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ABSTRACT: There has been intense research into the role nitric oxide (NO) plays in physiological and pathological mechanisms and its clinical significance in respiratory medicine.

Elevated levels of exhaled levels of exhaled NO in asthma and other inflammatory lung diseases lead to many studies examining NO as potential markers of airway inflammation, enabling repeated noninvasive and standardized monitoring of airway inflammation. In airway inflammation, NO is not merely a marker but may have anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory effects. Significant correlation has been found between exhaled NO and skin test scores in steroid naive asthmatic patients, allowing to discriminate patients with and without airway responsiveness. Exhaled NO is significantly elevated in acute asthma, or steroid-resistant severe asthma, or when the maintenance dose of inhaled steroids is reduced, and quickly reduced down to the levels in patients with stable asthma after steroid treatment. Exhaled NO has been successfully used to monitor anti-inflammatory treatment with inhaled corticosteroids in asthma. Exhaled NO is extremely sensitive and rapid marker of the dose-dependent effect of steroid treatment, or asthma deterioration, which is increased to any changes in lung function, provocative concentration causing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume, sputum eosinophilia or asthma symptoms. Exhaled NO is not increased in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), but patients with unstable COPD, or bronchiectasis have high NO levels. Exhaled and nasal NO are diagnostically low in cystic fibrosis and primary pulmonary dyskinesia. Analysis of exhaled air, including nitric oxide, is feasible and could provide a noninvasive method for use in monitoring and management of lung diseases.

Accurate assessment of inflammation and oxidative stress in the lung is important in the clinical management of a variety of pulmonary conditions, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cystic fibrosis and interstitial lung disease. It may allow the clinician to monitor the progression of the disease and to assess the efficacy of anti-inflammatory or antioxidant treatment. Traditional parameters including lung function, airway reactivity and symptoms are often difficult to interpret, as they may be affected by treatment with bronchodilators or corticosteroids.

Optimal and noninvasive monitoring of the effect of anti-inflammatory drugs in asthma is important, as asthmatic patients may require a life-long, individually tailored, treatment with corticosteroids. It has been suggested that it may take several weeks for inhaled corticosteroids to become effective. Recently, a variety of noninvasive approaches, such as exhaled breath analysis (exhaled gases and condensate) and induced sputum have been developed, which are changing the understanding about the speed of action of corticosteroids and their effect in asthma. However, sputum induction [1] cannot be used for day to day monitoring, as it provokes transient neutrophilia [2, 3], and the exhaled condensate approach is only in the early stage of development.

Exhaled nitric oxide (NO) has been validated against invasive measurements of inflammation by bronchoscopy [4, 5] and induced sputum in asthma [6–8], and it is not influenced by bronchodilators, such as albuterol or salmeterol [9–11]. The inflammatory origin [5, 12–15] of elevated levels of exhaled NO in asthma [14, 16], its responsiveness to suppression by corticosteroids [17, 18] and accumulating evidence of its association with asthma severity [19–21] make exhaled NO an effective and practical marker to monitor the effect of corticosteroid and other anti-inflammatory treatments in asthma. Exhaled NO may also be useful in the assessment of other inflammatory lung diseases.
**General principles of exhaled nitric oxide measurements**

**Technical factors affecting exhaled nitric oxide measurements**

Measurements of exhaled NO are usually made by chemiluminescence analysers and are based on the photochemical reaction between NO and ozone generated in the analysers. The specificity of exhaled NO measurements by chemiluminescence has been confirmed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry [22].

There are two main approaches to measurement of exhaled NO: on-line, during a single, flow-controlled exhalation against a resistance; off-line, using similar controlled exhalation during a single exhalation into reservoir. There are a few technical factors, which should be considered when exhaled NO measurements are used to monitor asthma treatment (table 1).

The European Respiratory Society (ERS) Guidelines on exhaled and nasal NO measurements was established in 1997 [23]. Recently, recommendations for standardized procedures for the on-line and off-line measurement of exhaled and nasal NO in adults and children has been published [24]. The standardization of techniques makes it possible to compare the results of clinical trials between different centres.

**Physiological, pathophysiological conditions and habits affecting exhaled nitric oxide measurements**

Conditions that may affect NO concentrations in exhaled air should be avoided, or registered and used for the interpretation of the data (table 2).

**Origin of nitric oxide in exhaled air**

The use of exhaled NO as a read out for airway inflammation depends on the understanding of its origin in exhaled air. Exhaled NO has a multiple cellular origins, as nitric oxide synthases (NOS) are expressed in several cell types in the respiratory tract, including epithelial and vascular endothelial cells, macrophages, eosinophils and neurons [25]. In fact, in most studies of normal subjects free of airway inflammation [26, 27], continuous NOS rather than inducible NOS is predominant in the airway wall [28].

Increased lower airway production of NO in asthma has been confirmed by direct sampling during bronchoscopy [4, 29], and in tracheotomized patients [30]. This has been indirectly supported by flow-dependence of exhaled NO and accumulation of NO during a breathhold [4, 31]. Patients with asthma, show increased levels of exhaled NO [14, 16] and related expression of inducible NOS (iNOS) and 3-nitrotyrosine [5] in bronchial epithelium.

**Table 1. – Technical factors affecting exhaled nitric oxide (NO) measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased NO</th>
<th>Decreased NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low exhalation or sampling flow rate</td>
<td>High exhalation or sampling flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breath holding</td>
<td>Spirometric manoeuvres (transiently)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. – Physiological, pathophysiological conditions and habits affecting exhaled nitric oxide (NO) measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased NO</th>
<th>Decreased NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergen and/or pollen exposure</td>
<td>Menstruation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pollution</td>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational exposure (ozone)</td>
<td>Acute alcohol ingestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine ingestion, nitrite/nitrite-enriched food</td>
<td>Mouth washing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Nonasthmatic chronic cough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable/severe COPD</td>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>Kartagener’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URTI</td>
<td>Primary cilia dyskinesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza vaccination</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active pulmonary sarcoidosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increased iNOS expression has also been reported in lung transplant recipients [32], and exhaled NO levels were dependent upon the intensity and extent of expression of iNOS in bronchial epithelium and BAL neutrophilia, but not in the subepithelial area in these stable lung transplant recipients free of infection, rejection, or obliterative bronchiolitis.

The profound effect of nebulized [33–35] versus the modest effect of comparable intravenous dose [36] of NOS inhibitors on exhaled NO also implicates that respiratory epithelium, but not vascular endothelial cells, as the major source of NO in exhaled air. This may also explain its particular sensitivity to inhaled corticosteroid which act topically in the airways.

**Exhaled nitric oxide compared to other means of asthma monitoring**

**Symptoms, lung function, bronchial hyperreactivity**

There is accumulating evidence for a significant relationship between exhaled NO, clinical signs and symptoms of asthma, especially during acute exacerbations. However, longitudinal studies are needed to confirm that exhaled NO may be used not only for a short-term management, but to guide a long-term management and treatment of asthma of different severity.

The traditional means of asthma monitoring are not sensitive enough to demonstrate dose-dependent effect of inhaled steroids, especially in mild asthma. The fundamental limitation of the lung function and provocative concentration causing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) (PC20), a reflection of airway obstruction and airway hyperresponsiveness assessed by provoked airway obstruction, in monitoring of asthma is that they are not directly related to airway inflammation. In addition, FEV1 has a small room for improvement in mild asthma.
and PC_{20} is affected by corticosteroids and cannot be routinely performed in severe asthmatics. Both parameters are slow to change and lack a discriminating power to distinguish the effect of different doses of steroids.

For example, only moderate positive changes in FEV_{1} and PC_{20} were seen in mild asthma after 4 weeks of treatment with high (1,600 μg) dose of budesonide, but these changes were not significantly different from the placebo group [37]. Indirect inhaled spasmogen, such as adenosine monophosphate (AMP), might be more specific and demonstrate dose-dependent changes in PC_{20} compared with placebo after moderate (400 μg·day^{-1}), or high (1,600 μg·day^{-1}), but not low (100 μg·day^{-1}) doses of inhaled steroids. In contrast, a significant reduction in exhaled NO, which was different from the placebo and coincided with improvement in asthma symptoms and lung function, was seen after 1,600 μg and much lower doses of budesonide 100 μg and 400 μg [38]. The latter changes were also dose-dependently different.

The advantage of exhaled NO measurements is that the changes in NO during steroid treatment are dose-dependent and precede the improvement in symptoms, FEV_{1} [17] and sputum eosinophils [6] in asthma. Recently, it has been demonstrated that 400 μg budesonide rapidly reduced NO and abolished night-time asthma symptoms in all patients within 3 days (12193). This was also associated with amelioration in FEV_{1}. The onset of action of 100 μg budesonide was slower and its effect on NO was less marked and this was reflected in a slower improvement in night-time symptoms.

This rapid increase of exhaled NO when steroid treatment is stopped precedes the reduction in lung function, with FEV_{1}, or PC_{20} to return to the pretreatment level over 1 week [39]. Exhaled NO measurements may therefore serve as a fast responding indicator to assess patients compliance with therapy and to titrate their steroid treatment. For example, increasing levels of exhaled NO, asthma symptoms and use of β_{2}-agonists during the third week of treatment with low dose of budesonide, might be an indication of the loss of asthma control and the need to increase the dose of steroids. On the other hand the data gave further support to the fact that most patients with mild-to-moderate asthma may require low doses of steroids taken once daily to achieve or to maintain an adequate control [40].

The relationship between exhaled NO and FEV_{1} depends on the severity of asthma. There is no strong link between exhaled NO, FEV_{1} and symptoms in mild steroid-naive asthma measured under stable conditions [14, 16]. However, higher concentrations of exhaled NO were linked to recent symptoms of bronchial obstruction [41], and NO was 2.6 times higher in children with recent symptoms compared with symptom-free subjects [41]. Exhaled NO correlated with symptom frequency and with rescue β_{2}-agonists use, and is significantly higher in those patients with difficult/severe asthma who have the highest symptom score where changes in lung function may have limited sensitivity [19].

Induced sputum

Elevated levels of exhaled NO have been validated against invasive measurements of inflammation by bronchoscopy [4, 5, 29] and induced sputum [6], and a significant correlation has been found between exhaled NO and iNOS positive granulocytes in sputum eosinophils [7, 8].

One of the most attractive features of exhaled NO measurements is that they can be repeated at short intervals without affecting endogenous NO production, or causing discomfort to the patients. This is invaluable to study an acute effect and onset of action of a variety of drugs, or tests that can influence NO production in patients of different severity and age.

Sputum induction, however, may cause a bronchoconstriction despite salbutamol pretreatment [8] and significant fall in arterial oxygen saturation (S_{a,o,2}) [42] during the inhalation of hypertonic saline solution, as well as neutrophilia detectable for at least 24 h [2] thereafter, and other changes in their cellular and biochemical composition, both in healthy subjects and mild asthmatic patients [3, 43].

Exhaled NO has a low threshold towards the effect of steroids and therefore, even a low dose of locally applied steroids are capable of a significant reduction in exhaled NO. The use of sputum eosinophils as read-out for steroid treatment efficacy might be limited. It has been shown that only high (1,600 μg·day^{-1}), or medium (400 μg·day^{-1}) [37, 44], but not low (100 μg·day^{-1}) doses [38, 44] of inhaled steroids were able significantly reduced the number of eosinophils in sputum. No dose-dependent changes were observed in sputum eosinophils after either low, moderate [38], or high [44] dose of inhaled steroids. Sputum eosinophils may not reflect the full extent of asthma severity, or the effect of inhaled steroids, as the cellular and biochemical composition of the larger airways (higher presence of eosinophils, neutrophils and eosinophil cationic protein (ECP)) is different from the peripheral airways (higher presence of macrophages, surfactant protein) and depends on the duration of sputum induction [45].

However, the combined use of exhaled NO measurements and sputum induction is of particular importance in severe persistent, or steroid-resistant asthma, which is associated with elevated, despite of massive steroid treatment, levels of exhaled NO [19, 46], neutrophilia [46] and oxidative stress. It has been shown that elevated ECP levels, but not eosinophil numbers in induced sputum of corticosteroid-dependent asthmatics with recent exacerbations may be a more accurate assessment of airway inflammation in these patients [47]. The correct identification of these patients by their profile of inflammatory cells and mediators in sputum is crucial, as they may require a different treatment.

Use of exhaled nitric oxide to monitor asthma

It has been shown that exhaled NO can be significantly reduced or even normalized whilst other markers of airway inflammation, e.g. number of eosinophils in sputum, is still high.

However, exhaled NO is the first marker to increase during asthma deterioration suggesting that it can be used as a loss of control marker in asthma [17]. Exhaled NO levels were increased prior to the significant changes in lung function, PC_{20}, sputum eosinophilia or asthma symptoms.
Elevation of exhaled NO has been seen in patients with severe persistent asthma, despite treatment with high dose inhaled and/or oral steroids [19], or in acute asthma, or when the maintenance dose of inhaled steroids is reduced [17]. During acute asthma exacerbations exhaled NO is a more sensitive marker of asthma activity than serum markers, such as ECP or soluble interleukin, and appears to be a more useful indicator of the beneficial response to glucocorticosteroid therapy in paediatric asthma [20].

Exhaled NO correlates with symptom frequency and with rescue β₂-agonists use, and is significantly higher in those patients with difficult/severe asthma who had the highest symptom score where changes in lung function may have limited sensitivity [19]. Furthermore, the identification of subjects in whom exhaled NO levels remain markedly increased in spite of high dose corticosteroid therapy may influence steroid or lead to use of a different type of therapy, for example iNOS inhibitors [33, 34], anti-oxidants, or leukotriene antagonists.

Diagnosing and screening for asthma, atopy, chronic cough

Although elevated exhaled NO levels are not pathognomonic for asthma, NO measurements may be useful in asthma and atopy screening in patients referred from primary care, or to monitor reactivation of airway allergic inflammation in allergic asthmatic adults and children.

Exhaled NO is increased in asthma [14, 16, 19, 48] and in allergic/atopic adults and children [49–52]. Elevated levels of NO are strongly associated with conditions known to increase inflammation in asthma, such as allergen provocation challenge [53], pollen season [54], animal allergy [49], or indoor allergens in sensitized asthma patients [55]. Exhaled NO was significantly elevated in allergic rhinitis in the nonpollen season and increased further in the pollen season, indicating airway inflammation and an increased risk for developing asthma [56]. Increased levels of exhaled NO due to an acute, or chronic allergen exposure [49, 53] and can be prevented by the avoidance of allergens [57], or by treatment with corticosteroids [52].

Atopic asthmatics have much higher levels of exhaled NO [49, 50, 58, 59] than subjects with nonatopic asthma. Significant correlation has been found between elevated exhaled NO and skin test scores, total immunoglobulin (Ig)E [60, 61], and blood eosinophilia [62] in steroid naïve asthmatic patients, and much higher levels, both for exhaled and nasal NO, have been found in atopic patients with rhinitis compared to nonatopic subjects [51, 58].

However, not all forms of airway inflammation are accompanied by increased levels of exhaled NO. Patients with chronic cough not attributable to asthma [63], or due to gastro-oesophageal reflux [64, 65] have low NO values compared with healthy volunteers and patients with asthma. Exhaled NO may therefore be a useful screening tool for patients with chronic cough.

Effects of treatments on exhaled nitric oxide asthma

Oral corticosteroids

The effect of steroids on exhaled NO depends on the degree of iNOS induction. Oral prednisolone (30 mg daily for 3 days) reduces elevated exhaled NO in asthmatic patients, whereas it has no effect on exhaled NO in normal subjects [33]. This is presumably because iNOS is the major source of increased exhaled NO in asthma, whereas the major source of exhaled NO in normal subjects is the constitutive NOS, which is not suppressed by corticosteroids. Prednisolone (30 mg·day⁻¹) produced a significant, but moderate (22%) reduction in exhaled NO within 72 h in mild asthmatics [33]. A high dose of methylprednisolone (180–500 mg) caused a 36% reduction within 50 h in the majority of exacerbation of asthma [66]. A high dose (1 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ for 5 days) of oral prednisolone also normalized exhaled NO in infants and young children with wheezing exacerbations [67], whereas the same dose in more severe asthmatic children only shifted their exhaled NO down to the levels of mild-to-moderate asthma, in spite of the improvement in lung function [10].

Inhaled corticosteroids

Exhaled NO has been successfully used to monitor anti-inflammatory treatment with inhaled corticosteroids in asthma [14, 18, 37, 68, 69]. Exhaled NO is a very sensitive and rapid marker of the effect of steroid treatment (table 3). A significant reduction in exhaled NO levels has been observed 6 h after a single high-dose (8 mg) of nebulized budesonide in symptomatic moderate asthma [72]. Dose-dependent changes in NO have been reported during 3-week treatment with 100/400 μg budesonide in mild asthma [38].

Recently, it has been shown that the onset of action of inhaled budesonide on exhaled NO and the time to reach the maximal reduction are dose-dependent. The higher dose of budesonide reduced NO and improved night-time asthma symptoms in all patients within 3 days and increased FEV1 [74]. The onset of action of 100 μg budesonide was slower, its effect on NO was less marked and this was related to a slower improvement in night-time symptoms. The difference between the effect of 100 μg versus 400 μg BUD on NO was apparent within 3 days and was maximal after 5 and 21 days. NO was further reduced during the third week of treatment with 400 μg BUD, in contrast to a minor NO increase in the 100 μg BUD group, which coincided with the return of asthma symptoms and increased use of β₂-agonists.

The effect of inhaled corticosteroids may be via a direct inhibition of iNOS induction via inhibition of transcription factors activity [75], such as nuclear transcription factor (NF)-κB, or inflammatory cytokines, such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α, or interleukin (IL)-1β, which induced iNOS, or by inhibition of recruitment of inflammatory cells, such as eosinophils, which express iNOS [76]. It has been shown that no longer than 24 h is needed for inhibition of iNOS activity (24 h) [75] and only 30 min for the NF-κB after application of corticosteroids [77].

An important issue that remains to be resolved is what level of exhaled NO needs to be achieved during the
treatment. Exhaled NO levels in mild asthma are substantially reduced, but not normalized after a course of different doses (100–1,600 μg) of inhaled steroids [33, 38, 69]. However, the larger dose (1 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)·day\(^{-1}\) for 5 days) of oral prednisolone normalized exhaled NO in infants and young children with wheezing exacerbations [67], while in more severe asthmatic children exhaled NO levels were reduced to the mild-to-moderate asthma range [10].

A high dose of inhaled fluticasone propionate (FP; 500 μg b.i.d.) reduced exhaled NO reduction by 76% after 2 weeks and 77% after 4 weeks of treatment [69]. Indeed, NO levels were not fully recovered (83% of the baseline) after 2 weeks after the end of treatment. However, a high dose of budesonide (1,600 μg·day\(^{-1}\)) reduced exhaled NO only by 48% after 3 weeks with a further reduction to 54% after four weeks of treatment [18, 37, 38]. The levels of NO were not fully recovered two weeks after FP was stopped, while NO levels in the study with budesonide [73] returned to the almost pretreatment values within 3–5 days regardless of the dose of budesonide.

### Inhaled \(\beta_2\)-agonists

The short-acting \(\beta_2\)-agonist salbutamol (5 mg via nebulizer or 400 μg by metered-dose inhaler) has no acute effect on exhaled NO [9, 10, 77]. Similarly, 1 week of treatment with a long-acting inhaled \(\beta_2\)-agonists, salmeterol, does not reduce NO in adults or children [9–11]. This is consistent with the fact that inhaled \(\beta_2\)-agonists do not have any effect on chronic inflammation in asthma and validates the use of exhaled NO to measure inflammation independently of airway calibre.

### Leukotriene antagonists

A leukotriene synthase inhibitor (pranlukast) inhibits the rise in exhaled NO when the dose of inhaled corticosteroids is reduced [79]. Rapid reduction of exhaled NO has been recently reported after montelukast, leukotriene receptor antagonist, in children with asthma. The mechanism of this moderate 15% [80], or 30% [81] reduction is not clear, but may reflect a weak effect on the inflammatory cytokines that induce iNOS in eosinophils.

### Inducible nitric oxide synthase inhibitors, prostaglandins and other drugs

The use of NO modulators, for example iNOS inhibitors, or prostaglandins (PG)\(E_2\), are presently at the stage

### Table 3. Effect of corticosteroids on exhaled nitric oxide (NO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Magnitude %</th>
<th>Onset</th>
<th>Duration days</th>
<th>Recovery days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred 30 mg·day(^{-1}), 3 days*</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dex 4 mg·day(^{-1}), 2 days</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,600 mg·day(^{-1}) BUD (Mild)*</td>
<td>↓ 30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,600 μg·day(^{-1}) (Mild)*</td>
<td>↓ 41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 μg·day(^{-1}) (Mild)*</td>
<td>↓ 29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 μg·day(^{-1})</td>
<td>↓ 50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred 30 mg·day(^{-1}), 3 days (Mild)*</td>
<td>↓ 22</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred+IS (Severe)</td>
<td>↓ 40</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred 1 mg·kg(^{-1}), 5 days (Severe)</td>
<td>↓ 46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred 1 mg·kg(^{-1}), 5 days (Moderate)</td>
<td>↓ 52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred+IS, 5 days (Severe)</td>
<td>↓ 65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS (Mild)</td>
<td>↑ 9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS (Moderate)</td>
<td>↑ 24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUD 8 mg nebulized (Moderate)</td>
<td>↓ 31</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP 1,000 μg·day(^{-1}), 4 weeks</td>
<td>↓ 77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP 1 mg·day(^{-1}) (Mild)</td>
<td>↓ 28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>↓ 17% 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUD 100 μg·day(^{-1}) (Mild)</td>
<td>↓ 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUD 400 μg·day(^{-1})</td>
<td>↓ 26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Pred: prednisolone; Dex: dexamethasone; BUD: budesonide; FR: fluticasone; FL: flunisolide; IS: inhaled steroids; ↓: decrease; ↑: increase; *: placebo-controlled randomized trial; Mild: mild asthma; Moderate: moderate asthma; Severe: severe asthma; #: values presented as parts per billion (volume by volume).
of clinical research. Potentially, NO modulators may be important in management of severe asthma in which a combination of airway inflammation and oxidative stress together with an inherited, or acquired resistance to steroids makes their treatment difficult.

Endogenous NO may play an important role in the persistent airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness and treatment with aminoguanidine, a relatively specific iNOS inhibitor may be beneficial. Both, aminoguanidine and N^3^-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) can be safely given and caused a significant reduction in exhaled NO in asthmatic patients [33, 34]. More chronic treatment will be required to demonstrate whether NO contributes to the persistence of asthmatic inflammation in humans.

PGE2 and PGF2α also decrease exhaled NO in normal and asthmatic subjects, irrespective of changes in airway calibre [82]. This effect occurs rapidly and is presumably due to an inhibitory effect of cyclooxygenase products on NOS directly rather than through altered gene transcription [83].

Despite of positive changes in PC20 in asthmatics treated with seratrodast, thromboxane (TX)A2 antagonist, there was no differences in either exhaled NO or sputum eosinophils [84]. The effects of theophylline and cromones on sputum NO2/NO3 levels to antibiotic and corticosteroid in CF [96, 97], and the lack of response of elevated nitrate, may explain the lack of elevation of exhaled NO in patients with CF [94, 95]. Influx of airway neutrophils releasing superoxide, which converts NO to nitrite, might be a consequence of pulmonary inflammation in the lungs [91, 92], and to dysfunction in epithelial ion transport [93].

It has been shown that the airways in patients with CF are exposed to increased oxidative stress, which appears to be a consequence of pulmonary inflammation rather than part of the primary CF defect [94, 95]. Influx of airway neutrophils releasing superoxide, which converts NO to nitrate, may explain the lack of elevation of exhaled NO in CF [96, 97], and the lack of response of elevated sputum NO2/NO3 levels to antibiotic and corticosteroid treatment during acute pulmonary exacerbations [98]. Patients with CF are also more susceptible to oxidative cell injury than normal healthy children. This is due to both the impaired absorption of antioxidant nutrients and the increased oxidative stress [99] contributing to the decline in pulmonary function [100] and oxidative damage to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) [101] explaining, perhaps, a higher incidence of malignancy in CF patients. A dramatic reduction of iNOS expression in airway and nasal epithelium in patients with CF [91] may hinder an important first-line defence mechanism and increase the susceptibility of the airways to bacterial infections.

**Exhaled nitric oxide in other lung diseases**

**Cystic fibrosis**

Exhaled NO measurements may have a diagnostic value in cystic fibrosis (CF). Remarkably low NO levels have been reported, with the exception of one paper [85], in exhaled [86-89] and nasal [90] air of patients with CF. There is also evidence of low NO production in the airways of CF mice through a loss of expression of the iNOS and this is related to diminished NO production in response to lipopolysaccharide, reduced bactericidal activity in the lungs [91, 92], and to dysfunction in epithelial ion transport [93].

It has been shown that the airways in patients with CF are exposed to increased oxidative stress, which appears to be a consequence of pulmonary inflammation rather than part of the primary CF defect [94, 95]. Influx of airway neutrophils releasing superoxide, which converts NO to nitrate, may explain the lack of elevation of exhaled NO in CF [96, 97], and the lack of response of elevated sputum NO2/NO3 levels to antibiotic and corticosteroid treatment during acute pulmonary exacerbations [98]. Patients with CF are also more susceptible to oxidative cell injury than normal healthy children. This is due to both the impaired absorption of antioxidant nutrients and the increased oxidative stress [99] contributing to the decline in pulmonary function [100] and oxidative damage to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) [101] explaining, perhaps, a higher incidence of malignancy in CF patients. A dramatic reduction of iNOS expression in airway and nasal epithelium in patients with CF [91] may hinder an important first-line defence mechanism and increase the susceptibility of the airways to bacterial infections.

**Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease**

The usefulness of exhaled NO measurements in assessment of airway inflammation in COPD still needs to be established. Exhaled NO is not elevated in stable COPD [102-105] and NO sensitivity towards corticosteroids is of limited value, as they are, in general, ineffective in COPD.

The low NO levels in COPD may be due to several reasons including chronic cigarette smoking, and oxidative stress. Much lower exhaled [102, 106, 107] and nasal NO [108] levels were detected in smokers than in nonsmokers. This may be explained by partial irreversible inhibition of NOS by cigarette smoke extract [109, 110], or by conversion of NO to peroxynitrite release by neutrophils [96].

Low levels of exhaled NO do not imply that nitrosative stress plays a small role in COPD, as the formation peroxynitrite and, eventually 3-nitrotrotyrosine in plasma in normal smokers [111], may be deleterious considering not only its involvement in cigarette smoke-induced inflammatory reactions, but also reported genotoxic effects [112].

Exhaled NO may have a role in identification of patients with severe, unstable COPD who have elevated NO levels compared with stable smokers and exsmokers with COPD [105, 113]. This may be explained by an exaggerated neutrophilic inflammation, oxidant/antioxidant imbalance and microbial infection in more severe patients with COPD [114].

With exacerbations, the number of eosinophils, which are capable of expressing iNOS and producing NO [73, 115], may increase and this may also explain the further elevation of exhaled NO in patients with severe persistent asthma despite massive treatment with inhaled and/or oral steroids [19]. Eosinophils have been reported to be present in chronic bronchitis and COPD in particular during exacerbations, and exhaled NO correlated with the percentage of sputum eosinophils in COPD [116]. However, in contrast to asthma, the tissue eosinophils found in COPD do not degranulate and are not associated with increased expression of interleukin (IL)-5 [115, 117]. Therefore, mild elevation of exhaled NO in stable COPD might be a reflection of altered pulmonary mechanics and gas transfer, rather than airways inflammation. In fact, exhaled NO was significantly correlated with carbon monoxide diffusing capacity of the lungs (DLCO) and was independent of inhaled corticosteroid therapy [118].

Acidosis is frequently associated with exacerbations of COPD and may have a direct vasodilatory influence on the systemic vasculature, and lead to increased NO production, and myeloperoxidase activity in lung [119]. In fact, in an acidic environment, the reaction between NO and oxygen radicals becomes further enhanced, leading to more tissue damage [120].

Two recently published articles on exhaled NO in COPD demonstrate largely opposing results which is most likely due to differences in methodology, patients selection and the heterogeneous disease entity such as COPD. Thus, elevated levels of exhaled NO were found in stable COPD (FEV1 43% pred), compared with healthy control [107], whilst no difference in excretion rate of NO and nitrite/nitrate in sputum supernatant was observed between...
nonatopic, steroid naive COPD patients (FEV1 63% pred) compared also with healthy controls [116].

**Bronchiectasis**

The clinical relevance of exhaled NO measurements in bronchiectasis may be related to two issues. First, to identification of patients with infective exacerbations and therefore high levels of exhaled NO. Second, to separation of patients with CF and/or primary ciliary dyskinesia whose NO levels are abnormally low [121].

An increase in exhaled NO has been found in bronchiectasis and the level of NO was related to the extent of disease, as measured by a computerized tomography score [122]. Exhaled NO levels were not elevated compared to normal control in clinically stable patients with bronchiectasis [85]. It has been speculated that NO is either trapped in viscous airway secretions, or removed by reaction with reactive oxygen species, or epithelial nNOS has failed to be upregulated by chronic suppurative conditions.

**Primary ciliary dyskinesia**

Primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), a genetic disease characterized by defective motility of cilia, is another example where abnormally low nasal NO [121] might be useful in diagnosis. As NO plays an important role in bactericidal activity in the lungs [91, 92] and sodium and chloride transport in nasal epithelium [93], lack of endogenous NO production and defective nasal mucosa might account for the recurrent chest infections and male infertility in these patients. It has been shown that treatment with NO donor L-arginine [123] restored nasal NO and, therefore, improved mucociliary transport in PCD patients [121]. Therefore, measurements of nasal NO might be not only of diagnostic value in adults and children [124], but a major target and outcome in the treatment of patients with PCD.

**Interstitial lung diseases**

Clinical relevance of exhaled NO measurements in interstitial lung disease may be to help with the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension and to identify patients with active inflammation.

The pathogenesis of pulmonary hypertension (PH) remains poorly understood. Amongst vasoactive substances, vasoconstriction is likely to be a major factor in the disease, alteration of the NO has been cited as a possible pathogenic factor [125] but either reduction [27, 126, 127], or elevation [128–130], or variable [131], or unaltered [132] expression of endothelial NO-synthase (eNOS) have been reported in pulmonary vessels. Although, the levels of eNOS expression in small arterioles are significantly lower than in control subjects, consistently strong expression of eNOS is seen in the endothelium of plexiform lesions in both primary and secondary PH patients, suggesting that different therapeutic approaches might be applied [133]. Recently, no difference in nNOS1 in pulmonary arteries of patients with PH compare to control subjects has been reported, while abundant expression of endothelin-converting enzyme (ECE)-1, a potent vasoconstrictor, was present in diseased vessels [127].

In patients with PH, secondary to systemic sclerosis, there is a reduction in exhaled NO compared to normal subjects and to patients with interstitial lung disease without PH [125, 134]. This may be a reflection of the reduced eNOS expression described in patients with PH [27]. The reduced endogenous endothelial NO production as a result of chronic or acute pulmonary vascular endothelial injury in patients with PH or following cardiopulmonary bypass-operation [135] may aggravate pulmonary vasocostriction and proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells [13].

Elevated levels of exhaled NO in patients with fibrosing alveolitis were associated with disease activity as assessed by bronchioalveolar lavage (BAL) cell counts, and were reduced in patients treated with corticosteroids [136]. However, despite the absence of either exhaled NO or BAL, nitrite elevation in patients with active pulmonary sarcoidosis [137] there were significantly higher CO levels in exhaled breath of these patients (unpublished data). It may be possible, in the future, to monitor oxidative stress in pulmonary sarcoidosis more closely and to adjust treatment with the antioxidants which could be as effective as treatment with antioxidant N-Acetylcysteine in fibrosing alveolitis [138].

In patients with PH, secondary to systemic sclerosis, there is a reduction in exhaled NO compared to normal subjects and to patients with interstitial lung disease without pulmonary hypertension [125, 134]. This may be a reflection of the reduced endothelial NOS expression described in patients with PH [27]. The reduced endogenous endothelial NO production as a result of chronic or acute pulmonary vascular endothelial injury in patients with PH or following cardiopulmonary bypass-operation [135] may aggravate pulmonary vasocostriction and proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells [13].

Elevated levels of exhaled NO and CO in patients with fibrosing alveolitis were associated with disease activity as assessed by BAL cell counts [136]. However, despite the absence of either exhaled NO or BAL nitrite elevation in patients with active pulmonary sarcoidosis [137] there were significantly higher CO levels in exhaled breath of these patients (unpublished data). It may be possible, in the future, to monitor oxidative stress in pulmonary sarcoidosis more closely and to adjust treatment with the antioxidants, which could be as effective as treatment with antioxidant, N-Acetylcysteine in fibrosing alveolitis [138].

**Future directions**

There has been an interesting attempt to direct treatment with steroids in patients with moderate asthma according their levels of PC20 to methacholine [139]. Apart from small changes in PC20 (1.1 doubling dilution), because the majority of the patients had already been treated with inhaled steroids at baseline, the major limitation of this and others single-parameter-based-guidelines is its relatively weak link with airway inflammation. The advantage of exhaled NO is that it has a much stronger affiliation to airway inflammation, asthmatic/atopic inflammation in
particular, and is much more sensitive to anti-inflammatory treatment so that the control of the disease can be improved without the risk of over-treatment.

Measurements of lipid mediators, such as cysteinyl-leukotrienes (LT) and other eicosanoids, in induced sputum [140] and exhaled condensate are promising approaches. However, the methodological issues, such as considerable within-subject variability of most sputum eicosanoid concentrations [140] needs to be addressed. Exhaled condensate, as it is less contaminated with saliva and proteins and is easy to collect in a controlled fashion, may have the advantages. Recently, significantly different levels of LT E2, C4, D4, LTB4 and nitrotyrosine in exhaled condensate of asthmatic patients of different severity before and after treatment with corticosteroids [141], and levels of IL-8 in CF patients during exacerbations, which were reduced after treatment with antibiotics (unpublished data).

Therefore, combination of exhaled NO measurements with determination of other inflammatory markers and mediators in exhaled breath condensate, such 8-isoprostane [142, 143], leukotrienes and prostanoids, is a promising noninvasive approach towards asthma and COPD management.

Objective, noninvasive and effort independent monitoring of respiratory symptoms in adults and children with asthma is important for optimizing their anti-inflammatory treatment. Recently, a quantitative method has been used for tracking breath sounds overnight (PulmoTrack, Karmel, IL, USA) and during daytime in mild-to-severe asthma patients. The overnight wheeze scores were >20 times higher in moderate asthmatics on inhaled steroids [140] and exhaled condensate of asthmatic patients of different severity before and after treatment with corticosteroids [141], and levels of IL-8 in CF patients during exacerbations, which were reduced after treatment with antibiotics (unpublished data).

A combination of the cornerstone asthma sign such a wheeze, which is also related to airway obstruction, with a variety of inflammatory markers in exhaled breath and exhaled condensate may be clinically useful in detection and management of cytokine-mediated inflammatory lung disorders.
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