CASE REPORT

Respiratory and systemic reaction following exposure to heated electrostatic polyester paint
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ABSTRACT: A 39 year old nonatopic man developed episodes of cough, dyspnoea, sweating and shivers within 2–3 weeks of starting a new job in a factory where metallic boards were treated with an electrostatic powder paint, made of an epoxy resin and a carboxylated polyester containing polyethylene terephthalate and polybutylene terephthalate. The subject sprayed the metallic boards which were then heated in 200°C ovens.

The subject was first seen in an emergency room after being at work for 4 h. The physical examination revealed bilateral wheezing with fever (39°C), hypoxaemia (arterial oxygen tension (PaO₂) 58 torr (7.7 kPa)), leucocytosis (white blood count cells·mm⁻³ 17,000 (17 × 10⁹ cells·l⁻¹) and severe airway obstruction (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁)/forced vital capacity, (FVC) 1.3/2.4 l, improving to 2.2/3.8 l after bronchodilator; predicted values = 3.4/4.1 l). The subject condition improved after being treated with oral steroids. His spirometry was improving to 2.2/3.8 l after bronchodilator; predicted values = 3.4/4.1 l.

The subject underwent specific inhalation challenges at the workplace 4 months later. After being exposed at work for 4 h, he developed a significant fall in FEV₁ (-40%), fever, leucocytosis, and a fall in diffusing capacity. Lung function tests were back to normal two weeks later, although he showed mild bronchial hyperresponsiveness to methacholine with the (provocative concentration producing a 20% fall in FEV₁ (PC₂₀) being 1.7 mg·ml⁻¹).
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A 39 year old subject was referred with the following history. He had begun work as a painter in a factory where metallic shelves were made. The one-part powder paint was made of an epoxy resin (86%) and a linear carboxylated polyester containing polyethylene terephthalate and polybutylene terephthalate (14%). The paint was sprayed onto the metallic boards, which were then heated in 200°C ovens. The subject worked at the end of the production line next to the oven, removing the finished shelves. He had had no respiratory symptoms before beginning the job. Two to three weeks later, he developed symptoms of cough, shortness of breath, sweating and shivers at the end of a shift. These symptoms persisted in the evening for 3–4 h. For one month he experienced the symptoms recurrently, although not daily, and never during weekends, at which time he was asymptomatic. He saw two physicians and was given an antibiotic. At the end of the month, he experienced a similar but more marked episode after being at work for 4 h and went to an emergency room. His oral temperature was 39°C and he showed bilateral wheezing on chest auscultation. His arterial oxygen tension (PaO₂) was slightly reduced at 58 torr (7.7 kPa).
The white blood count (WBC) was 17,000 cells·mm\(^{-3}\).

The chest radiograph was normal. Spirometry showed marked airway obstruction with a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\(_1\))/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of 1.3/2.2 l, improving to 2.2/3.8 l after 200 µg of salbutamol was administered (predicted values = 3.4/4.1 l) [6]. He was treated with an inhaled beta\(_2\)-adrenergic agent on an as needed basis and given oral prednisone for 2 weeks. He was kept in hospital for one week and, at the time he was discharged, his FEV\(_1\)/FVC was 3.3/4.5 l (normal values). Lung volumes measured by body plethysmography were normal [7] and diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DL\(_{\text{CO}}\)) by the single-breath method was 83% pred [8]. He underwent a histamine inhalation challenge according to a standardized methodology [9] (output of the nebulizer = 0.14 ml·min\(^{-1}\)). The provocative concentration of histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV\(_1\) (PC\(_{20}\)) was 1.7 mg·ml\(^{-1}\) corresponding to mild bronchial hyperresponsiveness [9].

The subject left his job and became asymptomatic except for chest tightness when he was exposed to cold air. Four months later specific inhalation tests were performed at the workplace by asking the subject to stand as an observer at his usual post (fig. 1). A control day of nonexposure in the hospital laboratory showed no significant changes in FEV\(_1\) or in buccal temperature. After 4 h at work, the subject developed a progressive fall in FEV\(_1\) that reached 23% after the last exposure period of 1 h, and was maximal (40%) 2 h later. There was associated fever (38.9°C) shivers, headache and small crackles on auscultation of the lung bases. A WBC showed leucocytosis (18,900 cells·mm\(^{-3}\) (18.9 × 10\(^9\) cells·l\(^{-1}\)), neutrophils=89%). Chest radiograph was normal. Pulmonary function tests showed an obstructive pattern with an increase in all static lung volumes and diffusing capacity was reduced (table 1). The subject was given inhaled beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) (2,000 µg daily) for two weeks. He improved rapidly with spirometry and DL\(_{\text{CO}}\) returning to normal.

Two months later, specific inhalation challenges were carried out in the hospital laboratory (fig. 2). Exposing the subject to the epoxy resin containing bisphenol based epoxide and heated at 100–200°C for 2 h did not elicit any significant changes in FEV\(_1\) or in buccal temperature. Exposure to the granulated polyester component of the paint provided by the employer and heated to 100–200°C for 60 min resulted in a 15% fall in FEV\(_1\) immediately after exposure ended. This was followed by a progressive fall in FEV\(_1\) that reached 41% 2 h later and required administration of inhaled salbutamol (200 µg) (BDT) on two occasions (— —). For abbreviations see legend to table 1.
The information provided by a chemist was that the chemical formula for linear polyesters was close to phthalic acid compounds and that it could contain traces of trimellitic anhydride (TMA). We therefore decided to perform further testing, exposing the subject to this product by heating it to 100–200°C for 1, 10, 30 and 60 min on different days. There were no significant changes in spirometry after these exposures. Furthermore, specific immunoglobulin E and G (IgE and IgG) to TMA coupled to human serum albumin (HSA) were assessed [10]; levels were within normal limits.

**Discussion**

This study shows that a low molecular weight agent, polyester (fig. 3), or one of its degradation products can cause occupational asthma when it is heated. The baseline structure of polyester is that of a low molecular weight agent although, when polymerized, it can be considered as a high molecular weight agent in the same way as isocyanates. This chemical should, therefore, be added to the list of causal agents [3]. Low molecular weight agents can cause isolated late reactions or dual reactions or atypical asthmatic reactions [11]. Our subject developed a progressive type of reaction that was maximal 2 h after exposure ended. The obstructive component was confirmed by a significant reduction in expiratory flow rates and changes in lung volume components. The subject also developed fever and leucocytosis, which are both sensitive and specific in detecting alveolitis-type reactions [12]. He showed a 50% fall in diffusing capacity, which may further show that an alveolar reaction occurred. Lung volumes showed hyperinflation, which is more typical of a bronchial component. These functional and systemic changes, therefore, suggest a "bronchioalveolitis" type of reaction. However, we did not carry out a bronchoscopy with a bronchoalveolar lavage to confirm the alveolar involvement. The mechanism of the reaction in terms of possible involvement of specific IgE and IgG antibodies was not examined because it is rare that low molecular weight agents cause asthma in this way. Although we did not have means to assess the concentration of polyester and ensure that this was not "toxic", we feel that the reaction reflected possible "sensitization" to the product. The employee was indeed the only one to experience symptoms at work, whereas several other employees were also exposed in the same manner as the subject.

Linear saturated polyesters can release various aldehydes (including acetaldehyde and acrolein) when heated. It would have been tedious to expose our subject to each of these various aldehydes, but we cannot exclude that one or other of them was responsible for the reaction. We excluded a reaction to trimellitic anhydride (TMA), which could have been present in traces in the saturated linear polyester. Exposing the subject for one hour to TMA did not induce any significant reaction.

Linear saturated polyesters were first used in the fabrication of fibres or films. Because of their resistive properties they have recently been used as plastics in mechanical and electrical industries. Heated polyester may, therefore, cause a respiratory and systemic reaction of a combined occupational asthma and alveolitis (bronchioalveolitis) type. The prevalence of reaction caused by this agent remains to be examined.
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