Effects of hyperoxia on dyspnoea and exercise endurance in fibrotic interstitial lung disease

To the Editor:

Dyspnoea is a major source of distress and is the hallmark symptom of patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD). Supplemental oxygen may alleviate dyspnoea by attenuating arterial oxygen desaturation, increasing oxygen delivery and reducing the drive to breathe; however, previous studies show conflicting results on the effectiveness of supplemental oxygen on dyspnoea and exercise performance in ILD [1–6]. Methodological factors in these studies likely led to underestimation of the potential magnitude of improvement, including an insufficient fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO2) and/or the use of self-paced walking tests and incremental cycle tests rather than constant-load exercise protocols [3–8]. Dyspnoea was also either not evaluated or only evaluated at peak exercise [1, 3–6], which is insensitive to change compared to more clinically relevant submaximal exercise [8]. Finally, some studies were retrospective and did not include a blinded room-air exercise trial, making it difficult to rule out the potential placebo effect [4, 5]. The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of hyperoxia on exercise endurance as well as the intensity and qualitative dimensions of exertional dyspnoea in patients with fibrotic ILD.

This prospective, single-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01781793) received ethical approval and included 20 fibrotic ILD patients with isolated lung involvement (age 66±9 yrs; body mass index 29±5 kg·m⁻²; forced vital capacity 72±16% predicted; total lung capacity (TLC) 64±11% predicted; diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide 46±13% predicted; peak oxygen uptake 68±22% predicted). Visit 1 included medical history, pulmonary function testing and a symptom-limited incremental cycle test for familiarisation purposes. Visit 2 included the same incremental test to determine peak work-rate. Visits 3 and 4 each included symptom-limited constant-load cycle tests at 75% of peak work-rate while breathing room air (FIO2 21%) or hyperoxia (FIO2 60%), in randomised order. Subjects were blinded to the gas mixtures, which were delivered into a non-diffusing Douglas bag connected to a two-way non-rebreathing valve. Breath-by-breath metabolic and ventilatory responses were measured using a commercially available metabolic cart.

Patients rated the intensity of “breathing discomfort” (dyspnoea) and “leg discomfort” using the Borg 0–10 scale [9] and selected the best qualitative description of their breathing as previously described [10] throughout exercise. After exercise cessation, patients were asked to report their main reason(s) for stopping exercise (i.e. breathing discomfort, leg discomfort, a combination of breathing and leg discomfort, or other) and to attribute a percentage to each reason totalling 100.

Paired t-tests were used to compare outcomes between the room air and hyperoxic conditions. Spearman’s correlation coefficients were used to examine the association between selected variables. Reasons for stopping exercise were analysed using a McNemar’s exact test. Data are mean±SD. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis was the most common diagnosis (55%). Sensory and physiological responses to hyperoxia and room air are shown in table 1. Endurance time increased significantly with hyperoxia versus room air (21.9±12.9 versus 11.6±10.0 min, p<0.001). There was no significant difference in endurance time between randomisation groups (p=0.31).

Hyperoxia significantly improves exertional dyspnoea and exercise tolerance in patients with fibrotic ILD http://ow.ly/WbH309VwcM

Dyspnoea and leg discomfort ratings were significantly reduced at iso-time with hyperoxia versus room air (table 1). 14 patients (75%) selected unsatisfied inspiration as a qualitative descriptor of dyspnoea at some point during exercise with room air. Three of these patients did not select this descriptor at all with hyperoxia, while the onset of this selection was significantly delayed with hyperoxia versus room air in the remaining 11 patients (13.8±10.8 versus 5.6±4.3 min, p=0.02). Patients reported a significantly lower relative contribution of breathing discomfort to exercise cessation in the hyperoxia versus room air condition (47% versus 60%, p=0.01). Reasons for stopping exercise included: breathing discomfort (25% in hyperoxia versus 55% in room air, p=0.05); leg discomfort (25% versus 20%, p=0.70); a combination of breathing and leg discomfort (35% versus 20%, p=0.29); and other reasons (15% versus 5%, p=0.29).

Change in endurance time was significantly correlated with between-condition changes in peak exercise arterial oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SpO2) (r=0.54, p=0.01) and iso-time dyspnoea intensity (r=−0.59, p=0.006), end-inspiratory lung volume (% TLC) (r=−0.47, p=0.04) and minute ventilation (r=−0.49, p=0.03). There were no additional correlates with iso-time dyspnoea ratings.

This prospective, randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover study is the most comprehensive evaluation of hyperoxia on ventilatory, sensory and exercise performance outcomes in fibrotic ILD. Hyperoxia resulted in clinically significant improvements in endurance time and in both the intensity and qualitative dimensions of dyspnoea. Changes in endurance time were associated with improvements in SpO2, ventilatory responses and dyspnoea.

While dyspnoea intensity ratings were similar between conditions at peak exercise, ratings were significantly reduced at iso-time with hyperoxia by 1.9 Borg units. This is nearly twice the proposed chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)-derived minimal clinically important difference (MCID) of 1 Borg unit [11]. The few studies that have investigated the acute effects of hyperoxia on exercise performance in patients with ILD did not report dyspnoea intensity ratings [1], or only evaluated dyspnoea at peak exercise, where it is difficult to standardise the exercise intensity [3–6]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effects of hyperoxia on the qualitative dimensions of exertional dyspnoea in any population. Our results suggest that hyperoxia eliminates or significantly delays the onset of “unsatisfied inspiration”, which is likely related to the improvement in ventilatory responses. Moreover, the selection of breathing discomfort as the primary reason for exercise cessation tended to be less frequent with hyperoxia because of the increased contribution of leg discomfort (alone or in combination with breathing discomfort) as the primary locus of symptom limitation.

Previous studies on ILD demonstrate conflicting results regarding improvements in exercise tolerance with hyperoxia [1, 3–6], potentially due to differences in exercise testing modalities, oxygen delivery systems and the inclusion of appropriate room air placebo trials. Endurance tests, such as the constant-load cycle test, are the most responsive tests for evaluating the efficacy of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis [12] and are more responsive to interventions than incremental tests and the
6-min walk test in COPD [8]. The lack of clinically relevant improvements [6] and/or only modest improvements [4] in exercise tolerance in previous hyperoxia studies on ILD may also reflect the inability of nasal cannulae to deliver sufficient oxygen to adequately reverse exertional arterial oxygen desaturation. In contrast, our method of oxygen delivery fully reversed arterial oxygen desaturation, resulting in 85% of our patients improving more than the COPD-derived MCID for cycle endurance time of 105 s, and 80% improving more than 33% compared to room air [13]. Mechanisms of improvements in endurance time with hyperoxia are multifactorial, but correlative analysis suggests that improvements in \( \text{SpO}_2 \), ventilatory responses and dyspnoea are key contributors.

Our study was limited by the absence of a familiarisation constant-load cycle test; however, the lack of difference between randomisation groups indicates that a learning effect on the constant-load test did not have a significant impact on our results. Furthermore, subjects were familiarised with symptom-limited exercise tests by performing two incremental tests prior to the constant load tests. In addition, owing to safety reasons, it was not feasible to blind all study personnel to the study condition. However, we do not consider that this impacted our results as all procedures were rigorously standardised, including an absence of verbal encouragement during exercise.

The results of this study strongly support the notion that 60% oxygen improves exercise endurance, as well as both the intensity and qualitative dimensions of exertional dyspnoea, providing justification for larger-scale randomised controlled trials of hyperoxia in patients with ILD [14]. We speculate that our approach to supplemental oxygen delivery may augment traditional pulmonary rehabilitation programmes by allowing patients to train at higher exercise intensities.
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