ABSTRACT: Pulmonary embolism often remains a difficult diagnosis for the clinician, particularly in patients with comorbidity factors. This is in contrast with the availability of effective treatment, which should be prescribed as soon as possible.

To date, there is still no ideal diagnostic test that is accurate, safe, readily available and cost-effective.

Recent technical advances in computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and laboratory findings have raised new possibilities in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism.

This review covers the performance of different diagnostic tests, and focuses on the advantages and limitations of single diagnostic tests and the clinical usefulness of these tests in diagnostic strategies.
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Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism (PE) remains a major clinical problem. The annual incidence of clinically suspected PE is estimated to be 2–3 per 1,000 inhabitants in the western world [1, 2]. Of these patients, only approximately one-third will actually have a pulmonary embolus [3–5]. Treatment of patients with PE is indicated, because the mortality of PE without treatment is \( \sim 25\% \) [6]. Conversely, treatment of all patients will lead to unnecessary treatment in two-thirds of patients, with possible haemorrhagic complications. Hence, an accurate diagnosis is warranted to prevent unnecessary mortality and morbidity.

Unfortunately, the clinical signs and symptoms alone of PE are nonspecific. The diagnosis of PE therefore relies heavily on additional tests, including imaging techniques. There have been major developments regarding these diagnostic tests in recent years, implying new diagnostic strategies for acute PE and raising new opportunities in the diagnosis of PE. However, the diagnosis of PE remains problematical and continues to challenge the skills of both the physician and diagnostic imager.

It is the intention of this paper to give an overview of the presently available diagnostic tests, with their advantages and disadvantages, and the clinical usefulness of these tests in diagnostic strategies.

Diagnostic tests

Clinical assessment

Although the signs and symptoms of PE are nonspecific, there are some “classical” clinical signs, which raise the suspicion of PE. Circulatory collapse caused by massive PE presents as shock or syncope. It is sometimes accompanied by severe dyspnoea and chest pain and there may be signs of acute right heart failure. Acute breathlessness, often with tachycardia, may be the result of a submassive embolus. There may be chest pain, tachypnoea and cyanosis. Smaller emboli may cause pleuritic chest pain, with or without breathlessness and haemoptysis.

According to the clinical presentation, together with electrocardiography, chest radiography and laboratory findings, the physician can make a clinical pretest probability of PE. Some studies have demonstrated that the clinical pretest probability may be a useful adjunct to further diagnostic tests. In the PIOPED study [5], a high clinical probability combined with a
Thus, combining the clinical probability with the study did not fit into the aforementioned categories. However, some 75% of the patients in the PIOPED scan had a 97% negative predictive value for PE. Q accuracy only for a minority of patients.

Normal/near normal or low probability whereas a low clinical probability combined with a scan, had a 96% positive predictive value for PE, increased levels of plasma D-dimer may be encountered with breakdown of thrombi, although this is not specific. There are other causes (such as neoplasm, sepsis, postoperative state) of fibrinolysis, hence, the specificity of all D-dimer assays for PE is low. The potential value of a D-dimer test lies, therefore, in its ability to exclude PE. And such a test must be of high sensitivity and must have a high negative predictive value.

Plasma D-dimer levels can be measured by a variety of methods. Latex agglutination tests (such as Simply Red and Tinaquant) are simple, fast and inexpensive, but of insufficient sensitivity for clinical use [8, 9]. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) methods seemed promising, but required plasma preparation and were labour intensive. More recent improvements have yielded fast and acutely applicable tests such as the new rapid ELISA test [10, 11]. These are better suited for emergency situations. Sensitivity and negative predictive value varies up to as high as 100% [11, 12]. Overall, the ELISA test has the best quoted sensitivities for PE, whereas the latex tests have the poorest. Unfortunately, most studies did not determine the accuracy of D-dimer assays with respect to the gold standard pulmonary angiography. Instead, most studies used noninvasive tests to exclude or diagnose or excluded noninvasively by combining well-defined clinical estimates of PE with the perfusion lung scan findings.

D-dimer

D-dimer is a breakdown product that is formed when cross-linked fibrin is cleaved by plasmin. Increased levels of plasma D-dimer may be encountered with breakdown of thrombi, although this is not specific. There are other causes (such as neoplasm, sepsis, postoperative state) of fibrinolysis, hence, the specificity of all D-dimer assays for PE is low. The potential value of a D-dimer test lies, therefore, in its ability to exclude PE. And such a test must be of high sensitivity and must have a high negative predictive value.

Ultrasoundography

PE and deep vein thrombosis (DVT) are both entities of one disease, namely venous thromboembolism. It therefore seems logical in the diagnosis of PE, to search for DVT, as it is also suggested that PE may originate from the deep veins. Both disorders require the same treatment, hence, detection of DVT in a patient suspected of PE thus renders further testing for PE useless, and anticoagulant therapy can be started.

Lower limb venous compression ultrasonography is widely used to detect DVT. With the patient in the supine position, the femoral and popliteal veins are examined with a high Mhz linear transducer. The diagnosis is primarily based on incompressibility of a clot in the vein, but diagnosis could not be established on only these signs; there is a high sensitivity and specificity for ultrasound in the diagnosis of DVT in patients with symptomatic proximal DVT (over 97%) [14, 15]. The sensitivity of ultrasound for diagnosis of distal DVT is, however, substantially lower. Therefore, various strategies are based on serial ultrasonography, requiring repetition of the examination over a period of 7–14 days [16, 17] to detect proximal extension of DVT.

Lung scintigraphy

The usual next step after an initial conventional chest radiograph, still is to perform a (V/Q) lung scintigram.
The perfusion scintigram is performed with technetium-99m (99mTc)-labelled macroaggregated albumin. Images are acquired via a low-energy, large field of view gamma camera, in six projections; anterior, posterior, left and right posterior oblique and left and right lateral projections. In a normal lung scan, the lung margins are concave and uninterrupted. PE characteristically appears as segmental, pleural-based perfusion defects. The timing of the perfusion scan in relation to the onset of symptoms is important, since resolution of the thrombus takes place by activation of thrombolysis. These changes take place over the course of several hours, so the perfusion scan should therefore be performed within 24 h of the onset of symptoms.

After the perfusion scan, a concurrent ventilation scan is performed, preferably with Krypton-81m (81mKr) gas, and the same six views are obtained. The results of the ventilation lung scan can be combined with the perfusion lung scan. If the perfusion scan shows no defects, this is called a normal perfusion lung scan. When there is a segmental perfusion defect and normal ventilation in the same region, this is called a mismatch and is suspect for positive PE, i.e., high-probability \( V/Q \) lung scan. If there are both perfusion and ventilation defects in the same segment, this is called a matching defect and makes PE less probable, but does not exclude PE. This type of scan is called a nonhigh probability \( V/Q \) lung scan.

There are several categorical criteria for the diagnosis of PE, like the McNeil et al. [30], Biello et al. [31] or PIOPED-classifications [5], with criteria for very low-, low-, indeterminate-, normal- and high-probability for PE. Unfortunately, there is a large difference in interpretation among expert readers (25–30%), especially in the classification of low or indeterminate probability \( V/Q \) lung scans [5]. Another more clinically orientated suggestion for a classification was made by Hull et al. [32]. They classified \( V/Q \) lung scans as either normal- or high-probability or nondiagnostic, and for daily clinical practice, these modified, revised PIOPED criteria, in the present author’s opinion, works best.

A \( V/Q \) lung scan is called a high-probability lung scan if there are \( \geq 2 \) perfusion defects (>75% of segment, mean 25–27%, defects <25% do not count) without a ventilation defect (fig. 1), with a chance of not having PE of 12% [4, 5, 33]. The \( V/Q \) lung scan is called normal if there are no perfusion defects. This can be used to exclude PE (chance of having PE, 0.2% [34]). So, a normal perfusion lung scan effectively rules out clinically relevant PE, and anticoagulants can be safely withheld. Unfortunately most patients suspected of PE (>60%) will have a so-called nondiagnostic \( V/Q \) lung scan, and this nondiagnostic \( V/Q \) lung scan neither excludes nor establishes the diagnosis of PE, and, therefore, warrants further testing. The chance of having PE among these patients varies from 25–30% [5].

Another question is whether the combination of ventilation and perfusion lung scanning is as valuable as suggested. The ventilation lung scan was added to the perfusion scan to improve its specificity, but does it really improve the diagnostic yield of lung scintigraphy? In the PIOPED study [5], most patients with a high probability \( V/Q \) lung scan had PE, but only a minority of patients with angiographically proven PE had a high-probability \( V/Q \) lung scan. Virtually all patients with PE had \( V/Q \) lung scans of high-, indeterminate-, and low-probability, but so did most of the patients without PE. Hence, in a sizeable proportion of patients, PE was associated with a \( V/Q \) lung scan other then a high probability scan [5].

Because of these findings, Miniati et al. [35], in their study, wanted to reconsider the value of perfusion scanning alone, without ventilation imaging in the diagnostic workup of patients with suspected PE. They showed that the perfusion scan had an excellent sensitivity (92%) and that accurate diagnosis of PE is possible by perfusion scanning alone, without ventilation imaging.

**Fig. 1.** An example of a high probability ventilation/perfusion lung scan. There is a perfusion defect in the right upper lobe (arrow) with normal ventilation in this region.

**Pulmonary angiography**

Pulmonary angiography remains the standard of reference for detecting PE. It has proven to be highly accurate, but is nevertheless (because of its invasive nature) an underused test. With a 5–7 F catheter, the femoral vein is punctured and the catheter is advanced through the heart into the pulmonary trunk. After a trial injection of contrast, to look for possible central emboli, the catheter is placed in the right and left pulmonary artery. From each lung, angiographic series are made in two directions, posterior-anterior and oblique, preferably with the digital subtraction angiography technique. The criteria for acute PE are complete obstruction of a vessel or a filling defect (fig. 2) [36]. Complications of pulmonary angiography have decreased over the past years. Recent studies
have shown mortality and morbidity rates of 0% and 0.3–1.8%, respectively [37, 38]. There are no absolute contraindications for pulmonary angiography, although several relative contraindications exist (such as contrast allergy, congestive heart failure, severe pulmonary hypertension and endocarditis).

Since angiography is the reference method, the sensitivity and specificity cannot be formally evaluated. The clinical validity was assessed in several studies [36, 39–41], which performed clinical follow-up, and revealed a sensitivity in the region of 98% and a specificity of 94–98%. However, one should be aware of the fact that the reliability of pulmonary angiography decreases with diminishing calibre of the vessels, i.e. the interpretation becomes more difficult at a subsegmental level. Interobserver agreement for the diagnosis of clots beyond the segmental level is low; data of the PIOPED study showed an interobserver agreement of subsegmental PE of only 66% [5].

Spiral computed tomography

In 1978, SINNER [42] was the first to describe that pulmonary embolism could be directly depicted on conventional computed tomography (CT). The most reliable CT feature allowing definitive diagnosis of PE is the demonstration of an intravascular clot. This requires optimal enhancement of vessels to avoid pseudofilling defects in pulmonary arteries secondary to flow phenomena. With the use of dynamic scanning, emboli in central or lobar vessels could be detected [42–44]. However, conventional CT requires relatively long scan times, which allows respiratory motion artefacts that severely limit its usefulness as a diagnostic test for PE. Because of recent improvements in CT technology, it is now possible to scan faster at the peak of contrast material opacification during a single breath-hold. With the use of spiral CT, continuous volume scanning is achieved; the patient is moved through the gantry while CT data are obtained [45]. PE can now be detected with spiral CT.

Clear demonstration of even one embolus is enough to make a definitive diagnosis. Arteries are considered thromboembolic if they show signs of a complete filling defect or cut off or a partial filling defect (fig. 3). Small (sub)segmental filling defects are called positive, only when a definite filling defect is seen on more than one axial image, in which artifactual causes have been excluded [46]. Secondary signs of PE include

![Fig. 2.—A pulmonary angiogram of the left lung, with filling defects in the lingula subsegmental artery and one of the basal lower lobe segmental arteries (arrows).](image1)

![Fig. 3.—a) Spiral computed tomography (CT) at level of the right main pulmonary artery, a large clot is seen as a filling defect (open arrow) and also a clot in the left main lower lobe artery (open arrow). Note also, some pleural effusion on both sights (solid arrow). b) Another example of pulmonary emboli seen with Spiral CT. Emboli are seen as filling defects (arrows).](image2)
impedance plethysmography. During follow-up these pulmonary disease, received no anticoagulants unless lung scans, who had no substantial cardiac or evidence of peripheral deep vein thrombosis [37, 59]. In a study underlying cardiopulmonary disease and no evidence might have little consequence for patients without other evidence suggests that small peripheral emboli emboli must be considered the harbinger of future currently unclear. Some investigators feel that small importance of missing isolated subsegmental PE is from 5–30% [5, 49–51, 58]. Furthermore, the clinical mental PE has been reported in some studies, varying subsegmental arteries only. The incidence of subseg- about the fraction of patients with PE limited to the isolated subsegmental PE? There are no reliable data examinations.

With this CT technique, good results have been shown (table 1) [47–55]. Multiple studies have demonstrated ~90% sensitivity and specificity for PE [47–52]. In the first published studies in which the value of spiral CT for PE was evaluated, the figures of sensitivity and specificity were very high, even up to 100%. But in these studies they mainly evaluated PE in central and segmental lobar arteries. The more recent published papers, where emboli in subseg- mental arteries were also included, showed lower figures, with sensitivity ranging from 29–63% [49, 56]. In fact, currently, the main shortcoming of spiral CT for PE is the inability to show subsegmental emboli. But detection of PE in the subsegmental vessels can be improved by using a narrow collimation (3 mm instead of the formerly often used 5 mm) [57]. Improvement for evaluation of the subsegmental pulmonary arteries is particularly expected from the recently introduced multislice detector spiral CT, which seems very promising for CT angiography examinations.

Another question is, what is the prevalence of isolated subsegmental PE? There are no reliable data about the fraction of patients with PE limited to the subsegmental arteries only. The incidence of subseg- mental PE has been reported in some studies, varying from 5–30% [5, 49–51, 58]. Furthermore, the clinical importance of missing isolated subsegmental PE is currently unclear. Some investigators feel that small emboli must be considered the harbinger of future larger emboli and, therefore, clinically important. But other evidence suggests that small peripheral emboli might have little consequence for patients without underlying cardiopulmonary disease and no evidence of peripheral deep vein thrombosis [37, 59]. In a study by Hull et al. [60], patients with nondiagnostic V’/Q’ lung scans, who had no substantial cardiac or pulmonary disease, received no anticoagulants unless there was evidence of deep vein thrombosis at impedance plethysmography. During follow-up these patients did very well; thromboembolic events occurred in <3%. In these patients with nondiagnostic V’/Q’ lung scans, small emboli must have gone undetected and untreated. However, in another study, done by Ferretti et al. [61], who followed up patients with a negative spiral CT for PE, the recurrence rate was higher (5.4%). In a study by Gottsater et al. [62], who also followed up patients with a negative spiral CT for PE, the recurrence rate was only 1.4%. So the reported figures are variable and the value of a negative spiral CT (and thus the chance of missing small subsegmental emboli) for PE is not clear yet. In addition, well-designed, large, prospective clinical outcome studies should still be performed to evaluate the relevance of negative spiral CT findings, especially with the presently available multiple slice CT technique.

Another advantage of spiral CT is the fact that, very often, an alternative diagnosis can be established [61, 63] which might explain patients’ signs and symptoms. Only approximately one-third of patients suspected of PE will actually have PE, so most patients will have other causes for their complaints. CT will often allow an alternative diagnosis, because apart from the pulmonary arteries, lung parenchyma and mediastinal structures are also scanned. These alternative diagnoses could be, by example, pulmo- nary infiltrates, pleural effusion, tumour, aortic dissection, or bronchial or parenchymal diseases [61, 63]. However, it must always be remembered that these alternative diagnoses do not rule out PE. These other diagnoses could, of course, be coexistent or even represent secondary signs of PE, for example pulmo- nary infarction, presenting as a wedge-shaped pleural based infiltrate.

### Magnetic resonance imaging

Conventional techniques in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are unable to distinguish segmental and subsegmental pulmonary vessels, because of the peculiar composition of lung tissue. New faster techniques, however, have made it possible to evaluate the pulmonary arteries. Numerous magnetic reso- nance angiography (MRA) techniques have been applied to study the pulmonary vasculature. The time-of-flight gradient-recalled-echo (GRE) technique is often used, where unexcited blood entering an image section appears bright compared with the surrounding tissue that has already been saturated by radio frequency pulses [64]. Good results have been achieved with this technique, but poor contrast resolution and signal-to-noise ratios still often make it difficult to distinguish thrombi from blood. The addition of contrast material makes the differentiation easier, increasing the sensitivity and specificity of MRA for PE. This regularly used technique is a contrast-enhanced three dimensional GRE MRA. Studies on this subject reported sensitivity rates of 71–87% and specificity rates of 95–97% [65–68].

A second method of imaging the pulmonary vessels is the use of MR perfusion imaging. After a bolus injection of a paramagnetic contrast agent, imaging of
The diagnosis of PE remains a vexing problem. The ideal diagnostic test should be accurate, safe, readily available and cost-effective. At present, none of the above-mentioned tests meets all these criteria. It is, therefore, necessary to evaluate what combination of tests is most accurate. Recently, several studies have been published, in which different combinations of diagnostic tests (algorithms) for PE have been evaluated.

Wells et al. [71] evaluated the use of a clinical model for safe management of patients with suspected PE. In 1,239 patients, the pretest probability for PE was categorized by a clinical model, \( V/Q \) lung scans and bilateral leg ultrasonography were performed, and if necessary pulmonary angiography was performed. They found that three of the 665 (0.5%) patients with low or moderate pretest probability and if necessary pulmonary angiography was performed. It was shown by Miron et al. [13], in contrast to outpatients, D-dimer measurements appear to be useless in hospitalized patients.

The issue of cost-effectiveness also needs to be addressed. While the effectiveness in making the diagnosis of PE is increasingly apparent, costing is open to a much wider interpretations. However, there are not many published studies that address this aspect. One is a cost-effective analysis study done by Hull et al. [27], in which three strategies were evaluated. The first consisted of a \( V/Q \) lung scan followed by pulmonary angiography in cases of a nondiagnostic \( V/Q \) lung scan. The second strategy consisted of a \( V/Q \) lung scan, followed by a single noninvasive leg test and pulmonary angiography as final test. In the third strategy, serial leg tests were used instead of a single test. The authors concluded that the strategy that requires pulmonary angiography in the fewest patients is a combination of \( V/Q \) lung scans and serial noninvasive leg tests. This strategy also proved to be the most cost-effective.

Another study was performed by van Erkel et al. [72] with an updated analysis in 1998 [73]. In their analysis, 16 realistic combinations of several diagnostic tests (including \( V/Q \) lung scan, leg ultrasound, D-dimer, spiral CT and pulmonary angiography) were evaluated for patients with and without comorbidity. The authors found that strategies using spiral CT had lower cost (with the best strategy being ultrasound followed by spiral CT) and higher survival than strategies that use pulmonary angiography. Comparison of patients with and without comorbidity demonstrated that strategies including D-dimer tests are most costly in patients with comorbidity.

### Table 2. – Accuracy of diagnostic tests for pulmonary embolism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer</td>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>40–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation/perfusion lung scan</td>
<td>57–100</td>
<td>78–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral computed tomography</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
<td>71–87</td>
<td>95–97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary angiography</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>94–98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a study by Ferretti et al. [61], the role of spiral CT in 164 patients with nondiagnostic \( V/Q \) lung scans and negative results from ultrasound of the legs was evaluated. When spiral CT demonstrated PE, anticoagulants where administrated. When spiral CT did not depict PE, anticoagulants were not started. Pulmonary angiography was performed only in those patients with high clinical suspicion for PE and negative spiral CT findings. Patients were followed for 3 months. At this follow-up, six of 112 (5.4%) patients with negative spiral CT findings had experienced recurrent PE. They concluded that spiral CT allowed accurate diagnosis of acute PE in patients with nondiagnostic \( V/Q \) lung scan and without DVT at ultrasound.

The performance of the various diagnostic tests for PE is safe.

### Discussion

The diagnosis of PE remains a vexing problem. The ideal diagnostic test should be accurate, safe, readily available and cost-effective. At present, none of the above-mentioned tests meets all these criteria. It is, therefore, necessary to evaluate what combination of tests is most accurate. Recently, several studies have been published, in which different combinations of diagnostic tests (algorithms) for PE have been evaluated.

Wells et al. [71] evaluated the use of a clinical model for safe management of patients with suspected PE. In 1,239 patients, the pretest probability for PE was categorized by a clinical model, \( V/Q \) lung scans and bilateral leg ultrasonography were performed, and if necessary pulmonary angiography was performed. They found that three of the 665 (0.5%) patients with low or moderate pretest probability and if necessary pulmonary angiography was performed. It was shown by Miron et al. [13], in contrast to outpatients, D-dimer measurements appear to be useless in hospitalized patients.

The issue of cost-effectiveness also needs to be addressed. While the effectiveness in making the diagnosis of PE is increasingly apparent, costing is open to a much wider interpretations. However, there are not many published studies that address this aspect. One is a cost-effective analysis study done by Hull et al. [27], in which three strategies were evaluated. The first consisted of a \( V/Q \) lung scan followed by pulmonary angiography in cases of a nondiagnostic \( V/Q \) lung scan. The second strategy consisted of a \( V/Q \) lung scan, followed by a single noninvasive leg test and pulmonary angiography as final test. In the third strategy, serial leg tests were used instead of a single test. The authors concluded that the strategy that requires pulmonary angiography in the fewest patients is a combination of \( V/Q \) lung scans and serial noninvasive leg tests. This strategy also proved to be the most cost-effective.

Another study was performed by van Erkel et al. [72] with an updated analysis in 1998 [73]. In their analysis, 16 realistic combinations of several diagnostic tests (including \( V/Q \) lung scan, leg ultrasound, D-dimer, spiral CT and pulmonary angiography) were evaluated for patients with and without comorbidity. The authors found that strategies using spiral CT had lower cost (with the best strategy being ultrasound followed by spiral CT) and higher survival than strategies that use pulmonary angiography. Comparison of patients with and without comorbidity demonstrated that strategies including D-dimer tests are most costly in patients with comorbidity.
Obviously, comorbidity leads to less favourable cost-effectiveness of d-dimer strategies. The authors also concluded that there is a prominent role for spiral CT in the diagnosis of PE. However, it should not be forgotten that this study uses theoretical recommendations for an optimally cost-effective algorithm and can therefore serve as best approximations only.

In another study, a total of 41 diagnostic strategies were evaluated using a mathematical model [74]. Several assumptions were made to build a model for cost-effective analysis. These related to the prevalence of PE in the population under investigation, the influence of therapeutic interventions on the natural course of PE, the adverse events due to therapeutic interventions, the performance of the diagnostic tests and the overall costs. The studied strategies included V/Q lung scanning, ultrasonography of the legs, pulmonary angiography, spiral CT and d-dimer test, all combined in different combinations. The primary outcome measure for each strategy was the average mortality and cost per patient, defined as the excess mortality and cost compared to the optimal strategy (the strategy that assumes that the clinician is always correct in the diagnosis and treatment). A cost-effectiveness analysis was performed in which, for each strategy, the costs per life saved were calculated compared to the natural course of PE. They found that the mortality was lowest in all strategies that used pulmonary angiography as a final diagnostic test. The strategy that consisted of a V/Q lung scan followed by ultrasonography of the legs and finally, if necessary in cases of negative findings, pulmonary angiography, had the lowest mortality and costs. The performance of spiral CT in a strategy prior to pulmonary angiography (apart from the aforementioned strategy) generally lead to a reduction in mortality. Strategies that incorporated d-dimer tests resulted in a >2-fold increase in mortality when compared to other strategies using pulmonary angiography. The cost of noninvasive strategies was much lower than those containing pulmonary angiography, but the mortality is deemed unacceptably high. They found that pulmonary angiography is still required as a final diagnostic step in any approach but it seems worthwhile to reduce the number of patients who require pulmonary angiography by prior performance of noninvasive diagnostic tests. It was concluded that the strategy which incorporates a V/Q lung scan, followed by ultrasonography of the legs and finally pulmonary angiography, is still the strategy of choice. However, no major difference in outcome exists between the strategy where spiral CT is used after a perfusion lung scan, then followed by a final pulmonary angiography. This strategy will result in a 16% reduction in angiographic procedures, and in this strategy, the ventilation lung scan is replaced by spiral CT. Since spiral CT is more widely available as a routine test than a ventilation lung scan, it seemed logical to switch over to spiral CT.

In summary, several results of different diagnostic algorithms, evaluated in several studies are variable; but acceptable and the choice for which strategy to use is highly dependent on the availability of the diagnostic tests within a local hospital. In the author’s opinion, the perfusion lung scan remains a key factor, since a normal perfusion lung scan is highly accurate for exclusion of pulmonary embolism in a considerable number of patients and makes further testing for many patients redundant. After the perfusion lung scan, spiral computed tomography can be performed in cases of an abnormal perfusion lung scan, followed by a pulmonary angiography in patients with a negative spiral computed tomographic scan and high clinical suspicion of pulmonary embolism. Although the willingness of the clinician to perform pulmonary angiography is still restricted, pulmonary angiography is required as the final step in any approach to exclude or detect pulmonary embolism.
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