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ABSTRACT: Assessing allergy by measurement of serum immunoglobulin (Ig) E antibodies is fast and safe to perform. Serum antibodies can preferably be assessed in patients with dermatitis and in those who regularly use antihistamines and other pharmacological agents that reduce skin sensitivity.

Skin tests represent the easiest tool to obtain quick and reliable information for the diagnosis of respiratory allergic diseases. It is the technique more widely used, specific and reasonably sensitive for most applications as a marker of atopy.

Measurement of serum IgE antibodies and skin-prick testing may give complimentary information and can be applied in clinical and epidemiological settings.

Peripheral blood eosinophilia is less used, but is important in clinical practice to demonstrate the allergic aetiology of disease, to monitor its clinical course and to address the choice of therapy. In epidemiology, hyper eosinophilia seems to reflect an inflammatory reaction in the airways, which may be linked to obstructive airflow limitation


The term "allergy" was introduced in 1906 by the Viennese paediatrician von Pirquet to point out a condition of altered reactivity in a host organism. He observed that the introduction of an external substance in a tissue could alter the tissue reactivity upon a subsequent contact with the same substance. Previously, the term "allergy" was used to define these altered responses, either protective or harmful. More recently, this term has been used to indicate an abnormal modality (hypersensitivity) of the organism to respond to antigenic stimuli (antigens) which are inactive in normal subjects [1].

In 1921, the experiments of two German physicians, C. Prausnitz and H. Küstner, demonstrated that allergy was correlated with a serum factor which was subsequently defined "reagine" [2]. In 1923 A.F. Coca and R. Cooke introduced the term "atopy" to define a constitutional status of predisposition to develop allergic diseases as pollinosis and bronchial asthma with a "reaginic" pathogenesis [3]. The term atopy, of Greek etymology, indicates clinical conditions with unusual characteristics.

For many years, few investigators tried to identify and to separate the reagines. Only in 1966–1967, in Denver, USA, two researches were able to separate, in the serum of atopic subjects, a factor with high reaginic activity, pointing out that it did not identify itself with any immunoglobulin (Ig) class known at the time. This new Ig was called "IgE" [4].

At the same time, in Sweden, two other researchers, H. Bennich and S.G.O. Johansson, identified a new Ig in a patient with a myeloma, which was defined IgND. Furthermore, they observed that high levels of IgND were present in the serum of atopic subjects [4]. The immunological and physical-chemical characterization allowed the identification of the IgND with the IgE. In 1968 in Losanna, an International Committee of experts of the World Health Organization International Reference Centre for Immunoglobulins decided to call this immunoglobulin definitively "IgE" recognizing it as the 5th antibody class.

The discovery of IgE has led to an understanding of the mechanisms of allergy. It has also led to the development of diagnostic tools as well as analyses and standardization of allergen extracts. It is possible to measure not only the pure protein content, but also the allergenicity in terms of the antigen that reacts with the IgE antibodies. The next possibility is to develop allergens for diagnostic and therapeutic endpoints including immunotherapy [5]. Advanced treatment principles of immunological modification of the functions of IgE antibodies and the mechanisms that regulate the IgE production may in the future include blocking or down regulation of IgE in patients with allergic rhinitis and asthma [5].

Atopy, with or without clinical symptoms, is an important risk factor for asthma [6], hay fever and eczema and creates interest for assessment in epidemiological studies. Clinicians tend to validate IgE antibody testing against its prediction of clinical atopic disease, while epidemiologists want to identify individuals with atopy regardless of the presence of disease. Thus criteria for test positivity in clinical and epidemiological settings may differ.

In epidemiological surveys, application of biological markers is ideally required to assess the exposure-disease relationship [7]. However, allergic sensitization, assessed as serum specific IgE antibodies, is not an
indicator of allergen exposure alone. In addition to allergen exposure, serum specific IgE antibodies reflect the individual’s susceptibility for allergic sensitization as well as health effects, and may reflect more of the events in the airways when compared to skin tests thus giving additional information [8].

Skin tests represent a practicable and reproducible investigation which allows, when correctly performed, quicker and cheaper results than any other technique in the diagnosis of respiratory allergic diseases.

Skin tests give a semiquantitative measure of sensitization, as they are supposed to reflect the sensitization status of airways mucosa. In fact, using a skin test, an interaction is artificially created between IgE bound to mast cells receptors and the same allergens which are present in the atmosphere and which are spontaneously inhaled.

It is important to point out that there has been wide use of skin test reactivity in respiratory epidemiological studies on general population samples, over recent years, to improve the knowledge on the natural history of airways obstructive diseases. In fact, atopy, as assessed by skin test reactivity, is considered a risk factor for asthma and for bronchial hyperresponsiveness, which is considered the main functional characteristic of asthma [9]. However, atopic predisposition, as assessed by prick test reactions, seems to be related not only to classical allergic conditions and symptoms, but also to other respiratory problems.

In contrast to the "British hypothesis" [10], but in agreement with the "Dutch hypothesis" [10], Finnish studies showed that atopy predispose to chronic bronchitis and, furthermore, atopy and smoking seemed to have an independent and additive effect on both the prevalence and incidence of chronic bronchitis [10].

During the past 20 yrs, there has been a growing interest in the effector functions of the eosinophil granulocyte, including a variety of clinical conditions associated with hypereosinophilia, such as asthma, allergic diseases, intestinal diseases, joint diseases and skin diseases [11].

Studies of allergy and respiratory disease have traditionally used allergy skin test reactivity, serum IgE levels or peripheral blood eosinophilia to identify atopic subjects. The strong correlation between these phenotypic markers has led to the common assumption that they are more or less interchangeable indicators of the atopic state. However, recent epidemiological investigations have provided evidence that each of these markers may represent a specific risk factor for specific categories of atopic diseases [12]. In particular, peripheral blood eosinophilia has been identified as an independent inflammatory marker for symptoms of cough and phlegm, distinct from IgE or skin test reactivity [12].

Furthermore, increased serum total IgE levels and peripheral blood eosinophil counts are neither closely related nor exclusively present in atopic individuals. Serum total IgE levels are increased in nonatopic smokers and peripheral blood eosinophils are also elevated in parasitic infections and in certain neoplasms [13].

In the development of allergic diseases, an important role is played by the environmental allergens, which stimulate an immunological specific IgE response in genetically predisposed subjects. The reacting substances, which are immunologically true antigens, are generally called allergens [2]. They are heterologous proteins or glycoproteins inducing specific allergic reactions in sensitized subjects. Allergens causing atopic reactions are able to induce IgE production and, by interacting with them, to determine clinically evident manifestations. Allergens are classified according to the way of penetration into the organism: by inhalation (aeroallergens), by ingestion, by injection or by contact.

The aim of this review article is to summarize the epidemiological evidence which has emerged during the last decades relating the role of allergic markers such as serum total and specific IgE, skin reactivity and eosinophils in respiratory epidemiology.

**Total and specific immunoglobulin E antibodies**

**Clinical and epidemiological importance**

Assessment of IgE antibodies is usually performed in order to evaluate atopy. Subjects who are readily triggered to produce IgE antibodies after exposure to common environmental allergens are defined as atopic [14]. This definition excludes those who have high total IgE levels, but no specific IgE antibodies against common environmental allergen, as measured by skin-prick testing or serum specific IgE antibodies. It also excludes those who have become sensitized only to uncommon allergens [15].

The role IgE plays in immediate-hypersensitivity (allergic) reactions is well understood. Consequently, nowadays IgE measurements in serum are performed more frequently as part of routine allergy testing. They are easy to perform, and new methods and equipment make them less expensive to use. Furthermore, external quality assurance schemes are routinely used and results of testing are likely to be identical irrespective of where they have been performed. Use of long-acting antihistamines will not affect the results of serum examinations, while it can reduce skin tests. The relationship between the clinical history and IgE antibody testing is not always quantitative in the clinical setting. Likewise, not all symptoms associated with allergic disease are directly related to IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reactions, for example intrinsic asthma, drug and food intolerance. Consequently, it is advisable to ensure that symptoms are genuinely provoked by an allergic mechanism before undertaking allergy treatment, which will be time consuming, expensive and demanding good collaboration between patient and doctor.

The large range of normal adult IgE levels results in a considerable overlapping of normal and atopic ranges and, consequently, the test cannot identify the atopic person. In a recent published textbook [8] circulating levels <20 kU·L\(^{-1}\) render a diagnosis of atopy unlikely, while concentrations >180 kU·L\(^{-1}\) indicate a likely diagnosis of atopy. However, there is significant variation by sex, age, smoking habits and geography which may indicate that local reference values with proper adjustments should be applied [16]. Furthermore,
markedly raised IgE levels have been reported in a variety of other conditions, including parasitic disease, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, Job-Buckley syndrome, alcoholism, human immune deficiency virus (HIV) syndrome, pemphigoid and occasionally, Hodgkin’s disease as well as after severe burns [8]. As a rule, total IgE levels among atopics correlate with the size of the target organ, so the lowest levels are observed among rhinitics, the highest in those with atopic eczema, and the intermediate in asthmatics [8].

An elevated total IgE is more likely to be correlated with multiple positive specific IgE (RAST) tests than a normal total IgE [8, 17]. Levels >5,000 kU L−1, which often occur in cases with severe atopic eczema, are a warning that artefactually high results may have occurred in the IgE antibody test. Although a total IgE estimation is not a good diagnostic test to differentiate atopy from normal, when taken together with the clinical history it allows a more complete interpretation of the available data.

The routine use of IgE antibody tests should be restricted to diseases in which the role of IgE has been well documented, such as allergic rhinitis, bronchial asthma, atopic eczema, food, venom- and drug-induced anaphylaxis and bronchopulmonary aspergillosis [8].

Normal values of IgE are usually very low, but people with hereditary or constitutional predisposition to allergy (atopy) produce relatively greater quantities of IgE. In addition, the production of IgE antibodies can continue for years after a contact with an allergen. High levels of total serum IgE in humans have been associated with wheeze, hay fever, asthma and eczema.

An increasing array of blood tests is available to measure specific IgE in serum directly. A positive skin test relies on both presence of specific allergic reactions as well as unspecific reactions in the skin, which can promote degranulation of sensitized mast cells. The lack of agreement between skin and serological tests may reflect differences in the presentation of allergen and/or the presence of non-IgE mediated skin reactions.

**Prevalence in epidemiological studies**

Observed variation in the prevalence of atopy and atopic disease may reflect a true variation. However, this may partly be explained by variation in the prevalence of the recognized risk factors, which should always be taken into account.

There is some knowledge of total serum IgE levels in selected and general populations, while little information is available on prevalences of specific IgE antibodies due to higher costs of the examination than for skin testing. Total IgE in a population is normally distributed after logarithmic transformation, with a relatively small proportion of individuals having levels of total IgE below the limits of detection of the test (2 kU L−1). The geometric mean of total serum IgE as well as specific serum IgE was lower in Nordic populations [17, 18] than in young adults in South Wales [19], adults in Tucson, Arizona [20], in Italy [21] and in the Netherlands [22]. This may partly be due to differences in methods, in mean age of the populations, as well as differences in allergen exposure. In the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) [16], the prevalence of atopy, assessed as serum specific IgE antibodies, was lowest in young adults in the Nordic countries and highest in those living in the UK, New Zealand and Australia (fig. 1) [16]. Thus, serum specific IgE levels vary with area of residence possibly reflecting differences in allergen exposure and in individual susceptibility. There have been few studies documenting any change in the prevalence of atopy assessed with specific IgE antibodies within populations. Two small studies have reported an increase of atopy in children [23, 24].

A positive relationship has been reported between total serum IgE level and presence of serum specific IgE antibodies in clinical settings, in selected populations as well as in a general population [17]. The predictive value of having one or more serum specific IgE antibodies given total serum IgE level in the highest quintile is nearly doubled in males compared to females, in younger compared to older subjects and in nonsmokers compared to smokers [17]. However, this was not observed in young adults in the ECRHS [16].

**Allergy and skin test reactivity**

**Clinical and epidemiological importance**

An accurate diagnosis of allergy precedes the effective management. Allergy tests are used to define the subset of subjects who, because of sensitivity to allergens, have symptoms caused by one or more allergens, and to identify the involved allergen or allergens.

Specific sensitization assessment should always be performed before allergen eradication procedures, in
order to implement an appropriate therapy for any particular individual [25]. On the contrary, negative results of allergy tests can discriminate subjects whose symptoms are not caused by allergies from subjects with allergen-mediated disease. Skin tests are in vivo allergy tests used to detect immediate (antibody-mediated) or delayed (cell-mediated) sensitivity. They were first used over a century ago to confirm the association between hay fever and pollen sensitivity [2]. The delayed cutaneous response is defined as the skin erythema and induration produced by an intradermal test 6 h after applying the test.

Skin-prick testing represents an inexpensive, quick, safe diagnostic procedure for allergy, but, in order to obtain reliable clinical results, a few rules must be followed. The allergen potency must be kept constant by use of freeze-dried extracts, stored at ≤-10°C [26]. Dilutions of the concentrated extract must be accurately prepared. Considering prick tests, 50% glycerine is used to preserve dilutions, while intradermal test extracts are diluted in HSA-saline (normal saline with 0.03% human serum albumin preserved with 0.4% phenol).

Patients must avoid drugs that inhibit the immediate cutaneous response such as astamizole for ≥6 weeks; hydroxyzine, ketotifen, and tricyclic antidepressants, like imipramine, for ≥2 weeks; conventional antihistamines and oral or injected adrenergics for ≥72 h [26]. In addition, test results may be misleading in skin disorders such as dermatographism and generalized eczema. It is better to do skin tests at the same time of the day to minimize circadian effects on the response.

The number of allergens used in clinical practice has been increasing and includes extracts derived from many pollens and moulds, various dusts and insects, animal pelts, foodstuffs and numerous bacterial vaccines. In recent decades, a patient having an allergy evaluation might be theoretically tested for as many as 300 allergenic substances.

Skin-prick tests have been commonly chosen to measure atopy in populations, largely because the procedure is simple and well documented. However, the criteria by which atopy is defined are not well established: the minimum skin weal size used to indicate atopy remains controversial and the number of allergens required to detect all atopic subjects is unknown [27]. Indeed, because of the wide number of potentially allergenic substances and variations in local allergen levels, the number of allergens in a screening panel could be very large, although in a few countries, such as in the UK and in Sweden, mini-panels of four selected allergens detect most atopy [27]. Finally, although skin-prick tests have been shown to correlate well with specific serum IgE, recent data indicate that the presence of skin-prick test reactivity does not have the same meaning as increased level of total serum IgE; thus, in epidemiological studies, it is advisable to use both allergy markers, in order to have a complete and precise evaluation of the immunological status [12].

Prevalence in epidemiological studies

In the last 15 years, two Italian general population samples, selected according to standardized and adequately defined procedures, were investigated and followed over time [28]. They live in two different areas, a rural area in Northern Italy (Po river Delta) and an urban area in Central Italy (Pisa). The prevalence of respiratory symptoms was higher in the urban area compared with the rural area. Conversely, no difference between the rural and urban areas was evident when comparing skin reactivity prevalence as a marker of atopy: 31% in the rural area compared to 32% in the urban area [9, 28].

Table 1 shows the prevalence of skin test reactivity in different studies and populations: random samples of communities, subjects free of allergic symptoms or allergic populations. A wide range of prevalence rates of skin-prick reactivity (21–49%) has been reported from studies on general populations or subjects free of allergic symptoms. Studies on allergic populations have reported prevalences >50%.

Possible causes of this large variability reported in the literature, include the characteristics of the populations observed, the number and the potency of allergenic extracts, and the criteria for evaluating skin reaction.

Table 1. – Prevalence of skin test reactivity in different epidemiological studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>[Ref.]</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Prevalence of skin reactivity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children - Adolescents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haahala</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>708 Finnish, 15–17 yrs</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldacci</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>2,184 subjects participating in the Pisa survey, 8–84 yrs</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldacci</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>2,632 subjects participating in the Po Delta survey, 8–75 yrs</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tollerud</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>1,071 males participating in the Normative Aging Study, 21–80 yrs</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinn</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>277 young healthy individuals in Izmir, Turkey, 15–25 yrs</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowak</td>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>1,890 subjects participating in the ECRHS survey, in West and East Germany, 20–44 yrs</td>
<td>East 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herxheimer</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>300 subjects with respiratory allergies in London, 5–75 yrs</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freidhoff</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>262 subjects reporting allergies in Baltimore, 18–55 yrs</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibbald</td>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>1,305 rhinitic subjects in Southwest London, 16–65 yrs</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulet</td>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>3,371 allergic patients in Canada, ≥16 yrs</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generally, in epidemiological studies, the choice of representative samples, randomly selected and stratified for sex, age, socioeconomic status or other discriminating factors, allows inference to be made on the general population living in a certain area.

The potency of allergenic extracts is one factor that may account for discrepancies between different studies; nevertheless, the use of new extraction methods in recent epidemiological studies should have reduced these differences.

The most important sources of variability among different epidemiological studies might be different criteria of reading skin reactions, such as different size of reaction or different modalities of reading (maximal with or without minimal diameter, area, etc.). Finally, the use of different allergens (qualitatively or quantitatively) may constitute an additional source of variability [37].

With regard to specific skin responses, epidemiological studies indicate that Dermatophagoides, Parietaria, and Graminaceae are the allergens which most frequently give positive reactions in Italy [9, 38].

In an Australian study [27] the prevalences of sensitization, investigated in three populations of schoolchildren living in different climatic areas, were higher for house dust mites in Belmont and Villawood, and for pollens of plantain, timothy grass, ryegrass and Aspergillus fumigatus in Wagga Wagga. The prevalence of animal dander sensitization was low in all areas.

Sins et al. [31] reported that sensitization to house dust mites was most frequent in Turkish subjects aged 15–25 yrs.

**Eosinophils**

**Clinical and epidemiological importance**

Eosinophils release specific lipid mediators, including leukotriene C4, platelet-activating factor (PAF) and lipoxins, and contain four different cationic proteins within their granules: major basic protein (MBP), eosinophil peroxidase (EPO), eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and eosinophil derived neurotoxin, which are characterized by a strong cytotoxic potential [11].

The eosinophil is derived from the common haematopoietic stem cell. The most important growth factors involved in eosinophil maturation and proliferation are granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor, interleukin-3 and interleukin-5. The normal concentration of eosinophils in the blood is 1–4% of the leukocytes, while it is as high as 100–300 times in tissues, suggesting that the eosinophil should be mainly regarded as a tissue cell. In the nasal secretion of subjects with rhinitis the eosinophils concentration may also reach very high levels, accounting for >90% of all the recovered cells. The half-life of eosinophil in the blood is 4–5 h, while the half-life in tissues is 8–12 days [39].

In clinical practice, peripheral blood eosinophil counts are widely used to demonstrate the allergic aetiology of disease, to monitor its clinical course and to address the choice of therapy [40]. Moreover, this parameter seems to be an early atopy marker since elevated eosinophil count in peripheral blood of apparently healthy infants at 3 months of age is associated with a subsequent diagnosis of atopic disease [41].

The diagnosis of atopic disease is often difficult in small children because of differences in symptoms and lack of specific and reliable laboratory tests. A study, evaluating the significance of four commonly used laboratory tests (blood eosinophil counts, total serum IgE and eosinophil and mast cells in the nasal smear) as atopy markers in 178 children aged 3 yr, showed that a blood eosinophil count >600 x 10^3/L, as well as an increased number of eosinophil and mast cells in the nasal smear, were associated with atopy. However, normal levels of these parameters did not exclude atopic disease. Thus, each of these tests had high specificity, but low sensitivity [42].

Antigens (via antigen presenting cells) can activate T-helper cells, and subsequent lymphokine production. Some of these lymphokines (interleukin-4, interleukin-5 and interferon gamma) can activate B lymphocytes, which are in turn responsible for IgE secretion. Moreover, T-helper cells-derived lymphokines are also known to be associated with higher eosinophil counts. As a consequence, allergens can trigger both IgE synthesis and eosinophil proliferation. However, not only allergic stimuli, but also nonallergic stimuli (especially cigarette smoking) are known to be associated with higher eosinophil counts, possibly via T-cells. Eosinophil proliferation might, therefore, be the result of either allergic and/or nonallergic stimulation [40].

Atopy might be one of the pathophysiological mechanisms responsible for the development and evolution of COPD. Both host and environmental factors, which play an essential role in determining atopy, may influence the risk for the development and evolution of COPD. Atopy may induce or contribute to the development and progression of COPD in many ways, including nonallergic mechanisms. Epidemiological findings have shown that blood eosinophil count appears inversely related to the level of pulmonary function and directly related to the rate of decline of pulmonary function among nonsmokers [43, 44]. In particular, a link of either inflammation (hypereosinophilia alone) or allergic inflammation (eosinophilia in combination with skin test reactivity) with level of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), independent of the effect of cigarette smoking, was found [44]. Furthermore, elevated blood eosinophilia has been found significantly related to several respiratory symptoms and disease diagnoses [12, 45]. Therefore, on the epidemiological side, peripheral blood eosinophil count can be useful both to investigate the association of host factors and environmental determinants to indicators of allergy prevalence and to evaluate whether allergy is a risk factor with a possibly substantial contribution to the development and progression of either reversible and irreversible airflow obstruction.

**Prevalence in epidemiological studies**

The use of different measures of atopy in different studies has complicated the comparison of the results.
In particular, as regards peripheral blood eosinophils, there are only few epidemiological studies including this atopy marker on a large scale, and, to add further complexity, eosinophil count could be considered both as a continuous and/or as a categorical dichotomous variable.

Mensinga et al. [44] found a prevalence rate of eosinophilia (i.e. $\geq 275$ eosinophils$\cdot$mm$^{-3}$) of 12.9% (14 in males, 11.7% in females) in the general population sample of Vlagtwedde-Vlaardingen investigated in the initial and in the first follow-up surveys. Further, the analyses made using the data from the subsequent follow-up surveys of the same longitudinal population study, showed prevalence rates of peripheral blood eosinophilia slightly higher (15.3%), comprised between the prevalence of skin test positivity (14.7%) and of increased serum total IgE level (16.9%). The overlap among the three measures was small [13].

In the Normative Aging Study [12], which has analysed the relationship of respiratory symptoms with the three main phenotypic markers (skin test reactivity, serum IgE, peripheral eosinophils), the mean values of eosinophils have been reported both as cells$\cdot$mm$^{-3}$ (207±5 in non-smokers, 268±15 in current smokers) and as percentage on total white blood cells (3.7±0.1 in non-smokers, 3.9±0.2% in current smokers).

Conversely, Taylor et al. [46], in a study concerning the association between smoking itself and indicators of allergy, reported lower values of the percentage of eosinophils, 1.67% in non-smokers and 1.95% in smokers.

Ullrik [43], in a study on a population sample of 665 adolescents and young adults, aged 13-23 yrs, reported a prevalence rate of eosinophilia (defined as $>6.25$ cells $\cdot$ $10^3$ $\mu$L$^{-1}$) of 13.2% in a subgroup of nonsmoking, nonastatic, nonasthmatic subjects with no evidence of bronchial hyperresponsiveness.

In conclusion, these findings support the theory that the role of the eosinophils in obstructive pulmonary diseases extends beyond its role in allergic reactions, suggesting that an increased number of blood eosinophils reflects an inflammatory reaction in the airways, which might cause the development of obstructive airflow limitation.

Modifiers of allergy markers

Allergy markers are affected by various factors, both endogenous and exogenous, and many pieces of evidence exist nowadays due to the conduction of epidemiological studies. Besides well-known factors like smoking [47], additional factors have recently been suggested as potential modifiers of allergy markers but they need to be investigated further. Results are more consistent in the case of total IgE level due to the fact that IgE assessment is largely reliable. In the case of skin prick tests, the lack of standardization on the method of performing the tests has prevented generalization of results. In human beings, eosinophils intervene in atopy and eosinophilia, including circulating eosinophilia, is associated with asthma and with bronchial hyperresponsiveness [48]. Modifiers of eosinophilia have rarely been studied. In order to avoid biases potential modifiers need to be taken into account. Table 2 presents main modifiers of total IgE, SPT and eosinophilia respectively, according to population-based data.

**Total immunoglobulin E: relationship to sex, age, ethnic group and environmental factors**

Measurements of total IgE allow some quantitative assessment of genetic phenotype. Total IgE has been linked to chromosome 5q, the site of many interleukines, in pedigrees of parents with asthma [49]. When defining subjects with high total serum IgE or a positive specific IgE to a common inhalant allergen, linkage to chromosome 11q has been observed [50], possibly more important when carried by the mother. Hansson et al. [51] showed that twins reared apart had a high concordance of total serum IgE, but not to specific allergens when measured by RAST. These observations suggest that total IgE is largely genetically determined, while sensitization may be largely environmentally determined. As much as 50% of the variance in total IgE can be determined by genetic factors [52, 53]. Also specific IgE may to some degree be under genetic control [54].

Total IgE are higher in males than in females but this is not always observed at birth [55, 56]. The differences have been shown to be greatest in young adults, although, other studies have observed higher total IgE levels in elderly males compared to females after taking into account age, smoking habit, occupational exposure and presence of respiratory symptoms and disease [17, 57, 58]. The reason for this sex difference has not been satisfactorily explained. One hypothesis could be that a higher proportion of elderly males than elderly females have been more heavily or widely occupationally exposed, while this is not the case in younger age categories, thus representing a cohort effect.

Most reports suggest that males, in adolescence and adulthood, are at an increased risk of sensitization than females, when measured as presence of serum-specific IgE antibodies or a positive skin test [17, 59, 60]. This sex difference may be limited to some allergens as no significant sex difference for antibodies against birch and timothy was observed in a Norwegian adult population [17].

Total IgE levels depend on age but the exact relationship of dependence is not known [61, 62]. Total IgE are undetectable at birth except in allergic individuals. Among Greek children aged 1 month–14 yrs, total IgE levels increased by almost 80% per yr until 5 yrs of age [63]. Among children in the USA, followed-up from birth to 4 yrs of age, the major increase was observed before the age of 2 yrs, although total IgE level increased even later [56]. Cross-sectional data suggest that total IgE levels are at their highest in childhood and fall steadily after the age of 15 yrs [16, 21, 22, 61]. Longitudinal data from a 20-yr follow-up of people living in Tucson, Arizona largely confirm this. There is a rapid decline up to 30 yrs and thereafter the rate of decline gradually slows down [57]. A lack of decline with age, however, has been
Table 2. – Potential main modifiers of allergy markers according to population-based data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Total IgE</th>
<th>SPT Positivity</th>
<th>Eosinophils</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genetics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic markers</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Problematic for geneticists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>⊃ IgE for men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic group</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in some groups (genetic, environmental, social)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual susceptibility</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE, ⊂ atopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of atopy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individuals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at birth</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in newborns of young mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal complications</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in newborns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication during pregnancy</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in newborns (progestrone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in adults and newborns if head circumference at birth ≥ 37 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in low birth weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth month</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>⊃ IgE during pollen season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>⊃ Increase in youth and decrease with age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>⊃ Depend on age of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>⊃ Depends also on birth order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ Contradictory results for IgE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active smoking</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Inversely related</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>⊃ IgE with active smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive smoking</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>⊃ cosinophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergens exposure</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>⊃ Among children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month of test</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>⊃ IgE during pollen season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor pollution (humidity, mite)</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE mites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor pollution (NO₂, SO₂, diesel)</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE with diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational exposure</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>⊃ IgE in individuals exposed to allergens, dust, gas and fumes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

?: No evidence, investigation is required; ±: uncertain relationship; +: established relationship; -: not yet studied in population-based samples; ⊃: increase; ⊂: decrease; SPT: skin prick test; NO₂: nitrogen dioxide; IgE: immunoglobulin E.

shown in studies including only males [12, 64], in a nonatopic population [65] and in an adult general population [17]. A lack of fall of the total serum IgE levels by increasing age in males can be due to a cohort effect, where older males were more frequently exposed to tobacco smoke and occupational exposure than younger males. Furthermore, adjustment for smoking and occupational dust or gas exposure in the statistical analysis may be incomplete.

A cross-sectional survey among Norwegian adults showed a higher prevalence of serum specific IgE in younger than older adults in both males and females. Whether this substantial fall represents a true age effect or if it is a cohort effect is still uncertain and should be addressed in follow-up studies.

Ethnic factors also influence serum total IgE levels since Black and Metis individuals have higher total IgE levels than White individuals [66]. The phenomenon begins at birth [67], probably due to helminthic infestations in such ethnic groups. This has not been confirmed by other studies. More recently, antenatal and perinatal factors have been proposed as potential modifiers of the total IgE response. Head circumference was larger in children with higher levels of cord blood total IgE [68] and serum total IgE [69]. Head circumference has been related to foetal disproportionate growing and so to deregulated immune function responsible for an over production of IgE. Similarly, alcohol and caffeine consumption by the mother during pregnancy were associated with elevated cord blood total IgE level. Such product could modify the immune response of the organisms. Among endogenous factors related to total IgE in females, there is the menstrual cycle with lower values of serum total IgE in the periovulatory phase [70].

Various exogenous factors are likely to affect total IgE level. Total IgE level varies between countries. In the ECRHS [16] the same methods for total serum IgE testing have been performed in multiple centres in Europe, Oceania and North America. Total serum IgE levels (fig. 2) were lowest in young adults in the Nordic countries and highest in southern Europe, Australia and New Zealand [16]. This may be mainly due to environmental, socioeconomic and cultural factors. There is a positive relationship between the cumulative exposure to an allergen and the risk of allergic sensitization [71]. Platt-S-Mills et al. [72] suggested that there is a level below which sensitization for genetically predisposed individuals is unlikely. At higher levels of exposure, more people will become sensitized [73]. But there is a variation depending on the physical and chemical properties of the allergens. For example, cat allergen is airborne on smaller particles and remains airborne longer [72]. Currently, airborne measurements of exposure are considered too complex for epidemiological studies [72, 74].
Environmental factors such as smoking may modify the gene expression of atopy and atopic disease. The tendency for those with atopic disease to avoid smoking, the "healthy smoker" effect, may raise methodological problems when assessing the relationship between smoking and disease. Cross-sectional studies have shown higher IgE levels in smokers than in nonsmokers [17, 18, 21, 22, 87]. In a longitudinal study with a 20-yr follow-up [57] smoking was associated with a reduction in the rate at which total IgE fell with increasing age in people without atopy (skin test positivity) and with an increase in total IgE after middle age in those with atopy. This has, however, not been confirmed with serological measurements excluding bias by skin reactivity changes with age.

Only one report from a nondiseased population has observed a dose-response relationship between smoking and IgE levels [64]. An explanation for higher total serum IgE levels in smokers than nonsmokers may be change in regulatory mechanisms of the IgE synthesis [88] or increase of specific IgE related to frequent infestation of their airways [89]. It has been excluded that Th1 in response to bacterial infestations could be responsible for the augmentation of IgE. Th2 cells seem to be involved in the process. There has been no evidence of induction of specific IgE antibodies against tobacco in smokers.

A higher prevalence of serum specific IgE antibodies against timothy grass and birch in nonsmokers compared to smokers has been observed in a general adult population [17] as for grass and cat allergens in England [62]. One hypothesis often given, is that subjects with allergic symptoms and disease take up smoking less frequently or quit more often than subjects with no allergic symptoms and disease. However, this has never been shown in a prospective study. Another explanation for the lower levels of serum specific IgE antibodies in smokers compared to nonsmokers may be a defective antigenic presentation to immunocompetent cells in the airways [90].

Occupational studies [91, 92] have shown increased rate of sensitization to occupational allergens such as coffee-bean dust, phthalic anhydride, soybeans and prawn antigens in those who smoke.

Data existing on this topic are reviewed in table 3. Increased levels of cord IgE in newborns of mothers who smoke have been reported in some [55], but not in all studies [96]. Children from atopic families with one or more smoking parent have higher IgE than those of parents who do not smoke [97]. The relationship between maternal smoking and sensitization still remains unclear as some studies have observed no relationship [98], while others have observed a relationship in male children only [99]. Also in adults passive smoking has been associated with increased total IgE levels [21].

An exhaustive review of the literature on the effect of parental smoking on atopic status in childhood has been recently proposed [100].

Although total serum IgE levels are stable in adulthood [101] subjects with occupational dust or gas exposure in the general population had a higher total serum IgE level than those not exposed, independent of sex, age and smoking habit [17, 21]. No relationship between serum specific IgE antibodies and occupational
Table 3. – Relationship between smoking habits and total immunoglobulin (IgE) according to recent studies in the general population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BACKER</td>
<td>[93]</td>
<td>508 subjects aged 7–16 yrs</td>
<td>No relationship between active smoking and IgE in univariate analysis</td>
<td>Active smoking increased IgE after adjustment for age. SPT positivity, allergic symptoms and family history of allergic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jensen</td>
<td>[65]</td>
<td>297 smoking volunteers and 137 random controls at follow-up of: 1 yr; mean age: 44.2 yrs</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than among nonsmokers in both sexes. Weighted pack-yr consumption associated with increased IgE</td>
<td>Quitters had increased IgE levels during the first 26 weeks of abstinence. Return to baseline after 1 yr No significant difference of IgE between sexes in nonatopic subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherrill</td>
<td>[57]</td>
<td>General population: 2,350 subjects seen at least 1 time during follow-up, spanning up a period of 20 yrs, aged &gt;6 yrs at the first survey (1973)</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than nonsmokers in both sexes. Positive association between pack-ys and level of IgE, stronger in male subjects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omenaas</td>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>1,512 subjects in four groups - asthmatics - nonasthmatics exposed at work -nonsmokers free of respiratory symptoms - general population aged 18–73 yrs</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than nonsmokers</td>
<td>Subjects examined during the summer tended to have higher IgE level than those examined in winter. Subjects with occupational dust or gas exposure had a higher total IgE level than unexposed. Levels of total IgE did not differ significantly by educational level In young adults, smoking explains little of the variation in total IgE (&lt;1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarvis</td>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>General population: two samples drawn from ECRHS aged 20–44 yrs: 367 subjects, free of respiratory symptoms, 135 subjects symptomatic but without asthma before age 14 yrs</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than nonsmokers. Difference greater in females but not significant. Weaker but positive associations between pack-ys and level of IgE. Higher IgE among smokers than nonsmokers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lai</td>
<td>[94]</td>
<td>General population: 195 subjects among 2,032 aged ≥70 yrs</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than nonsmokers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wirthch</td>
<td>[95]</td>
<td>General population: 8,344 subjects aged 18–60 yrs</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than nonsmokers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapigni</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>General population: 1,905 subjects aged 8–73 yrs</td>
<td>Higher IgE among smokers than ex/nonsmokers. A dose-response relationship between IgE and cigarette consumption in males</td>
<td>No difference between non and exsmokers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPT: skin-prick test; ECRHS: European Community Respiratory Health Survey.

dust or gas exposure has been described. Whether the observed association between total serum IgE and dust or gas exposure reflects a nonallergic inflammatory response or it reflects an allergic response due to exposure of specific occupational allergens is not known. However, it has previously been documented that some occupational allergens can induce serum specific IgE antibodies [18].

Finally, it is not excluded that some factors are likely to intervene directly on IgE assessment. In Norway, subjects seen in summer had higher IgE levels than those seen in other seasons of the year [17], probably due to pollen exposure during the summer in the Northern countries.

Skin prick test: relationship to sex, age, ethnic group, environmental factors

The reactivity to skin tests depends on age. There exists a hyperreactivity at birth which lasts until the age of 6 months. Later, the proportion of reactors begins to increase. The maximum of the reactivity curve has been seen among the young adults in various populations [9]. However, a decrease of the reactivity, differing according to the sex in individuals ≥50 yrs, was seen at the age of 14 yrs in Denmark [102]. Similarly, males and females presented different patterns, the males preceding the females by 5 yrs, in the Netherlands [40]. The higher prevalence rate of skin prick reactivity during the younger age groups can represent either a process of maturation of immunological reactivity, or a longer exposure to environmental allergens. On the contrary, the decline of skin prick reactivity in the older age groups might depend either on a real decline of immunological reactivity or on a decrease of the skin’s capacity to react to immunological solicitations. A loss of vascular bed and a reduction in histamine release were observed in the skin of older adults [9]. In general, there is no agreement on the role played by sex in skin test reactivity according to the literature. Some studies
did not find any difference [103], others did find one [102, 104], even if only in some age groups [9]. Few endogenous factors have been related to skin test reactivity. Low gestational age was identified as risk factor for sensitization to aeroallergens at school age [105]. Low birth-weight was a significant risk for skin test reactivity at the age of 2 yrs [78]. Ethnic factors also influence skin test reactivity. In a worker population, skin test reactivity was higher in non-White individuals compared to White [103]. An Australian epidemiological survey showed that skin prick test positivity was observed more in Asian immigrants and Australian-born Asians than in Australian-born non-

Among environmental factors related to skin test reactivity, there are irritants, allergens and residence area. Out of irritants, tobacco active smoking is presented in table 4. The role of allergic exposure is indisputable. Skin test reactivity to pollens or house dust mites depends on the month of birth [78, 107]. Former cat ownership was significantly related to sensitization to cat dander [105]. Data collected in a study on 3,371 patients with diagnosis of allergic asthma, rhinitis, or both [36], showed that indoor allergen sensitization was strongly associated with asthma, while exclusive sensitization to pollens was associated primarily with rhinitis.

Living in urban, as compared with rural areas [40], or living in West, as compared with East Germany [108], is associated with an increased prevalence of sensitization to aeroallergens. Although, in recent years, a big effort has been made to reduce emissions from industries and automobile exhausts in the USA, Japan and some European countries, levels of air pollutants are still very high, especially in large urban areas. The prevalence of skin test reactivity resulted is statistically higher in urban versus rural area in the Anglo-Saxon population of the USA. In fact, a study in Japan has demonstrated that the prevalence of clinical sensitivity to cedar pollen is higher among subjects living along roads with heavy traffic but with low pollen counts in comparison with those living in cedar forests with high pollen counts but less traffic. Another study showed that the most polluted areas in the Erie county also had higher rates of eczema. Indeed, studies in animals have shown that air pollutants such as SO2, NO2, and O3 increase the permeability of the mucous membrane of the airway tract, favouring the development of allergic reactions [109]. Environmental tobacco exposure is a risk factor for skin test reactivity [110]. However, no demonstration of in utero exposure could be given. Smoking showed an inverse effect on skin reactivity: e.g. Sherrill et al. [57] reported that smoking tended to be less common in skin-test reactors than in nonreactors and other authors found similar results [9, 45]. Wüthrich et al. [95] reported that tobacco smoking is associated with increased IgE levels and negatively related to skin reactivity and hay fever.

Inverse associations at atop and smoking may be explained by selection factors. Indeed, atopic subjects may be more susceptible to environmental hazards, such as the bronchial irritant effects of smoking, than the rest of the population, and, consequently, they may decide to quit or not to start smoking.

It is interesting to point out the possible interactions between smoking and atop in affecting bronchial responsiveness. O'Connor et al. [111] observed a higher degree of bronchial responsiveness in smokers with positive skin tests, than in smokers with negative skin tests. In multivariate models, this author observed minor additional effects of IgE levels and blood eosinophils on bronchial hyperreactivity and he hypothesized a synergism of cigarette smoking and skin test reactivity in affecting bronchial hyperresponsiveness.

Additional interesting observations are present in studies obtained in subjects exposed to specific irritants in occupational settings. Higher values of specific IgE or higher prevalence of subjects with positive skin tests were reported in exposed smokers when compared to nonexposed smokers [112]. These observations suggest that smoking may facilitate sensitization in exposed subjects, increasing bronchial mucosa permeability and

Table 4. – Relationship between smoking habits and skin tests reactivity among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>[Ref.]</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mensinga</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>General population:</td>
<td>Inverse association between positive skin test</td>
<td>Scratch method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Netherlands,</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,258 subjects aged 14–39 yrs</td>
<td>test reactivity and cigarette smoking in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>males but not in females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>General population:</td>
<td>Higher prevalence of positive SPT in nonsmokers</td>
<td>Lancet. Adjusted for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark,</td>
<td></td>
<td>793 subjects aged 15–69 yrs</td>
<td>than in current smokers, more marked in males</td>
<td>sex and age, exsmokers intermediate between nonsmokers and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>smokers for skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mensinga</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>General population:</td>
<td>No association between SPT reactivity and</td>
<td>Similar results in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Netherlands,</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,875 subjects aged 17–49 yrs</td>
<td>smoking habits</td>
<td>light and heavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldacci</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>General population:</td>
<td>No effect of smoking on SPT, but a trend in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy,</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,649 subjects aged 5–75 yrs</td>
<td>nonsmokers to have higher SPT positivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wüthrich</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>General population:</td>
<td>Higher prevalence of positive SPT in nonsmokers</td>
<td>Difference between</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland,</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,344 subjects aged 18–60 yrs</td>
<td>than in current smokers</td>
<td>former smokers and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nonsmokers, more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPT: skin-prick test.
thus facilitating and enhancing allergen penetration in the respiratory mucosa.

Various occupational exposures have been related to skin test reactivity [103]. Surprisingly, skin test reactivity depends also on the period of the survey. House dust mite reactivity was more frequent in subjects seen during the winter compared to the others [104]. Finally, it is well known that various medications may reduce the skin tests reactivity. Medication intake must be taken into account in the study of skin reactions.

**Eosinophilia: relationship to sex, age, ethnic group, environmental factors**

The eosinophil is an inflammatory cell involved in pulmonary disorders, mainly allergic. Both tissue and circulating eosinophils are involved, but only peripheral blood eosinophils have been considered in epidemiological studies. Population-based data have shown that peripheral blood eosinophilia is related to asthma prevalence and acute attacks, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and decreased lung function level and decline [13, 43, 44]. Eosinophils are strongly related to age in childhood [113]. The relationship is unclear in adulthood [114]. Children have a higher eosinophil count than adults. The maximum of the distribution is observed at the age of 4-8 yrs. However, an epidemiological survey conducted in the Netherlands could not find any association [40]. Similarly, the relationship to sex is unclear. Eosinophilia defined as $\geq 275$ cells mm$^{-3}$ was more frequent in males than in females [45, 115]. This was not confirmed by other studies [113, 116]. Results from studies on the role of ethnic group are contradictory. Black individuals are likely to have higher eosinophil counts [115, 117], but this has not been confirmed [118, 119]. Other biological sources of variation of eosinophil count have been identified: exercise, emotional stress, physical abuse, diurnal variation beta-adrenergic agents and hormonal influence of the menstrual source [114]. However, few epidemiological evidence exists. Interpretation of results on eosinophils must consider the fact that various cut-off values have been proposed so that comparisons are not allowed. Few studies have reported on the effects of tobacco smoking on eosinophilia (table 5).

Eosinophils are also influenced by residence area and pollution. Urban residents are at higher risk of eosinophilia than rural residents [40]. Similarly, a difference in eosinophil count was seen between the

| Table 5. – Relationship between smoking habits and eosinophilia |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Authors | [Ref.] | Subjects | Findings | Comments |
| RONCHETTI Italy, 1990 | 97 | 179 children aged 9 yrs | Children whose parents smoke have increased absolute counts and percentages ( $\geq 4\%$ ) of eosinophils; effect only significant in male children | Similar effect for paternal and maternal smoking. Eosinophilia increases with number of smoking parents in males, only a trend in females (small minority of mothers smoked) |
| MENSINGA the Netherlands, 1990 | 45 | General population: 3,258 subjects aged 14–39 yrs | Current smoking related to higher eosinophil counts. Association stronger for heavy smokers ( $\geq 15$ cigarettes/day) than light smokers | Elevated eosinophil count associated with males versus females. No relation of eosinophil counts between exsmokers and nonsmokers |
| SCHWARTZ USA, 1994 | 118 | 6,138 subjects age 30–74 yrs | Eosinophils positively associated with the current number of cigarettes smoked per day. No effect of pack-years and years since quitting. Increased cigarette smoking decreased percent eosinophils counts | Multiple regression models controlled for age, sex, ethnic group and body mass index. No differences in eosinophils between males and females |
| EL-NAWAY Egypt, 1996 | 120 | 120 subjects mean aged 10 yrs | Children whose parents smoke have increased absolute counts of eosinophils, effect only significant in male children | Passive smoking increased eosinophil counts in children with frequent respiratory symptoms compared to others |
| JENSEN Denmark, 1998 | 121 | 298 smoking volunteers and 136 random controls; follow-up 6 months; mean age 44.2 yrs | No significant differences between smokers and nonsmokers for eosinophil counts and percentage | |
| ULRIK Denmark, 1998 | 43 | 665 adolescents aged 13–23 yrs | Association between daily tobacco consumption and eosinophil count approached the level of statistical significance. No effect of pack-years and eosinophil counts | |
| SUNYER USA, 1996 | 122 | 2,435 men aged $>18$ yrs | Eosinophil percentage positively associated with cigarettes pack-day$^{-1}$ smoked | AIDS cohort study, homosexual/bisexual volunteer men (AIDS-free) from four US sites |

AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.
subjects living in an area polluted by sulphur dioxide and fluoride than in an unpolluted area in Norway. Furthermore, a recent exposure was related to a higher eosinophilia [123].

**Relationship among skin reactivity, IgE, eosinophilia and respiratory health outcomes**

Skin test reactivity has been used to categorize individuals as atopic or nonatopic. However, eosinophilia and elevated serum IgE levels, which correlate with skin test reactivity, have also been used in many studies as alternative methods to characterize atopic subjects. These three main phenotypic markers are commonly utilized to identify the "atopic" state.

Indeed, different categories of respiratory symptoms and conditions may be associated with different phenotypic markers. For instance, Burrows et al. [4] have reported that asthma, in a random sample of residents in Tucson, Arizona, was strongly correlated with age- and sex-adjusted serum IgE level, independently of skin test reactivity; on the contrary, hay fever was closely associated with skin test reactivity to a set of common Aeroallergens.

In another population-based study in The Netherlands, Messinga et al. [45] analysed the relationship of eosinophilia and skin test reactivity to respiratory symptoms. They reported an association of eosinophilia with chronic cough, bronchitis, and dyspnoea, while skin test positivity and eosinophilia together were associated with persistent wheeze and asthma attacks. Tollerud et al. [12], in the Normative Aging Study, found a significant association of skin test positivity with hay fever, but not with symptoms of wheeze or cough and phlegm. Eosinophilia was associated with asthma and with phlegm production, and serum total IgE concentration was more strongly associated with asthma. In the second survey on the Italian general population study of Po river Delta [124], IgE and skin prick reactivity resulted associated with asthma and rhinitis. However, IgE appeared more closely associated with asthma while skin prick reactivity showed a moderately stronger association with rhinitis. Furthermore, cough was also significantly associated with skin reactivity, but only in females [9]. In the same general population the relationship between skin reactivity and total IgE level was found to vary with the specific categories of allergens: it was significant for house-dust mites and pollens, but not for moulds and animal danders. Therefore, both biomarkers of atopy should be used in epidemiological studies [21].

Stein et al. [125] demonstrated that methacholine responsiveness, peak flow variability and markers of atopy (total IgE and skin test reactivity) identify three different wheezing phenotypes in childhood: "transient early wheezing" limited to the first three years of life and unrelated to increased airway lability; "nonatopic wheezing" of the infancy and early school years associated with positive peak flow variability but not with hyperresponsiveness; and "IgE-associated wheezing/asthma" associated with persistent wheezing at any age and with methacholine hyperresponsiveness, peak flow variability, and markers of atopy.

Also the Dutch ECRHS Group [126] confirmed the close relationship of skin test positivity with reported symptoms of nasal allergy in a general population. In particular, for each tested allergen, a skin test positivity together with a positive specific IgE measurement were the best predictors of the corresponding nasal symptoms. High levels of total IgE may be considered an indicator of greater dysregulation of the immune system in atopic allergy. Eosinophil count may be considered an aspecific indicator of nasal allergy as it was associated with all nasal symptom groups: symptoms due to exposure to indoor allergens only, symptoms due to exposure to outdoor allergens only, and symptoms due to exposure to both indoor and outdoor allergens.

In a Spanish study [127], the two markers of atopy, total IgE and skin test reactivity, were found differently related to bronchial asthma according to the different stages of life: skin test reactivity was more useful as a predictive factor in children, while IgE determination was more useful in adults.

Moreover, blood eosinophilia at 3 months of age has been found to correlate significantly to cord blood IgE levels and to skin prick test reactivity later on during a follow-up period of 18 months. Furthermore, the association between increased blood eosinophil counts and a subsequent diagnosis of atopic disease suggests the main predictive role of this atopic marker [41]. On the contrary, an increased basophil count at birth was not a good predictive marker of atopy [128]. In addition, Terho et al. [10], investigating the predictive value of skin reactivity, smoking, and living in a farming environment in the development of chronic bronchitis, found that atopy and smoking seemed to have an additive effect on both the prevalence and incidence of chronic bronchitis, thus supporting the so-called "Dutch hypothesis" about the natural history of chronic bronchitis [129].

Many studies, exploring the natural history of asthma and its relation to allergic responses, have examined the relation between airway hyperresponsiveness and atopy. Peat et al. [130] reported that young adults who are sensitized to common allergens have a high chance to get airway hyperresponsiveness, even in the absence of symptoms; this is further evidence of the fundamental role of IgE-mediated responses in the natural history of airway hyperresponsiveness throughout childhood and adulthood. Phenotypes of asthma described by IgE, SPT and eosinophilia in children and adults have been reported [131] and discussed with regard to criteria applied in studies and their limitations [132]. Figure 3 depicts the number of adults and children with atopy according to the three classic definitions: any skin wheel ≥ 3 mm, total IgE level ≥ 100 kU/L and blood eosinophilia > 5%. It showed that 8.5% of asthmatic adults and 1.5% of asthmatic children were not atopic by any criterion and that there was some heterogeneity, particularly in adults.

In several cross-sectional studies, total serum IgE has been related to reduced pulmonary function, a marker of obstructive lung disease [45, 65, 133–137]. In recent longitudinal studies, elevated total serum IgE has also been related to an increased rate of
Fig. 3. – Comparison of asthma phenotypes in: a) 135 children, (2 subjects with missing data) and b) 213 adults (18 subjects with missing data), of the Epidemiological Study of the Genetics and Environment of Asthma, Bronchial Hyperresponsiveness, and Atopy (EGEA). The following intermediate phenotypes are described in asthmatic probands of the EGEA study: A: skin prick testing (SPT) (≥3 mm); B: total serum IgE (IgE) >100 IU/mL; and C: blood eosinophilia (Eosinophils) >5% for 30 adults and 12 children, at least on the data was missing. Adapted from reference [132].

decline in pulmonary function of nonsmokers and exsmokers [135] as well as smokers [138]. Thus, elevated total serum IgE has been suggested as a possible risk factor for developing obstructive lung disease as well as being significantly associated with the asthmatic phenotype [136].

Why is there a relationship between total serum IgE and airways obstruction? Increased permeability of the airways to less frequently encountered allergens could be one explanation [139]. In smokers, another explanation may be the effects of smoking on leukocytes in the lung and the peripheral blood including the lymphocytes and "dysregulation" of cytokines [90, 140]. IgE appears to be a biomarker of changed or impaired lymphocyte-cytokine activity which has a significant contribution in causing obstructive lung disease, and especially chronic obstructive lung disease [140]. In nonsmokers and especially in those without atopy one or more unrecognized factors may be relevant for obstructive lung disease.

The lack of a relationship by specific allergy and pulmonary function has been reported [46, 133, 141]. However, all these investigators have used a grouped variable including one or more specific allergens and may thus have obscured any significant relationship between specific allergy and reduced lung function level. In children with atopy to HDM or cat, however, there has been observed an impaired growth in lung function, as assessed by spirometry, from 9–15 yrs [142].

In adults from a general population there was observed a dose-response relationship between HDM antibody levels and reduced lung function indicating a causal relationship [143]. House dust mite allergy in selected groups of adults has been associated with asthmatic phenotype [144]. Even among elderly, allergic sensitization (atopy) has been associated with a more rapid decline in FEV1 than in those without atopy [140]. A clinical study suggests that moving to "healthy homes" with a reduction in house dust mite exposure give improvements in FEV1, in medicine score, in symptom score and in total serum IgE [145].

Some [146, 147], but not all studies [143] in general populations of adults have observed a significant association between total serum IgE and nonspecific bronchial responsiveness after adjusting for confounders. In a survey limited to subjects with obstructive lung disease there was also an association between increasing total serum IgE levels and bronchial responsiveness, while not with increasing number of serum specific IgE antibodies [148]. Furthermore, a longitudinal study of children [151] observed that the overall tendency to bronchial responsiveness and the tendency to retain bronchial responsiveness were closely related to total serum IgE.

In children, there has been found a relationship between allergic sensitization (atopy) and nonspecific bronchial responsiveness in cross-sectional and longitudinal studies [93, 149–151]. This has been suggested to include primarily indoor allergens. Atopy may reflect a tendency to overproduce mediators in response to allergen-exposure, while nonspecific bronchial responsiveness may reflect the propensity of the target cells in the bronchi to respond to allergy-related mediators such as histamine [152].

Recent reports have observed a relationship between serum specific IgE antibodies and bronchial responsiveness in young adults, but it may be limited to some allergens at least in adults [143, 146, 147, 153, 154].

Conclusion

Epidemiological evidence confirms that different groups of respiratory symptoms and conditions may be associated with distinct phenotypic markers.

In fact, many pertinent studies have demonstrated a closer relationship of total IgE to asthma and a stronger association between skin reactivity and rhinitis [4, 12, 124], but there are also other findings that suggest a relationship of atopic predisposition, as assessed by prick test reactions or eosinophilia, to other respiratory problems, in particular, those chronic bronchitis related, supporting the "Dutch hypothesis" [45, 129]. Thus, future investigations will be devoted to developing a more precise and consistent definition of atopy which is a prerequisite for elucidating the complex relationship among atopy, respiratory disease susceptibility and respiratory health outcome.

Furthermore, longitudinal population studies are required to amplify the cross sectional observations, in fact they will be more and more important in order to determine in which period of life the onset of the atopic status is prognostically relevant. These studies will also allow evaluation of the relationship of the age of onset and the severity of atopy with the persistence and the severity of respiratory symptoms. As exposure and sensitization to certain allergens have shown a close relation with clinical diagnoses, there is an urgent need to assess procedures of allergen avoidance and of reducing allergens in the environment to determine the effect on patient symptoms, exacerbation rate, use of medication and health costs evaluation.
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