Hypodense eosinophil number relates to clinical severity, airway hyperresponsiveness and response to inhaled corticosteroids in asthmatic subjects

H-P. Kuo, T-R. Yu, C-T. Yu

ABSTRACT: The phenotypically distinct low-density eosinophil, with its greater inflammatory potential, is increased in asthma. However, the role of hypodense eosinophils in the development of asthma is still unclear.

We conducted a double-blind, placebo-controlled study to examine the effect of inhaled corticosteroids on the number of hypodense eosinophils in 27 asthmatic subjects and its relationship with clinical severity. The density profile of eosinophils in the peripheral blood was determined using Percoll density gradient fractionation.

Eosinophils recovered from asthmatics were mainly in the lower density fractions (<1.095 g·ml⁻¹) (63±3%; n=27), significantly different from those of normal subjects (27±2%; n=7). The proportion of hypodense eosinophils was inversely related to the provocative concentration of methacholine producing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (PC₂₀) value (r=-0.75). Patients with mild asthma had a lower percentage of hypodense eosinophils (45±4%; n=14) than those with moderate asthma (67±3%; n=13). Inhalation of budesonide (800 µg·day⁻¹) (n=15) for 4 weeks, but not placebo, significantly improved the PC₂₀ values by 0.97 doubling dose, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁)% predicted by 17%, and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) by 15%, and decreased PEFR diurnal variability by 5.4%. The percentage of hypodense eosinophils was significantly decreased from 68±4 to 47±4% in the budesonide group (n=15), but not in the placebo group (n=12) (63±4 to 65±4%). The percentage of lower density eosinophils (1.080–1.085 and 1.085–1.090 g·ml⁻¹) significantly decreased in the budesonide group but not in the placebo group, with a concomitant increase in the highest density (1.095–1.100 g·ml⁻¹).

We conclude that hypodense eosinophils are closely related to clinical severity and airway hyperresponsiveness. The clinical efficacy of corticosteroids might be mediated by inhibiting the conversion of eosinophils into hypodense subpopulations.

Airway inflammation is an important factor in the development and perpetuation of bronchial hyperresponsiveness in bronchial asthma [1]. Among several inflammatory cells recruited in airway inflammation, eosinophils predominate [2]. Eosinophil infiltration and the mediators released have been shown to denude airway epithelium [3], and increase vascular permeability, inducing airway oedema [4] and enhancing airway inflammation, which are characteristics of bronchial asthma. The close relationship between the severity of asthma and presence of eosinophils either in peripheral blood or in bronchoalveolar lavage has been explored previously [5, 6]. Several studies have also demonstrated that quantitation of eosinophil activation, degranulation or cell density are more precise indicators of eosinophil-mediated inflammation than is simply the presence of eosinophils [6–8].

Recently, studies using density gradient fractionation have demonstrated that eosinophils are a heterogeneous population, with functional heterogeneity among subpopulations [9, 10]. The functionally distinct eosinophil subpopulations may play a different role in the development of airway dysfunction. The phenotypically distinct low-density eosinophil, with its greater inflammatory potential, is increased in asthma [11]. The importance of hypodense eosinophils has been demonstrated in antigen-induced late phase asthma [8], and nocturnal asthma [12], and may reflect the eosinophil subpopulation most likely to cause altered airway function [8]. This particular subpopulation of eosinophils is well-equipped to cause tissue injury, and therefore may be an important participant in the development of airway inflammation. Glucocorticoids form the mainstay of treatment of asthma, and have
been shown to reduce the degree of airway inflammation and symptoms in asthma [13], as well as nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness [14, 15]. If hypodense eosinophils are involved in the airway inflammation, change in the hypodense subpopulation of eosinophils may parallel the clinical responses to corticosteroids. In this study, we first examined the role of hypodense eosinophils in bronchial asthma by evaluating the relationship of peripheral blood hypodense eosinophils to airway hyperresponsiveness and the severity of asthma. We further investigated whether maintenance treatment with an inhaled corticosteroid, budesonide, had an effect on the magnitude of increased hypodense eosinophils in asthmatics and its relationship with clinical response.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Thirty two patients with mild to moderate asthma were enrolled in this study. They comprised 19 men and 13 women, ranging in age from 18–64 yrs, with a mean age of 40 yrs. All patients had documented asthma according to American Thoracic Society definition [16], and demonstrated at least 15% reversibility to their airway obstruction to a metered-dose β-adrenergic agonist. No subjects took oral or inhaled corticosteroids or other anti-inflammatory agents for at least 6 weeks before enrolment.

The severity of asthma was determined on the grounds of clinical features before treatment (frequency of exacerbation and nocturnal attack), peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) and its variability [17] recorded 4 weeks before study. In brief, “mild” asthmatic patients are those with clinical features of intermittent, brief symptoms less than 1–2 times a week, and nocturnal asthma symptoms less than 2 times a month, as well as PEFR >80% predicted at baseline, with variability <20%. "Moderate" asthma patients are those with clinical exacerbation more than 1–2 times a week, nocturnal asthma symptoms more than 2 times a month, and symptoms requiring inhaled β-agonist almost daily, as well as PEFR 60–80% predicted at baseline, but normal after bronchodilator, and with variability 20–30%.

All patients had a normal chest X-ray, with no radiographic evidence of bronchiectasis or allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. All patients had positive skin tests for at least two common allergens, including housedust mite. Patients who currently smoked or who had smoked more than 5 pack-years were excluded, as were those with any past or current diseases, other than asthma, that might have resulted in alteration of lung function. Patients with acute infection within 2 weeks before or during the course of study were excluded. Seven healthy control subjects comprised 3 men and 4 women, ranging in age from 26–58 yrs, with a mean age of 38 yrs. No subject was taking medications or had a history of allergic rhinitis or asthma, positive immediate intradermal skin tests to extracts of housedust, grass, ragweed, trees and animal dander.

Study design

At a preliminary visit after initial recruitment, all subjects were assessed for suitability, and written consent was obtained after full explanation (selection period). Baseline spirometry and PEFR were measured. In a double-blind design, the asthmatic patients were randomly allocated to two parallel groups. Before entering the study, the patients discontinued their usual maintenance treatment for at least 3 weeks. During this period, no patient experienced serious asthma attacks requiring medications more than inhaled β-agonists. Sixteen patients were treated with inhaled budesonide (Turbuhaler, Astra, Sweden), 800 µg·day⁻¹, and 16 patients were treated with placebo. Medications required to control symptoms were inhaled β-agonists, which were used primarily on an as-needed basis. The treatment period was 4 weeks for each group. The study was carried out between July and October 1992. One patient in the active treatment group and four in the placebo group dropped out of the study due to an exacerbation of asthma during the period of study. The patients visited the clinic once during the selection period and, thereafter, every 2 weeks during the study. Before the start and the end of treatment, spirometry, and methacholine provocation test were performed and blood was collected for eosinophils. The best of three measurements of PEFR (l/min) were recorded daily by the patients using a Wright mini-peak flow meter (Airmed, London, UK), on awakening and before retiring, before fenoterol inhalation. The values of PEFR and the diurnal variability of PEFR (difference in the morning and evening values as a percentage of the mean) were averaged from the records on a diary card, 1 week before the start and the end of treatment. The number of inhalations of fenoterol (200 µg·puff⁻¹) taken as required was also recorded daily on diary cards.

Methacholine bronchoprovocation

Airway responsiveness to methacholine was determined by standard bronchial provocation techniques. Following measurement of baseline forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) with a dry wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK), increasing concentrations of methacholine aerosol were delivered through a nebulizer attached to a French-Rosenthal dosimeter. Five breaths of aerosol were inhaled from the functional residual capacity position in the course of an inspiratory capacity manoeuvre. Methacholine concentrations (0.075, 0.15, 0.3, 0.6, 1.25, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0 and 25.0 mg·ml⁻¹) were prepared from a stock solution and diluted in buffered saline (pH 7.4). Increasing concentrations of methacholine were given at 5 min intervals until the FEV₁ fell by at least 20% compared to the value with the diluted (buffered saline) control. Spirometry was performed, and the best of three efforts for the FEV₁ was selected to construct a dose-response plot. The dose of methacholine required to produce a 20% decrease in FEV₁ was calculated by linear interpolation according to an FEV₁ versus log concentration of methacholine, and was defined as the
20% provocative concentration (PC_{20}). All patients received methacholine provocation tests in the morning from 9 to 11 a.m. following a cessation of medications for at least 12 h.

### Preparation of multiple discontinuous density Percoll gradients for eosinophil separation

Percoll (polyvinylpyrrolidone-coated silica gel; Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Uppsala, Sweden) was mixed with 10% Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, Kansas, USA) to make a stock solution with a density of 1.124 g·ml⁻¹ and osmolality of 340±1 mOsmol·kg⁻¹·H₂O. Aliquots of the stock Percoll were then adjusted to densities of 1.080, 1.085, 1.090, 1.095 and 1.100 g·ml⁻¹ by the addition of HBSS. Starting with the most dense solution, solutions of decreasing density were layered in a 16 ml polycarbonate tube using a peristaltic pump at low speed. Gradients consisted of 1.5 ml 1.000, 2.5 ml 1.095, 3.0 ml 1.090, 3.0 ml 1.090, 3.0 ml 1.085, and 2.5 ml 1.080 g·ml⁻¹ Percoll. Before fractionation, Density Marker Beads (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Uppsala, Sweden) were mixed with 6% dextran (5 volumes of blood: 1 volume of dextran) for 1 h at 37°C. The leucocyte-rich supernatant was then fractionated on Ficoll-Hypaque density gradients. After centrifugation (750×g for 20 min), the mononuclear cell band was removed and the granulocyte pellet was washed twice in Ca²⁺-, Mg²⁺-free HBSS and resuspended with 6% dextran (5 volumes of blood: 1 volume of dextran) for 1 h at 37°C. The leucocyte-rich supernatant was then fractionated on Ficoll-Hypaque density gradients. After centrifugation (750×g for 20 min), the mononuclear cell band was removed and the granulocyte pellet was washed twice in Ca²⁺-, Mg²⁺-free HBSS and resuspended at a concentration of 20×10⁶ or 50×10⁶ cells·ml⁻¹ in HBSS containing 5% foetal calf serum in asthmatic patients or normal subjects, respectively. Very few eosinophils (2.9±0.5% of total eosinophils; n=12) were retained in the mononuclear cell layer, indicating that very hypodense eosinophils constitute only a small portion of total eosinophils. In addition, the drawn blood samples from asthmatics were submitted to our central laboratory to determine absolute eosinophil counts.

### Separation of eosinophils and neutrophils by Percoll density gradient centrifugation

A 2 ml aliquot of the granulocyte suspension was carefully layered onto the multidiscontinuous density Percoll gradient, and then centrifuged at 700×g for 20 min at 20°C. After centrifugation, cells were gently aspirated from each of the density gradient interfaces. The cells from each band were counted and the purity of eosinophils was determined by examination of 200 cells on a Giemsa-Wright stained cytocoentrifuge preparation. To avoid observer's bias during counting, the samples were coded and counted by a senior technician who was unaware of the study. From these data, the fraction (percentage) of total eosinophils recovered from each gradient interface was determined. The recovery of eosinophils from gradients was 90±1% (n=27) for the asthma group and 88±4% (n=7) for the normal group. There was no significant difference in eosinophil recovery between the two groups.

The acceptability of variability in measuring the percentage of hypodense eosinophils was determined using the methods of Bland and Altman [18], whereby duplicate measurements are made and the difference between the two is calculated. If 95% of the differences are within two standard deviations (SD) of the mean differences, variability is considered to be acceptable. Thus, variation was determined by duplicate measurements of the percentage of hypodense eosinophils for each of 22 patients (17 asthma patients and 5 normal subjects). The mean difference after duplicate measurements of the percentage of hypodense fraction was 4.5±8.4% (mean±SD; n=22) which was not significantly different from 0. Twenty one out of 22 differences (>95%) were within 2 SD of the mean difference, which demonstrated that the variability in determination of the percentage of hypodense fraction within acceptable limits.

To determine whether Percoll separation may activate eosinophils, superoxide (SO) anion release was measured [19] in granulocytes fractionated on Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient from five patients (3 asthmatics and 2 normal subjects with a mean percentage of eosinophil 7.3±2.5%). Briefly, 10⁶ granulocytes resuspended in HBSS or Percoll solution at densities of 1.070, 1.090 and 1.100 g·ml⁻¹ were placed in wells of a 96-well plate with 1.2 mg·ml⁻¹ ferricytochrome c, 0.1% gelatin, with or without 25 µg·ml⁻¹ superoxide dismutase, in a final volume of 20 µl of HBSS of Percoll solution. Spontaneous function was measured without activator. Optical density at 550 nm was determined using a Titertek Multiscan spectrophotometer (MCC/340; Flow Laboratories). Measurement was made initially and at 30 and 60 min, and each optical density was converted to nanomoles of SO anion released per 10⁶ cells per hour. Granulocytes incubated in Percoll solution at densities of 1.070, 1.090 and 1.100 g·ml⁻¹ did not release significantly more superoxide anion (0.64±0.3, 0.6±0.1 and 0.7±0.2 nm·10⁶ cells respectively; n=5), than cells incubated in HBSS (0.8±0.3 nm·10⁶ cells; n=5; p>0.05), indicating that cells were not activated during Percoll separation.

### Eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) measurements

ECP measurements in the serum were performed using a double antibody radio-immunooassay (Pharmacia Diagnostics AB, Uppsala, Sweden). The assay is based on the competition of radiolabelled ECP for the binding sites of a specific monoclonal antibody. Calibration is realized
against pure ECP. The detection limit is <2 µg·l⁻¹. The procedure was performed following the instructions of the manufacturers. The coefficient of variation of ECP measurement was 6.3% determined on six samples, each of which was in three replicates, and measured on 18 occasions.

**Statistical analysis**

The data are expressed as mean±SEM. Statistical analysis of results was performed by Student’s t-test for paired data, or one way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni corrected t-test, when multiple comparisons were made. For data with uneven variation, a Mann-Whitney U-test was used. Correlation of data was sought by Spearman’s rank correlation test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Patients with asthma had a higher absolute eosinophil count (584.1±69.2 counts·mm⁻³; n=27; p<0.01) in the peripheral blood than normal subjects (85.1±65.2 counts·mm⁻³; n=7). The relative cell counts of eosinophils shifted to the lower density ranges in asthmatics compared with normal subjects (fig. 1). The majority of eosinophils in normal subjects were concentrated in the density ranging from 1.095–1.100 g·ml⁻¹ (73±2%; n=7) compared with those of asthmatics (37±3%; n=27; p<0.001). In contrast, the majority of eosinophils in asthmatics were recovered in the density <1.095 g·ml⁻¹, and were then termed as hypodense eosinophils (fig. 1). The proportion of hypodense eosinophils in asthmatics (63±3%; n=27) was higher than that of normal subjects (27±2%; n=7; p<0.001).

Fifteen patients in the active treatment group and 12 patients in the placebo group completed the study and their characteristics are presented in table 1. There were no significant differences between patients in the active treatment group and those in the placebo group in terms of age, sex, baseline spirometry, PEFR, methacholine PC₂₀, absolute eosinophil counts and hypodense eosinophil percentage (table 1).

**Table 1.** – Characteristics of patients and the effect of 4 week budesonide on pulmonary function and peripheral blood eosinophils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budesonide (n=15)</th>
<th>Placebo (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs</td>
<td>37±4</td>
<td>38±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M/F</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ % pred</td>
<td>70±5</td>
<td>87±5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC₂₀ mg·ml⁻¹</td>
<td>5.7±3.8</td>
<td>11.1±5.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFRe l·min⁻¹</td>
<td>37±3±29.7</td>
<td>429±22.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APEFRe %</td>
<td>12.9±1.9</td>
<td>7.5±1.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of fenoterol puffs-week⁻¹</td>
<td>18.6±0.8</td>
<td>7.6±0.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEC counts·mm⁻³</td>
<td>621±136</td>
<td>174±52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypodense eosinophils %</td>
<td>68±4</td>
<td>47±4***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SEM. §: the variability of PEFR; *, **, ***: p<0.05, 0.01, 0.001 compared with before treatment. M: male; F: female; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in one second; PC₂₀: provocative concentration of methacholine producing a 20% fall in FEV₁; PEFRe: peak expiratory flow rate; AEC: absolute eosinophil count.
Fig. 2. – Correlation between the percentage of hypodense eosinophils and methacholine PC20 in patients with asthma (n=27). A significant correlation is indicated. PC20: provocative concentration producing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second.

The methacholine PC20 value was inversely related to the percentage of hypodense eosinophil (r=-0.75; n=0.27; p<0.001) (fig. 2), and also to the absolute eosinophil counts (r=-0.51; n=27; p<0.05) within the asthma group. The severity of asthma was found to be related to the percentage of hypodense eosinophils. Patients with mild asthma had a lower percentage of hypodense eosinophils (45±4%; n=14) than those with moderate asthma (67±3%; n=13; p<0.01) (fig. 3). The proportion of hypodense eosinophils were not significantly related to the absolute eosinophil counts in patients with asthma (r=0.38; p>0.05; n=27).

Inhalation of budesonide induced a significant increase in the methacholine PC20 values but not placebo treatment (table 1). Budesonide significantly (p<0.01; n=15) increased the values of FEV1 % by 17±4% and PEFR from 373±29.7 to 429±22.9 l·min⁻¹, and decreased the variability of PEFR from 12.9±1.9 to 7.5±1.2% (table 1). In contrast, placebo treatment failed to significantly

Fig. 3. – Percentage of blood hypodense eosinophils in normal subjects and patients with mild (n=14) and moderate asthma (n=13). Values are mean±SEM (n=7). *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01 compared with normal subjects; #: p<0.01 compared with mild asthmatics.

Fig. 4. – The distribution profiles of blood eosinophils in the different Percoll gradient factors in patients with asthma before (□) and after (□) treatment with: a) budesonide (n=15); or b) placebo (n=12). Values are mean±SEM. **: p<0.01; *: p<0.05 compared with corresponding fraction before treatment.
improved FEV₁, PEFR or the variability of PEFR (table 1). There was a significant decrease in the number of blood eosinophils and the percentage of hypodense eosinophils in the budesonide treatment group but not in the placebo group (table 1). Budesonide, but not placebo treatment, also caused a significant change in the distribution profiles of eosinophil subpopulation (fig. 4). The proportions of eosinophils in the lower densities (1.080–1.085 and 1.085–1.090 g ml⁻¹) significantly (p<0.01) decreased, with a concomitant significant increase in the highest density (1.095–1.100 g ml⁻¹) after budesonide treatment.

The serum ECP level in patients after budesonide treatment was 12.1±0.8 µg ml⁻¹ (n=15), which was significantly higher than that of normal subjects (5.7±0.5 µg ml⁻¹; n=7; p<0.01), but much lower than patients with placebo treatment (36.4±11.1 µg ml⁻¹; n=12; p<0.001). Although the ECP level in asthmatic patients with a higher proportion of hypodense eosinophils (>50%) (47.3±6.8 µg ml⁻¹; n=18) was significantly higher than that in patients with a lower proportion of hypodense eosinophils (<50%) (23.1±3.7 µg ml⁻¹; n=9; p<0.02), there was no significant correlation between the proportions of hypodense eosinophils and serum ECP level (r=0.11; n=27; p>0.05). The proportion of remaining hypodense eosinophils in patients after budesonide treatment was not significantly correlated with methacholine PC₂₀ (r= -0.05; p>0.1).

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that hypodense eosinophils were significantly increased in patients with asthma, and that the proportions of hypodense eosinophils were closely related to the severity of asthma. Four week inhaled budesonide treatment significantly decreased the proportions of hypodense eosinophils, with a concomitant improvement in FEV₁, PEFR, PEFR variability and methacholine PC₂₀, support this hypothesis.

Eosinophils contain an abundance of heavily basic, medium-sized proteins in their secretory granules, including ECP, eosinophilic protein X (EPX), major basic proteins (MBP) and active mediators, such as platelet activating factors (PAF) and leukotrienes [22]. MBP and ECP have been demonstrated to damage the surface epithelium of the airway, from detachment of the superficial part of the epithelium to a total removal of the surface epithelium [23]. Investigations have revealed a clear correlation between ECP level and cellular injury in the lung tissue from severe asthma [24]. These cytotoxic effects, in conjunction with vascular permeability to plasma proteins and chemotaxis for inflammatory cells induced by PAF and leukotrienes [25, 26] released from eosinophils, have been considered to be the underlying mechanism responsible for airway hyperresponsiveness [27]. The density distribution of eosinophils has been shown to be related to the ECP content [28], and plasma MBP level [111]. Thus, the different stages of eosinophil activation through release of cytotoxic proteins and mediators may be related to the development of airway hyperresponsiveness. Our results, showing a highly significant correlation between the proportions of hypodense eosinophil and methacholine PC₂₀, support this hypothesis.

In this study, treatment with inhaled budesonide caused a significant decrease in the percentage of hypodense eosinophils with a concomitant increase in methacholine PC₂₀, further indicating a close relationship between hypodense eosinophils and airway hyperresponsiveness. However, despite these changes, bronchial hyperresponsiveness was still apparent in most of our patients during the treatment, and there was no relationship between PC₂₀ and the proportion of remaining hypodense eosinophils after budesonide treatment, indicating that the development of airway hyperresponsiveness in not totally attributed to an activation of eosinophils. The effect of corticosteroids on airway hyperresponsiveness may be partly mediated via an inhibition of other mediators involved in the pathogenesis of airway inflammation but not in eosinophil activation.

A significantly higher percentage of hypodense eosinophils in patients with moderate asthma than in patients with mild asthma suggested that the extent of eosinophil activation was related to the clinical severity. Whether the increase in hypodense eosinophils directly contributes to the development of airway hyperresponsiveness as well as clinical manifestations, or whether this increase arises secondary to other processes involved in airway inflammation, cannot be determined from the present results.

A number of mechanisms have been demonstrated to participate in the development of low density eosinophils. The complex processes causing activation of eosinophils involve several proinflammatory mediators, including platelet-activating factor and leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄) from mast cells or basophils [29, 30], eosinophil cytotoxicity-enhancing factor (ECEF) and eosinophil-activating factor.
from macrophages [31], as well as lymphokines from T-lymphocytes [32]. Among those mediators, LTβ, and platelet-activating factor (PAF) can enhance leucocyte metabolic activity [29, 33], and cause cell density to decrease [34]. Intravenous infusion of interleukin-2 (IL-2) in human subjects increases the appearance of peripheral blood hypodense eosinophils [35]. IL-2 further releases other cytokines, such as granulocytes/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) [36], interleukin-5 (IL-5) [37], and interleukin-3 (IL-3) [38] to decrease eosinophil density. Therefore, the inhibitory response to inhaled budesonide in this study was probably through an inhibition of the release of cytokines (e.g. IL-3, GM-CSF) and other mediators, such as PAF, acting on the conversion to hypodense phenotype. However, it is also possible that glucocorticoids exert a direct effect on eosinophils themselves, as shown previously in vitro [39].

In this study, the decrease in the proportion of hypodense eosinophils was accompanied by a concomitant change in the total number of circulating eosinophils, suggesting that it is possible that the hypodense eosinophil represents a relatively immature cell released early from the bone marrow, and its appearance may be related more to the number of peripheral blood eosinophils than their activation status. Therefore, the response of hypodense eosinophils to corticosteroids is merely due to a decrease in total eosinophil counts. However, the correlation between the proportions of hypodense eosinophils and circulating total eosinophil counts in asthmatics was weak, which was consistent with a previous report [11]. Furthermore, the distribution profiles of eosinophils also shifted to a predominance of normodense eosinophils after corticosteroid treatment, suggesting that corticosteroids influenced the eosinophil activation rather than simply decreased the cell numbers of eosinophils. The serum level of ECP in patients after corticosteroid treatment was significantly higher than that of normal subjects, but much lower than that of patients with placebo treatment, suggesting that most of the eosinophil activation has been suppressed.

The confounding effect of altering exposure to allergens may influence the magnitude of hypodense eosinophils during the course of study. However, it seems unlikely for two reasons. Firstly, the study was carried out in the period between July and October; in this period there were no significant changes in allergen exposure leading to a seasonal asthma, due to the Oceanic climate in Taiwan. Secondly, patient populations in the placebo group seemed to be no different from those in the active treatment group. However, there was no significant change in the eosinophil subpopulations or total eosinophil counts in the placebo group during the course of the study.

In addition to glucocorticoids, the other maintenance medications, β-agonists, may decrease the number of circulating eosinophils and the serum level of ECP [40]. In this study, patients in the placebo group required more fenoterol use to relieve symptoms that those in steroid treatment group. However, there was no significant change in either eosinophil number or the proportions of hypodense eosinophils in the placebo group, indicating that the effect of concomitant β-agonists was not significant.

Our results confirmed the effect of corticosteroid on eosinophil subpopulation distribution recently reported by Evans et al. [41]. This study further explored a close relationship between hypodense eosinophils and airway hyperresponsiveness as well as clinical severity. The concomitant improvement in clinical severity and airway hyperresponsiveness after steroid treatment, with a decrease in the portion of hypodense eosinophils, further supports such a close relationship.

In conclusion, in this study, we found a close relationship between hypodense eosinophils and airway hyperresponsiveness, as well as clinical severity, in patients with asthma. The topical corticosteroid, budesonide, had a marked suppressive action on the proportion of hypodense eosinophils in the peripheral blood. Inhaled budesonide also shifted the distribution profiles to a prominence of normodense eosinophils similar to that in normal subjects. Because these cellular changes were paralleled by the changes in the indices of clinical activities, we suggest that they are relevant to the therapeutic efficacy of this class of drugs in asthma. However, it is not clear whether the airway eosinophils also possess a similar phenomenon and a corresponding response to corticosteroids. A future study with concurrent measurements of eosinophil subpopulations and ECP level in the blood and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid in patients with asthma may further advance our understanding of the role of hypodense eosinophils in asthma.
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