Maximal mid-expiratory flow detects early lung disease in α₁-antitrypsin deficiency
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ABSTRACT Pathological studies suggest that loss of small airways precedes airflow obstruction and emphysema in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Not all α₁-antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) patients develop COPD, and measures of small airways function might be able to detect those at risk. Maximal mid-expiratory flow (MMEF), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), ratio of FEV₁/forced vital capacity (FVC), health status, presence of emphysema (computed tomography (CT) densitometry) and subsequent decline in FEV₁ were assessed in 196 AATD patients. FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁ % predicted and lung densitometry related to MMEF % pred (r²=0.778, p<0.0001; r²=0.594, p<0.0001, respectively) in a curvilinear fashion. Patients could be divided into those with normal FEV₁/FVC and MMEF (group 1), normal FEV₁/FVC and reduced MMEF (group 2) and those with spirometrically defined COPD (group 3). Patients in group 2 had worse health status than group 1 (median total St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) 23.15 (interquartile range (IQR) 7.09–39.63) versus 9.67 (IQR 1.83–22.35); p=0.006) and had a greater subsequent decline in FEV₁ (median change in FEV₁ −1.09% pred per year (IQR −1.91–0.04% pred per year) versus −0.04% pred per year (IQR −0.67–0.03% pred per year); p=0.007).

A reduction in MMEF is an early feature of lung disease in AATD and is associated with impaired health status and a faster decline in FEV₁.
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Introduction
The early detection and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are key objectives, both scientifically and clinically. COPD is defined physiologically by the presence of airflow obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio <70%), and disease severity is stratified by FEV1 impairment [1]. However, there is increasing recognition that FEV1 and the FEV1/FVC ratio lack the sensitivity to identify early disease or patients at risk of subsequent decline without serial testing over a prolonged period of time [2, 3]. There has therefore been a revival of interest in assessing the small airways of the lungs. The cross-sectional micro-computed tomographic (CT) imaging studies of J.C. Hogg and co-workers [4, 5] reported a major loss of small airways prior to the development of abnormal spirometry or emphysema in COPD patients. They hypothesised that reduced small airway function (by narrowing and a reduction in number) precedes the decline in FEV1 and the development of emphysema in the very early stages of COPD. This is important, because emphysema can be present with normal spirometry [6, 7], is a predictor of mortality even in patients without COPD [8], and also relates to the subsequent decline in FEV1 [9, 10], which itself is a major predictor of mortality for COPD patients [11] and may not be influenced by current inhaled therapies [12].

Understanding the relationship of small airways dysfunction (SAD) with the presence and subsequent progression of lung disease may be of central importance in the early detection of COPD. However, only a proportion of smokers develop COPD [13] and only a proportion of patients with COPD develop emphysema [14], and so studying an unselected, “at risk” population to determine the relationship between SAD and the subsequent onset of emphysema and COPD would be demanding as a proof-of-principle study. Here, α1-antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) serves as a useful model. AATD is a genetic susceptibility to COPD, which leads to a significant proportion of smokers developing COPD at a younger age than non-AATD COPD, characterised by a predominant emphysema phenotype [15]. Importantly, a proportion of AATD patients who have never smoked also develop airflow obstruction and emphysema, although some do not [16], and currently we are unable to predict those at risk of decline. Family testing identifies siblings or progeny earlier in the disease process, often before COPD and emphysema are detectable, allowing the whole time course of the disease process to be observed.

We hypothesised that a proportion of never-smoking AATD patients would have evidence of SAD without COPD, and those patients would experience more lung symptoms and would be at greater risk of subsequent progression.

The current study aimed to determine the relationship of maximal mid-expiratory flow (MMEF) (a spirometric parameter that when reduced is suggestive of SAD [17] and is readily available from the flow volume loop) to FEV1 and the FEV1/FVC ratio, health status and computed tomography (CT)-defined emphysema. Of particular interest were subjects with both FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio within the “normal range” to determine whether the presence of SAD might precede conventional airflow obstruction. In addition, follow-up spirometry was analysed to relate the initial presence of SAD to a subsequent decline in FEV1. Percent predicted values were utilised, as these are already adjusted for age, sex, height and ethnicity, thus accounting for these major determinants of lung function. In this proof-of-principle study, only never-smoking AATD patients were included to determine the natural history of this disease and avoid the potential impact of variable smoking histories on lung physiology.

Materials and methods
Study subjects
The ADAPT programme (Antitrypsin Deficiency Assessment and Programme for Treatment) includes the UK registry for individuals with AATD. The programme was approved by the South Birmingham Research and Ethics Committee (ref. no. 3359a) and all patients provided written, informed consent. Patients were recruited either through medical referral (index) or family screening (non-index). For this study, >95% of index patients were screened for AATD because of a past medical history of respiratory symptoms, including an awareness of breathlessness greater than expected, cough or recurrent chest infections. Fewer than 5% of patients were identified by the presence of abnormal and unexplained liver function tests but not liver disease. Patients with significant structural lung disease (such as bronchiectasis) were excluded. Asthma is known to effect small airways function [18] and this was specifically screened for symptomatically, as previously described [19].

Patients participating in this study had annual assessments that included post-bronchodilator full lung function, blood biochemistry and haematology, and health status using the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). Patients also had a high-resolution CT scan at baseline for qualitative and quantitative assessment where this had not been undertaken by the referring clinicians.
Methods

Initial baseline data were collected for lifelong never-smokers with a PiZZ AATD genotype. Measures of lung function were assessed on the same day as the clinical and health status data. Spirometry data included FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC and MMEF. FEV₁ and MMEF were expressed as % predicted to differentiate between natural decline in lung function due to ageing (where absolute values decrease but % pred remains stable) and decline due to disease (where both absolute and % pred values decrease). The FEV₁/FVC ratio was expressed as a percentage, and the gas transfer coefficient (K₁CO) was documented as % pred.

MMEF was chosen as it is the most readily accessible spirometric parameter that may relate to small airway function. However, the utility of MMEF in this carefully selected group of AATD patients is unclear. In the current study, a cut-off of 80% pred was pragmatically chosen for MMEF, because this is compatible with previous studies [20, 21] and meant that those described as “normal” for the purposes of this study were less likely to include patients with small airways dysfunction.

Decline in lung function was primarily determined by the change in % pred for age, sex, height and ethnicity using linear regression determined for all annual data points (provided that there were at least four) for each patient. Rapid decliners were defined as those whose lung function decline (FEV₁ change) was more than −1.0% pred per year, as described previously [22].

All lung function tests were performed on a Jaeger MasterScreen Pro lung function system (Jaeger Ltd, Hochberg, Germany) according to the Association for Respiratory Technology and Physiology/British Thoracic Society guidelines for quality control [23]. Predicted values for all tests were calculated from the European Community for Steel and Coal reference equations [24].

For high-resolution CT scans (GE ProSpeed Scanner; General Electrical Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA), 1-mm slices were taken at 10-mm intervals through the thorax at full inspiration. Lung density was recorded in Hounsfield Units (HU), with a mean value less than −950 HU used as a threshold consistent with the presence of macroscopic emphysema [25]. CT data was included only where it was available and suitable for quantifiable analysis at the start of the study (at the time of baseline MMEF and lung function data) and is expressed as the PD15 (percentile point indicating the density of the lowest 15% of the voxels).

Analysis

The main outcome measures included the spirometric parameters FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC and MMEF. Secondary outcome measures included K₁CO and lung densitometry. Mann–Whitney U-tests, t-tests and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used to compare categories, and spline modelling was used to determine the strength of any relationship. Chi-squared tests were used to compare categorical data between groups. The predicted positive and negative value of MMEF to detect a rapid decline in lung function in patients with no physiological evidence of COPD was calculated using standard methods [26]. A p-value of <0.05 was taken to be statistically significant for all analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 Demographic and lung function data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males: females n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index: non-index n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ % pred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMEF % pred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₁CO % pred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔFEV₁ mL per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum AAT level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma n (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient demographics are summarised for the three groups as mean±SD, unless otherwise stated. Change in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) is the decline based on four measurements taken over 3 years and expressed as median and range. α₁-antitrypsin (AAT) level is serum level (measured in μmol·L⁻¹) and given as the median and range. Forced vital capacity (FVC) is presented as the median percentage and range. “Asthma” is the number in each group with a consultant physician-confirmed diagnosis of asthma. MMEF: maximal mid-expiratory flow; K₁CO: carbon monoxide transfer coefficient. #: significantly different from group 1; ¶: significantly different from group 2; +: significantly different from group 3.
Results

A total of 196 never-smoking PiZZ AATD patients were identified and divided into three physiological groups: group 1 (n=43) had FEV1/FVC >70% and MMEF ≥80% pred (no SAD, no COPD); group 2 (n=40) had FEV1/FVC >70% and MMEF <80% pred (SAD, no COPD); and group 3 (n=113) had FEV1/FVC <70%, thus meeting the physiological diagnostic criteria for COPD [27]. No patients had MMEF ≥80% pred and evidence of COPD. The demographic and lung function data of patients in the three physiological groups are provided in table 1. There was a greater proportion of females in group 2 (SAD, no COPD) than in group 1 (no SAD, no COPD, p=0.035) or group 3 (COPD, p=0.002). The average age increased across groups, with group 1 patients being the youngest (p<0.001 for the difference across all groups). There was a greater proportion of index patients in group 3 than in group 1 (p<0.001) or group 2 (p<0.001). The prevalence of asthma was ~10% across this population and there were no differences in prevalence between groups (table 1).

Group 2 (SAD, no COPD) had worse lung function than group 1 (no SAD, no COPD), including FEV1 % pred (p=0.011), FEV1/FVC (p=0.009), KCO % pred (p=0.055) and, by definition, MMEF % pred (p<0.001). Group 3 (COPD) had worse lung function than group 2 (p<0.001 for all indices, including FEV1 % pred, FEV1/FVC, KCO % pred and MMEF % pred).

Relationship between lung function measurements

There was a strong (r²=0.778, p<0.0001) relationship between FEV1/FVC ratio and MMEF % pred (figure 1) and for FEV1 % pred and MMEF % pred (r²=0.787, p<0.0001). There was a weaker though significant relationship (r²=0.198, p<0.0001) between KCO % pred and MMEF % pred.

Health status

Health status was assessed at baseline using the SGRQ. The median total SGRQ score was greater (indicating worse health status) in group 2 (SAD, no COPD: median 23.15, interquartile range (IQR) 7.09–39.63) than in group 1 (no SAD, no COPD: median 9.67, IQR 1.83–22.35; p=0.006) (figure 2a). The same trend was seen for the individual domains with a median of 29.49 (IQR 5.96–51.00) and 5.84 (IQR 0.00–26.97), respectively, for activity (p<0.001) (figure 2b) and 15.38 (IQR 1.90–26.40) and 3.89 (IQR 0.00–11.60), respectively, for disease impact (p=0.028) (figure 2c). However, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between SGRQ symptom scores for group 1 (median 29.17, IQR 5.23–51.00) and group 2 (median 37.77, IQR 17.07–59.59) (figure 2d). SGRQ scores were even greater in group 3 (COPD) than in group 2 for total score (median 38.25, IQR 27.06–53.72; p<0.0001), activity (median 53.62, IQR 35.60–66.19; p<0.0001), disease impact (median 24.80, IQR 14.11–40.50; p<0.001) and symptoms (median 54.74, IQR 42.38–68.57; p=0.002).

Lung function decline

The rate of FEV1 decline (% pred) following baseline measurement was measured over at least 3 years to ensure at least four or more data points were available for analysis [16]. The median decline was greater in group 2 (SAD, no COPD: median change in FEV1 −1.09% pred per year, IQR −1.91 to −0.04) than in group 1 (SAD, no COPD: median change in FEV1 −1.09% pred per year, IQR −1.91 to −0.04).
(no SAD, no COPD: median change in FEV1 −0.04% pred per year, IQR −0.67 to 0.03) (p=0.007). The median decline in FEV1 in group 3 (COPD) was similar (p>0.05) to that in group 2 (−1.41% pred per year, IQR −2.27 to −0.22), as shown in figure 3. The decline in FEV1 expressed as mL per year is shown in table 1 (ΔFEV1).

A total of 85% of AATD patients without COPD and an MMEF ≥80% pred did not subsequently decline rapidly (an 85% negative predictive value). The positive predictive value was 57%.

**CT densitometry**

Quantitative CT densitometry was available for 110 patients. There was a significant relationship of PD15 (percentile point indicating the density of the lowest 15% of the voxels in HU) to MMEF % predicted (figure 4) (r²=0.594, p<0.0001).

These 110 patients were then divided into groups as before (group 1: no SAD and no COPD; group 2: SAD but no COPD; and group 3: COPD) to assess median PD15 in each group. Although numbers were low, none of the patients in group 1 had evidence of macroscopic emphysema (n=4; median PD15 −904.6, IQR −922.76 to −876.75). The median PD15 of the 11 patients in group 2 (SAD but no COPD) was also
above the threshold for macroscopic emphysema (median PD15 = −902.8, IQR = −913.05 to −873.35). However, the median PD15 of the 95 patients in group 3 (COPD) was compatible with the presence of macroscopic emphysema (median PD15 = −957.93, IQR = −968.63 to −942.22) although the spread of data indicates that some patients with COPD had macroscopic emphysema and some did not. All of those with evidence of macroscopic emphysema had a severely reduced MMEF (<20% predicted).
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This article describes unique data from a cohort of never-smoking individuals with AATD who have never received augmentation therapy, showing that subjects without spirometric evidence of COPD include some with reduced values for MMEF (defined here as <80% pred), potentially suggestive of impaired small airways function. Those with an MMEF <80% pred already have evidence of reduced health status, despite above the threshold for macroscopic emphysema (median PD15 = −902.8, IQR = −913.05 to −873.35). However, the median PD15 of the 95 patients in group 3 (COPD) was compatible with the presence of macroscopic emphysema (median PD15 = −957.93, IQR = −968.63 to −942.22) although the spread of data indicates that some patients with COPD had macroscopic emphysema and some did not. All of those with evidence of macroscopic emphysema had a severely reduced MMEF (<20% predicted).
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their FEV1 and FVC being within the normal range, and subsequently demonstrated a more rapid decline in FEV1.

MMEF appears of questionable value in an unselected population [28], but the usefulness of a spirometric parameter may be different in different populations. The subjects included in the present work are never-smoking PiZZ AATD patients, a highly selected population, and these data suggest MMEF may be useful to identify subgroups in this cohort with specific characteristics and to predict disease progression.

Differences in the shape of the forced expiration curve were noted as early as 1976, when a “kink” in the spirogram was associated with a higher prevalence of emphysema in patients with airflow obstruction [29], and lower maximal expiratory flow measurements at 75%, 50% and 25% of FVC were seen in PiMZ AATD nonsmokers than in PiMM patients [30]. Since then there has been little further information about small airways function in AATD, although a recent study demonstrated an overall trend for more rapid decline in MMEF in PiMZ individuals over 11 years compared to those with the PiMM and PiMS phenotypes, while FEV1 and FEV1/FVC declined at the same rate in all groups (although values were expressed as absolute change and not % pred) [31]. Studies of small airway function in non-AATD COPD are also limited. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage 0 COPD patients (those with a normal FEV1/FVC but considered “at risk”) had lower MMEF % pred than normal subjects [32]. In addition, MMEF/FVC has been used as a diagnostic parameter for early stage COPD in smokers with otherwise normal spirometry [33]. Collectively, these studies support the concept that MMEF may be useful in detecting early pathological changes in COPD and support our findings in AATD. However, the utility of MMEF or other potential measures of small airways function would also need to be assessed in a non-AATD COPD cohort and patients at risk of COPD to determine more generalised utility.

What constitutes an abnormal MMEF is uncertain. The normal range for MMEF based on standardised residuals/z-scores is broad [34] and, in a general population, reporting MMEF using z-scores is not clinically useful over and above the traditional spirometric parameters such as FEV1/FVC [28]. In the current study, a cut-off of 80% pred was pragmatically chosen for MMEF as this is compatible with previous studies [20, 21], but patterns were similar if cut-offs of 75% or 70% pred were chosen. Moreover, choosing an 80% pred cut-off for MMEF means that a greater number of patients with SAD are likely to be included in group 2. The 70% fixed FEV1/FVC ratio definition of COPD was also chosen to align this article with the current GOLD strategy and enable easier comparison with other published work in this area.

The average age of the patients studied here ranged from 40 years for those in group 1 to 50 years for those with MMEF values suggestive of SAD (group 2) and 60 years for those with COPD (group 3). Lung function data, however, are presented as % pred (thereby accounting for age differences), and thus the decline in lung function could relate to the early stages of airway remodelling in group 2 that precede more significant airflow limitation associated with COPD (group 3). There was also a trend towards lower gas transfer in group 2, which may reflect early emphysematous change. Patients in group 2 (SAD, no COPD) also had an impairment of health status compared to those with higher MMEF (group 1). Although this may be at variance with earlier work in AATD that used a different symptom questionnaire [35], it is consistent with more recent work that has shown patients with GOLD stage 0 COPD [27] can also have reduced quality of life [36]. The presence of worse symptoms in patients with mild spirometric COPD has also been shown in our previous work [37] and, collectively, the data in the current study support a subtle decline in health status in tandem with early deterioration in lung physiology. Prospective studies are needed to confirm this.

The predictive value of MMEF in this study suggests it may be an effective screening tool to select those most at risk of a fast decline in this group of patients. If this were confirmed in prospective studies, these tests might identify a physiological subgroup (with evidence of SAD) that requires closer monitoring, and perhaps earlier interventions when considering suitability for future therapeutic trials (such as α1-antitrypsin augmentation). There are other tests of small airways function, but MMEF was chosen in this proof-of-principle study as it is readily available in most physiology centres. Prospective studies might identify even more informative measures.

From a pathophysiological viewpoint, the data provide support for the cross-sectional studies of Hogg and colleagues [4]. Observational studies of lung specimens from patients with COPD (10 of whom had features typical of AATD: panlobular emphysema, younger and lower smoking history) and emphysema have highlighted a major loss of small airways in the absence of emphysema, leading to the hypothesis that this is a precursor of alveolar destruction. Currently, pathological changes cannot be studied prospectively, but the physiological data presented here are consistent with this concept. A proportion of our patients underwent quantitative CT scan density analysis at baseline, and there was a relationship to MMEF values. Density relationships to the presence of emphysema have been studied extensively in the past, and the best data compared to pathological specimens remains that by Gevenois et al. [38], who determined that a
developing emphysema and COPD prior to changes in FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio. COPD, where re-evaluation of MMEF % pred may provide a screening tool to identify patients at risk of dysfunction might impact health status or subsequent FEV1 decline in this population. Thus, whatever the some patients with AATD, but the main question addressed here is whether current evidence of small airways occupations history. Both factors may affect small airways function and lung development in childhood in the group with normal spirometry includes no active smokers and only 21 ex-smokers, too small a proportion on diagnosis of AATD, thereby altering the natural history. Our current cohort of over 900 AATD subjects and of COPD in AATD and leads to a faster pathological progression. In addition, most individuals stop smoking never-smokers allows the natural history of AATD alone to be defined, as smoking accelerates the development of COPD in AATD and precedes the development of emphysema and COPD [5]. MMEF % pred may be a useful marker of early disease in these patients.

Apart from age and lung function, the only other clear differences between the groups were sex in group 2 (with a higher percentage of females having an MMEF ⩽80% pred without COPD) and a higher percentage of non-index cases in groups 1 and 2. Index status is to be expected, because family screening tends to identify patients with more preserved lung function than found in index cases [42]. It is unclear why more females were present in group 2 than groups 1 or 3. There is no published literature to suggest AATD females experience a faster decline than males. Although this could reflect sampling bias, this observation requires follow-up to determine its significance. Other studies have also looked at sex and respiratory symptoms, small airways responses and hyper-responsiveness, and there are conflicting results [43, 44]. This subject appears far less understood and far more complex than initially thought, but we have utilised percent predicted results in our analysis as these take into consideration sex and height differences in lung function to try and overcome the inherent size difference in the lungs of our patients.

The current study has excluded current or ex-smokers, which is both a strength and a weakness. Studying never-smokers allows the natural history of AATD alone to be defined, as smoking accelerates the development of COPD in AATD and leads to a faster pathological progression. In addition, most individuals stop smoking on diagnosis of AATD, thereby altering the natural history. Our current cohort of over 900 AATD subjects and the group with normal spirometry includes no active smokers and only 21 ex-smokers, too small a proportion to analyse meaningfully. This study does not include information about passive smoke exposure or occupational history. Both factors may affect small airways function and lung development in childhood in some patients with AATD, but the main question addressed here is whether current evidence of small airways dysfunction might impact health status or subsequent FEV1 decline in this population. Thus, whatever the preceding time course involved, tests consistent with SAD act as a marker of faster subsequent decline.

MMEF is affected by loss of elastic recoil (seen in emphysema), which results in expiratory airflow limitation due to dynamic airways compression. However, we have shown that a number of patients have severely reduced MMEF but no evidence of emphysema on CT scan. We suggest that this could reflect small airways dysfunction, but interpretation without pathology will require a more comprehensive long-term study.

In summary, the implications of our findings in AATD are two-fold. First, we now have physiological evidence to support the previous histological studies suggesting that SAD is an early feature of lung disease in AATD and precedes the development of emphysema and COPD [5]. MMEF % pred may be a useful marker of early disease in these patients.

Secondly, AATD patients with a reduced MMEF appear more likely to be “rapid decliners” [16] than those with preserved small airway function. Therefore, MMEF may be a more sensitive physiological marker of AATD patients at risk of decline than FEV1/FVC. There are also potential implications in non-AATD COPD, where re-evaluation of MMEF % pred may provide a screening tool to identify patients at risk of developing emphysema and COPD prior to changes in FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio.
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