Physical activity, airway resistance and small airway dysfunction in severe asthma

To the Editor:

Asthma is a chronic airway disease with a heterogeneous clinical presentation [1], and a subset of ∼5–10% of patients suffer from severe disease [2]. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is different from asthma with respect to underlying pathobiology but shares some clinical features with severe asthma, for instance fixed airway obstruction and small airway dysfunction [3, 4]. In COPD, the consequences of the disease for physical activity in daily life have been studied intensively [5–7]; in contrast, only few data are available on patients with asthma [8]. Measurements of physical activity in adult patients with stable asthma of different severity grades are lacking, and associations with airway physiology have not been evaluated.

We aimed to measure physical activity in adult patients with mild-to-moderate and severe asthma. Furthermore, we aimed to evaluate the relationship between physical activity and lung function, including small airway dysfunction, a clinically relevant feature of asthma that might have been underestimated thus far [9].

We prospectively recruited patients with an established diagnosis of asthma and healthy controls at the Pulmonary Research Institute at LungClinic Grosshansdorf (Germany) and at the inpatient and outpatient departments of LungClinic Grosshansdorf and Research Center Borstel (Germany) between March 2014 and September 2015, after obtaining their written informed consent (local ethics approval at Medical School Luebeck, Germany; Az. 12-215).

Patients had to be in a stable phase of their disease and exclusion criteria were 1) an exacerbation or respiratory tract infection within 4 weeks prior to the study visit; and 2) presence of any severe comorbidity that could interfere with physical activity. Recruited asthma patients were classified as having either mild-to-moderate or severe asthma according to current European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society guidelines [2]. Patients had to be in specialist care for >3 months, and factors that made asthma “difficult to control” had to be addressed adequately [2]. Included asthma patients were allowed to be smokers, to avoid significant selection bias. Patients identified as smokers (i.e. current smokers or former smokers with ≥10 pack-years) were accurately screened for features distinguishing asthma from COPD [3], and excluded from the study if features of COPD currently prevailed [4].

We measured physical activity for 1 week using an established multisensory activity monitor (SenseWear Pro Armband; BodyMedia, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) validated for patients with COPD [5]. We analysed average steps per day (SPD) and average minutes of at least moderate activity per day (MMA; any energy expenditure >3 METs). We used a threshold of 94% of wearing time (22.5 h) to identify valid days and excluded subjects with fewer than five valid days from analyses [5].

We performed spirometry [10], body plethysmography [11] and impulse oscillometry [12], according to current guidelines. All lung function measures were performed in the morning and patients used their controller medication as usual. We studied airflow limitation using forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and peak expiratory flow (PEF); airway resistance using specific effective airway resistance (sReff; body plethysmography) and resistance at 5 Hz (Rs; impulse oscillometry); and small airway dysfunction using frequency dependence of resistance (fdRabs (Rs–R50); impulse oscillometry) [13].

We performed data analysis using the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS Statistics version 20.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Data are presented as mean±SD or median (interquartile range), depending on distributional characteristics.
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We enrolled 146 patients with asthma (severe asthma n=63; mild-to-moderate asthma n=83) and 29 healthy controls. Patients with severe asthma (mean age 55.5 years, 49% male, mean body mass index (BMI) 28.2 kg·m\(^{-2}\), 22% smokers, n=24 (38%) on oral corticosteroids, mean FEV\(_1\) 73.3% pred and median \(f/dR_{abs}\) 0.16 (0.09–0.25) Hz) performed 6174 (4822–9277) SPD on average, compared to 7831 (6534–10 252) SPD in patients with mild-to-moderate asthma (mean age 48.1 years, 47% male, mean BMI 26.1 kg·m\(^{-2}\), 24% smokers, mean FEV\(_1\) 88.7% pred, median \(f/dR_{abs}\) 0.09 (0.04–0.14) Hz) and 8912 (6800–11 127) SPD in healthy controls (mean age 42.1 years, 62% male, mean BMI 24.4 kg·m\(^{-2}\), 3% smokers, mean FEV\(_1\) 105.8% pred, median \(f/dR_{abs}\) 0.03 (0.02–0.05) Hz) (figure 1a). Average minutes of moderate activity was 125 (68–172) min in severe asthma, 151 (99–197) min in mild-to-moderate asthma and 163 (110–207) min in healthy controls. In patients with severe asthma, SPD and MMA were significantly reduced, by 21% and 17%, respectively compared to mild-to-moderate asthma (ANOVA p<0.05), and by 31% and 23%,

**Figure 1**

a) Number of steps per day (SPD) in healthy controls, patients with mild-to-moderate asthma and severe asthma. In unadjusted analyses (ANOVA), SPD were significantly different across groups (p<0.001). b–f) Distribution of SPD across quartiles of b) forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV\(_1\)) % predicted, c) peak expiratory flow (PEF), d) specific effective airway resistance (s\(R_{eff}\)), e) resistance at 5 Hz (\(R_5\)) and f) frequency dependence of resistance (\(f/dR_{abs}\)) in asthmatic patients. Most impaired lung function may either be represented in the lowest quartile (FEV\(_1\) % pred or PEF) or in the highest quartile (s\(R_{eff}\), \(R_5\) or \(f/dR_{abs}\)), and in both cases is displayed on the far right in each graph. Bars represent the mean±SE for each quartile of each lung function parameter. ANOVAs were performed to compare SPD between quartiles. In these unadjusted analyses, lowest quartile and highest quartile were significantly different for s\(R_{eff}\) (p=0.005), \(R_5\) (p=0.003) and \(f/dR_{abs}\) (p<0.001).
Reduced levels of objectively measured physical activity in patients with difficult-to-control asthma have been reported by Van ’t Hul et al. [8]. However, some of the limitation of physical activity in that study may not only be a consequence of the disease, but rather a consequence of obesity [14] and of other factors associated with difficult-to-control asthma [2]. In our population of stable, severe asthmatics, we observed a clinically meaningful difference in physical activity compared to both healthy controls and patients with mild-to-moderate asthma, indicating that severity might be a key contributor to physical activity limitation in asthma.

Most patients with severe asthma in our study had fixed airflow limitation in spirometry (i.e. FEV1/forced vital capacity ratio <0.7), a feature shared with patients with COPD [15]. The level of physical activity in patients with severe asthma in our study is comparable to that of patients with COPD with Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage II–III in a previous study [5]. Interestingly, COPD patients in that study were 10 years older and had more severe airflow limitation, indicating that different airway diseases may have a variable effect on daily physical activity [6], not necessarily indicated by the degree of airflow limitation.

Airflow limitation is the gold standard in lung function assessment of patients with asthma and the only marker of airway physiology reflected in the definition of severe asthma [2]. Interestingly, FEV1 and PEF were rather poor markers of physical activity in asthma in our study. In contrast, impulse oscillometric measures of airway resistance and small airway dysfunction were more closely related to daily physical activity levels. Furthermore, patients with asthma with highly abnormal Rs and fΔRabs performed similar numbers of steps compared to patients with severe asthma and patients with normal impulse oscillometry values performed similar numbers of steps compared to healthy subjects. Interestingly, values for small airway dysfunction overlapped greatly in patients with severe asthma and mild-to-moderate asthma. This might indicate that impulse oscillometric assessment of airway resistance, and especially small airway dysfunction in a tidal breathing manoeuvre might enhance our understanding of the consequences of the disease for everyday life, irrespective of the current concept of disease severity in asthma.
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