

# European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2013

**Abstract Number:** 1108

**Publication Number:** P4120

**Abstract Group:** 5.1. Airway Pharmacology and Treatment

**Keyword 1:** Asthma - management **Keyword 2:** Bronchodilators **Keyword 3:** Treatments

**Title:** A double-blind, double-dummy, randomized study of beclomethasone/formoterol versus fluticasone/salmeterol in treatment of moderate to severe asthma in Taiwan

Dr. Meng-Jer 2880 Hsieh mengjer@adm.cgmh.org.tw MD <sup>1</sup>, Dr. Ruay-Sheng 2881 Lai rslai@vghks.gov.tw MD <sup>2</sup>, Dr. Chien-Liang 2882 Wu wuchienliang100@pchome.com.tw MD <sup>3</sup>, Dr. Chun-Liang 2884 Lai albertla@ms8.hinet.net MD <sup>4</sup>, Dr. Chin-Chou 2885 Wang ccwang52@adm.cgmh.org.tw MD <sup>5</sup>, Dr. Dian-Wang 2883 Peng dwperng@vghtpe.gov.tw MD <sup>6</sup> and Prof. Dr Ying-Huang 2886 Tsai chestmed@adm.cgmh.org.tw MD <sup>7</sup>. <sup>1</sup> Thoracic Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, Taiwan ; <sup>2</sup> Internal Medicine, Veterans General Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan ; <sup>3</sup> Internal Medicine, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan ; <sup>4</sup> Pulmonary Medicine, Tzu Chi General Hospital, Dalin, Taiwan ; <sup>5</sup> Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan ; <sup>6</sup> Chest, Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan and <sup>7</sup> Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chiayi, Taiwan .

**Body:** Background Inadequate asthma control by ICS alone asks for the combination with LABA, and the extrafine formulation of BDP/F allows 2.5-fold lower dose of BDP to achieve the same efficacy. This study compared the efficacy, safety and tolerability of BDP/F with those of FP/S in patients with moderate to severe asthma. Objective To show that the onset action of BDP/F was superior to that of FP/S in patients with moderate to severe asthma. Methods: This was a double-blind, double-dummy, randomized, multicenter study. A total of 253 patients whose asthma was not adequately controlled after 2-week run-in period were randomized to receive BDP/F or FP/S treatment for 12 weeks. Results The superiority of BDP/F over FP/S in the change from baseline pre-dose FEV<sub>1</sub> to week 12 post-dose 5-min FEV<sub>1</sub> was not demonstrated in this study (BDP/F: 0.20L, FP/S: 0.14L; p>0.05). FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC increased significantly immediately after inhalation of BDP/F (from 5 min). Similar results were found in the FP/S group in terms of FEV<sub>1</sub>, but FVC did not improve significantly until 30 min after inhalation of FP/S. Patients who received BDP/F showed significantly higher improvements in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC at post-dose 5 min as compared with those who received FP/S. Both groups showed comparable results in PEF, asthma control rate and use of rescue medication. Conclusions BDP/F was as efficacious as FP/S in improving pulmonary function, PEF, and asthma control. Furthermore, a trend of more rapid onset of action was found in the BDP/F group. The safety profile showed that both BDP/F and FP/S were well-tolerate in Taiwanese patients with moderate to severe asthma.