

European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2013

Abstract Number: 5416

Publication Number: P4928

Abstract Group: 2.1. Acute Critical Care

Keyword 1: Respiratory muscle **Keyword 2:** Mechanical ventilation **Keyword 3:** No keyword

Title: A new method to measure cough pressure in intubated patients. Validity and usefulness as a predictor of extubation failure in ICU patients having succeeded a spontaneous breathing trial

Dr. Manuel 31163 Baz mbaz@hc.edu.uy MD ^{1,2}, Dr. Estrella 31164 Echavarria eechavarria@adinet.com.uy MD ^{1,2}, Dr. Pedro 31165 Alzugaray alzugara@hc.edu.uy MD ^{1,2}, Prof. Dr Alberto 31166 Biestro mapibies@adinet.com.uy MD ¹, Prof. Dr Mario 31167 Cancela mcancela@hc.edu.uy MD ¹ and Prof. Dr Julio 31250 Cabrera jcabrera@cenaque.org.uy ^{1,2}. ¹ Intensive Care Department, Hospital De Clinicas. FMED. UDELAR, Montevideo, Uruguay, 11600 and ² Intensive Care Department, Centro Nacional De Quemados. HC. FMED. UDELAR, Montevideo, Uruguay, 11600 .

Body: We aimed to validate a new equipment and method to measure cough pressure developed at the tube cuff as a predictor of successful extubation in a Burn ICU. Methods: once extubation criteria met and a spontaneous breathing trial succeeded, we measured: cough pressure with a new device (mmHg)(CPd), cough pressure with a commercial handheld dynamometry (mmHg)(CPhhd), and used a BICORE to measure Cough Peak Flow (L/sec)(CpF), Maximal Inspiratory Pressure (mmHg)(MIP), Tidal Volume (mL)(Vt), Respiratory Rate (RR). Extubation failure expressed the reintubation within the 48 hours. We measured morphine dose 4 hours prior extubation and clinical characteristics. T test (Bonferroni corrected), Pearson correlation, Bland Altman agreement and multiple regression analysis were used. Results: We studied 40 patients, 39,5 ± 25,7 years old. Admission criteria: inhalation injury in 38 cases and polyvalent disease in 2 cases. 4 patients failed extubation (10%). CPd vs CPhhd comparasion showed a significant correlation (r=0,91) and a Bland – Altman plot analysis of the differences showed significant agreement. CPd and CPF showed a significant correlation. Patients succeeded vs failed showed: Ve 2.9 ± 0.99 vs 2.4 ± 0.28 (*), CPF 1.47 ± 0.13 vs 1.23 ± 0.15 (*), MIP 38.2 ± 3.36 vs 31.0 ± 2.94 (*). CPd 54.5 ± 9.3 vs 23.0 ± 3.5 (*). CPd best related to extubation success (*). Dose of morphine (mg) was 1,20 ± 0,77 vs 2,60 ± 0,66 (*). (*) means p minor 0,05. Conclusions: We could perform and validate the method, and CPd was the best extubation success predictor. A hypodynamic scenario was due to sedative agents.