Deterioration of pulmonary hypertension and pleural effusion with bosutinib following dasatinib lung toxicity

To the Editor:

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) targeting BCR/ABL such as imatinib, nilotinib, dasatinib, bosutinib and ponatinib have revolutionised the management of patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) [1]. Pleural effusions and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) have been reported in patients treated with these agents [2–4]. These side-effects are more frequently observed with dasatinib use, with partial or complete reversibility after drug withdrawal [5]. Bosutinib is a second-generation TKI prescribed in case of intolerance or resistance to imatinib, nilotinib or dasatinib. In the present report, we describe two cases of worsening of dasatinib-induced PAH and two cases of severe pleural effusions, suggesting overlapping pulmonary toxicity of bosutinib and dasatinib.

Patient 1, a 44-year-old Caucasian woman with CML, was successively treated with hydroxycarbamide, imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib. After 3 years on dasatinib, she complained of exertional dyspnoea, New York Heart Association functional class (NYHA FC) II. Echocardiography showed a dilated right ventricle and an estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) of 80 mmHg. Right heart catheterisation demonstrated pre-capillary pulmonary hypertension (mean PAP 34 mmHg, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) 9 mmHg, cardiac index (CI) 3.8 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) 3.7 Wood Units (WU)). A diagnosis of PAH induced by dasatinib was established and the patient was switched to bosutinib (500 mg once daily). After an initial clinical improvement, the patient’s condition deteriorated rapidly after 2 months on bosutinib (NYHA FC IV with right heart failure and pericardial effusion). Right heart catheterisation confirmed haemodynamic deterioration (mean PAP 50 mmHg, CI 3.1 L·min⁻¹·m⁻², PCWP 6 mmHg and PVR 8.45 WU). Bosutinib was discontinued and bosentan initiated with a rapid improvement (NYHA FC I). At 6 months, 6-min walk distance was 474 m and right heart catheterisation showed haemodynamic improvement (mean PAP 27 mmHg, PCWP 5 mmHg, CI 3 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² and PVR 4.1 WU).

Patient 2, a 48-year-old Caucasian woman with CML, was treated with imatinib during 2 years followed by dasatinib. After 2 years on dasatinib, she started complaining of exertional dyspnoea and pulmonary hypertension was suspected on echocardiography. Combined pre- and post-capillary pulmonary hypertension was diagnosed (mean PAP 45 mmHg, systolic PAP 75 mmHg, diastolic PAP 32 mmHg, right atrial pressure 9 mmHg, PCWP 19 mmHg, CI 3.1 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² and PVR 4.6 WU). Dasatinib was switched to nilotinib and then imatinib. In October 2012, 7 months after dasatinib withdrawal, right heart catheterisation showed persistence of PAH and tadalafil was initiated. In June 2013, the patient had mild pre-capillary pulmonary hypertension (mean PAP 30 mmHg, PCWP 8 mmHg, CI 3.9 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² and PVR 2.7 WU). In November 2013, imatinib was switched to bosutinib (500 mg once daily) because of unsatisfactory haematological response. After 4 months of bosutinib, the patient deteriorated (NYHA FC III) and right heart catheterisation documented a marked haemodynamic deterioration (mean PAP 47 mmHg, PCWP 15 mmHg, CI 3.4 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² and PVR 4.8 WU). As there were limited therapeutic alternatives, bosutinib was continued with a dose reduction at 300 mg once daily. A persistent haemodynamic aggravation was confirmed in March 2016 (mean PAP 53 mmHg, PCWP 13 mmHg, CI 2.6 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² and PVR 7.7 WU). Ambrisentan was combined with tadalafil and bosutinib was stopped.

Patient 3, a 79-year-old Caucasian woman with CML receiving imatinib since 2014, was switched to dasatinib (100 mg once daily) in August 2014 because of a skin rash. At this time, echocardiography was normal. In March 2015, the patient started complaining of exertional dyspnoea revealing moderate bilateral pleural effusions. Dasatinib was continued at the same dose and finally switched to bosutinib in July 2015 because of persistence of minimal pleural effusion despite diuretics. One month later, dyspnoea worsened due to an abundant bilateral lymphocyte-rich pleural exudate. Management included bosutinib withdrawal, diuretic and prednisolone (30 mg). The patient’s condition improved rapidly and corticosteroid was gradually discontinued without relapse.

Patient 4, a 81-year-old Caucasian woman with CML diagnosed in 2005, was treated with imatinib for 2 years followed by dasatinib. In March 2009, dasatinib was switched to nilotinib because of pleural and pericardial effusion, which rapidly disappeared after dasatinib withdrawal. In October 2014, treatment was changed to bosutinib (400 mg once daily). Six months after, exertional dyspnoea revealed mild bilateral pleural effusions. Bosutinib was continued and 6 months later the clinical condition deteriorated with...
severe bilateral pleural and pericardial effusions. The analysis of the pleural fluid showed lymphocyte-rich pleural exudate. Bosutinib was discontinued and the pleural effusion rapidly regressed.

We report four cases of pulmonary vascular and pleural complications of bosutinib, a novel TKI approved for patients with CML. As a result of the structural conservation of the ATP-binding pocket between diverse protein kinases, TKI selectivity is often a critical issue for the development of these compounds and explains why most TKIs have inhibitory actions against a wide variety of kinases. Since multiple signalling pathways are involved in most tumours, the possibility to inhibit several kinases simultaneously sometimes represents an advantage. However, overall toxicity of TKIs may also be related to the nonselective inhibition of several kinases. Until now, pulmonary side-effects, including pleural effusions and PAH, have been more frequently reported with dasatinib than with other TKIs. Indeed, dasatinib-induced exudative pleural effusion is a frequent adverse event ranging from 15% to 35% [2, 3, 5–7]. Although the known incidence of bosutinib-induced pleural effusion is considered to be rare [8, 9], we report two cases of exudative lymphocytic pleural effusions that rapidly regressed after bosutinib withdrawal. Dasatinib may also induce PAH with an estimated lowest incidence of PAH of 0.45% [3]. We recently demonstrated that dasatinib causes pulmonary vascular damage through mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production in a dose-dependent manner, leading to increased susceptibility to develop PAH [10]. Unique to dasatinib-mediated apoptosis in pulmonary endothelial cells is not dependent of Src kinase inhibition [10]. In addition, bosutinib is a known potent inhibitor of fibroblast growth factor receptor and mitogen-activated protein kinases that are known signalling pathways involved in endothelial cell survival [12, 13], therefore we hypothesise that a potential mechanism could be the apoptosis of endothelial cells. As only a minority of patients exposed to TKIs will develop PAH, it is likely that other cofactors are required for the development of pleuro-pulmonary complications [14]. In the presented case reports it should be emphasised that the younger patients developed PAH while the older patients developed pleural effusions. Although this limited case series is insufficient to conclude an age effect, it is in accordance with the previous published reports of dasatinib-induced PAH where the median age was about 50 years old [3].

In conclusion, physicians should be aware of pulmonary complications of bosutinib therapy and of potential cross-intolerance with dasatinib. When unexplained dyspnoea occurs in patients treated with TKIs, chest radiography and transthoracic echocardiography should be performed in order to identify possible pleural or pulmonary vascular complications [15].
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