Forced oscillation technique (FOT): a new tool for epidemiology of occupational lung diseases?


ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to evaluate the usefulness of the forced oscillation technique (FOT) in the assessment of occupation-related airway changes. The forced oscillation technique and conventional lung function tests were applied in 80 underground coalface workers, aged 35–48 yrs, with chest roentgenogram films classified 0/1 or 1/0 according to the International Labour Office (ILO) classification (G group), and two control groups matched for age and smoking habits. The first control group, was made up of face-workers having normal chest radiographs, whilst the second comprised underground non-face-workers with normal chest radiographs.

Spirometric, plethysmographic and transfer factor of the lungs for carbon monoxide single-breath ($T_{L,CO,sb}$) indices revealed no significant differences between the three groups. As regards the forced oscillation technique, a higher value of resistance/frequency slope ($R_{L}/f$) was found in the G group compared with the control groups; 2.11 vs. 1.86 in the face-workers, and 1.58 in the underground workers. In all three groups, the forced oscillation technique indices (mean resistance ($\bar{R}$), resistance at zero frequency ($R_0$), resistance/frequency slope (S), and resonant frequency (f0)) were found to be higher in subjects having a decreased forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) ≤ 90% predicted) or a mildly obstructive pattern of ventilatory function, even though this did not reach statistical significance in each of the groups.

These findings together with the feasibility and acceptability of the forced oscillation technique would suggest that it may be a suitable tool for epidemiological studies of occupational respiratory diseases. Eur Respir J., 1995, 8, 1307–1313.

Lung function tests provide objective evidence of respiratory disability, particularly that due to occupational exposure. Spirometry is the most frequently used technique but requires a high degree of collaboration on the part of the subject. Furthermore, forced inspiratory and expiratory manoeuvres may change bronchial tone and modify airway patency. Currently, body plethysmography is used less often in respiratory epidemiology. It measures intrathoracic gas volume and airway resistance. Again these measurements require a high degree of understanding and cooperation on the part of the subject.

As a result of on-line digital processing [1–5], respiratory impedance measurements by the forced oscillation technique (FOT), have been used increasingly in recent years [6–10]. This technique was first proposed by Dubois et al. [11] in 1956, and is based on the relationship between the sinusoidal pressure variations applied to the respiratory system via the mouth [7], by means of an external generator, and the induced flow oscillations. It assesses resistive, elastic and inertial properties of the respiratory system. Data collection takes place over a few respiratory cycles of quiet breathing, enabling serial measurements to be carried out, and requiring minimum co-operation on the part of the subject. Furthermore, the simplicity of the apparatus makes the FOT a potentially useful technique for epidemiological studies.

This study compares FOT and conventional lung function tests (spirometry, plethysmography and CO transfer test) in an epidemiological study on coal workers with or without early signs of pneumoconiosis.

Material and methods

The sample studied consisted of 80 miners, aged 35–48 yrs, who had worked for more than 10 yrs at face-work and showed radiological signs of possible pneumoconiosis (term G group). They were selected from miners satisfying these criteria who had recent chest radiographs that were classified 0/1 or 1/0 according to the International Labour Office (ILO) classification [12], by at least three of four independent trained readers [13]. Two control groups of 80 workers were selected. The first
control group (CG1) was made up of miners who had also worked for more than 10 yrs at face-work but with normal chest radiographs (0/0); whilst the second control group (CG2) comprised underground miners with normal chest radiographs who had worked at face-work for less than 2 yrs. Each subject of each control group was matched with one subject of the G group for age (±2 yrs) and smoking habits. All the miners involved had given their written consent.

The mean values of dust measured with the CPM3 apparatus at the workface were 1.49–3.79 mg·m⁻³ for the year 1989, according to the figures supplied by mines of the Houillères du Bassin de Lorraine (H.B.L.), and 0.2–1 mg·m⁻³ for the other underground sites.

The protocol included: clinical examination; the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) questionnaire on pulmonary symptoms and smoking habits; additional questions about job history; respiratory function tests with spirometry, plethysmography, CO single-breath test; and forced oscillations technique (FOT). Chronic bronchitis was defined as cough and expectoration for at least 3 months a year over a period of 2 yrs or more.

**Spirometry**

Spirometric measurements were obtained whilst the subjects were in a sitting position by using a computerized spirometer (Spiromatic L. Martin). Flow-volume curves were displayed on a screen, and three valid curves were required (with less than 5% difference in forced vital capacity (FVC) between the curves). From the envelope curve [14] (a composite curve obtained by superimposing the envelopes of all individual curves from their start points, i.e. at full inspiration), the following parameters were calculated: FVC, forced expiratory volume in one second, (FEV₁), maximum mid-expiratory flow (MMEF), peak expiratory flow (PEF), and maximum expiratory flows at 75, 50, and 25% of vital capacity (FEF₇₅%, FEF₅₀%, FEF₂₅%). Predicted values, proposed by QUANJER [15], were calculated automatically. A mild obstructive pattern of ventilatory function was defined as follows: FVC ≥ predicted -SD, and FEV₁ and/or MMEF ≤ predicted -SD, and normal residual volume (RV) (predicted± SD).

**Plethysmography**

Plethysmographic measurements were obtained with an isovolume plethysmograph (Master Lab Body Jaeger). When thermal equilibrium had been achieved in the box, the subject was invited to fit the noseclip and breathe through a mouthpiece connected to a pneumotachograph (Fleisch No. 3). Five airway resistance (Raw; hPa·L⁻¹·s⁻¹) measurements were obtained and their mean values were calculated.

**Forced oscillation technique**

The measurements were carried out with a Pulmosfor apparatus (SEFAM), shown in schematic form in figure 1. The subjects, whilst in a seated position with their head in a canopy and wearing the noseclip, breathed quietly through a Fleisch pneumotachograph. Pressure oscillations at frequencies of 4–32 Hz were applied to the mouth and in the head canopy by means of a loudspeaker. Spectral analysis of the resulting pressure and flow signals was performed by a computer. It yielded calculations of the mean resistance ($R_{0}$; hPa·L⁻¹·s⁻¹) over the entire frequency range, the slope of resistance vs frequency ($S_{0}$; Pa·L⁻¹·s²), and the resistance at the point of origin ($R_0$; hPa·L⁻¹·s⁻¹), using the linear regression method ($R(f) = R_0 + S \times f$, where $f$ represents the frequency). The $R_0$ was the resistance extrapolated to zero frequency, and $S$ defined the variation in resistance with frequency. The values of compliance ($C$; mL·hPa⁻¹), inertance ($I$; Pa·L⁻¹·s²), and of resonant frequency ($f_0$; Hz), were also calculated [4]. Coherence, a quantitative estimate of the reliability of the FOT determination at each frequency, was calculated and was required to be ≥0.95 to be accepted as a measurement [16, 17]. Three measurements were made, each lasting 15 s, and the values were averaged.

**Carbon monoxide test**

A single-breath carbon monoxide test was performed with the Alveolo test (Jaeger), using a mixture of CO 3%, He 5% and air. Two correct measurements were
required with the transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide ($T_{L,CO}$; mL·min⁻¹·mmHg⁻¹) and transfer coefficient ($T_{L,CO}/V_A$) values being taken as the means of the two.

### Statistical methods

The frequencies of respiratory symptoms and the distributions of smoking habits in the three groups were compared by $\chi^2$ test. Age, height, weight, and tobacco consumption were compared by unpaired t-test [18]. Lung function indices were compared by analysis of covariance [19]. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves [19], were calculated by pooling the subjects of the three groups for each FOT index that was significantly different between the subjects with FEV₁ >90% pred and those with FEV₁ ≤90% pred, and between the subjects with and without obstructive pattern of lung function. All of the statistical analyses were made using the SAS [20] programs.

### Results

The three groups were of similar age, height and weight and had similar smoking habits (table 1). Cough,
and 11% (p<0.01), respectively; dyspnoea 22 versus 10% in the CG1 group (p<0.001) and 14% in the CG2 group (p<0.05); expectoration 25 versus 9% (p<0.01) and 10% (p<0.01), respectively; and chronic bronchitis 22 versus 9% (p<0.01) and 11% (p<0.05), respectively.

The frequency of cough equalled 26% in G group versus 10% in the CG1 group (p<0.001) and 14% in the CG2 (p<0.05); expectoration 25 vs 9% (p<0.01) and 11% (p<0.01), respectively; dyspnoea 22 vs 17% (p<0.01) and 10% (p<0.01), respectively; and chronic bronchitis 22 vs 9% (p<0.01) and 11% (p<0.05), respectively.

R, R0 and S were higher in subjects with one or more respiratory symptoms compared with the asymptomatic miners in the G and the CG1 groups. However, the only significantly different parameters were S for all symptoms in the G group and the slope and the compliance were significantly higher in the G group (table 2).

The following significant (p<0.001) correlations were found between FOT and spirometric and plethysmographic indices: Rmean vs FVC (r=-0.43), FEV1 (r=-0.60), MMEF (r=-0.58), PEF (r=-0.58), FEF50% (r=-0.62), FEF25% (r=-0.51), and Raw (r=-0.58). Similar correlations were observed for R0. The correlations were weaker, though still significant (p<0.001), for the slope (S) with FVC (r=-0.21), FEV1 (r=-0.38), MMEF (r=-0.46), PEF (r=-0.43), FEF50% (r=-0.50), FEF25% (r=-0.38), and Raw (r=-0.38).

The comparison of the FOT indices in subjects with FEV1 >90% pred and with FEV1 ≤90% pred is given in table 3. R and R0 were the most different indices among the three groups. For the "obstructive" pattern (table 4), both R and S were significantly higher in the G group, but only the slope in group CG1.

ROC curves for R, R0, inertance and compliance with regard to a decreased FEV1 (≤90% pred) are presented in figure 2. The highest value of the likelihood ratio defined by (sensitivity/(1 - specificity)) was obtained for R = 5 hPa·L-1·s (sensitivity=14.3%; specificity=96%; 91% well-classified) and for R0=4 hPa·L-1·s (sensitivity=36%; specificity=94%; 91% well-classified). For the "obstructive" pattern, the likelihood ratio was the highest for R=5 hPa·L-1·s (sensitivity=11%; specificity=96%; 86% well-classified) and for R0=3.7 hPa·L-1·s (sensitivity=35%; specificity=94%; 87% well-classified) (fig. 3).

Table 3. – Forced oscillation technique (FOT) indices in subjects with FEV1 >90% or FEV1 ≤90% predicted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R0</th>
<th>f0</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
<th>Inertance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 &gt;90% pred</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>(1.01)</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>(1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 ≤90% pred</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>(1.85)</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>(1.44)</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>(2.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CG1 group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 &gt;90% pred</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>(1.19)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>(0.93)</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>(2.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 ≤90% pred</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>(1.68)</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>(1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CG2 group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 &gt;90% pred</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>(1.19)</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>(1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 ≤90% pred</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>(1.71)</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>(1.24)</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>(1.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean, and SD in parenthesis. For abbreviations see legends to tables 1 and 2. #: p<0.01; §: p<0.05; #: p<0.01; †: p<0.001 for the comparison FEV1 >90 vs FEV1 <90% pred.

Table 4. – Forced oscillation technique (FOT) indices in subjects with or without an "obstructive" pattern of ventilatory function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R0</th>
<th>f0</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
<th>Inertance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndrome free</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>(0.83)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>(0.60)</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>(1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Obstructive&quot; pattern</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>(1.61)</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>(1.21)</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>(1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CG1 group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndrome free</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>(1.17)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>(0.92)</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>(2.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Obstructive&quot; pattern</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>(1.73)</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>(1.33)</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>(1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CG2 group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndrome free</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>(1.06)</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>(0.86)</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>(1.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Obstructive&quot; pattern</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>(1.75)</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>(1.51)</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>(1.97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean, and SD in parenthesis. For abbreviations see legends to tables 1 and 2. #: p<0.01; #: p<0.05; #: p<0.01 for the comparison syndrome free vs obstructive pattern.
of both control groups might not, theoretically, be population "suspected" of pneumoconiosis. The subjects, basis of the selection criteria, as representative of the population of miners of the same status, though the bias due to the matching criteria would in fact be small. Indeed, the smoking habit distributions of the three groups of miners should be similar. However, we preferred to present the results for the three groups separately, with the exception of the calculation of the sensitivity and specificity of various FOT indices for a decreased FEV1 (≤90% pred) and for the presence of an "obstructive" pattern (given in figures 2 and 3, respectively) due to the small number of subjects with such abnormalities.

The dust concentrations measured in 1989 indicated significant differences between the coal-face and other underground work sites. In the past, these concentrations have undoubtedly been greater. An individual evaluation of exposure to dust, based on detailed job-exposure matrices, is currently in progress. This assessment will take into account changes in methods for measuring dust exposure, as well as migration of miners from one work site to another. Although these figures are not yet available, it can, nevertheless, be considered that miners at the coal-face were significantly more exposed than other underground workers.

In the present study, the subjects were grouped according to the changes identified on their chest radiographs. We do not know why some miners develop roentgenological changes and others do not when exposed to an apparently similar dust content. A follow-up study is planned and the assessment of individual exposure is in progress; and it is hoped that these data will help to improve understanding of the natural history of pneumoconiosis in coal miners.

No differences were found among the three groups using parameters of spirometry, carbon monoxide test and airway resistance measured by plethysmography. Among the FOT indices, the slope was found to be significantly higher in the G group. This index characterizes the average frequency dependence of the resistance over the entire frequency range, and is believed to be an early sign of functional disturbances. The interpretation of the frequency dependent behaviour of the respiratory system has been clearly discussed by Wouters [22], and higher values of slope have also been noted both by Jorna et al. [23] and Murphy et al. [24], in subjects with pneumoconiosis. In a previous study, the slope was found to be the only functional index which showed a significant difference between children exposed to urban air pollution and those of the same age and from the same area not having been exposed [7]. As suggested by Stanescu et al. [25], it could represent an early manifestation of peripheral airway obstruction. Similar results were also reported by Kjeldgaard et al. [26], and Peslin et al. [27], in asymptomatic smokers as compared with nonsmokers. These authors, as well as Ingram and O’Caín [6], suggested a similarity between slope and frequency-dependence of lung compliance to distinguish asymptomatic smokers from nonsmokers. This measurement requires an oesophageal balloon to obtain the thoracic pressure variations, and is thus relatively invasive, whereas the FOT is both noninvasive and requires no special respiratory manoeuvres.

**Discussion**

In this study, the FOT, spirometry, carbon monoxide single-breath test and plethysmography were used to assess the usefulness of FOT in respiratory epidemiology.

In a recent study [21], a comparison was made between two FOT devices, one with a head canopy and the other without. Similar results were observed with both devices. As regards epidemiology, we consider that a device having a head canopy, which avoids holding the cheeks, is more comfortable and easier to use.

The subjects of G group could be considered, on the basis of the selection criteria, as representative of the population "suspected" of pneumoconiosis. The subjects of both control groups might not, theoretically, be considered as representative of the population of miners of the same status, though the bias due to the matching criteria would in fact be small. Indeed, the smoking habit distributions of the three groups of miners should be similar. However, we preferred to present the results for the three groups separately, with the exception of the calculation of the sensitivity and specificity of various FOT indices for a decreased FEV1 (≤90% pred) and for the presence of an "obstructive" pattern (given in figures 2 and 3, respectively) due to the small number of subjects with such abnormalities.

The dust concentrations measured in 1989 indicated significant differences between the coal-face and other underground work sites. In the past, these concentrations have undoubtedly been greater. An individual evaluation of exposure to dust, based on detailed job-exposure matrices, is currently in progress. This assessment will take into account changes in methods for measuring dust exposure, as well as migration of miners from one work site to another. Although these figures are not yet available, it can, nevertheless, be considered that miners at the coal-face were significantly more exposed than other underground workers.

In the present study, the subjects were grouped according to the changes identified on their chest radiographs. We do not know why some miners develop roentgenological changes and others do not when exposed to an apparently similar dust content. A follow-up study is planned and the assessment of individual exposure is in progress; and it is hoped that these data will help to improve understanding of the natural history of pneumoconiosis in coal miners.

No differences were found among the three groups using parameters of spirometry, carbon monoxide test and airway resistance measured by plethysmography. Among the FOT indices, the slope was found to be significantly higher in the G group. This index characterizes the average frequency dependence of the resistance over the entire frequency range, and is believed to be an early sign of functional disturbances. The interpretation of the frequency dependent behaviour of the respiratory system has been clearly discussed by Wouters [22], and higher values of slope have also been noted both by Jorna et al. [23] and Murphy et al. [24], in subjects with pneumoconiosis. In a previous study, the slope was found to be the only functional index which showed a significant difference between children exposed to urban air pollution and those of the same age and from the same area not having been exposed [7]. As suggested by Stanescu et al. [25], it could represent an early manifestation of peripheral airway obstruction. Similar results were also reported by Kjeldgaard et al. [26], and Peslin et al. [27], in asymptomatic smokers as compared with nonsmokers. These authors, as well as Ingram and O’Caín [6], suggested a similarity between slope and frequency-dependence of lung compliance to distinguish asymptomatic smokers from nonsmokers. This measurement requires an oesophageal balloon to obtain the thoracic pressure variations, and is thus relatively invasive, whereas the FOT is both noninvasive and requires no special respiratory manoeuvres.
Slope, \( R \), and \( R_0 \) were significantly higher in workers with cough, dyspnoea or bronchitis compared with asymptomatic subjects in the G and the CG1 groups, although the difference was significant only for slope and in the G group, possibly due to the small number of subjects. These results were similar to those reported by Van Noord et al. [28], Clement et al. [29], Grimby et al. [30] Forster et al. [31], and Wouters et al. [32], in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Sensitivity and specificity of \( R_0 \) and \( R \) with regard to a decreased FEV\(_1\) and to the presence of a mild obstructive pattern of lung function has reinforced the idea that FOT may be useful in the diagnosis of COPD.

In the CG2 group, no significant difference was observed between the subjects with a respiratory symptom and those asymptomatic for any FOT index. This could be explained by the fact that the miners of this group had few symptoms.

\( R_0 \) and \( R \) were the indices most clearly linked to Raw and to all indices of mean and instantaneous flows. The highest relationships were noted in the G group. Similar results were observed in one of our previous studies and by Wouters [8], Kjeldgaard et al. [26], and Van Noord et al. [28].

These results and the clear difference in FOT indices when either a mild obstruction (defined by FEV\(_1\) ≤90% pred) or an "obstructive" pattern (defined by certain spirometric characteristics) was present, in addition to the change of slope that may be an early index of a functional respiratory disturbance would tend to suggest that FOT may be a useful tool in respiratory epidemiology. This is strengthened by the fact that the FOT is both easy to use and has a high degree of acceptability. This further supports the usefulness of FOT in epidemiology pointed out by others [23, 32–36], as regards the measurement of bronchial changes to test hyperactivity [32–36].
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