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From the authors:
We thank T. Jindal, A. Kumar and R. Kumar for their interest in our previously published article [1]. They also experienced similar PET findings in two cases of pulmonary myofibroblastic tumours [2, 3].

Our 111In-Diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA)-D-Phe1 scans (octreoscan) with relative images were obtained in both cases at 4 and 24 h post-injection (planar whole-body images and single-photon emission computed tomography, as recommended by the guidelines of the European Association for Nuclear Medicine (EANM) and the Society for Nuclear Medicine (SNM) [4]). The tumour/background ratios were 3.8 and 2.5, respectively, calculated on the late (24 h) image.

We thank the authors for mentioning a previous report [5] which describes a pseudotumour with uptake on octreoscan; it seems to be quite interesting, even if in that case the tumour was present in the fossa pterygopalatina, secondary to an unclassified autoimmune disease, while in our two cases the pseudotumours were primary and localised in the lung. Based on octreoscan positivity, somatostatin receptor expression was suggested to be present but it was not demonstrated. This is the reason for our statement in the latter part of the manuscript: “To our knowledge, these are the first documented cases of IMT with positive octreoscan and SSTR immuno-histochemistry” [1].
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Controlling asthma during pregnancy prevents asthma in children: a Berkson fallacy?

To the Editors:
Assessment of risk factors is absolutely essential to understanding how and why asthma develops in children. We read with great interest the study by Martel et al. [1], which was recently published in the European Respiratory Journal, and considered the association between mothers’ asthma in pregnancy and their children’s risk of developing the disease [1].
In that case–control study, children whose mothers experienced moderate-to-severe uncontrolled asthma during pregnancy were at higher risk of being diagnosed with asthma than children whose mothers had mild controlled asthma during pregnancy (adjusted OR 1.27, 95% CI 1.06–1.52). As the authors found an association between uncontrolled asthma during pregnancy and the development of asthma in children, they concluded that treating pregnant females with asthma would prevent their offspring from developing the disease. This association also led the authors to recommend that asthmatic pregnant females be treated with medication, for the benefit of both mother and child.

The study population was families from Quebec (Canada) that were registered for the provincial prescription drug insurance programme (Régie de l’assurance-maladie du Québec (RAMQ) Médicaments); this is not representative of the general population. To be eligible for the programme, a person needs to meet at least one of the following conditions: 1) be on social welfare; 2) be self-employed; 3) be >65 yrs of age. >80% of those <65 yrs of age in the programme receive social welfare. As table 1 in the study by Martel et al. [1] shows, most of the mothers in the sample receive social welfare (87.4% of cases and 84.8% of controls). We argue that in that study, poverty acts as a collider of asthma control in mothers and the incidence of asthma in their children. In fact, asthma control is lower in poor than in non-poor females, and the incidence of asthma is higher in children born to poor families than those born to non-poor families. It is well known that medication compliance is lower in poor sectors of society [2] and, more recently, asthma in children has been associated with being a member of a low-income family [3, 4]. With these facts, we conclude that the authors may have exhibited Berkson-type bias [5], as the presence of the collider poverty causes a bias that leads to an overestimation of the association between mothers’ asthma control and asthma in their children.

Considering that the use of medication in pregnancy is always a delicate issue, further research is needed before it is recommended.
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