

A recent study [5] has compared N₂ LCI with SF₆ LCI obtained with the gold standard method. Significant differences were found, and the authors concluded that independent normative values are required and that interventional studies are needed to clarify the role of N₂ LCI as an outcome measure in clinical trials in cystic fibrosis patients. The limits of agreement between N₂ and SF₆ LCI in cystic fibrosis patients were >7 LCI units, far in excess of the treatment related change reported in the Ivacaftor study of 2.1 units [3].

Finally, both the editorial [1] and the consensus statement [2] reported that the SF₆ mixture required to perform LCI testing is often not universally available and not approved. This is a misunderstanding. The mixture used with the Innocor system is an off-the-shelf, 150-mL gas tank in the European Union, the USA, Canada and in all other European countries where Innocor is used.

If the reference for clinical use of the LCI test is the scientific data obtained with the gold standard mass spectrometer device over many years of research, the suggestion to switch to N₂ LCI is premature and scientifically unfounded. Notwithstanding the well recognised problems of indirect N₂ measurement and the physiological effects of pure O₂, recent research has also highlighted that N₂ back diffusion may be much more important than previously thought.



@ERSpublications

Multiple-breath washout: nitrogen or sulfur hexafluoride? <http://ow.ly/pUW49>

Jørgen G. Nielsen
Innovision ApS, Odense, Denmark.

Correspondence: J.G. Nielsen, Innovision ApS, Lindvedvej 75, 5260 Odense S, Denmark. E-mail: jgn@innovision.dk

Received: April 19 2013 | Accepted after revision: July 31 2013

Conflict of interest: Disclosures can be found alongside the online version of this article at www.erj.ersjournals.com

References

- 1 Schulzke SM, Frey U. Consensus statement on inert gas washout measurement: at the threshold of clinical use. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 42: 500–502.
- 2 Robinson PD, Latzin P, Verbanck S, *et al.* Consensus statement for inert gas washout measurement using multiple- and single- breath tests. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41: 507–522.
- 3 Ratjen FA, Sheridan H, Lee P-S, *et al.* Lung clearance index as an endpoint in a multicenter randomized control trial of Ivacaftor in subjects with cystic fibrosis who have mild lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012; 185: A2819.
- 4 Pendergast DR, Senf C, Lundgren CE. Is the rate of whole-body nitrogen elimination influenced by exercise? *Undersea Hyperb Med* 2012; 39: 595–604.
- 5 Jensen R, Stanojevic S, Gibney K, *et al.* Multiple breath nitrogen washout: a feasible alternative to mass spectrometry. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e56868.

Eur Respir J 2014; 43: 655–656 | DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00069913 | Copyright ©ERS 2014

From the authors:

We thank J.G. Nielsen for his comments about our editorial related to the consensus statement for inert gas washout measurement using multiple- and single-breath tests recently published in the *European Respiratory Journal* [1, 2]. Given that we are unable to identify relevant new information concerning the topic at hand, we prefer not to add any further comments and kindly refer to the previously mentioned, very elaborate, consensus statement [2].



@ERSpublications

Consensus statement for inert gas washout measurement <http://ow.ly/rm6nI>

Sven M. Schulzke and Urs Frey
University Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB), Basel, Switzerland.

Correspondence: S.M. Schulzke, Dept of Neonatology, University Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB), Spitalstrasse 33, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland. E-mail: sven.schulzke@unibas.ch

Received: Nov 20 2013 | Accepted: Nov 21 2013

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- 1 Schulzke SM, Frey U. Consensus statement on inert gas washout measurement: at the threshold of clinical use. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41: 500–502.
- 2 Robinson PD, Latzin P, Verbanck S, *et al.* Consensus statement for inert gas washout measurement using multiple- and single-breath tests. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41: 507–522.

Eur Respir J 2014; 43: 656–657 | DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00203113 | Copyright ©ERS 2014