Long-term outcome and safety of prolonged bedaquiline treatment for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis

Lorenzo Guglielmetti 1,2,7, Marie Jaspard3,7, Damien Le Dû1, Marie Lachâtre4, Dhiba Marigot-Outtandy1,5, Christine Bernard2,6, Nicolas Veziris2,6, Jérôme Robert2,6, Yazdan Yazdanpanah4, Eric Caumes3,8 and Mathilde Fréchet-Jachym1,8 for the French MDR-TB Management Group9

Affiliations: 1Sanatorium, Centre Hospitalier de Bligny, Briis-sous-Forges, France. 2Sorbonne Université, UPMC Université Paris 06, CR7, INSERM, U1135, Centre d’Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses, CIMI, Team E13 (Bactériologie), Paris, France. 3AP-HP, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitié-Salpêtrière-Charles Foix, Paris, France. 4AP-HP, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Hôpital Bichat Claude Bernard, Paris, France. 5AP-HP, Service de Médecine Aigue Spécialisée, Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Garches, France. 6AP-HP, Centre National de Référence des Mycobactéries et de la Résistance des Mycobactéries aux Antituberculeux (CNR-MyRMA), Bactériologie-Hygiène, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitié-Salpêtrière-Charles Foix, Paris, France. 7Both authors contributed equally to this work. 8Joint senior authors. 9A full list of the French MDR-TB Management Group members and their affiliations can be found in the Acknowledgements section.

Correspondence: Lorenzo Guglielmetti, Sanatorium, Centre Hospitalier de Bligny, rue de Bligny, 91640 Briis-sous-Forges, France. E-mail: lorenzo.guglielmetti@gmail.com

Treatment regimens including prolonged bedaquiline use are effective and overall well tolerated in MDR-TB patients http://ow.ly/vhtl305CYJj


ABSTRACT Bedaquiline, a recently approved drug for the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), is recommended for a duration of 24 weeks. There are scarce data on patients treated with this drug outside clinical trials.

All MDR-TB patients who started treatment from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2013 and received ≥30 days of bedaquiline were included in a multicentre observational cohort.

Among 45 MDR-TB patients, 53% harboured isolates resistant to both fluoroquinolones and second-line injectables, and 38% harboured isolates resistant to one of these drug classes. Median bedaquiline treatment duration was 361 days and 33 patients (73%) received prolonged (>190 days) bedaquiline treatment. Overall, 36 patients (80%) had favourable outcome, five were lost to follow-up, three died, and one failed and acquired bedaquiline resistance. No cases of recurrence were reported. Severe and serious adverse events were recorded in 60% and 18% of patients, respectively. Values of Fridericia-corrected QT interval (QTcF) >500 ms were recorded in 11% of patients, but neither arrhythmias nor symptomatic cardiac side-effects occurred. Bedaquiline was discontinued in three patients following QTcF prolongation. No significant differences in outcomes or adverse events rates were observed between patients receiving standard and prolonged bedaquiline treatment.

Bedaquiline-containing regimens achieved favourable outcomes in a large proportion of patients. Prolonged bedaquiline treatment was overall well tolerated in this cohort.
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Introduction

In 2014, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [1], 480 000 people were newly diagnosed with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), defined by resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin. Among MDR-TB cases, 9% had extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) (resistance to any fluoroquinolone and any second-line injectable drug) [1]. MDR-TB treatment outcomes, although heterogeneous in different settings, are overall unsatisfactory. A meta-analysis of MDR-TB patients showed that treatment success rates were 64% with individualised regimens and 54% with standardised treatment [2]. Two large meta-analyses comprising individual patient data consistently found overall treatment success rates of 54% and 56% [3, 4]. Treatment outcomes of XDR-TB are abysmal, ranging from 27% to 40% [3, 5]. A study assessing the long-term outcomes of XDR-TB patients reported 11% of favourable outcomes and 73% mortality at 5 years of follow-up [6].

In order to face this emerging challenge, old drugs have been repurposed and new drugs have been developed. Bedaquiline and delamanid have been recently approved for the treatment of MDR-TB. A phase II trial showed that bedaquiline improves treatment outcomes when compared with placebo [7]. In an uncontrolled phase II study, bedaquiline plus optimised background regimens achieved favourable outcomes in 62.5% of patients [8]. Preliminary reports of bedaquiline compassionate-use programmes confirm these promising results [9–15]. However, interim results also underline the risk of experiencing culture reversion after the discontinuation of bedaquiline [10]. To date, the WHO recommends the use of bedaquiline for a maximum duration of 24 weeks [16] as no evidence is available supporting longer use, except for one recent case report [17]. Nausea and hepatitis are the most common side-effects associated with bedaquiline [7–9]. However, the main safety concern is cardiotoxicity. Although no serious cardiac events or arrhythmias have been reported to date, bedaquiline has been shown to prolong the QT interval and the association with other drugs (such as clofazimine or moxifloxacin) can enhance this effect [8, 9].

Due to the long terminal half-life of bedaquiline, a cumulative effect of prolonged bedaquiline administration on the QT interval could be postulated. We previously reported the interim analysis of a cohort of MDR-TB patients receiving bedaquiline-containing regimens, with 6-month culture conversion rates of 96% and no relevant safety signals [9]. However, the flexibility of the regulatory framework of the compassionate-use programme in France has allowed the off-label use of bedaquiline beyond 24 weeks in selected patients. The aim of this observational analysis is to complement our previous findings with the evaluation, in a bigger cohort and up to 24 months after treatment completion, of the treatment outcome and safety profile of individualised anti-TB regimens containing prolonged bedaquiline treatment.

Methods

Patients and treatment

A retrospective cohort was established including all MDR-TB patients treated with bedaquiline from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2013 and hospitalised at three French referral TB centres (Bligny, Pitié Salpêtrière and Bichat Hospitals). Patients were followed up after the end of treatment for up to 24 months or to the censor date (March 31, 2016). All TB cases were culture-proven. Standard definitions of MDR-TB and XDR-TB were used, and treatment outcomes were assigned according to WHO definitions [16]. At the end of treatment, favourable outcomes were defined as the sum of cured and treatment completed; all other outcomes were defined as unfavourable. All patients with favourable outcomes were re-assessed at 12 and 24 months after end of treatment.

The treatment regimen was designed for each patient according to clinical features, phenotypic and genotypic drug susceptibility testing (DST) results with the advice of the MDR-TB Consilium of the French National Reference Centre, which assessed the eligibility for bedaquiline treatment and its prolongation beyond 24 weeks. The criteria that were used to identify eligible patients for bedaquiline prolongation were: delayed microbiological response, weak treatment regimens due to intolerance or drug resistance and/or individual risk factors for poor outcomes (table 1). In addition, all WHO-recommended requirements for bedaquiline use were met, including active pharmacovigilance and treatment monitoring [16].

Bedaquiline (Sirturo) was provided in the framework of the compassionate-use programme and was administered as recommended by the manufacturer (Janssen, Beerse, Belgium). Standard bedaquiline treatment was defined as ≤190 days, representing the standard duration of 24 weeks plus a buffer period of 3 weeks needed by the Consilium to assess bedaquiline treatment duration. Prolonged bedaquiline treatment was defined as >190 days. All drugs were administered as directly observed treatment during hospitalisation. Treatment and hospitalisation were offered free of charge to all patients, including migrants and refugees. All patients were informed of the mechanism of the compassionate-use programme and the safety profile of all drugs in the treatment regimen including bedaquiline. Data were retrospectively extracted from medical records. Human research ethics approval for the study was granted by the Institutional Review Board of Bligny Hospital.
Procedures
Sputum smear and culture examinations were performed at treatment start, fortnightly up to culture conversion and monthly thereafter. Time to smear/culture conversion was measured from treatment start to the first of two consecutive negative smear/culture results. Phenotypic DST for a panel of first- and second-line anti-TB drugs was performed at the National Reference Centre using the proportion method on Löwenstein–Jensen medium [18]. Genotypic DST was obtained with commercially available line probe assays (GenoType MTBDRplus and GenoType MTBDRsl; Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany) or DNA sequencing. From March 2013 onward, bedaquiline DST was performed on Löwenstein–Jensen medium using the proportion method and a 64 mg·L⁻¹ critical concentration for screening. Resistance was subsequently confirmed in TH11 medium. Bedaquiline DST was performed at baseline and repeated in case of suspicion of treatment failure. A standard 12-lead ECG was performed at baseline, at 2 weeks of treatment and monthly thereafter. QT interval correction was calculated according to Fridericia (QTcF) and Bazett (QTcB) [19]. A prolongation of the QT interval was defined as ≥60 ms increase during treatment. All adverse events were defined and graded according to severity and seriousness on the basis of the US National Institutes of Health Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0 [20]. Severe adverse events were defined as any event graded as level 3, 4 or 5. Causality of adverse events was evaluated according to the WHO-Uppsala Monitoring Centre system for standardised case causality assessment [21].

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were compared by using Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables were reported as median and interquartile range (IQR), and compared by using the two-sample Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test. Kaplan–Meier curves for culture conversion were estimated. The Mantel–Cox test was used to compare time to culture conversion. The association between variables and time to culture conversion was studied with a Cox proportional hazards model. Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate the association of QT interval prolongation and explanatory variables. Variables associated in univariate analysis (p<0.20) were considered for backward multivariable analysis. p-values <0.05 were considered as significant. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Results are reported according to the STROBE (strobe-statement.org) guidelines for observational cohort studies.

Results
Sociodemographic and disease characteristics
Among the 102 MDR-TB patients managed in the three centres during the study period, 45 patients (44.1%) were treated with bedaquiline: 36 (80.0%) were born in Eastern Europe/Caucasus countries (table 2). Co-infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HIV was present in 21 (46.7%) and two (4.4%) patients, respectively. 34 (75.6%) patients previously received TB treatment. Overall, 44 patients had pulmonary TB: 39 (88.6%) had lung cavities and 36 (81.8%) had bilateral lung involvement. More detailed baseline characteristics are reported in online supplementary table S1.
Resistance patterns and treatment

The majority of the patients had XDR-TB (n=24 (53.3%)). Out of the remaining patients, 11 (24.4%) had strains with additional resistance to fluoroquinolones and six (13.3%) had strains with additional resistance to any second-line injectable. Four (8.9%) had intolerance to either fluoroquinolones or second-line injectables. The strains showed phenotypical resistance to a median (IQR) of n=9 (7–11) drugs and n=5 (4–6) mutations in resistance-conferring genes. All tested strains were susceptible to bedaquiline at baseline (table 3). The most frequently prescribed companion drugs are listed in table 2. Median (IQR) treatment duration was 624 (546–730) days; injectables were administered for a median (IQR) of 341 (228–455) days.

The median (IQR) duration of bedaquiline administration was 360 (31–768) days. 15 patients (33.3%) received bedaquiline for the full treatment duration. Lung surgery, mostly lobectomy, was performed in 12 (26.7%) patients after a median (IQR) of 170 (75–269) days from treatment start and after sputum culture conversion in 75% of cases.

Treatment safety profile

During treatment, 44 (97.8%) patients experienced at least one adverse event (table 4). The most frequent adverse events were gastrointestinal side-effects (n=32 (71.1%)), ototoxicity (n=25 (55.6%)) and peripheral neuropathy (n=18 (40.9%)). Severe and serious adverse events were recorded in 27 (60.0%) and seven (17.8%) patients, respectively. The most common severe adverse events were peripheral neuropathy (n=13) and QTc prolongation (n=8). Severe and serious adverse events are detailed in online supplementary table S2. Bedaquiline was discontinued in three (6.7%) patients due to QTc prolongation after 31, 203 and 279 days of treatment, respectively. One patient experienced uncomplicated pancreatitis a few weeks after bedaquiline discontinuation.

### TABLE 2 Sociodemographic characteristics, disease features and treatment regimens of the patient cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foreign-born</td>
<td>44 (97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe and Caucasus region</td>
<td>36 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>5 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection</td>
<td>2 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV infection</td>
<td>21 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous drug use with methadone substitution</td>
<td>6 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis localisation</td>
<td>44 (97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral lung involvement#</td>
<td>36 (81.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavities on chest radiography#</td>
<td>39 (88.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum smear positive at treatment start</td>
<td>42 (93.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum culture-positive at treatment start</td>
<td>41 (91.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any previous tuberculosis treatment</td>
<td>34 (75.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs contained in the treatment regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazinamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capreomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moxifloxacin [400/800 mg daily]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin [1000 mg daily]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethionamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Aminosalicylic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycloserine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clofazimine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem/clavulanic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem/clavulanic acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Age at admission years                   | 38 [30–42] |
| Serum albumin g·dL⁻¹                      | 32.5 [27.5–36.9] |
| BMI kg·m⁻²                               | 19.6 [17.8–22.0] |

Drugs included in the treatment regimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs included in the treatment regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (6–8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n, n (%) or median (interquartile range). HCV: hepatitis C virus; BMI: body mass index. #: n=44 subjects.
With regard to the QT interval, only QTcF results will be reported, as no significant difference between QTcF and QTcB results was observed. Figure 1 shows the evolution of QTcF during treatment in the cohort. Overall, QTcF prolongation occurred in 13 (28.9%) patients. QTcF >500 ms was recorded in five (11.1%) patients, all belonging to the prolonged bedaquiline group; in three cases the QTcF prolongation occurred during the first 24 weeks of treatment. Median QTcF values remained stable during the whole treatment duration in the prolonged bedaquiline group. The median (IQR) maximum QTcF increase was 36.2 (17.9–68.5) ms. In logistic regression analysis, both QTcF >60 ms increase and QTcF >500 ms were independently associated with coadministration of moxifloxacin at 800 mg·day⁻¹ after adjustment for age, sex and treatment with other QT-prolonging drugs. Methadone treatment was equally associated with QTcF >500 ms. No association was found with treatment with clofazimine, levofloxacin or moxifloxacin at 400 mg·day⁻¹. The median of the maximum QTcF increase during treatment was significantly higher in patients treated with high-dose moxifloxacin (data not shown). Neither clinical arrhythmia nor any cardiac event were observed.

**Treatment outcomes**

Out of 41 patients with positive sputum cultures at treatment start, 23 (56.1%) and 40 (97.6%) achieved culture conversion at 90 and 180 days, respectively. One patient achieved culture conversion at 8 months of treatment.

### TABLE 3 Baseline phenotypic and genotypic resistance patterns of the isolated strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic (gene)</th>
<th>Phenotypic resistance</th>
<th>Genotypic resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin (rpoB)</td>
<td>45/45 (100)</td>
<td>45/45 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid (inhA promoter, katG)</td>
<td>45/45 (100)</td>
<td>45/45 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazinamide (pncA)</td>
<td>17/19 (91.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol (embB)</td>
<td>33/44 (75.0)</td>
<td>18/45 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>41/45 (91.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin (rrs)</td>
<td>14/45 (31.1)</td>
<td>12/45 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin (rrs)</td>
<td>28/45 (62.2)</td>
<td>12/45 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capreomycin (rrs)</td>
<td>17/45 (37.8)</td>
<td>12/45 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin (gyrA, gyrB)</td>
<td>35/45 (77.8)</td>
<td>33/45 (73.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moxifloxacin</td>
<td>24/45 (53.3)</td>
<td>33/45 (73.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethionamide (inhA promoter, ethA, ethR)</td>
<td>38/44 (86.4)</td>
<td>38/44 (86.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Aminosalicylic acid</td>
<td>14/45 (31.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycloserine</td>
<td>24/45 (54.5)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>0/45</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedaquiline</td>
<td>0/22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n resistant strains/n tested strains (%) for each drug. ND: not done. Sequenced genes and promoters are specified for each drug. #: moxifloxacin was tested at 2 mg·L⁻¹ in order to distinguish between low- and high-level resistance; strains that were ofloxacin resistant but moxifloxacin susceptible were considered low-level resistant to moxifloxacin.

With regard to the QT interval, only QTcF results will be reported, as no significant difference between QTcF and QTcB results was observed. Figure 1 shows the evolution of QTcF during treatment in the cohort. Overall, QTcF prolongation occurred in 13 (28.9%) patients. QTcF >500 ms was recorded in five (11.1%) patients, all belonging to the prolonged bedaquiline group; in three cases the QTcF prolongation occurred during the first 24 weeks of treatment. Median QTcF values remained stable during the whole treatment duration in the prolonged bedaquiline group. The median (IQR) maximum QTcF increase was 36.2 (17.9–68.5) ms. In logistic regression analysis, both QTcF >60 ms increase and QTcF >500 ms were independently associated with coadministration of moxifloxacin at 800 mg·day⁻¹ after adjustment for age, sex and treatment with other QT-prolonging drugs. Methadone treatment was equally associated with QTcF >500 ms. No association was found with treatment with clofazimine, levofloxacin or moxifloxacin at 400 mg·day⁻¹. The median of the maximum QTcF increase during treatment was significantly higher in patients treated with high-dose moxifloxacin (data not shown). Neither clinical arrhythmia nor any cardiac event were observed.

### TABLE 4 Treatment safety in the whole cohort and comparison between patients receiving standard (≤190 days) or prolonged (>190 days) bedaquiline treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Whole cohort</th>
<th>Standard bedaquiline treatment</th>
<th>Prolonged bedaquiline treatment</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse event</td>
<td>44 (97.8)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
<td>32 (97.0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any severe adverse event</td>
<td>28 (62.2)</td>
<td>5 (41.7)</td>
<td>23 (69.7)</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any serious adverse event</td>
<td>7 (15.6)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one drug stopped due to adverse events</td>
<td>37 (82.2)</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
<td>29 (87.9)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedaquiline stopped due to adverse events</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver enzyme elevation</td>
<td>17 (37.8)</td>
<td>6 (50.0)</td>
<td>11 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatitis</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcF &gt;500 ms</td>
<td>5 (11.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (15.2)</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcF &gt;60 ms increase</td>
<td>13 (28.9)</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum QTcF increase during treatment</td>
<td>36.2 (17.9–68.5)</td>
<td>31.9 (16.0–73.3)</td>
<td>41.6 (19.7–63.7)</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n, n (%) or median [interquartile range], unless otherwise stated. QTcF: Fridericia-corrected QT interval. #: comparison between patients with standard and prolonged bedaquiline treatment, calculated with Wilcoxon’s test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables.
Median (IQR) time to sputum smear and culture conversion was 90 (36–173) and 89 (45–107) days, respectively (table 5). In a multivariate Cox proportional hazard model, factors independently associated with faster time to culture conversion were HCV-negativity (hazard ratio (HR) 2.64, 95% CI 1.34–5.19; p=0.021), the absence of lung cavities (HR 4.56, 95% CI 1.41–14.75; p=0.011) and higher serum albumin levels at treatment start (HR 1.09, 95% CI 1.02–1.16; p=0.010). No association was found between prolonged bedaquiline treatment and time to culture conversion after adjustment (table 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 5 Treatment outcomes of the whole cohort and comparison between patients receiving standard (≤190 days) or prolonged (&gt;190 days) bedaquiline treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Favourable outcomes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unfavourable outcomes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up at 12 months</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up at 24 months</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to sputum smear conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to sputum culture conversion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n, n (%) or median (interquartile range), unless otherwise stated. a: comparison between patients with standard and prolonged bedaquiline treatment, calculated with Wilcoxon’s test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables; †: patients eligible for follow-up are those with favourable outcomes at the previous time point (12 months: n=36 whole cohort, n=9 standard treatment and n=27 prolonged treatment; 24 months: n=23 whole cohort, n=4 standard treatment and n=19 prolonged treatment).
At the end of treatment, 36 of the 45 (80.0%) patients had favourable outcome. Nine (20.0%) had unfavourable outcome, including three deaths and one treatment failure with acquisition of resistance to bedaquiline. During post-treatment follow-up, one patient died before the 12-month end-point and one died before the 24-month end-point. No recurrences were recorded in the cohort (table 5). The characteristics of patients who died or experienced treatment failure are summarised in table 7. With regard to causality assessment, bedaquiline was considered as unlikely related to all deaths and other serious adverse events.

Comparison of standard and prolonged bedaquiline treatment regimens

Overall, 12 (26.7%) and 33 (73.3%) patients received standard (median (IQR) 183 (168–185) days) and prolonged (median (IQR) 418 (292–665) days) bedaquiline treatment. Patients receiving prolonged bedaquiline treatment were more often previously treated for TB (p<0.001). They were more likely to have XDR-TB, bilateral lung involvement, cavitary TB and strains with resistance to a greater number of drugs, although these differences did not reach statistical significance (online supplementary table S3). No significant differences were recorded between the two groups regarding the incidence of total, severe and serious adverse events, including liver enzyme elevation. No statistical difference was found in the rate of QTcF prolongation and QTcF >500 ms nor in the maximum QTcF increase recorded during treatment (table 4). Patients in the prolonged treatment group were more frequently sputum culture-positive at treatment start (p=0.048) and had slower time to culture conversion (91 versus 71 days; p=0.021) (figure 2). Favourable and unfavourable treatment outcome rates at the end of treatment and during post-treatment follow-up were comparable between the two groups (table 5).

Discussion

We report successful outcomes in 80% of MDR-TB patients treated with bedaquiline-containing regimens, with a high rate of adverse events.

This rate of success is remarkable, as our cohort included a substantial number of XDR-TB patients, HCV-infected cases and intravenous drug abusers undergoing methadone treatment. Similar treatment outcomes are described in other high-resource settings, but these studies included fewer XDR-TB patients [22–24]. Previous studies including XDR-TB patients with low HIV co-infection rates as in our cohort reported lower success rates [25, 26]. Our results could be explained by multiple factors: treatment follow-up in specialised centres with comprehensive patient support and appropriate management of adverse events, free-of-charge treatment and social support for precarious populations, availability of reliable DST by a reference laboratory, and tailored treatment regimens including lung surgery [27].

The duration of bedaquiline treatment was established according to individual clinical evaluation. Interestingly, no differences in terms of efficacy and tolerance were found between standard and prolonged bedaquiline groups, although the latter group arguably contained more difficult-to-treat patients who achieved delayed

---

**TABLE 6 Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models assessing the association of factors with time to culture conversion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Univariate HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Multivariate HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.54 [0.24–1.22]</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>2.35 [1.16–4.88]</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV-negative</td>
<td>1.11 [1.05–1.18]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.09 [1.02–1.16]</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of lung cavities</td>
<td>5.35 [1.70–16.87]</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>4.56 [1.41–14.75]</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral lung involvement</td>
<td>0.31 [0.13–0.73]</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum smear positive at treatment start</td>
<td>0.16 [0.02–1.34]</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with ethambutol</td>
<td>0.52 [0.27–1.02]</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with pyrazinamide</td>
<td>0.52 [0.27–1.02]</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with any second-line injectable</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with any fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>1.71 [0.89–3.29]</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with ethionamide</td>
<td>2.09 [0.97–4.53]</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard bedaquiline treatment duration</td>
<td>0.39 [0.17–0.89]</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: hazard ratio; HCV: hepatitis C virus.
sputum culture conversion. Moreover, prolonged bedaquiline treatment courses could partly explain the better outcomes in our cohort with regard to bedaquiline treatment arms of the published phase II clinical trials [7, 8]. Notably, almost all the patients in our cohort received linezolid, a drug shown to improve treatment outcomes.

### TABLE 7 Summary of the characteristics and evolution of patients who died or experienced treatment failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>TB diagnosis</th>
<th>Initial treatment regimen</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Treatment failure</td>
<td>Extended pulmonary XDR-TB</td>
<td>BDQ, AM, ETO, PAS, LZD, CFZ</td>
<td>LZD, PAS and AM had to be stopped because of peripheral neuropathy, gastrointestinal intolerance and hearing loss. After achieving initial culture conversion, the patient reverted to sputum culture-positive at 14 months of treatment, acquiring resistance to BDQ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Extended pulmonary MDR-TB</td>
<td>AM, MFX, CS, PAS, LZD, CFZ</td>
<td>The patient died from dissemination of a pharyngolaryngeal cancer after 9 months of treatment. BDQ was started at month 4 and stopped at month 5 because of QTcF interval prolongation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Extended pulmonary XDR-TB</td>
<td>BDQ, CS, PAS, LZD, IPM/CLN, AMX/CLV</td>
<td>The patient achieved sputum culture conversion at month 4 of treatment. At month 15 of treatment, he developed peripheral neuropathy, difficulty swallowing, myositis, myoclonia and psychiatric disorders. He died 1 month later with no obvious diagnosis. No signs of serotonin syndrome were present and the patient did not receive any serotonin-inducing concomitant medication. Autopsy found no explanation for the death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Extended pulmonary XDR-TB</td>
<td>BDQ, AM, PAS, LZD, IPM/CLN, AMX/CLV</td>
<td>The patient achieved sputum culture conversion at month 4 of treatment, after performing lung surgery. From month 9 of treatment, he gradually developed peripheral neuropathy and neuropsychiatric disorders. After a septic shock due to catheter infection at month 21, he was diagnosed with polyradiculoneuropathy and brainstem disorder. He died a few days later. No signs of serotonin syndrome were present and the patient did not receive any serotonin-inducing concomitant medication. Autopsy was not performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Death (during follow-up)</td>
<td>Extended pulmonary XDR-TB</td>
<td>BDQ, CM, MFX, CFZ, LZD, IPM/CLN, AMX/CLV</td>
<td>The patient underwent lung surgery at month 1 and was declared cured after 19 months of treatment. He died of an overdose of recreational drugs 1 month after the end of treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Death (during follow-up)</td>
<td>Extended pulmonary XDR-TB</td>
<td>BDQ, E, Z, AM, MFX, PAS, LZD</td>
<td>The patient suffered from type 1 diabetes and chronic renal insufficiency. He was declared cured after 18 months of treatment and had no sign of recurrence. He died of terminal renal failure 20 months after the end of treatment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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