



# Inaccuracy of pulse oximetry in darker-skinned patients is unchanged across 32 years

Copyright ©The authors 2022. For reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

Received: 11 March 2022  
Accepted: 15 March 2022

To the Editor:

We read with interest the recent report in the *European Respiratory Journal* by CROOKS *et al.* [1], who document greater errors with pulse oximetry in patients who have dark skin pigmentation than in white patients. The study is important because of the large number of data points collected prospectively.

The authors stress that pulse oximeters tend to provide falsely high oxygen saturations, as reflected by positive mean differences between paired measurements of pulse oximetry and true invasive arterial saturations. That is correct, but the reported 95% confidence limits, ranging from  $-25.9\%$  to  $36.8\%$ , indicate that pulse oximetry also provides falsely low oxygen saturations in black patients [1].

In the 32 years since we first reported greater inaccuracy with pulse oximetry in black patients [2], the physical structure of pulse oximeters has undergone tremendous change but inaccuracy in black patients has not lessened. The mean difference between pulse oximetry and arterial saturations was  $3.3\%$  in black patients we studied [2], and  $5.4\%$  in the black patients reported by CROOKS *et al.* [1]. We recognise that the two study populations differ in multiple respects, but the data collected in 2020–2021 do not suggest an improvement in pulse oximeter accuracy in black patients since 1990. In the interval, we are not aware of any manufacturer introducing adjusted algorithms into the software of pulse oximeters to resolve the inferior performance in patients with darker skin [3]. If data in 1990 had shown that pulse oximetry was less accurate in white patients than in black patients for some unknown reason, we wonder whether we would not have witnessed the publication of articles by manufacturers documenting vigorous efforts to resolve inferior performance of pulse oximetry across ethnic groups.

The data of CROOKS *et al.* [1] are interesting in another respect. The authors have more than 850 readings of arterial oxygen saturations lower than  $85\%$  in patients with suspected COVID-19, and by study design none of these patients had been admitted to an intensive care unit. Do the authors know how many of these patients were discharged from hospital without requiring endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation? Unfortunately, many hospitals have employed protocols that mandated pre-emptive intubation of COVID-19 patients who had arterial oxygen saturations of less than  $90\%$  [4, 5]. Unjustified endotracheal intubation has been a major cause of increased mortality in COVID-19 patients [6, 7].

Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

**The structure of pulse oximeters has changed tremendously over time, but inaccurate readings of oxygen saturation in black patients have not improved across 32 years and manufacturers do not report efforts to resolve the problem** <https://bit.ly/3KYc7Zo>

**Cite this article as:** Tobin MJ, Jubran A. Inaccuracy of pulse oximetry in darker-skinned patients is unchanged across 32 years. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2200520 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00520-2022].

Martin J. Tobin and Amal Jubran

Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Hines Veterans Affairs Hospital and Loyola University of Chicago Stritch School of Medicine, Hines, IL, USA.

Corresponding author: Martin J. Tobin ([mtobin2@lumc.edu](mailto:mtobin2@lumc.edu))

Conflict of interest: M.J. Tobin reports that he receives royalties for two books on critical care published by McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York. A. Jubran reports a grant from National Institute of Nursing Research (R01-NR016055).

Support statement: This work was supported by the National Institute of Nursing Research (grant R01-NR016055). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.



### References

---

- 1 Crooks CJ, West J, Morling JR, *et al.* Pulse oximeter measurements vary across ethnic groups: an observational study in patients with COVID-19. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2103246.
- 2 Jubran A, Tobin MJ. Reliability of pulse oximetry in titrating supplemental oxygen therapy in ventilator-dependent patients. *Chest* 1990; 97: 1420–1425.
- 3 Tobin MJ, Jubran A. Pulse oximetry, racial bias and statistical bias. *Ann Intensive Care* 2022; 12: 2.
- 4 Ahmad I, Jeyarajah J, Nair G, *et al.* A prospective, observational, cohort study of airway management of patients with COVID-19 by specialist tracheal intubation teams. *Can J Anaesth* 2021; 68: 196–203.
- 5 Tobin MJ. The criteria used to justify endotracheal intubation of patients with COVID-19 are worrisome. *Can J Anaesth* 2021; 68: 258–259.
- 6 Doidge JC, Gould DW, Ferrando-Vivas P, *et al.* Trends in intensive care for patients with COVID-19 in England, Wales, and Northern Ireland. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2021; 203: 565–574.
- 7 Tobin MJ, Jubran A, Laghi F. Noninvasive strategies in COVID-19: epistemology, randomised trials, guidelines, physiology. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2004247.