Increased content of hydrogen peroxide in the expired breath of cigarette smokers
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ABSTRACT: Cigarette smoking causes an influx of mononuclear phagocytes and polymorphonuclear leucocytes into the lower airways. These cells have altered oxygen metabolism and release more H$_2$O$_2$ than phagocytes from nonsmokers. In this study, we intended to determine whether asymptomatic cigarette smokers exhale more H$_2$O$_2$ than healthy nonsmokers.

The content of H$_2$O$_2$ in the expired condensate of 27 nonsmokers and 33 cigarette smokers was measured spectrofluorimetrically (homovanillic acid method). The mean H$_2$O$_2$ level in the expired breath condensate of all cigarette smokers was about fivefold higher than that found in the whole nonsmoker group (0.24±0.32 versus 0.05±0.11 nM). However, only 16 smokers (49%) and 6 nonsmokers (22%) had detectable levels of H$_2$O$_2$ in expired breath that reached values 0.49±0.28 and 0.23±0.10 nM, respectively. Although the cigarette smoking status was similar for expired H$_2$O$_2$ levels and cigarette smoking expressed as the daily cigarette consumption, cumulative cigarette consumption and urinary cotinine concentration.

It is suggested that in some smokers, expressed H$_2$O$_2$ can be a noninvasive marker of oxidant overload in the lower airways related to cigarette smoking.


Cigarette smoking is the major risk factor in the epidemiology of lung cancer and pulmonary emphysema [1–3]. One of several potential links between cigarette smoking and the development of these diseases is the overburden of lower airways with oxidants and free radicals [1, 4]. Free radicals and oxidants may cause an elastase-antielastase imbalance in the lower airways [2, 3], and induce deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage in epithelial cells [5, 6], that may lead to proteolytic lung injury and carcinogenesis. The increased exposure of smoker’s lung tissue to oxidants may result from the presence of large amounts of free radicals and oxidants in cigarette smoke. Cigarette smoke contains about 5×10$^{14}$ radicals per puff [7], and in aqueous solution it can generate hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and superoxide radicals [8].

Another, perhaps more important, source of oxidants in the respiratory tract of cigarette smokers is activated phagocytes. Cigarette smoke causes enhanced recruitment of mononuclear phagocytes and polymorphonuclear leucocytes to the lower airways [9–11]. These cells have altered oxygen metabolism and release more H$_2$O$_2$ and other reactive oxygen species than phagocytes from nonsmokers [12–14]. These data, as a whole, suggest that high concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ can occur in the alveolar lining fluid of cigarette smokers. H$_2$O$_2$ itself is toxic to the cells and plays a central role in the formation of even more toxic reactive oxygen species, hydroxyl radicals and hypochloride anions that can induce severe lung injury [1, 3, 4]. Oxidants, including H$_2$O$_2$, can diffuse into the blood stream and those not decomposed by anti-oxidant enzymes may be responsible for increased chemiluminescence of smoker’s plasma [15], and oxidative damage to circulating α1-proteinase inhibitor [3, 16]. Some of the H$_2$O$_2$ can also evaporate from the alveolar lining fluid and could be excreted with the expiratory air of cigarette smokers. It is possible that an elevated content of H$_2$O$_2$ in expired breath may serve as an indirect marker of free radical-mediated processes, and reflect harmful oxidant overload in the lower airways related to cigarette smoking. In this study, therefore, we wanted to determine whether asymptomatic cigarette smokers exhale more H$_2$O$_2$ than healthy nonsmokers. By using the spectrofluorimetric method of H$_2$O$_2$ determination, we found that cigarette smokers had a fivefold higher mean expired breath H$_2$O$_2$ concentration than nonsmokers, and that male smokers exhaled more H$_2$O$_2$ than female smokers.

Material and methods

Reagents

Cotinine, peroxidase from horseradish type II (HRP, 200 U·mg$^{-1}$ solid), 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-phenylacetic acid,
acids (homovanillic acid), and Triton X-100 were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Chloramine-T, glycine, ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA), barbituric acid, aceton, sodium metabisulphite, KCN, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4, and 30% H₂O₂ solution were purchased from POCH (Gliwice, Poland). Thirty percent H₂O₂ solution was diluted 100 fold with PBS and stored at 4°C in the dark. The actual H₂O₂ concentration was calculated from its absorbance at 320 nm (ε=81 cm⁻¹·M⁻¹) [17]. Sterile, deionized water (conductivity 0.05 µS·cm⁻¹, Milli-Q Plus Water Purification System) was used throughout the study. Aqueous solutions of 1 M sodium metabisulphite, 0.4 M chloramine-T, and 1 U·mL⁻¹ HRP, with addition of 400 µM homovanillic acid, were prepared freshly before the assay. All other solutions were stored at 4°C for not more than for 14 days.

Study population

Sixty healthy subjects, members of our medical staff who had not suffered from any infectious diseases for the previous 4 months, participated in the study. Twenty seven were nonsmokers (mean age 36±3 yrs, 14 males and 13 females) and 33 were smokers (mean age 39±10 yrs, 12 males and 21 women). The mean daily cigarette consumption was 15±7, and the mean cumulative cigarette consumption was 13.6±9.6 pack-years. The mean age of male smokers, female smokers, male nonsmokers and female nonsmokers was 37±11, 40±9, 36±4 and 35±3 yrs, respectively. The mean daily cigarette consumption was 15±7 and the mean cumulative cigarette consumption was 13.6±9.6 pack-years. The mean age of male smokers, female smokers, male nonsmokers and female nonsmokers was 37±11, 40±9, 36±4 and 35±3 yrs, respectively. The mean daily cigarette consumption was 15±7 and the mean cumulative cigarette consumption was 13.6±9.6 pack-years. The mean age of male smokers, female smokers, male nonsmokers and female nonsmokers was 37±11, 40±9, 36±4 and 35±3 yrs, respectively. None of the women were pregnant or took contraceptives. All subjects were free of medication and routine physical examination showed nothing abnormal. The study had the approval of the local Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained.

Collection of air condensate

The expired breath condensate was collected in a tube installed in a polystyrene foam container filled with ice and salt. The 230 cm long polypropylene tube (internal diameter 10 mm) was incubated overnight in 3% H₂O₂ solution, to saturate all groups that can react with H₂O₂. After two washes, the tube was submerged in distilled water for 24 h (with three changes of water). It was then dried under a flow of nitrogen gas and installed in the polystyrene foam container. The collecting part (170 cm length) of the tube was covered with ice and salt. The temperature in the tube vicinity, measured with thermometer, ranged -6 to 0°C and allowed condensation of all the polystyrene foam container. The collecting part (170 cm length) of the tube was covered with ice and salt. The temperature in the tube vicinity, measured with thermometer, ranged -6 to 0°C and allowed condensation of all.

Patients were asked to breathe out spontaneously through a mouthpiece with a saliva-trap connected to the tube and to breathe in with the mouthpiece removed, for 20 min. The respiratory rate ranged 15–18 breaths·min⁻¹. Each subject wore a noseclip and rinsed their mouth with distilled water just before and at 7 and 14 min of collection, to reduce the evaporation of H₂O₂ from saliva [18] and nasal spaces. At the end of collection, the tube was carefully removed from the container and 2–5 mL aliquots of condensate were transferred to Eppendorf tubes. One sample was used for determination of condensate osmolality using an osmometer 800cl, Trident (Warsaw, Poland) and the rest was stored at -80°C for not more than 7 days until H₂O₂ measurement.

Preliminary experiments with three different samples of breath condensate and 10⁻⁷ M H₂O₂ solution showed that, under these conditions, the H₂O₂ concentration was stable for at least 14 days of storage. Similarly, 5 nM H₂O₂ solution poured into the collection device and incubated for 20 min at 0°C revealed no significant change of ability to oxidize homovanillic acid.

The fluorescence at 420 nm expressed in arbitrary units was 142±4 and 145±2 (n=3) for samples before and after incubation, respectively. The tube was washed three times with distilled water, dried under a flow of nitrogen gas and again fitted into the container. All collections were performed between 9 and 11 a.m. and subjects refrained from cigarette smoking during the 12 h preceding the visit. On the day of the visit, all smoking volunteers were asked whether they had stopped smoking 12 h before the visit. Six individuals failed to refrain from smoking. In this case, the breath condensate and urine samples were not collected and the visit was rescheduled within 1–7 days.

Measurement of hydrogen peroxide

The content of H₂O₂ in expired breath condensate was determined according to the method of Ruch et al. [19]. Briefly, 10 µL of expired breath condensate was mixed with 90 µL PBS and 100 µL of a solution of HRP (1 U·mL⁻¹) containing 400 µM homovanillic acid and was incubated for 60 min at 37°C. Afterwards, the sample was mixed with 300 µL PBS and 125 µL 0.1 M glycine-NaOH buffer (pH 12.0) with addition of 25 mM EDTA and was transferred into microcuvettes (PE 5200–4339). The homovanillic acid oxidation product as a measure of the amount of H₂O₂ was determined spectrophotometrically using a Perkin Elmer Luminescence Spectrometer LS-50 (Norwalk, CT, USA) operating in the read mode. Slit widths were set at 10 nm both for emission and excitation and the integrate time was 0.1 s. Excitation was at 312 nm and emission was measured at 420 nm.

Readings were converted into nM using the regression equation Y = 0.0375 X - 0.3221 (where Y = nanomoles of H₂O₂ per litre of expired breath condensate; and X = intensity of emission at 420 nm expressed in arbitrary units) obtained from three series of calibration experiments with 19 increasing (0.01 to 10 nM) H₂O₂ concentrations. The confidence level was 95% and p-value was less than 0.03 and 0.0001 for constant and regression coefficient, respectively. The linear least squares estimation was used for calculation of the regression equation. The lower limit of H₂O₂ detection was 0.1 nM.

Cotinine determination

A 5 mL morning sample of urine was collected on the same day as the breath condensate, and was stored at
Statistical analysis

Data from subjects are expressed as the mean±SD. For readings that gave results below the method sensitivities both the urinary cotinine concentration and H2O2 concentration in expired breath condensate were assumed as 0 µM and 0 nM, respectively. The differences between results found in groups of smokers and nonsmokers were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be significant. Pearson correlation was utilized to determine the relationship between measured variables. All calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel version 5.0 software.

Results

Preliminary experiments with repeated condensate collection from four volunteers (two smokers and two nonsmokers) revealed that H2O2 concentration in expired breath was stable during 4 days of observation (table 1). Analysis of breath condensates collected on consecutive days from one subject with no detectable H2O2 in the first measurement gave the same results independently of the day of collection. Moreover, the concentration of H2O2 was similar in three breath condensates collected consecutively at the same day with 30 min time intervals. The mean variation coefficient for readings obtained with three condensates collected on the same day and all condensates obtained from volunteers except those with no detectable H2O2 was 0.09±0.03 and 0.88±0.02, respectively. When subjects did not wash their mouths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of collection</th>
<th>1 (NS)</th>
<th>2 (NS)</th>
<th>3 (S)</th>
<th>4 (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volunteers (2 smokers and 2 nonsmokers) were asked to breathe out spontaneously through a mouthpiece with a saliva-trap connected to the tube and to breathe in with the mouthpiece removed from the mouth, for 20 min. They wore a noseclip and rinsed the mouth with distilled water just before and at 7 and 14 min of the condensation collection. On the 1st and 4th day, 3 and 4 separate collections were performed, respectively on day 4 different conditions were tested. The interval between collections was 30 min. NS: nonsmoker; S: smoker.

with distilled water just before and during condensation collection, the H2O2 level increased by about 0.07±0.04 nM. Similarly, rinsing the mouth with 15 mM H2O2 resulted in a rise of condensate H2O2 level by 0.26±0.14 nM. Removal of the noseclip also increased the concentration of H2O2 in the condensate. Therefore, the evaluation of the influence of cigarette smoking on the content of H2O2 in expired breath was based on the analysis of one condensate collected whilst using a noseclip and with rinsing of the mouth with distilled water.

Only 6 nonsmoking subjects (22%) revealed a detectable content of H2O2 in expired breath condensate. In 21 nonsmokers (78%) the H2O2 level was below the method sensitivity (0.1 nM) and was assumed to be 0 nM. Thus, the mean H2O2 concentration in expired breath condensate calculated for the whole group of 27 healthy nonsmokers was 0.05±0.11 nM (fig. 1). The H2O2 level in the expired breath of 33 cigarette smokers was about fivefold higher (p<0.01) than that observed in the whole nonsmoker group, and reached 0.24±0.32 nM (n=33). In 17 smokers (51%), no detectable H2O2 concentrations were noted. The mean expired breath H2O2 concentration obtained for smokers with detectable levels of H2O2 was 0.49±0.28 nM (n=16) and was 2.1 fold higher than that found in the nonsmokers with positive H2O2 assays (0.23±0.10 nM, n=6). Male smokers had a higher mean concentration of H2O2 than female smokers (0.38±0.39 versus 0.15±0.24 nM; p<0.01).

The mean urinary cotinine concentration in cigarette smokers was 5.1 fold higher than that found in nonsmokers (3.6±2.9 versus 0.7±1.8 µM; p<0.001). Male smokers revealed higher cotinine levels than female smokers (4.9±3.2 versus 2.9±2.6 µM; p<0.05). Six cigarette smokers (18%) had no detectable cotinine in urine, whilst in four nonsmokers (15%) the urinary cotinine concentration was above the method sensitivity. This
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may result from random exposure of nonsmokers to sidestream cigarette smoke and the slower clearance of cotinine in nonsmokers than smokers [22, 23]. On the other hand, cessation of smoking for 12 h before urine collection may be responsible for the negative cotinine assays in some smokers. The mean H$_2$O$_2$ level in breath condensate of all cigarette smokers (male and female) was higher than that found in nonsmokers (0.24±0.32 versus 0.05±0.11 nM; p<0.01).

The mean osmolality of expired breath condensate was similar both for smokers and nonsmokers (5.9±2.1 versus 5.4±2.5 mOsm-kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O). There were also no significant differences between condensate osmolality of male smokers (6.1±2.2), female smokers (5.8±2.0), male nonsmokers (5.1±2.6) and female nonsmokers (5.7±2.3 mOsm-kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O). Since the mean osmolality of saliva samples collected from all volunteers involved in the study was 95±12 mOsm-kg$^{-1}$ H$_2$O, it indicates that there was no significant saliva contamination in breath condensates of any group analysed. The relatively high osmolality of breath condensate compared to H$_2$O$_2$ levels suggests the presence of other substances. Breath contains at least several dozen compounds that are present at nanogram per litre quantities [24]. The mean pH (6.83±0.17), carbon dioxide tension (P$_{CO_2}$) (1.4±0.12 kPa), and HCO$_3^-$ concentration (1.7±0.2 mM) (n=6) in freshly collected breath condensate suggest that dissolved CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ are mainly responsible for its osmolality.

No correlation was found between the osmolality of expired breath condensate and the present and cumulative cigarette consumption (r=-0.19, p<0.3; and r=-0.13, p<0.5). There were also no significant correlations between expired H$_2$O$_2$ levels and urinary cotinine concentration (r=0.18, p<0.3) (fig. 2a), expired H$_2$O$_2$ levels and current cigarette consumption (r=0.2, p<0.4) (fig. 2b), expired H$_2$O$_2$ levels and cumulative cigarette consumption (r=0.16, p<0.4) and expired H$_2$O$_2$ levels and condensate osmolality (r=0.03, p<0.9).

Discussion

We found that asymptomatic cigarette smokers had higher H$_2$O$_2$ levels in expired breath condensate than nonsmoking subjects. Since the smokers refrained from cigarette smoking for 12 h prior to the study, one might conclude that the main source of expired H$_2$O$_2$ are activated phagocytes. During this period, H$_2$O$_2$ generated directly from cigarette smoke should be removed by antioxidants present in the alveolar lining fluid [25]. Cigarette smokers have an increased number of alveolar macrophages and polymorphonuclear leucocytes in the lower airways [9–11]. These cells release more H$_2$O$_2$ than those of nonsmokers [12, 14, 26]. Alveolar macrophages from cigarette smokers release 3–8 nmol of H$_2$O$_2$·h$^{-1}$·10$^6$ cells in vitro [26]. CANTIN et al. [25] calculated the average potential H$_2$O$_2$ burden in alveolar
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Fig. 1. – H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in expired breath condensate of healthy female nonsmokers (○), male nonsmokers (■), female smokers (●) and male smokers (■). Individual results below the sensitivity of H$_2$O$_2$ method determination (0.1 nM, dashed horizontal line) were assumed to be 0 nM. *: p<0.01, significantly different from female smokers; #: p<0.01, significantly different from male nonsmokers. The mean H$_2$O$_2$ level in breath condensate of all cigarette smokers (male and female) was higher than that found in nonsmokers (0.24±0.32 versus 0.05±0.11 nM; p<0.01).
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Fig. 2. – No correlations were found in cigarette smokers between expired breath H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations and: a) urinary cotinine concentration; and b) current cigarette consumption.
Removal of H2O2 by antioxidant enzymes would explain that glutathione in the pulmonary antioxidant defence [30, 31]. Some reports question the importance of reduced glutathione, that with glutathione peroxidase forms an antioxidant protection in these subjects [28]. Moreover, catalase activity in the alveolar lining fluid has been shown to be increased in cigarette smokers [29]. The presence of relatively high concentrations of reduced glutathione, that with glutathione peroxidase forms an efficient system removing low concentrations of H2O2, could also be responsible for this observation. However, some reports question the importance of reduced glutathione in the pulmonary antioxidant defence [30, 31]. Removal of H2O2 by antioxidant enzymes would explain the lack of correlation between measurements of exposure to cigarette smoke (urinary cotinine) and the level of H2O2 in breath condensate.

Smokers with increased H2O2 in expired breath may represent those in whom oxidant load overcomes the capacity of the antioxidant protection in the lower airways. However, some of the nonsmokers also had H2O2 in expired breath condensate, which is in agreement with a previous study showing the peroxide-dependent spontaneous chemiluminescence of human breath [24]. In addition, some healthy volunteers excreted micromolar concentrations of H2O2 in urine [32]. The lack of difference between photon counts obtained from breath collected before and 5 min after smoking a cigarette [24], supports our hypothesis that the source of exhaled H2O2 is phagocytes and not cigarette smoke itself. H2O2 present in the expired breath condensate can also originate from lung microsomes and mitochondria [18, 24]. However, it is not known whether cigarette smoke can enhance H2O2 generation from these sources.

Sznajder et al. [18] did not find any H2O2 in condensate collected from mechanically-ventilated patients undergoing nonthoracic surgery. Their use of a less sensitive method for H2O2 determination (sensitivity about 1 μM H2O2) could explain these negative results. On the other hand, when they analysed breath condensates collected from healthy volunteers, without the use of a saliva-trap, noseclip and rinsing of the mouth with distilled water before and during collection, the H2O2 levels were about 1000 fold higher than those in our nonsmoking subjects. These differences may result from the contamination of breath condensates with saliva that contains high amounts of H2O2 [18]. This explanation would be consistent with the study by Dohlman et al. [33], showing that the use of a collection device provided with a saliva-trap resulted in a 10 fold reduction of condensate H2O2 levels in comparison to the results of Sznajder et al. [18]. In addition, they found that some healthy volunteers did not have any H2O2 in breath condensate [33]. These subjects, like the volunteers involved in the study by Sznajder et al. [18], did not use the noseclip or rinse their mouths. This may explain the lower rate of negative H2O2 readings that were reported here.

These differences could also result from the loss of breath condensate H2O2 in our collection device and during 7 days of storage. However, our preliminary experiments with standard H2O2 solutions and breath condensates showed that this is unlikely. In addition, bubbling of one breath through 10 mL aliquots or peroxide assay mixture gave similar H2O2 concentrations to those in our study [24]. As was mentioned above, the generation of H2O2 from nasal spaces can influence the H2O2 readings in breath condensate. H2O2 evaporated from the nasal spaces could be inspired into the airways and then expired into the collection device and undergo condensation. However, the contribution of H2O2 from the nasal spaces seems to be low, according to our preliminary experiments (table 1).

The second finding of our study was that male smokers had higher concentrations of H2O2 in breath condensate than female smokers. This is difficult to explain in view of the stimulatory effect of progesterone on polymorphonuclear leucocyte (PMNL) chemotaxis and respiratory burst [34, 35]. On the other hand, there were no differences between H2O2 release by alveolar macrophages isolated from male and female smokers [26]. Female smokers had higher serum ceruloplasmin concentrations than male smokers [36]. This protein is present in the alveolar lining fluid and can decompose H2O2 [25, 37]. Perhaps, higher concentrations of ceruloplasmin and catalase activity in the airways of the females may be responsible for the lower content of H2O2 in their exhaled air.

Previous reports have shown that patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome, pneumonia or bronchial asthma exhale increased amounts of H2O2 in expired breath [18, 33, 38]. Although, these diseases are characterized by a large influx of activated phagocytes into the lower airways, some of the patient samples did not contain any H2O2 [33, 38]. Cigarette smoking causes a lower inflammatory response in the lung tissue than the above-mentioned diseases. In addition, it needs many years to develop severe lung injury. Therefore, the higher rate of negative H2O2 assays in breath condensate of cigarette smokers seems to be a natural consequence of this fact. It is possible that the number of positive assays would be higher in a smoking population with symptoms of obstructive lung disease: however, this requires further study. Nevertheless, the fivefold higher mean breath condensation H2O2 levels and twofold higher frequency of positive H2O2 readings in asymptomatic cigarette smokers than in nonsmokers show that spectrofluorimetric measurement of H2O2 in expired breath could be a sensitive, noninvasive method for detection of enhanced generation of reactive oxygen species in the lower airways related to cigarette smoking.
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