95% CI)	Prevalence difference <sup>¶</sup> % (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	TST positive <sup>#</sup> % (95% CI)	Prevalence difference <sup>¶</sup> % (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
<b>ALL NHANES</b>	Diabetes	11.6 (7.9–15.3)	7.0 (3.1–10.8) <sup>+</sup>	2.7 (1.8–4.1) <sup>§</sup>	7.1 (4.8–9.3)	3.0 (0.6–5.4) <sup>+</sup>	1.8 (1.2–2.8) <sup>§</sup>
	Pre-diabetes	7.0 (5.2–8.7)	2.3 (1.0–3.7) <sup>+</sup>	1.5 (1.2–1.9) <sup>§</sup>	6.5 (2.6–10.4)	2.4 (0.8–5.6) <sup>+</sup>	1.6 (1.0–2.7)
	Euglycaemic	4.6 (3.7–5.6)	Ref.	Ref.	4.1 (2.6–5.6)	Ref.	Ref.
<b>Subgroups</b>							
No metformin	Diabetes <sup>f</sup>	12.3 (8.0–16.6)	1.4 (–3.7–6.4)	1.1 (0.7–1.9)	8.4 (5.6–11.2)	2.7 (–0.3–5.7)	1.5 (1.0–2.5)
	Any metformin use	10.9 (6.0–15.8)	Ref.	Ref.	5.7 (3.1–8.3)	Ref.	Ref.
No agent	Diabetes <sup>f</sup>	12.5 (7.5–17.5)	6.3 (–4.4–17.0)	2.2 (0.4–10.5)	10.7 (6.2–15.3)	8.9 (4.4–13.4) <sup>+</sup>	6.5 (2.3–18.1) <sup>§</sup>
	Non-metformin	11.7 (7.3–16.0)	5.4 (–4.6–15.5)	2.0 (0.4–9.2)	4.7 (1.9–7.6)	2.9 (–0.4–6.2)	2.7 (0.9–8.2)
	Metformin only	13.1 (4.8–21.3)	6.8 (–6.0–19.6)	2.3 (0.4–12.3)	8.4 (4.7–12.2)	6.6 (2.5–10.7) <sup>+</sup>	4.9 (1.6–14.9) <sup>§</sup>
	Metformin+1 <sup>##</sup>	11.4 (4.6–18.2)	5.2 (–4.8–15.2)	1.9 (0.4–9.6)	5.4 (1.3–9.4)	3.6 (–0.5–7.6)	3.1 (1.0–9.3) <sup>§</sup>
	Metformin+ ≥2 <sup>##</sup>	6.2 (0.0–15.8)	Ref.	Ref.	1.8 (0.0–3.8)	Ref.	Ref.
No metformin/statin	Diabetes <sup>f</sup>	12.6 (6.4–18.8)	2.1 (–4.4–8.6)	1.2 (0.7–2.3)	9.6 (5.1–14.2)	5.6 (0.4–10.9) <sup>+</sup>	2.6 (1.1–5.9) <sup>§</sup>
	Statin	11.8 (7.2–16.4)	1.4 (–6.5–9.2)	1.1 (0.5–2.6)	6.1 (2.5–9.6)	2.1 (–2.9–7.0)	1.6 (0.6–4.4)
	Metformin	11.6 (3.8–19.5)	1.2 (–8.3–10.7)	1.1 (0.4–3.0)	8.0 (3.0–13.1)	4.0 (–1.5–9.5)	2.1 (0.8–5.2)
	Statin+metformin	10.4 (4.6–16.3)	Ref.	Ref.	4.0 (1.6–6.4)	Ref.	Ref.
No statin use	Diabetes <sup>f</sup>	12.1 (6.9–17.3)	9.1 (2.8–15.3) <sup>+</sup>	4.4 (1.3–14.9) <sup>§</sup>	8.9 (4.9–13.0)	6.1 (–0.3–12.4)	3.3 (0.6–18.3)
	Simvastatin	12.7 (6.4–19.1)	9.7 (1.3–18.1) <sup>+</sup>	4.7 (1.1–19.7) <sup>§</sup>	4.2 (0.9–7.5)	1.3 (–5.6–8.2)	1.5 (0.2–11.5)
	Atorvastatin	12.8 (5.6–20.1)	9.8 (2.1–17.5) <sup>+</sup>	4.7 (1.4–16.0) <sup>§</sup>	4.4 (0.5–8.3)	1.5 (–4.9–8.0)	1.6 (0.2–10.2)
	Other statins	9.7 (2.8–16.5)	6.7 (–1.3–14.7)	3.4 (0.8–14.0)	7.9 (3.1–12.8)	5.1 (–2.6–12.8)	2.9 (0.4–19.4)
	Pravastatin	3.0 (0.0–6.7)	Ref.	Ref.	2.9 (0.0–7.9)	Ref.	Ref.
Any statin use <sup>¶¶</sup>	Diabetes	11.0 (7.3–14.8)	6.5 (2.0–11.1) <sup>+</sup>	2.6 (1.4–5.1) <sup>§</sup>	4.8 (3.1–6.5)	1.2 (–2.3–4.7)	1.3 (0.5–3.4)
	Pre-diabetes	5.4 (2.3–8.5)	0.9 (–1.5–3.3)	1.2 (0.8–2.0)	5.0 (0.0–10.1)	1.3 (–4.0–6.7)	1.4 (0.4–4.7)
	Euglycaemic	4.5 (2.4–6.6)	Ref.	Ref.	3.7 (0.8–6.5)	Ref.	Ref.
No statin use	Diabetes	12.2 (6.8–17.6)	7.6 (2.2–13.0) <sup>+</sup>	2.9 (1.7–4.8) <sup>§</sup>	9.0 (4.8–13.3)	4.9 (0.8–9.0) <sup>+</sup>	2.3 (1.3–3.9) <sup>§</sup>
	Pre-diabetes	7.5 (5.5–9.5)	2.8 (0.9–4.8) <sup>+</sup>	1.7 (1.2–2.2) <sup>§</sup>	7.1 (2.6–11.5)	2.9 (–0.9–6.7)	1.7 (1.0–3.0) <sup>§</sup>
	Euglycaemic	4.7 (3.7–5.6)	Ref.	Ref.	4.2 (2.8–5.6)	Ref.	Ref.

NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. <sup>#</sup>: among NHANES 2011–2012 adult participants, n=4958 had valid diabetes and QFT results, n=4261 had valid diabetes and TST results [TST positive defined by induration ≥10 mm]; <sup>¶</sup>: Taylor series variance estimation for 95% CI of prevalence difference; <sup>+</sup>: Rao–Scott Chi-squared p-value <0.05; <sup>§</sup>: Wald Chi-squared p-value <0.05; <sup>f</sup>: among participants with diabetes and with QFT results (n=791) or TST results (n=685) available; <sup>##</sup>: metformin in combination with any one or two other diabetes medications; <sup>¶¶</sup>: Wald Chi-squared test for interaction p-value <0.03 between diabetes status and statin use with latent tuberculosis infection measured by TST.

asked to report use of prescription medications during a 1-month period prior to the survey date. Those who answered “yes” were asked to present medication containers of all products used. For each medication presented, interviewers entered the product’s complete name into a computer-assisted personal interviewing system.

We estimated LTBI prevalence (with QFT and TST) stratified by diabetes and pre-diabetes status, and by metformin, statin and non-metformin diabetes drug use. We calculated prevalence differences (PD), odds ratios, and 95% confidence intervals to estimate associations between diabetes and LTBI. We used two-sided Rao–Scott or Wald Chi-squared p-values <0.05 to define significance. All analyses accounted for weighted probability designs of NHANES [15]. All data were publicly available and de-identified and therefore determined exempt from institutional ethical review board review.

Overall weighted prevalence of LTBI among participants with diabetes was 11.6% (95% CI 7.9–15.3%) by QFT (n=4958) and 7.1% (95% CI 4.8–9.3%) by TST (n=4261), significantly higher than euglycaemic participants (4.6% and 4.1%, respectively, p-value <0.05) (table 1). Among participants with diabetes, 53.8% reported no metformin use and LTBI prevalence was nonsignificantly higher in those without metformin use (by QFT PD: 1.4% (95% CI –3.7–6.4%) and by TST PD: 2.7% (95% CI –0.3–5.7%)) compared to those self-reporting any metformin use. Among participants with diabetes, lower prevalence of LTBI was observed among participants with metformin plus two or more other diabetes medications (6.2% by QFT and 1.8% by TST) compared to those not using diabetes medications. After adjusting for age, sex, HbA1c, type of diabetes, income level and duration of diabetes, the odds of TST positivity among participants with diabetes but without any diabetes medication (adjusted OR 3.9, 95% CI 1.1–13.8) were significantly greater than participants using metformin plus two or more other diabetes medications (data not shown).

Any statin use among participants with diabetes was common (46.2%), and the lowest prevalence of LTBI was among those using pravastatin (3.0% by QFT and 2.9% by TST). Among those with diabetes, QFT positivity was significantly higher in participants without any statin use (OR 4.4, 95% CI 1.3–14.9) compared to those with pravastatin use. The association between no statin use and LTBI remained after adjusting for age, sex, income level, metformin use and HbA1c (adjusted OR 4.8, 95% CI 1.4–16.5). The prevalence of TST positivity was also significantly greater among participants without combined metformin–statin use (9.6%) compared to those with combined metformin–statin therapy (4.0%) (p=0.02).

Among adult NHANES participants, the odds of QFT positivity among those with diabetes were significantly greater compared to euglycaemic participants in those with (OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.4–5.1) and without statin use (OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.7–4.8). We observed multiplicative interaction between statin use and diabetes with prevalence of TST positivity, which was significantly greater among participants with diabetes and no statin use (9.0%) compared to those with diabetes and any statin use (4.8%) (p=0.03). Interaction with statin use remained significant in multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, BMI and smoking status (p=0.03); the odds of TST positivity among participants with diabetes was greater in those without statin use (adjusted OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.6–4.8), but not among those with diabetes that used statins (adjusted OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.5–3.0).

Our results enhance recent findings that LTBI is more common among US adults with diabetes [2]. We report that combined metformin and statin use in patients with diabetes was associated with less than half the prevalence of LTBI (TST prevalence 4% among combined statin/metformin use *versus* 10% with no statin/metformin use). Whether defined by QFT or TST, the highest prevalence of LTBI among participants with diabetes was observed among those who did not use either metformin or statins, and the lowest prevalence was among those who used metformin in combination with two or more other diabetes medications. Among statin use, we report that pravastatin was associated with the lowest prevalence of LTBI by both QFT and TST. Our results also indicate the effect of diabetes on LTBI is different by statin use. Despite the limitations of cross-sectional data and the potential for unmeasured confounding, when taken in the context of other studies that reported benefits of metformin and statins with TB disease, our results suggest that patients with diabetes at risk of LTBI may benefit from combination therapy with both metformin and statins. Preventing LTBI is an essential step in preventing TB disease, and both LTBI and TB disease are complications of diabetes that contribute to substantial morbidity and mortality.

**Matthew J. Magee<sup>1</sup>, Argita D. Salindri<sup>1</sup>, Hardy Kornfeld<sup>2</sup> and Amit Singhal<sup>3,4,5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>School of Public Health, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA. <sup>2</sup>Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care, Dept of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA. <sup>3</sup>Singapore Immunology Network (SiGN), A\*STAR, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>4</sup>Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>5</sup>Vaccine and Infectious Disease Research Centre (VIDRC), Translational Health Science and Technology Institute (THSTI), Faridabad, India.

Correspondence: Matthew J. Magee, Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Georgia State University, P.O. Box 3984, Atlanta, GA 30302, USA. E-mail: mjmagee@gsu.edu

Received: Sept 04 2018 | Accepted after revision: Nov 22 2018

Author contributions: All authors made substantial contributions to the conceptual design, critically revised the manuscript, and approved the final version. M.J. Magee performed the analyses, drafted the initial version, and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work and ensures that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of interest: M.J. Magee has nothing to disclose. A.D. Salindri has nothing to disclose. H. Kornfeld has nothing to disclose. A. Singhal has a patent US9539222, WO2014039011A1 (Methods to inhibit intracellular growth of bacteria and to treat bacteria-mediated diseases) issued.

Support statement: This work was supported by the National Institute Of Allergy And Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (grant number R03AI133172 to M.J. Magee) and by Singapore Immunology Network A\*STAR and A\*STAR JCO-CDA (grant number 15302FG151 to A. Singhal). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

## References

- 1 Baker MA, Harries AD, Jeon CY, *et al.* The impact of diabetes on tuberculosis treatment outcomes: A systematic review. *BMC Med* 2011; 9: 81.
- 2 Barron MM, Shaw KM, Bullard KM, *et al.* Diabetes is associated with increased prevalence of latent tuberculosis infection: Findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2011–2012. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2018; 139: 366–379.
- 3 Martinez L, Zhu L, Castellanos ME, *et al.* Glycemic control and the prevalence of tuberculosis infection: a population-based observational study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 65: 2060–2068.
- 4 Harries AD, Kumar AM, Satyanarayana S, *et al.* Diabetes mellitus and tuberculosis: programmatic management issues. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2015; 19: 879–886.
- 5 Singhal A, Jie L, Kumar P, *et al.* Metformin as adjunct antituberculosis therapy. *Sci Transl Med* 2014; 6: 263ra159.
- 6 Skerry C, Pinn ML, Bruiners N, *et al.* Simvastatin increases the *in vivo* activity of the first-line tuberculosis regimen. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; 69: 2453–2457.
- 7 Degner NR, Wang JY, Golub JE, *et al.* Metformin use reverses the increased mortality associated with diabetes mellitus during tuberculosis treatment. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 66: 198–205.
- 8 Pan SW, Yen YF, Kou YR, *et al.* The risk of TB in patients with type 2 diabetes initiating metformin *versus* sulfonylurea treatment. *Chest* 2018; 153: 1347–1357.
- 9 Marupuru S, Senapati P, Pathadka S, *et al.* Protective effect of metformin against tuberculosis infections in diabetic patients: an observational study of south Indian tertiary healthcare facility. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2017; 21: 312–316.
- 10 Lee YJ, Han SK, Park JH, *et al.* The effect of metformin on culture conversion in tuberculosis patients with diabetes mellitus. *Korean J Intern Med* 2018; 33: 933–940.
- 11 Su VY, Su WJ, Yen YF, *et al.* Statin use is associated with a lower risk of TB. *Chest* 2017; 152: 598–606.
- 12 Leow MK, Dalan R, Chee CB, *et al.* Latent tuberculosis in patients with diabetes mellitus: prevalence, progression and public health implications. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2014; 122: 528–532.
- 13 Johnson CL, Dohrmann SM, Burt VL, *et al.* National health and nutrition examination survey: sample design, 2011–2014. *Vital Health Stat 2* 2014: 1–33.
- 14 American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes – 2013. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36: Suppl. 1, S11–S66.
- 15 CDC. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: Analytic Guidelines, 2011–2012. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013. [www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/analytic\\_guidelines\\_11\\_12.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/analytic_guidelines_11_12.pdf)

Copyright ©ERS 2019