

European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2012

Abstract Number: 2556

Publication Number: P1509

Abstract Group: 4.3. Pulmonary Circulation and Pulmonary Vascular Disease

Keyword 1: Pulmonary hypertension **Keyword 2:** Orphan disease **Keyword 3:** Nitric oxide

Title: Selection of the optimal nebulizer for further clinical development of inhaled nebulized nitrite (AIR001) in pulmonary arterial hypertension patients

Dr. Ed 5381 Parsley eparsley@airespharma.com MD ¹, Dr. Hiroko 5382 Masamune hmasamune@airespharma.com ², Dr. Will 5383 Hoye whoye@airespharma.com ², Dr. Nani 6287 Kadrichu nani.kadrichu@novartis.com ⁸, Dr. Azra 5384 Hussaini azra.hussaini@parexel.com MD ³, Dr. Lewis 5385 Rubin ljr@lewisrubinmd.com MD ⁴, Dr. Mark 5386 Gladwin gladwinmt@upmc.edu MD ⁵ and Ms. Marianne 5389 Mancini mmancini@airespharma.com ¹. ¹ Clinical, Aires Pharmaceuticals Inc., San Diego, CA, United States, 92121 ; ² Product Development, Aires Pharmaceuticals Inc., San Diego, CA, United States, 92121 ; ³ Clinical Research, Parexel, Baltimore, MD, United States, 21225 ; ⁴ Pulmonary Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, United States, 92037 ; ⁵ Pulmonary Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, PA, United States, 15213 and ⁶ Pharmaceutical Development, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, San Carlos, CA, United States, 94070 .

Body: Introduction: Clinical trials utilizing nebulized inhaled Nitrite (AIR001), in patients with Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PAH) require a portable, highly efficient nebulizer capable of monitoring adherence and compliance. Objectives: To evaluate the pharmacokinetics (PK), safety, and tolerability of AIR001 comparing the Philips I-neb AAD System (Philips Respironics, USA) with the Solo-Idehaler (nebulization head, Aeronex® Solo (Aerogen, IR), aerosol-reservoir attachment, Idehaler™ (Diffusion Technique Francais, FR). Methods: Utilizing a randomized, crossover, open-label design, 6 subjects received equivalent doses of AIR001 (based on standard in vitro characterization with AIR001 and the resulting % efficiency of each nebulizer to deliver drug). PK parameters for plasma nitrite and nitrate were determined. Supine and orthostatic hemodynamics were evaluated. Adverse events were monitored as was clinical chemistry, hematology, venous and percutaneous methemoglobin, and SaO₂. Results: Nitrite PK differences were not statistically significant (p < 0.05) between devices.

Efficiency was greater for the I-neb AAD System with no differences in safety or tolerability between devices. Conclusions: Because of precise dosing, adaptive capacity, and its ability to monitor adherence and compliance, the I-neb AAD is optimal for further study of AIR001 in patients with PAH.