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ABSTRACT: Pneumonia is one of the major infectious diseases responsible for significant morbidity and mortality throughout the world. Imaging plays a crucial role in the detection and management of patients with pneumonia.

This review article discusses the different imaging methods used in the diagnosis and management of suspected pulmonary infections. The imaging examination should always begin with conventional radiography. When the results of routine radiography are inconclusive, computed tomography is mandatory. A combination of pattern recognition with knowledge of the clinical setting is the best approach to the pulmonary infectious processes.

A specific pattern of involvement can suggest a likely diagnosis in many instances. In acquired immune deficiency syndrome patients, diffuse ground-glass and interstitial infiltrates are most commonly present in Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia whereas in the nonimmunosuppressed patients, a segmental lobar infiltrate is suggestive of a bacterial pneumonia. Round pneumonia is most often encountered in children than adults and is most often caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae. Different combinations of parenchymal and pleural abnormalities may be suggestive for additional diagnoses.

When an infectious pulmonary process is suspected, knowledge of the varied radiographic manifestations will narrow the differential diagnosis, helping to direct additional diagnostic measures, and serving as an ideal tool for follow-up examinations.


Despite advances in diagnosis and treatment, pulmonary infections are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in adult patients. Pneumonia is the sixth most common cause of death in the USA and more than 6 million cases of bacterial pneumonia occur each year in the immunocompetent population [1]. The spectrum of organisms known to cause respiratory infections is broad and constantly increasing as new pathogens are identified and the host immune response is altered by medications or other diseases or responses. In the USA, it has been estimated that there are 1.1 million cases of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) requiring hospitalization each year, at an estimated cost of 8 billion dollars [1]. Nosocomial pneumonia (NP) is the most important hospital-acquired infection because it is associated with the highest mortality rate of nosocomial infections that contribute causally to death [2]. Moreover, since the beginning of the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) epidemic, lungs represent an increasing source of infections. In addition to direct patient care costs, pneumonia is responsible for over 50 million days of restricted activity from work and is the sixth leading cause of death in the USA with a mortality rate of 13.4 per 100,000 [3, 4].

Changing trends in pulmonary infections

Diagnosis of pneumonia calls for a combination of clinical awareness, appropriate microbiological tests, and radiographical studies. Plain chest radiography is an inexpensive test that can rapidly demonstrate the presence of pulmonary abnormalities. It represents an important initial examination in all patients suspected of having a pulmonary infection. In most cases the plain film findings may be diagnostic of pneumonia and may eliminate the need for additional radiographic procedures.

The clinician evaluating the patient with a known or suspected diagnosis of pulmonary infection faces a diagnostic challenge because of the majority of
processes presenting with similar signs and symptoms, and the radiographic findings of pneumonia do not provide a specific aetiological diagnosis. Furthermore, radiographic manifestations of a given infectious process may be variable depending on the immunological status of the patient as well as by pre- or coexisting lung disease. The number of immunocompromised patients has dramatically increased because of three phenomena: the AIDS epidemic, advances in cancer chemotherapy, and expanding organ transplantation. At the onset of the AIDS epidemic, in the early and mid 1980s, there was 50–80% mortality for each episode of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia (PCP). Since routine prophylaxis was instituted in 1989, a declining incidence of PCP in the AIDS population was demonstrated [5, 6]. In addition to lesser incidence, there was also a declining mortality (15%) in mild-to-moderate cases [7]. Therefore, other infections including bacterial pneumonia, fungal infection, cytomegalovirus (CMV), *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC), and tuberculosis remain a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in these patients [5–7]. Radiologists must not only document the location and extent of pneumonia but also assess the evolution and course of pneumonia and detect any complication of the disease.

**Integrating clinical and imaging findings**

The most useful imaging modalities available for the evaluation of the patient with known or suspected pulmonary infection are chest radiography and computed tomography (CT). Imaging examinations should always be interpreted with a knowledge of how symptomatic the patient is, the degree of dyspnoea, the level of impairment of the carbon monoxide diffusing capacity of the lung (DLCO), the CD4+ cell count, the presence of fever or leukocytosis, if there is a cough and whether the cough is productive, and the chronicity of symptoms [8]. Knowledge of whether the patient has developed a CAP or NP, as well as knowledge of the immune status of the patient, can be powerful tools in arriving at a shortlist of possible causative organisms [8, 9]. Clinical information can greatly enhance the accuracy of the radiographical diagnosis, *i.e.* the AIDS patient with an acute airspace process who has chills, fever, and purulent sputum probably has pyogenic rather than a PCP. In the absence of clinical information, radiologists cannot reliably distinguish between pneumonia and other pulmonary processes [10]. Unfortunately, the clinical data and radiographical findings often fail to lead to a definitive diagnosis of pneumonia because there is an extensive number of noninfectious processes associated with febrile pneumonitis *i.e.* drug-induced pulmonary disease, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia (BOOP), and pulmonary vasculitis that mimic pulmonary infection [11]. Distinction of localized pneumonia from other pulmonary processes cannot be made with certainty on radiological grounds [11, 12]. Localized pulmonary disease of a lobar or segmental distribution can be produced not only by pneumonia but also by pulmonary oedema and haemorrhage. Localized pulmonary oedema produced by acid gastric aspiration may result in an image identical to pneumonia as well as a pulmonary infarction secondary to thromboembolism, which may also produce similar radiographical findings. Diagnosis is equally difficult when pneumonia appears as a diffuse pulmonary abnormality. Pulmonary oedema and the adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) are the most common conditions to be distinguished from bronchopneumonia when a generalized pulmonary abnormality is radiographically demonstrated [13–15].

**Conventional chest radiography**

According to American Thoracic Society guidelines, posteroanterior (PA) (and lateral when possible) chest radiography should be obtained whenever pneumonia is suspected in adults [16]. The role of chest radiography has been described either as a screening tool for the detection of new infiltrates or for monitoring response to therapy. Other roles for chest radiography include an enhanced ability to assess the extent of disease, to detect complications (*i.e.* cavitation, abscess formation, pneumothorax, pleural effusion), and to detect additional or alternative diagnoses and sometimes to guide invasive diagnostic procedures.

In most cases different abnormalities can be identified on chest films. The more common radiographical findings include segmental or lobar consolidations and interstitial lung disease. Other less common radiographical findings include mediastinal lymphadenopathy, pleural effusion, cavitation, and chest wall invasion. Despite that, the nonspecificity of radiographical findings as well as the wide range of potential causes often lead to frustration when evaluating the imaging findings of a patient with suspected pneumonia. Pulmonary infection by PCP, typically seen as a diffuse homogeneous alveolar consolidation, has recently been described, in 5–10% of cases, with dense consolidation, nodules, miliary opacities, and pleural effusions [16]. Furthermore, equivocal or normal chest radiographs are not uncommon, reported in the range of 10–39% of patients with PCP infection [10, 12], and in up to 10% of patients with proven pulmonary disease [17].

**Computed tomography**

CT is a useful adjunct to conventional radiography in selected cases [10, 12, 18, 19]. There is a large amount of literature indicating that CT is a sensitive method capable of imaging the lung with excellent spatial resolution, providing anatomical detail similar to that seen by gross pathological examination. Differences in tissue attenuation and parenchymal changes caused by an acute inflammatory process can be readily seen by CT [18, 19]. Unlike chest radiography, CT provides cross-sectional images and the pattern and distribution of pulmonary processes are therefore, much more readily appreciated than on conventional examinations [17].
With the advent of high-resolution CT (HRCT), a whole new lexicon of terminology to describe imaging findings evolved. Recognition of the secondary pulmonary lobule is essential to understand the imaging findings obtained by thin-section CT scans [18]. The findings of airspace disease, airspace (acinar) nodules, ground-glass opacities, consolidation, air bronchograms, and centriflobular or peribronclobular distribution are seen better by CT than by conventional radiography [17, 18]. Airspace nodules represent the size of the acinus (6–10 mm) and are centriflobular in distribution. They are best appreciated in early disease and best seen at the edge of the pathological process where consolidation is incomplete. Ground-glass opacities are defined as a localized increase in lung attenuation that allows visualization of vascular structures coursing through the affected region. Ground glass is a nonspecific CT finding that may represent either alveolar or interstitial disease [10].

The CT findings of interstitial disease reflect thickening by oedema, neoplasm, inflammation, or fibrosis of the normal interstitial structures [10, 18]. The most common CT findings are sepal thickening, bronchial wall thickening, mosaic perfusion, bronchovascular bundle thickening, interstitial nodules, and honeycomb. These findings, well known from plain film studies, are more easily recognized by CT.

Although CT is not recommended for the initial evaluation of patients with pneumonia, it is a valuable adjunct to conventional radiography in patients with nonrevealing or nondiagnostic imaging findings [16]. Several studies have shown that HRCT can be helpful in the detection, differential diagnosis, and management of immunocompromised patients with pulmonary complications [16–19].

Imaging of pneumonia in specific patient groups

Community-acquired pneumonia

CAP is a major healthcare and economic problem because of its high morbidity and mortality rate, and because of its direct and indirect costs of management [1, 3]. Even in young healthy people, pneumonia has been found to be the major medical cause of lost workdays. Between 485,000 and 1 million patients are hospitalized each year in the USA for treatment of CAP. The cost of inpatient care exceeds outpatient care by a factor of 15–20, and comprise the majority of the estimated $8.4 billion spent annually for the care of patients with pneumonia [1, 3, 20, 21].

Hospital admission rates of pneumonia episodes vary 22–51% of patients with CAP [1]. The mortality is higher in less-developed countries, in the young and the elderly, and varied from 10-100,000-40-100,000 inhabitants in three European countries [20]. Although it is true that the radiographical findings of a pneumonia do not provide a specific aetiological diagnosis, the differential diagnosis may be possible in CAP using radiological pattern recognition. Despite the variability regarding the time between the onset of clinical symptoms and the development of a radiographically visible infiltrate, it is well known that in CAP the majority of pulmonary infiltrates appear within the time period of 12 h. In these patients, pattern recognition may help to classify groups of potentially underlying organisms favoring a bacterial over a viral aetiology. In CAP, diagnosis and disease management most frequently involve chest radiography and generally do not require the use of other imaging modalities [22].

The spectrum of causative organisms of CAP includes Gram positive bacteria such as Streptococcus pneumoniae (the pneumococcus), Haemophilus influenzae and Staphylococcus aureus, as well as atypical organisms such as Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, or Legionella pneumophila and viral agents such as the influenza A virus and respiratory syncytial viruses. S. pneumoniae is by far the most common cause of complete lobar consolidation [23–25]. Other causative agents that produce complete lobar consolidation include Klebsiella pneumoniae and other Gram negative bacilli, L. pneumophila, H. influenzae, and occasionally M. pneumoniae [23–26].

Radiographically, lobar pneumonia appears in the periphery abutting against the pleura and spreads towards the core portions of the lung. Round pneumonia is most often encountered in children than adults and is most often caused by S. pneumoniae (fig. 1) [27]. In children, active tuberculous and fungal infection may also present with nodular or mass-like lesions [27]. Bacterial infections may produce multiple rounded pulmonary nodules or masses, with or without cavitation. This may occur from infection with Nocardia, Aspergillus, Legionella, Q fever, and M. tuberculosis [27–29].

Bronchopneumonia, which is most commonly caused by S. aureus and H. influenzae, occurs when infectious organisms, deposited on the epithelium of the bronchi, produce acute bronchial inflammation with epithelial ulcerations and fibrinopurulent exudate formation. As a consequence, the inflammatory reaction rapidly spreads through the airway walls and spreads into the contiguous pulmonary lobules.

Fig. 1. – Round pneumonia due to Streptococcus pneumoniae in a 53-yr-old male. Computed tomography demonstrates a focal area of homogeneous consolidation in the left upper lobe. Note the presence of air-bronchogram within the consolidation. Sputum culture produced a heavy growth of S. pneumoniae. In adults, this form of pneumonia may mimic bronchogenic carcinoma.
Radiographically, these inflammatory aggregates cause a typical patchy pattern of bronchopneumonia (fig. 2) or a homogeneous segmental consolidation that may also cavitate (figs. 2 and 3).

Diffuse bilateral interstitial and/or interstitial-alveolar (mixed) infiltrates are most commonly caused by viruses (fig. 4) and *M. pneumoniae* [30]. Up to 30% of all pneumonias in the general population may be caused by *M. pneumoniae* [10]. During infection, the initial damage is directed towards the mucosa of the bronchioles and later, the peribronchial tissue and interlobular septa become oedematous and infiltrated with inflammatory cells.

An NP may be defined as one occurring after admission to the hospital, which was neither present nor in a period of incubation at the time of admission [21]. NP is the leading cause of death from hospital-acquired infections and an important public health problem. It occurs most commonly among intensive care unit (ICU) patients, predominately in individuals requiring mechanical ventilation (fig. 5) [31]. The estimated prevalence of NP within the ICU setting ranges 10–65%, with case fatality rates of 20–55% in most reported series [26, 31, 32]. In patients with ARDS, as many as 55% have secondary pneumonia, and this complication may adversely affect survival [26].
The diagnosis of NP is difficult, and the criteria used for surveillance have been based on clinical findings of fever, cough, and the development of purulent sputum in combination with a new or progressive infiltrate on the chest radiograph. When pneumonia arises in the hospitalized patient, aerobic Gram negative bacilli, particularly *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterobacter* spp., and *S. aureus*, are the major causative organisms [33]. Other common causes of NP are *H. Influenzae*, pneumococcus, aspiration with anaerobes, *Legionella* spp. and viruses in certain hosts. Respiratory syncytial virus, influenza A and B, and parainfluenza, are responsible for >70% of nosocomial viral diseases [33]. The clinical and radiographical clues to the aetiological diagnosis of pneumonia are shown in table 1.

**Immunosuppressed host pneumonia**

Patients with impaired immune function are susceptible to infections by a wide range of organisms [6, 7]. In the last several decades, AIDS epidemic, advances in the treatment of cancer, organ transplantation, and immunosuppressive therapy has resulted in large numbers of patients who develop abnormalities in their immune system [34–36]. Pneumonia is a major clinical problem for immunosuppressed patients and many of the bacteria causing CAP in the healthy community are also responsible for pneumonia in these risk patients. Mildly impaired host immunity as it occurs in chronic debilitating illness, diabetes mellitus, malnutrition, alcoholism, advanced age, prolonged corticosteroid administration and chronic obstructive lung disease have also been regarded as predisposing factors of pulmonary infections [37].

**Acquired immune deficiency syndrome**

In AIDS patients, pulmonary complications may result from a number of infectious and noninfectious agents. The most common such infections are caused by *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, respectively. In addition, infections caused by other aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and viruses may occur in patients with AIDS. Acute bacterial or viral pneumonia in patients with AIDS are treated in the same manner as in non-AIDS patients, except that the duration of therapy is likely to be longer and that patients may have to be treated with broader-spectrum antibiotics or antivirals. Acute bacterial pneumonia in patients with AIDS may be due to *S. aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, or *Moraxella catarrhalis*. Acute viral pneumonia in patients with AIDS may be due to respiratory syncytial virus, influenza A or B, or parainfluenza virus. Viral pneumonia in patients with AIDS is often difficult to diagnose and may be due to co-infections with other viruses, such as *RSV*, *Coxsackie virus*, or *HIV*.

**Table 1.** Summary of clinical and radiographical clues to the aetiological diagnosis of pneumonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiographical findings</th>
<th>Clinical circumstance</th>
<th>Organism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segmental consolidation</td>
<td>Community-acquired</td>
<td><em>S. pneumonia, M. pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobar consolidation</td>
<td>Community-acquired</td>
<td><em>S. pneumonia</em> (2/3 of community-acquired pneumonias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rounded pneumonia</td>
<td>Community-acquired</td>
<td>Gram negative bacilli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchopneumonia</td>
<td>Alcoholic</td>
<td><em>S. pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>Hospital-acquired</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, streptococci, Gram negative bacilli, anaerobes, M. pneumoniae, aspiration, L. pneumophila</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavitation/necrosis</td>
<td>Community-acquired (winter)</td>
<td><em>M. pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td><em>S. aureus, Gram negative bacilli, anaerobes, actinomycosis, M. Tuberculosis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple cavitary nodules</td>
<td>Drug addict</td>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatoceles</td>
<td>Postinfluenza</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empyema</td>
<td>Complication of pneumonia</td>
<td><em>S. pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest wall invasion</td>
<td>Alcoholic</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gram negative bacilli</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; *S. pneumoniae*: Streptococcus pneumoniae; *S. aureus*: Staphylococcus aureus; *M. tuberculosis*: Mycobacterium tuberculosis; *M. pneumoniae*: Mycoplasma pneumoniae; *K. pneumoniae*: Klebsiella pneumoniae; *P. aeruginosa*: Pseudomonas aeruginosa; *L. pneumophila*: Legionella pneumophila. Adapted from [34].
causes. Among the infectious pulmonary processes, major causative agents include PCP, \textit{M. tuberculosis}, and MAC complex, in addition to many of the more common Gram positive and negative bacteria [5, 16, 17]. In the past two decades, a resurgence of tuberculosis (TB) has been seen worldwide, including a number of developing countries in which the disease had been on the decline for many decades. This increase in TB is largely related to cases in AIDS patients [38, 39]. Infection will depend on the patient’s immune status and the risk of opportunistic infections will also change over time [39]. Patients who have CD4+ cell counts of $>200$ cells-mm$^3$ are predisposed to bronchial infections and bacterial pneumonia, whereas patients with CD4+ cell counts of $<200$ cells-mm$^3$ are predisposed to opportunistic infections such as PCP [8, 39]. Most patients have CD4+ counts in the range of 50–75 cells-mm$^3$ at the time of diagnosis of their first episode of PCP [8, 17]. Therefore, it is important to interpret the radiological findings in the appropriate clinical setting. By correlating the different radiographic patterns with presenting symptoms and the CD4+ cell count, the radiologist may narrow the differential diagnosis [8]. Abnormal chest radiographs have been reported in up to 90\% of patients showing the typical findings of diffuse bilateral interstitial infiltrates without a pleural effusion (fig. 6). As the disease progresses, alveolar infiltrates may also develop. HRCT is the modality of choice to evaluate those symptomatic patients with an otherwise normal chest radiograph [17].

Bronchial invasive aspergillosis occurs most commonly in the setting of severe neutropenia and in patients with AIDS [40–42]. Clinical manifestations include acute tracheobronchitis, bronchiolitis, and bronchopneumonia. Patients with acute tracheobronchitis usually have normal radiological findings. Aspergillus bronchiolitis is characterized on HRCT by the presence of centrilobular nodules and branching linear or nodular opacities giving an appearance resembling a "tree-in-bud" (fig. 7) [41]. The centrilobular nodules have a patchy distribution in the lung and are similar to those seen in a number of different infectious conditions, including endobronchial spread of pulmonary tuberculosis, \textit{M. avium-intracellulare}, viral and \textit{M. pneumonia}. Aspergillus bronchopneumonia results in predominantly peribronchial areas of consolidation (fig. 8) [41]. Rarely, the consolidation may have a lobar distribution. These radiological manifestations are indistinguishable from those of bronchopneumonia caused by other organisms.

Obstructing bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (OBA) is a descriptive term for the unusual pattern of a non-invasive form of aspergillosis characterized by the massive intraluminal overgrowth of Aspergillus spp., usually \textit{Aspergillus fumigatus}, in patients with AIDS [42]. Patients may cough up fungal casts of their bronchi and present with severe hypoxaemia. The characteristic CT findings in OBA mimic those of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) consisting of
bilateral bronchial and bronchiolar dilatations, large mucoid impactions mainly in the lower lobes and diffuse lower lobe consolidation caused by postobstructive atelectasis (fig. 9) [42].

Solid organ transplantation

Patients undergoing solid organ transplantation present increased susceptibility to infection which varies according to the time interval since transplantation [35, 43, 44]. The post-transplantation timeline can be divided into three periods: 30 days posttransplantation, 30–120 days post-transplantation, and >120 days post-transplantation [35, 43, 44]. In the immediate postoperative period opportunistic infections are usually not encountered because there is a delay between the onset of the immunosuppressive therapy and the development of immune system dysfunction. Suppression of the immune system is more severe during the 1–4-month period after organ transplantation. During the first month after heart transplantation, Gram negative bacterial pneumonia are particularly frequent because of prolonged intubation, pulmonary oedema, and the effects of surgery on lung mechanics [35, 36, 43, 44].

Infection rates among lung transplant recipients, occurring in up to 50% of cases, are several fold higher than among recipients of other solid organs [35]. Both Gram negative bacteria (Enterobacter and Pseudomonas) and Staphylococcus are most common, but they are not lethal as often as viral and fungal infections [35]. CMV infection is the most common viral pathogen encountered in the post-transplantation period. CMV infection typically emerges within the first 3 months after transplantation. Primary infection, the most serious, occurs in 50–100% of seronegative recipients who receive a graft from a seropositive donor. As many as 40% of patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation (BMT) develop invasive fungal disease [35]. Aspergillus species commonly colonize the airways of lung transplant recipients but only a minority of patients develop invasive disease. Airway invasive aspergillosis is characterized histologically by the presence of Aspergillus organisms deep to the airway basement membrane [43, 44].

Bone marrow transplantation

BMT is currently the treatment of choice for many haematological malignancies and severe congenital or acquired disorders of the haematopoietic or immune systems [36]. In transplant recipients, pulmonary infections occur in up to 50% of patients because of direct lung communication with the atmosphere. The new onset of respiratory symptoms, or new infiltrates on chest radiography, should prompt an early and definitive diagnosis.

CMV is the most significant viral infection that occurs in organ and BMT patients. It occurs in 50–70% of allogeneic BMT recipients [36]. These patients are at a significantly higher risk of pulmonary infection than autologous transplant recipients [36]. CMV infection may be related to primary acquisition or to reactivation of latent infection or re-infection with a different strain in a previously seropositive patient. Approximately one-third of infected patients subsequently develop CMV pneumonia with a median onset time of 50–60 days post-transplantation [36]. CMV infection usually develops 1–4 months after transplantation. The radiographical manifestations of these pneumonias are nonspecific. The radiological findings of CMV infection are variable consisting of lobar consolidation, diffuse and focal parenchymal haziness, and multiple small nodules with associated areas of ground-glass attenuation (“halo”) (fig. 10) [45].

Many focal lesions are due to fungal infection, particularly due to Aspergillus species. Opportunistic fungi constitute the second most common group of pathogens with a higher probability of causing infection in allogeneic than in autologous transplant

Fig. 9.–Bronchial obstructing aspergillosis in a 24-yr-old male with acquired immune deficiency syndrome. Computed tomography (CT) scan shows bilateral bifurcating tubular shadows caused by impacted mucous material within markedly dilated bronchi. CT findings are similar to those of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis.

Fig. 10.–Cytomegalovirus pneumonia in a 36-yr-old female after bone marrow transplantation. A high-resolution computed tomography scan demonstrates multiple nodular opacities with irregular margins surrounded by an area of ground-glass attenuation. This halo of ground-glass attenuation is due to the haemorrhagic nature of nodules.
recipients. The most common fungi responsible for acute lung disease in the immunocompromised patient are *A. fumigatus*, *Candida albicans*, and *Histoplasma capsulatum*. Aspergillus is a ubiquitous soil fungus [40]. The histological, clinical and radiological manifestations of pulmonary aspergillosis are determined by the number and virulence of the organisms and by the patient’s immune response [40].

Angioinvasive aspergillosis occurs almost exclusively in immunocompromised patients with a severe neutropenia [40–42]. There has been a substantial increase in the number of patients at risk of developing invasive aspergillosis, for many reasons, including the development of new intensive chemotherapy regimens for solid tumours, difficult-to-treat lymphoma, myeloma, and resistant leukaemia as well as an increase in the number of solid organ transplantation and increased use of immunosuppressive regimens for other autoimmune diseases. Angioinvasive aspergillosis is characterized histologically by invasion and occlusion of small to medium pulmonary arteries by fungal hyphae [41]. This leads to the formation of necrotic haemorrhagic nodules or pleural based wedge-shaped haemorrhagic infarcts. The clinical diagnosis is difficult and the mortality is high [40]. The characteristic CT findings consist of nodules surrounded by a halo of ground-glass attenuation (Halo sign) or pleural based wedge-shaped areas of consolidation (fig. 11) [46]. These findings correspond to haemorrhagic infarcts. In severely neutropenic patients the halo sign is highly suggestive of angioinvasive aspergillosis. A similar appearance has been described in a number of other conditions including infection by Muco-

**Mild immunosuppression**

Mildly immunocompromised patients with chronic debilitating illness, diabetes mellitus, malnutrition, alcoholism, advanced age, prolonged corticosteroid administration, and chronic obstructive lung disease are prone to develop a distinct form of aspergillus infection called semi-invasive or chronic necrotizing aspergillosis, characterized histologically by the presence of tissue necrosis and granulomatous inflammation similar to that seen in reactivation of TB [37]. This form of aspergillus infection may be associated with a variety of nonspecific clinical symptoms such as cough, sputum production, and fever for >6 months. Haemoptysis has been reported in 15% of patients with semi-invasive aspergillosis [37].

Radiological manifestations of semi-invasive aspergillosis include unilateral or bilateral segmental areas of consolidation with or without cavitation and/or adjacent pleural thickening, and multiple nodular opacities [37]. The findings progress slowly over months or years. Aspergillus necrotizing bronchitis may be seen on CT as an endobronchial mass, an obstructive pneumonitis and/or collapse, or as a hilar mass. Only a few reports have described the CT findings of aspergillus necrotizing bronchitis involving the central airways; reported abnormalities include circumferential bronchial wall thickening and bronchial obstruction. In clinical practice, the diagnosis of aspergillus necrotizing bronchitis is usually based on the presence of abnormal chest radiography and bronchoscopic biopsy specimen consistent with tissue invasion [37]. The clinical and radiographical clues to the aetiological diagnosis of infection in the immunosuppressed host are shown in table 2.

**Interventional procedures in the patients with pneumonia**

The only definitive way to reach a specific diagnosis is through demonstration of the infected organism, i.e. by examination of stained smears of sputum, pleural fluid or other biological material, by culture of respiratory secretions and blood, or by other interventional procedures. Alternatively, culture of material obtained by transthoracic thin-needle biopsy under fluoroscopy or CT guidance could be a reliable cost-effective means of diagnosis.

However, in most large series of pneumonia a causative organism cannot be identified in 33–45% of patients, even when extensive diagnostic tests are undertaken. Previously healthy patients who are mildly ill due to pneumonia are managed in an empirical fashion. However, in certain circumstances, the lack of specific organisms requires a more aggressive approach in order to obtain histopathological and cultural identification of the cause of the pulmonary infection.

There has been much debate on the diagnostic accuracy of specimens obtained for culture with various techniques. Material obtained from the sputum or nasopharyngeal secretions have limited diagnostic value because of the presence of normal flora and
variable results obtained for the detection of anaerobic infection [48].

**Flexible fibreoptic bronchoscopy with lung biopsy**

Fibreoptic bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage utilizing a protected brush is a well-established technique in the diagnosis of pulmonary infection. Although this technique may play an important role in the diagnosis of pulmonary infection, the yield of bronchoalveolar lavage is variable and sometimes the diagnosis of a pulmonary infection cannot be established [49, 50]. This method has proved particularly useful in the diagnosis of Pneumocystis pneumonia in AIDS patients, providing an aetiological diagnosis in ~95% of cases.

In the special setting of a serious pulmonary process and lack of definable cause with noninvasive methods, fibreoptic bronchoscopy in conjunction with transbronchial lung biopsy is indicated (fig. 12).

**Transthoracic-needle aspiration**

Despite the fact that reported results in the diagnosis of pulmonary infection are variable (11.7–73%), percutaneous fine-needle aspiration is an alternative method to identify causative pathogens in selected patients with pneumonia [51–55]. Transthoracic-needle aspiration should be considered for patients who have not responded to initial therapy, who may have nosocomial superinfection, who are immunocompromised, or in whom TB is suspected but has not been confirmed by examination of the sputum or gastric lavage. It is not clear whether use of transthoracic-needle aspiration results in a reduction in mortality and morbidity in a cost-effective fashion, compared to a less invasive approach [48]. The specificity and positive predictive value of a positive culture have been reported to be as high as 100%, whereas the sensitivity and negative predictive value are 61% and 34% [56].

**Strategies for optimal imaging evaluation**

Chest radiography should be carried out in all patients suspected of having pulmonary infection to confirm or exclude the presence of pulmonary abnormalities. Although radiographical abnormalities can never establish aetiological sources, they can be extremely helpful in narrowing the differential diagnosis and providing guidance for subsequent diagnostic studies.

In patients with CAP, diagnosis and disease management most frequently rely on conventional chest films and usually do not require the use of further diagnostic procedures. In the community setting, >90% of patients who develop a segmental or lobar consolidation have either pneumococcal pneumonia or an atypical pneumonia caused by Mycoplasma or a virus.
In NP infection, patchy bronchopneumonia is the most common finding and is most likely caused by one of the Gram negative organisms, particularly Pseudomonas or Klebsiella. In this particular setting, aspiration pneumonia is always an alternative diagnosis and should be suspected if pneumonia is present bilaterally in the dependent or posterior portions of the lungs [57]. In the ICU patients, there are few studies regarding the accuracy and efficacy of conventional chest radiography. The overall incidence of abnormalities found on chest films in the medical ICU has been reported to be as high as 57% in pulmonary and unstable cardiac patients [57]. Similar results were obtained in a study of patients in the medical ICU; 43% of routine chest radiographs showed unexpected findings which influenced therapy [58]. Future studies on management and outcome efficacy as well as overall cost are necessary to evaluate the role of the routine chest radiograph in ICU patients. Limiting the need for conventional chest radiography in the follow-up of pulmonary infections may also reduce health costs. CT and invasive diagnostic procedures should be reserved only for complicated cases.

Conversely, management of immunocompromised patients is challenging and difficult because of the diversity of causative organisms. In this group of patients, thin-section CT and invasive procedures are more often required. HRCT can be useful in patients who have respiratory symptoms but normal results on chest films, providing further additional findings not clearly delineated by the standard chest radiograph, depicting concurrent parenchymal or pleural disease, and guiding diagnostic manoeuvres. In addition, HRCT is helpful in differentiating infectious from noninfectious acute parenchymal lung disease despite its limited value in making a specific diagnosis [19].

Diagnostic information may also be obtained by means of bronchoalveolar lavage and transbronchial-needle aspiration. Under these circumstances, CT is extremely useful serving as a "road map" to direct fibreoptic bronchoscopy toward the lesion. Algorithm for evaluation of patients suspected of having pulmonary infection is shown in figure 13.

In conclusion, the radiologist plays an important role in the diagnosis and management of patients with suspected pneumonia. Conventional chest radiography remains the first imaging procedure in the imaging work-up patients. Although computed tomography is not recommended for the initial evaluation, it is frequently appropriate in those cases with normal,
equivocal, or nonspecific radiographical findings. High-resolution computed tomography is helpful in the differential diagnosis of infectious from noninfectious acute parenchymal lung disease but does not provide the aetiological agent. Percutaneous needle aspiration using fluoroscopy and/or computed tomography is a safe and useful diagnostic method of obtaining specimens in immunocompromised patients with pulmonary infection, although its impact on morbidity and mortality remains to be proved.

Fig. 13.—Algorithm for evaluation of patients suspected of having pulmonary infection. HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography; BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.
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