The feasibility of airways hyperresponsiveness as an inclusion criterion for studies on childhood asthma
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ABSTRACT: The feasibility of moderately severe airway hyperresponsiveness (AH) was examined as an inclusion criterion for clinical trials in asthmatic children.

During the baseline period of a long-term clinical trial in asthmatic children, maintenance therapy with fluticasone (200 μg day−1) was stopped for a maximum of 8 weeks and methacholine challenges were performed at 2-week intervals or earlier if the patients’ condition deteriorated. Patients who were eligible to continue the study if the provocative dose of methacholine causing a 20% fall in forced expired volume in one second (FEV1) (PD20) was <80 μg.

Fifty-one per cent of the children did not develop a PD20 <80 μg after withdrawal of fluticasone. Patients with or without a PD20 <80 μg did not differ in duration of asthma, treatment, or peak flow variation. Patients with a PD20 <80 μg had higher levels of total and specific immunoglobulin-E, and lower levels of FEV1 and mean maximal expiratory flow than patients with a PD20 ≥80 μg. Forty-four per cent of the patients with a PD20 ≥80 μg did not have any symptoms during the wash-out period and 39% of these patients remained free from symptoms during one year follow-up.

The results of this study suggest that recruiting asthmatic children for clinical trials may be difficult if airways hyperresponsiveness is used as the sole inclusion criterion. Eur Respir J 2001; 17: 887–891.

Current guidelines for the treatment of childhood asthma emphasize the use of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as first-line treatment [1, 2]. Airways hyperresponsiveness (AH) continues to improve throughout the first 2 yrs after institution of ICS therapy [3–5]. Therefore, if differences between various types or dosages of ICS are to be examined in clinical trials, the extent to which AH improves is a useful end-point. This is why a certain degree of AH has been frequently used as an inclusion criterion for clinical trials in childhood asthma over the past years [3, 6, 7].

Children with asthma who are currently approached for participation in clinical trials generally use ICS therapy already, sometimes even for many years. Most of these patients will have a normal or near-normal level of lung function [8]. As a result, a certain degree of airways obstruction may no longer be a valid inclusion criterion for clinical trials in childhood asthma [9]. Although not formally investigated so far, this might be the case for AH as well.

During the patient recruitment period of an ongoing long-term study comparing different dosing schedules of ICS, the feasibility of moderately severe AH was examined as an inclusion criterion for clinical trials in school-aged asthmatic children.

Patients and methods

For this report, baseline data from an ongoing long-term study on ICS in childhood asthma were used. In this study, two dosage schedules of ICS are compared over a period of 2 yrs, using the improvement in AH as one of the main end-points. In order to be able to detect differences between different dosage schedules of ICS during the study period (rather than comparing ICS to placebo), moderate-to-severe AH (defined as a provocative dose of methacholine causing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) (PD20) of <80 μg) after withdrawal of ICS was an inclusion criterion.

Children, 6–10 yrs of age, with a clinical diagnosis of asthma, were recruited from the outpatient clinics of the three participating hospitals. None of these patients had, due to their age at presentation, undergone methacholine challenges before entering the study. After obtaining written informed parental consent, patients were switched from their usual maintenance therapy to fluticasone propionate 100 μg b.i.d. via dry powder inhaler (Diskhaler®; GlaxoWellcome) for 6 weeks (run-in period). No other maintenance treatment for asthma was allowed. The main objective of
this run-in period was to familiarize all patients with the inhaler device to be used during the whole study period. At the end of the run-in period, a baseline methacholine provocation test was performed. Subsequently, fluticasone propionate was stopped for a maximal period of 8 weeks (wash-out), and patients were only allowed to use inhaled short acting bronchodilator (salbutamol) for relief of symptoms. Methacholine provocation tests were performed at 2-week intervals or earlier if symptoms of asthma increased. The methacholine tests were performed with a dosimeter method as described elsewhere [10]. The usual cut-off level between "normal" airways responsiveness and AH using this method is a PD20 of 150 μg [11].

At each follow-up visit patients were asked if they had experienced symptoms of cough, wheeze, or dyspnoea. During the wash-out period, patients kept a diary in which symptoms and peak expiratory flow (PEF) were recorded. The highest of three PEF manoeuvres was recorded in the morning and evening. PEF variation was calculated as the lowest PEF level during the first 2 weeks of the wash-out period as a percentage of the highest PEF level recorded in this period (low%high) [4].

The patients entered the randomized part of the study as soon as they developed AH (PD20 < 80 μg). If PD20 was ≥80 μg after a wash-out period of 8 weeks, patients were withdrawn from the study and treated according to the judgment of their paediatric pulmonologist. Follow-up data from these patients were collected from their medical records.

In all patients, blood was drawn for determination of total and allergen-specific immunoglobulin-E (IgE) concentrations. Allergen-specific IgE concentrations (to house dust mite, grass and tree pollen, and cat and dog dander) were determined by a radio-allergosorbent test (RAST) (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). A RAST was considered positive when the result read class 2 or higher. From their medical records, the mean daily dose of ICS (cumulative dose divided by time of treatment in days) was determined.

Differences between groups were analysed using the Mann-Whitney U-test and Chi-squared test as appropriate. To examine whether age was associated with AH, logistic regression analysis was carried out. Seasonal variation in the degree of AH was tested by nonparametric analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The study was approved by the ethics review boards of all three participating hospitals.

Results

Patient characteristics

Ninety-five children completed the run-in and wash-out period of the study. All patients had been treated with ICS for asthma before entering the study. At the end of the 6-week run-in period, during which all patients used 200 μg fluticasone daily, 8 (8.4%) had a PD20 < 80 μg, and 14 (14.7%) had a PD20 < 150 μg. There were no data available on previous tests of airways hyperresponsiveness before the patients were using ICS. Forty-seven (49%) of the 95 patients who completed the run-in and wash-out period developed AH (PD20 < 80 μg), and 48 (51%) did not. The latter group had significantly higher FEV1 % pred and mean maximal expiratory flow (MEF50) % pred values, and lower IgE levels as well as a lower prevalence of RAST positivity. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in table 1. Forty-three (90%) of the 48 patients with a PD20 ≥80 μg, had a PD20 > 150 μg. Age was not associated with AH (odds ratio 0.9; 95% confidence interval 0.7–1.3).

Symptoms during the wash-out period and after withdrawal of inhaled corticosteroids

During the 8-week wash-out period, 21 (44%) of the patients without AH had no symptoms of cough, wheeze or dyspnoea on exertion. These children were considered to be in clinical remission of their asthma. The other 27 patients (56%) reported asthmatic symptoms, including cough in 16 and wheeze in 10 (fig. 1). Characteristics of the patients with a PD20

![Table 1. - Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with and without airways hyperresponsiveness (AH)](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects n</th>
<th>AH PD20 &lt; 80 μg</th>
<th>No AH PD20 ≥ 80 μg</th>
<th>p-valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male children %</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of asthma yrs</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ICS yrs</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean daily dose of ICS received prior to entering study μg</td>
<td>361 ± 97</td>
<td>328 ± 104</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF50 at end of washout period % pred</td>
<td>92.1 ± 16.8</td>
<td>98.3 ± 18.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF Low%/Highb</td>
<td>63.5 ± 23.3</td>
<td>72.1 ± 22.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE kU/mLc</td>
<td>72.1 ± 12.3</td>
<td>74.3 ± 13.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAST positive %</td>
<td>457 (29–3966)</td>
<td>132 (2–4500)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD20 μg</td>
<td>31.8 (3.5–76)</td>
<td>665.3 (95–1565)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD, or as geometric mean (range). a: Mann Whitney U-test or Chi-squared test; b: Peak expiratory flow (PEF) variation calculated as the lowest PEF level as a percentage of the highest PEF level recorded during the first two weeks of the wash-out period. ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second; MEF50: mean maximal expiratory flow; IgE: immunoglobulin-E; RAST: radioallergosorbent test; PD20: provocative dose of methacholine causing a 20% fall in FEV1.
≥80 µg with and without any symptoms during the wash-out period are presented in table 2. All patients with a PD20 < 80 µg reported symptoms of asthma during the wash-out period.

After withdrawal from the trial, patients without AH were followed-up for a median period of 13 months (range 2 – 32 months). Four patients were lost to follow-up because they moved to another area or missed follow-up appointments. Of the remaining 44 patients, 17 (39%) remained free from symptoms. The remainder had mild asthmatic symptoms (cough in 13, wheeze in 7, dyspnoea on exertion in 7, fig. 1). More than half of these patients were atopic with at least one positive RAST (table 1).

Seasonal variation in airway hyperresponsiveness

There was a seasonal variation in the degree of AH in the patients. AH was significantly lower in the spring (April, May, and June) than in the winter, summer, and autumn (nonparametric ANOVA, p = 0.01) (fig. 2).

Table 2. – Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with provocative dose of methacholine causing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) (PD20) ≥ 80 µg with and without symptoms during the wash-out period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PD20 &lt; 80 µg With symptoms</th>
<th>PD20 ≥ 80 µg Without symptoms</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects n</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male children %</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of asthma yrs</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ICS yrs</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean daily dose of ICS prior to entering study µg</td>
<td>303 ± 84</td>
<td>340 ± 81</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 measured at end of washout % pred</td>
<td>96.6 ± 21.0</td>
<td>101.6 ± 12.8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF50 measured at end of washout % pred</td>
<td>69.0 ± 21.5</td>
<td>77.5 ± 16.1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF Low%High*</td>
<td>69.8 ± 15.4</td>
<td>80.5 ± 7.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE kU·L−1</td>
<td>195 (18–1538)</td>
<td>87 (2–4500)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAST positive %</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD20 µg</td>
<td>562.3 (136–1565)</td>
<td>912 (182–1565)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD, or as geometric mean (range). *: Mann Whitney U- or Chi-squared test; #: Peak expiratory flow (PEF) variation calculated as the lowest PEF level as a percentage of the highest PEF level recorded during the first two weeks of the wash-out period. ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; MEF50: mean maximal expiratory flow; IgE: immunoglobulin-E; RAST: radioallergosorbent test; PD20: provocative dose of methacholine causing a 20% fall in FEV1.
Discussion

Although many children with mild asthma in population studies may not show AH, [12, 13] previous hospital-based studies of childhood asthma suggested that most, if not all, school-aged asthmatic children had AH [3, 6, 7, 14]. The results of the present study show that at present many school-aged children in the Netherlands who have been treated with ICS because of asthma for prolonged periods of time, do not have AH after withdrawal of ICS (whether defined as a PD20 < 80 or < 150 µg [6]). Other researchers in Western Europe share the same experience (A.A.P.H. Vaessen-Verberne, Amphia Medical Centre, Breda, the Netherlands and S. Pedersen, Kolding Hospital, Kolding, Denmark, personal communication).

A drawback of the present study is the lack of data on presence or absence of AH prior to entering the trial. This, however, reflects current practice in preschool children. Methacholine challenges using FEV1 to monitor responses are not feasible in this age group. Therefore, although it is possible that some nonhyperresponsive children in the present study were never hyperresponsive, testing this hypothesis is unlikely to be successful. Nevertheless, they all had persistent respiratory symptoms initially, severe enough to be referred to a paediatric pulmonologist.

Most of the children in this study had been diagnosed with and treated for asthma from preschool age onwards. It is now clear that wheezing during preschool years is a heterogeneous condition that may be transient in many children [15]. The children who did not develop AH and remained asymptomatic after withdrawal of ICS in this study could thus be viewed as transient wheezers without AH [16, 17]. The present findings of a higher prevalence of atopic sensitization in children with AH than in those without AH is in agreement with earlier findings in such transient wheezers [15]. Furthermore, the larger degree of PEF variation in symptomatic than in asymptomatic children without AH, also fits with this observation [16]. In contrast, the fact that almost half of the patients developed AH while most of them did not demonstrate AH during treatment with ICS, favours a diagnosis of asthma in these patients. In prospective studies, the only feature predicting which wheezers will cease wheezing is the lack of atopy [15, 16, 18]. The proportion of atopic patients in the present study's nonhyperresponsive patients (54%, table 1) is higher than that in studies from England (36%) [18] and USA (32%) [15]. This suggests that in the present study group, there were more atopic patients with transient wheeze than in previous studies. A striking difference between these previous studies and the present one is the more widespread use of ICS from an early age onwards in this study group. Because this study group was not characterized extensively and prospectively at a young age, it is impossible to come to a definite conclusion about whether the nonhyperresponsive patients in this study were transient wheezers or truly asthmatics in long-lasting clinical remission.

A recent study from Canada showed that almost all asthmatic children who had been treated in a hospital clinic from the age of 3 – 4 yrs onwards, demonstrated AH when re-examined 6 yrs later, whether they were symptomatic or not [14]. In the latter study, only 40% of the symptomatic patients were using regular maintenance treatment with ICS, whereas all asthmatic children in the present study had used ICS for 0.2 – 7.6 yrs. Tentatively, the lack of AH in the present study in many children after withdrawal of ICS may reflect a long-lasting remission of childhood asthma caused by ICS therapy when instituted at an early age and continued for a prolonged period of time. It has been shown that withdrawal of ICS in older asthmatic children and adults results in rapid deterioration of symptoms and AH [19, 20]. It is possible that ICS therapy in young children could truly stop asthmatic airways inflammation before it becomes persistent and irreversible [21]. An interesting observation in this respect is that both FEV1 and MEF50, reflecting large and small airways calibre respectively, were significantly higher in children without AH than in children with AH. This suggests a beneficial effect of prolonged ICS therapy on both large and small airways function in young children with asthma. This is in agreement with the observation of chronic persistent asthmatic inflammation in both large and small airways in adults [22].

There was a lower level of AH in the children tested during the spring months (fig. 2). This could be due to low exposure to house dust mite [23], the predominant inhalant allergen in the Netherlands, or a lower viral infection load. It is unlikely, however, that this phenomenon is responsible for the lack of AH observed in approximately one-half of the asthmatic children in the present study, because only 26 children were tested during the spring months (fig. 2), and eight of them showed AH.

Even though many of the asthmatic children failed to show AH (as traditionally defined), the PD20 level after withdrawal of ICS was significantly lower in the group of children who redeveloped asthmatic symptoms during further follow-up than in the children who remained asymptomatic (table 2). This suggests that because ICS are used broadly in the majority of children with asthma, cut-off levels for AH may need to be redefined.

There are several ways to assess AH in children, and it has been argued that "indirect" AH (as assessed by, for example, exercise, adenosine, or hypertonic saline) would be more closely related to current asthma than "direct challenge" (methacholine) that was used in the present study [24, 25]. Further studies are needed to determine whether the present findings are reproduced when using an indirect challenge test.

In summary, this study shows that airways responsiveness may be markedly reduced (to levels usually encountered in nonasthmatics) after withdrawal of long-term treatment with inhaled corticosteroids in 6 – 10 yr old children with asthma. In addition, recruiting asthmatic children for clinical trials may be difficult if airways hyperresponsiveness is used as the sole inclusion criterion.
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