Escaping the Plato’s cave of latent tuberculosis testing: a path for developers of predictive tests for risk of tuberculosis
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The End TB Strategy calls for renewed focus on latent TB, which requires development of more predictive tests. Kix et al. provide a framework for the clinical development of such tests, an ambition that calls for joint efforts by researchers and funders. http://ow.ly/O3tm30m95dy
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The End TB Strategy and current state of play

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the leading causes of morbidity and death, disproportionately impacting the low- and middle-income countries, where 94% of TB cases occur. Every year, TB causes more than a million deaths and the World Bank estimates the epidemic will rob the world’s poorest countries of US$1–3 trillion over the next decade, causing a loss of productivity of up to 4–7% of some countries’ gross domestic product. Particularly troubling for the prospects of global prosperity, 75% of TB cases arise during people’s most productive years, between the ages of 15 and 54, stifling human development on a large scale.

The End TB Strategy, introduced by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2015, aims to reduce TB-related deaths by 95% and new Mycobacterium tuberculosis infections by 90% by 2035. These ambitious targets will be achieved, in part, by addressing latent M. tuberculosis infection (LTBI), the asymptomatic and noncontagious form of the disease estimated to affect one in four of the global population [1–3]. Mathematical modelling studies focusing on the WHO Southeast Asia region suggest that TB incidence could be reduced dramatically by 2050 by targeting LTBI testing and treatment [4]. However, a major challenge to the success of the strategy is that there is currently no test or biomarker able to correctly pick out the 5–15% of infected individuals who, at any point in their lifetime, will progress to TB disease from the pool of individuals who will not progress.

The current diagnostic landscape comprises tests that determine infection based on an immune response to mycobacterial antigens either in vivo, as in the tuberculin skin test (TST), or in vitro using the interferon-γ release assays (IGRAs) [2]. Much like the prisoners in Plato’s cave, only able to see the shadows of things on the wall and not the actual things themselves, these tests provide treating physicians with an indication of current or past infection and not a direct verification of the bacterium or risk of disease. The TST was
developed by pioneers including Koch, Mantoux and von Piquet in the infancy of modern medicine. The test measures a delayed-type hypersensitivity skin response to tuberculin purified protein derivative and has well known challenges in terms of unspecific responses in bacille Calmette-Guérin-vaccinated people and people exposed to environmental mycobacteria. The IGRAs, developed in the late 1990s [5], measure \textit{in vitro} reactivity of immune cells from the blood to antigens largely restricted to the \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex organisms. IGRAs are thus more specific, and deliver faster and more objective results than the TST; however, they require more resources and are more expensive [2]. As evident from several meta-analyses, both IGRAs and the TST have only limited ability to predict the risk of progression to disease (positive predictive value (PPV)). In one study, the pooled PPV was 2.7% (95% CI 2.3–3.2%) for IGRAs and only 1.5% (95% CI 1.2–1.7%) for TST [1]. Another meta-analysis, mainly focusing on long-term follow-up in highly endemic settings, showed a pooled unadjusted incidence rate of 2.1% (95% CI 1.4–3.1%) for IGRA [6]. In adolescent children, the pooled PPV for progression was only moderate with a PPV of 6.8% for the IGRAs compared to 2.4% for the TST [7]. Thus, even when applying the best current diagnostic options in high risk populations in low incidence settings, the numbers needed to treat to prevent a case of TB remain well above 30, and even higher in high-incidence settings [2, 8, 9]. With these numbers in mind, the WHO End TB Strategy’s focus on prevention of progression would seem overly optimistic, if not naïve.

A bold vision and an escape from Plato’s cave?
The WHO’s strategy sets a bold vision for a future with improved predictive tools enabling more accurate and cost-effective test and treatment strategies. The vision is driven by the availability of shorter treatment regimens (e.g. using a 12-dose once-weekly rifapentine and isoniazid combination [10]), but in particular also by a recognition that the old binary paradigm of “LTBI” and “active TB disease” has fallen and new diagnostic opportunities seem within the technical reach of developers [11, 12].

Recent advances using cutting-edge animal models, immunology, microbiology and imaging tools support the existence of an infection spectrum from spontaneous clearance to quiescent infection and active TB disease [11, 13]. The infected individuals’ position in this spectrum is determined by the ability to control bacillary replication, which again is dynamic and influenced by factors such as nutritional status, HIV infection and compliance to anti-TB treatment [11, 13]. The spectrum paradigm includes a central postulate that, prior to clinical presentation with active TB disease, there might be a prolonged asymptomatic phase of incipient TB (subclinical disease), during which pathology develops [11, 12].

Based on these assumptions, diagnostic tests for the identification of latent infection can conceptually be categorised as persistent infection tests (PITs) (a space largely covered by the current diagnostic portfolio for LTBI) and tests detecting incipient TB (ITTs) [12, 14]. A therapeutic intervention directed by ITTs (with or without the requirement of a two-step approach with an initial rule-out PIT) would be the most cost-effective and safe approach to programmatic management of LTBI, the “holy grail” for test developers.

These diagnostic concepts were discussed and developed at a series of Technical Expert Consultations, hosted by the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), Stop TB Partnership’s New Diagnostic Working Group (NDWG) and the WHO Global TB Program, as summarised in an outline for a Target Product Profile and Evaluation Framework for “a test predicting progression from tuberculosis infection to active disease” [8], a highly relevant initiative, aiming to inspire researchers and test developers to rethink the field of biomarkers for LTBI.

A gameplan for action
In this issue of the \textit{European Respiratory Journal}, Kik et al. [15] expand on the evaluation framework by describing the requirements to support a Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) evaluation process at WHO to inform policy guidance for new ITTs. The authors describe the study designs needed to document performance characteristics of such tests and the types of studies required to document the impact on patient and health system outcomes. The clinical development plans for ITTs require a radically different ambition from the current standard of care in the field, where many, often underpowered, studies claim diagnostic potential based on a significant p-value between groups of IGRA-positive cases and controls. In contrast, due to the elusive nature of incipient TB, ITT studies are very large and will require multicentre and likely multinational prospective efforts.

Nevertheless, there is room for optimism. The prognostic correlate of risk (COR), a predictive test enabling risk stratification based on selected inflammatory patterns in the mRNA transcriptome, provide a tangible case story for the likelihood of success with this strategy [16]. The COR materialised after joining cohorts and minds across several large international consortia funded by the European Union and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, among others. Although the PPV of the COR still remain sub-par in the eyes of the ITT Target Product Profile [8, 17], its potential to guide preventive treatment is currently under
evaluation at several sites in South Africa in the Correlate of Risk Targeted Intervention Study (www.clinicaltrials.gov identifier number NCT02735590). Results from this trial are expected by the end of 2019 (M. Hatherill, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; personal communication) and will be a litmus test for the ITT pursuit and, at least in part, provide an interim evaluation of the likelihood of success of the End TB Strategy’s focus on LTBI.

Until we have proven efficacy and WHO endorsement of new ITTs, we will have to make do with the current diagnostic portfolio and incremental improvements hereof [2]. Recent findings from large prospective cohorts in Norway [18] and South Africa [19] suggest superior risk stratification in algorithms incorporating the magnitude of the interferon (IFN)-γ response in the IGRA [20] and serial IGRA testing. Other approaches seek to improve the TST and IGRA technologies, such as field-friendly specific skin tests including C-Tb based on the antigens used in IGRAs [21], and lateral flow or quantitative RT-PCR based tests using highly expressed markers like IFN-γ-inducible protein 10 as an alternative to IFN-γ [22], potentially combined with novel antigens to improve sensitivity in high-risk groups such as young children and people living with HIV [23, 24].

Perspectives
The concrete guidance for clinical development plans laid out in the article by Kik et al. [15] underpins a requirement of radically new and more ambitious approaches to test development. An obvious place to start would be to integrate ITT development into TB drug and, in particular, TB vaccine trials, where two vaccine candidates recently have demonstrated protective efficacy and two other vaccines are ongoing efficacy assessment also with disease end-points [25, 26]. The ability to integrate initiatives would support and improve immunogenicity assessment of the vaccines as well as being a very cost-effective approach to prospectively build biobanks for ITT test development.

The spectrum paradigm, new research tools becoming available in the near future and tangible evidence suggesting a future with ITT has brought renewed enthusiasm and inspired the incentives from WHO, NDWG and FIND. It is now up to the research community and funders to join forces and deliver.
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