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ABSTRACT: Severe $\alpha_1$-antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency predisposes to emphysema development. Highly variable rates of decline in lung function are reported in PiZZ individuals. The annual decline in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1; $\Delta$FEV1) was analysed in relation to smoking status in a cohort of 608 adult PiZZ individuals included in the Swedish national AAT deficiency register.

$\Delta$FEV1 was analysed in 211 never-smokers, in 351 exsmokers, and in 46 current smokers after performing at least two spirometries during a follow-up time of 1 yr or longer (median 5.5 yrs, range 1–31).

The adjusted mean $\Delta$FEV1 in never-smokers was 47 mL yr$^{-1}$ (95% confidence interval (CI) 41–53 mL yr$^{-1}$), 41 mL yr$^{-1}$ (95% CI 36–48 mL yr$^{-1}$) in exsmokers, and 70 mL yr$^{-1}$ (95% CI 58–82 mL yr$^{-1}$) in current smokers. A dose–response relationship was found between cigarette consumption and $\Delta$FEV1 in current smokers and exsmokers. In never-smokers, a greater $\Delta$FEV1 was found after 50 yrs of age than before. No sex differences were found in $\Delta$FEV1.

In conclusion, among PiZZ individuals, the change in forced expiratory volume in one second is essentially the same in never-smokers and exsmokers. Smoking is associated with a dose-dependent increase in the change in forced expiratory volume in one second.

Decline in FEV1 related to smoking status in individuals with severe $\alpha_1$-antitrypsin deficiency (PiZZ) and smoking cessation

Severe $\alpha_1$-antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency predisposes to pulmonary emphysema [1–3], chronic liver disease [4] and rarely to vasculitic disorders [5]. Several cross-sectional analyses have shown a decreased lung function at an early age in current and exsmokers [1–3]. Smoking is therefore the main risk factor for emphysema development in PiZZ individuals, but lung function impairment is also found in elderly never-smoking PiZZ individuals [6].

In longitudinal studies, the rate of decline in lung function is commonly assessed by annual decline in forced expiratory volume in one second ($\Delta$FEV1), expressed as mL yr$^{-1}$ [7]. In studies of individuals with severe AAT deficiency (PiZZ), highly variable $\Delta$FEV1 values are reported [8–13]. Earlier studies, apart from being small, have predominantly included ex- and current smokers, and the majority of the participants have been identified because of respiratory symptoms. As only few never-smokers have been studied, the natural course of the decline in lung function in severe AAT deficiency is still unknown. The only existing prospective study of AAT deficiency was started in Sweden 1972–1974 [14] by a neonatal screening programme of 200,000 newborns, where 127 PiZZ and two PiZ0 individuals were identified. This cohort has been followed for two decades [15], but several decades are still needed before the cohort will help to elucidate the natural history of severe AAT deficiency.

Replacement therapy with purified human AAT has been available for 10 yrs [16]. Controlled clinical trials of the efficacy of replacement therapy on lung function have, however, not been carried out so far. Recently, a comparison of the annual decline in lung function between German treated and Danish untreated PiZZ individuals has been published [17]. With access to only limited data on the natural course of decline in lung function in PiZZ individuals, such results are difficult to interpret [18].

Owing to the lack of epidemiological studies in adults, national registers of AAT deficiency may facilitate studies of natural history, clinical features and lung function in AAT-deficient individuals [6, 13, 19]. The Swedish AAT deficiency register was established in 1991. All physicians who have detected an individual aged ≥18 yrs with PiZZ, PiZ0 or Pi00 phenotypes living in Sweden are encouraged to invite their patient to participate in the register. After inclusion, the subjects are followed up by their attending physician, and the results are reported to the register by questionnaires [6]. In October 1997, 889 individuals were included in the register. The adult population in Sweden being approximately seven million, the register contains about 20% of the expected total number of adult PiZZ individuals in Sweden (prevalence 1 in 1,600 [14]). In the Swedish register, 30% of the PiZZ individuals are identifed by extrapulmonary symptom or disease, and 25% by screening/family studies. Only 45% of the register participants are identified through respiratory symptoms. This is a smaller figure than for other national registers [13, 19].

In a large cohort of PiZZ individuals, the $\Delta$FEV1 related to smoking status was analysed in this study.
Patients and methods

Patients

All subjects were included in the Swedish national AAT deficiency register [6]. They were >20 yrs old and had performed at least two spirometries over a 1-yr period or longer. In 32 lung-transplanted patients, only the test results before the operation were included in the analysis. Individuals from the neonatal screening programme [14], and subjects given replacement therapy with human AAT, were excluded.

Eighteen of the 889 PiZZ individuals were <20 yrs old, 31 were on replacement therapy, and 84 had been identified by the neonatal screening programme [14]. In 114 subjects, only one spirometry was available. Thirty-four smokers, who stopped smoking during the follow-up time, were excluded. The study group included 608 PiZZ individuals (211 never-smokers, 351 exsmokers and 46 current smokers). Thirty-two per cent of the never-smokers, 64% of the exsmokers, and 40% of the current smokers were identified because of respiratory symptoms.

The questionnaire

The register is administered via a questionnaire described in detail elsewhere [6]. It contains two parts: one to be answered by the attending physician and one to be answered by the PiZZ individual. The following data were obtained at the time of inclusion in the register were analysed: results of the spirometry, if any, performed before inclusion in the register; the spirometry at time of inclusion in the register; and smoking habits, regular smoking, age at start and stop, average number of daily cigarettes. At follow-ups, the results of the actual spirometry were analysed.

Lung-function tests

Spirometry including forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and vital capacity (VC) were performed at the patients' local hospital. Measurements were made in accordance with European recommendations [20]. Spirometric data are expressed in litres, and as a percentage of predicted normal values [21]. \( \Delta FEV1 \) is expressed as mL-yr\(^{-1} \). Only prebronchodilator values were analysed, because reversibility tests to bronchodilator were not consistently performed.

Pi typing

All Pi-phenotyping diagnoses were performed by isoelectric focusing at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital, Malmö [22]. Without family investigation or deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)-based analysis, phenotypes PiZ0 and PiZZ cannot be distinguished, but no subjects with phenotype Pi00 were identified. PiZ0 is very infrequent in the population [14].

Statistical analysis

Covariance analysis was used to compare the continuous variables at entry with age as covariate. \( \Delta FEV1 \) was analysed by random effects modelling [23, 24], which included FEV1 (L) as the dependent variable, age, FEV1 (% of the predicted value) at entry, and follow-up time as covariates, sex and smoking status as fixed parameters, and the individual patients as random effects parameters. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characteristics, lung function and \( \Delta FEV1 \)

Of the 608 PiZZ individuals, 309 were males. In the series as a whole, the mean age was 45±13 yrs at baseline. The mean±SD FEV1 was 68% predicted, the mean VC 85% pred, and the mean ratio FEV1/VC was 60±21%. The median follow-up time was 5.5 yrs (range 1.0–31). In 61% (368 of 608) of the subjects, the first spirometry was performed before inclusion in the register. The overall mean (SD) \( \Delta FEV1 \) was 48 (79) mL-yr\(^{-1} \).

Characteristics, lung function at entry and follow-up time by smoking status are shown in table 1. The current smokers were younger than the ex- and never-smokers (table 1). The mean FEV1 (% pred), and mean ratio FEV1/VC (%) at baseline were significantly better in never-smokers than in exsmokers (p<0.001, after age correction) and in current smokers (p<0.01; table 1).

The median number of spirometries was three (range 2–7) in never-smokers and current smokers, and four (range 2–7) in exsmokers. The adjusted mean \( \Delta FEV1 \) did not differ significantly between the never-smokers and exsmokers, whereas it was significantly larger in the current smokers (p<0.001; fig. 1, table 2). There were no significant sex differences in \( \Delta FEV1 \) in any smoking subgroup. \( \Delta FEV1 \) by smoking status was essentially the same in subjects identified because of respiratory symptoms and in those identified because of extrapulmonary symptoms or screening/family studies (data not shown).

To determine whether \( \Delta FEV1 \) was influenced by the large range of follow-up time, it was separately analysed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics, lung function and ΔFEV1</th>
<th>Never-smokers</th>
<th>Exsmokers</th>
<th>Current smokers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>97/114</td>
<td>195/156</td>
<td>17/29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs</td>
<td>43 (17)</td>
<td>45 (11)</td>
<td>39 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 L</td>
<td>3.1 (13)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC L</td>
<td>4.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/VC %</td>
<td>73 (17)</td>
<td>53 (20)***</td>
<td>64 (21)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 % pred</td>
<td>87 (26)</td>
<td>59 (32)***</td>
<td>67 (28)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC % pred</td>
<td>91 (19)</td>
<td>82 (23)***</td>
<td>83 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily number of cigarettes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>16 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pack-yrs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 (13)</td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Median (range) follow-up yrs            | 4.5 (1.0–27) | 6.5 (1.0–31)| 4.2 (1.0–30)  

**: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001, when compared with never-smokers (ANCOVA with age correction). FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second. VC: vital capacity.
The median number of pack-yrs being 14, and the median daily cigarette consumption and efficiency. The authors suggest that in never-smoking PiZZ individuals, the rate of decline in FEV1 is larger, but after smoking cessation, the decline slows to the normal rate [7, 25-29]. The results of this study indicate that in PiZZ individuals, the pattern of decline in FEV1 related to smoking status is similar to that in the general population, but in PiZZ subjects, the rate of decline is accelerated. In the present study, the length of follow-up was short in many cases. Half of the subjects were followed-up for ≤5 yrs. However, a separate analysis of ΔFEV1 by smoking status showed similar values in the subgroup with a long follow-up (≥5 yrs) and the whole study population, most likely reflecting the statistical approach used (random effects modelling) where the follow-up time is included as a covariate.

In never-smokers, the mean ΔFEV1 was found to be 47 mL·yr⁻¹, whereas earlier longitudinal studies have shown larger declines [10-13]. Table 2 summarizes ΔFEV1 related to smoking status in this and in previously published longitudinal studies of individuals with severe AAT deficiency. The authors suggest that in never-smoking PiZZ individuals, ΔFEV1 has been overestimated, most likely due to the small number of never-smokers included in the previous longitudinal studies [10-13]. It is probable that never-smoking PiZZ individuals usually have well-preserved lung function and lack respiratory symptoms, explaining why only a few of them have been identified in earlier series of PiZZ individuals [8-13]. In the present study, the annual ΔFEV1 was compared between a subgroup with an equal or higher cigarette consumption than the median (≥14 pack-yrs) and a subgroup with lower cigarette consumption than the median (<14 pack-yrs). The mean±SD ages in the subgroups were 49±9 and 46±13 yrs, respectively. The mean duration between smoking cessation and baseline spirometry was 5±6 yrs in the subgroup with ≥14 pack-yrs as opposed to 11±10 yrs in the subgroup with <14 pack-yrs. ΔFEV1 was a mean of 20 mL·yr⁻¹ (95% CI 11-29 mL·yr⁻¹) higher in the ≥14 pack-yrs subgroup than in the <14 pack-yrs subgroup (p<0.01).

Discussion

The present study shows the annual ΔFEV1 to be similar in never-smokers and in exsmokers with severe AAT deficiency (PiZZ). In current smokers, ΔFEV1 is significantly larger.

In population studies of healthy adult never-smokers, FEV1 declines slowly over time (20-30 mL·yr⁻¹) with some acceleration with age [7, 25, 26]. In smokers developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), the rate of decline in FEV1 is larger, but after smoking cessation, the decline slows to the normal rate [7, 25-29].

Table 2. – The annual decline of forced expiratory volume in one second (ΔFEV1; mL·yr⁻¹) related to smoking habits in the present study, and in previous longitudinal studies of PiZZ individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author [Ref.]</th>
<th>Never-smokers</th>
<th>Exsmokers</th>
<th>Current smokers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>47 (95% CI 41-53)</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUS [10]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80±38 (SEM)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUTCHINSON [11]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>66±55 (SD)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WU [12]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>61±100 (SD)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEERSHOLM [13]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>86±107 (SD)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEERSHOLM [17]*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>53 (95% CI 48-58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEERSHOLM [17]**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>75 (95% CI 63-87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: German exsmokers treated by replacement therapy with human purified α1-antitrypsin [17]; **: Danish untreated exsmokers [17]. CI: confidence interval.
study, the majority of the never-smokers (68%) were identified for other reasons than respiratory symptoms, and thereby, a large number of never-smokers could be studied. This larger study confirms the findings of the authors earlier cross-sectional study of never-smoking PiZZ individuals, in whom lung function impairment was seen above the age of 50 yrs [6]. The well-preserved lung function in never-smoking PiZZ individuals complies with the results published by Søershølm et al. [30] who found a normal survival rate in never-smoking PiZZ individuals identified by family studies.

Exsmokers had a similar ΔFEV1 to never-smokers (table 2) indicating that, in PiZZ individuals, as in the general population, ΔFEV1 reverts to the same level as in never-smokers after smoking cessation. Søershølm et al. [13] noticed a similar trend as in the present study when comparing current and exsmokers, but their results in never-smokers were not borne out by the present findings, a reflection of their small number of never-smokers (table 2) [13]. However, the lower ΔFEV1 in exsmokers, as compared to current smokers seen both in this and in the Danish study [13], is well in accordance with the improved survival rate in subjects who have stopped smoking, observed in another study of PiZZ individuals [31]. In the present study, a lower ΔFEV1 in exsmokers was found than in previous studies (table 2). The recently published German–Danish study on the putative effect of replacement therapy with human purified AAT on ΔFEV1 showed a larger ΔFEV1 both in German treated and in Danish untreated exsmokers [17] than in the present exsmokers (table 2). Several factors may have contributed to the differences in results. Prebronchodilator values of FEV1 were analysed in this study, while postbronchodilator values were analysed in the German patients, but it is unknown whether pre- or postbronchodilator values were analysed in the Danish patients. The number of exsmokers was greater in the present study than in the German–Danish study (table 2). The mean age at entry was similar in both studies, but the minimum age in the latter was higher than in these patients. Furthermore, the patients were recruited to the studies in very different ways. However, a subgroup analysis was performed in exsmokers in the present study identified by respiratory symptoms with an initial FEV1 31–65% pred, which should be comparable with the corresponding stratified subgroups of the German treated and Danish untreated patients. In this subgroup analysis, the mean ΔFEV1 of 44 mL•yr⁻¹ (95% CI 35–91 mL•yr⁻¹) was lower than in the German treated (61.8 mL•yr⁻¹) and the Danish untreated patients (82.8 mL•yr⁻¹) [17]. The discrepancy between the present results and those of the German–Danish study indicate that a controlled clinical trial is needed to show whether the replacement therapy slows down ΔFEV1.

In current smokers, a clear dose–response relationship was found between cigarette consumption and ΔFEV1, a finding that is in agreement with population-based studies [7, 25]. A dose–response relationship was also found between cigarette consumption and ΔFEV1 in exsmokers. The exsmokers with a cigarette consumption ≥14 pack-ys had stopped smoking later than those with a cigarette consumption <14 pack-ys, indicating that ΔFEV1 was influenced either by the time elapsed after smoking cessation or by the number of pack-ys [26]. In the Lung Health Study, an initial increase of postbronchodilator FEV1 was found after smoking cessation [27]. The authors suggests, therefore, that in exsmokers, ΔFEV1 was predominantly influenced by the number of pack-ys rather than the time elapsed after smoking cessation.

The history of lower respiratory tract infections [5] and occupational exposure to airway irritants [6] are suggested to influence lung function in PiZZ individuals. Possible effects of risk factors other than smoking on ΔFEV1 were, however, not analysed in the present study. In population-based studies bronchodilator response, airway hyperreactivity, and treatment with corticosteroids are found to influence ΔFEV1 in COPD patients [7, 28, 29]. Because reversibility test results were reported in a minority of the subjects, only prebronchodilator values of FEV1 were analysed. Consequently, it was not possible to study whether ΔFEV1 was correlated to bronchodilator response. Neither corticosteroid nor other treatments were taken into consideration. Further studies are needed to elucidate which other factors than smoking may influence ΔFEV1 in PiZZ individuals.

In conclusion, subjects with a severe α₁-antitrypsin deficiency have a steeper decline in forced expiratory volume in one second than is found in the general population. However, the decline in forced expiratory volume in one second may have been overestimated in previous studies based on a large proportion of patients identified by respiratory symptoms. The decline in forced expiratory volume in one second is similar in never-smokers and exsmokers, as is the case in the general population.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank L. Rubin for secretarial assistance, J-A.Nilsson for statistical service, B.G. Simonsson, B. Møggen for scrutinizing the manuscript, and also all the Swedish physicians reporting data to the Swedish AAT deficiency register.

References


