Leukocyte counts and macrophage phenotypes in induced sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid from normal subjects
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Abstract: It is unclear whether leukocytes in induced sputum (IS) and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) represent the same cell populations. To compare leukocyte counts and macrophage phenotypes and investigate any measurable dithiothreitol (DTT)-mediated effect on macrophage immunocytochemical staining results, IS and BAL samples from nine healthy smokers and seven nonsmokers were examined.

BAL and IS samples were processed and cell viability and cell counts were assessed. The macrophages were characterized by seven monoclonal antibodies (RFD1, RFD7, CD11b, CD54, CD68, CD71 and HLA-DR) using an indirect immunoperoxidase method.

Intraindividual comparison of IS and BAL showed that IS samples from smokers and nonsmokers contained a lower total cell count (p<0.01 smokers, p<0.05 nonsmokers), a lower percentage of macrophages (both p<0.05) and a higher percentage of neutrophils (both p<0.05) than BAL samples. In addition, nonsmokers sputum samples contained a lower proportion of lymphocytes (p<0.05) than BAL. The macrophage expression of RFD7 and CD71 was higher in smokers sputum samples (both p<0.05) than in BAL, while nonsmokers sputum macrophages showed a higher expression of CD54 and CD71 (both p<0.05) than BAL macrophages. DTT-incubated BAL samples showed no difference in macrophage antigen expression from BAL samples not exposed to DTT.

In conclusion, the relative proportions of leukocytes and the macrophage phenotypes differed between induced sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage suggesting that the methods provide samples from different lung compartments, inhabited by cells with different phenotypes.


Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) is a well-standardized method for retrieving cells and soluble material from the lung. An alternative, less invasive method to recover such material is induced sputum (IS). The method provides an opportunity to perform frequent observations, in order to follow a dynamic course of pulmonary inflammatory disease and effects of treatment. Recent research on IS has focused on markers of inflammation in asthma and the method has, in that context, proven to be reliable, for instance in the evaluation of airway eosinophilia [1–9].

There is still, however, a need to determine the degree of correlation between IS and BAL material and to establish baseline values for IS variables in healthy individuals. The main difference between the processing of IS and BAL is the incubation of sputum material with a disulphide reducing agent, dithiothreitol (DTT), which is carried out to obtain cell dispersion [10]. Incubation of samples with DTT allows a high repeatability in differential cell counts, but has been shown to interfere with immunological detection of some cellular antigens, for example, the intracellular expression of eosinophil cationic protein, EG2 [10].

The most prevalent cell in the alveolar and airway lining fluid is the macrophage. Studies of material recruited from the airways and alveoli separately [11] have implied the presence of morphologically different subsets of macrophages deriving from different levels of the bronchoalveolar tree.

The present study was conducted to determine intraindividual differences in cell-count data and macrophage phenotypes in IS and BAL collected from healthy smokers and nonsmokers and to investigate any measurable DTT-mediated effect on macrophage immunocytochemical staining results.

Materials and methods

Subjects

IS and BAL fluid samples were collected from 16 subjects; nine smokers, six females and three males, mean age 27.9 yrs (range 21–38) and seven nonsmokers, five females and two males, mean age 26.6 yrs (range 19–38). The smokers mean cigarette consumption was 10.4±7.2 pack-yrs (mean±SD), and present consumption exceeded 10 cigarettes-day⁻¹ for the last 5 yrs.

All subjects had normal pulmonary radiographs and showed no clinical signs of respiratory disease. IS samples
were collected at a first visit and the subjects underwent BAL on a second visit within 4 weeks. Three additional BAL samples from healthy nonsmokers, two females and one male, mean age 39 yrs (range 33–46), were included in the BAL comparison study between smokers and nonsmokers. Since these samples were collected before the induced sputum method was established in our clinic, sputum samples were not available. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained.

Sputum induction

After pretreatment with inhaled 200 µg salbutamol (Ventolin®; Glaxo, Ware, UK), sputum was induced by inhalation of 3.5% sterile saline solution (Apoteksbolaget, Stockholm, Sweden). The saline was nebulized with an ultrasonic nebulizer (DeVilbiss Ultraneb 2000; DeVilbiss, Somerset, PA, USA) and inhaled for up to 20 min. After 5 min and at subsequent 3–5 min intervals subjects were asked to rinse their mouths, blow their noses and cough sputum into clean polypropylene tubes, kept on ice. The first and third expectorated sputum portions were pooled and stored for future analysis and the second expectorated portion was collected in a separate tube, for use in cell analysis. The collection of sputum for cell analysis was interrupted when the volume of the sample reached 7 mL.

Bronchoalveolar lavage

BAL was performed by wedging a flexible fibreoptic bronchoscope (Olympus BF Type P20; Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan) in a subsegment in the right middle lobe. Five aliquots of 50 mL sterile phosphate-buffered saline solution at +37°C were instilled. The fluid was gently aspirated after each instillation, pooled and collected in a siliconized bottle. The material was kept on ice and immediately transported to the laboratory.

Handling of cells

Induced sputum. The 7 mL sputum sample was dispersed with 2 mL 0.5% DTT (Sigma Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) diluted in Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS; Sigma), carefully mixed and incubated until homogenized. Polypropylene vials were used to avoid cell adhesion. The sample was centrifuged at 128×g, and 4°C for 10 min and the cell pellet was resuspended in 2 mL HBSS. Fifty microlitres of the cell suspension was used to assess viability by trypan blue cell exclusion and to determine the total cell count in a Bürker chamber. Cytospins were prepared using aliquots of cell suspension equivalent to 60,000 cells per slide. The material was centrifuged at 22,4×g for 3 min in a cytocentrifuge (Cytospin 2; Shandon, Runcorn, UK), stained in May-Grünwald Giemsa for differential cell counts or stored at -70°C until further processing. To determine cell differentials, 400 cells were counted. The percentage of squamous cells was determined, but not included in the differential cell count. Cytospins containing <80% squamous cells were considered adequate.

Bronchoalveolar lavage

The BAL fluid was strained through a single layer of Dacron nets (Type AP32; Millipore, Bedford, Ireland). Cells were centrifuged at 400×g for 10 min at +4°C and the cell pellet was resuspended in RPMI 1640 (Sigma). Total cell counts and assessment of viability by trypan blue cell exclusion were performed using a Bürker chamber. Cyto-spins for differential cell counts and immunocytochemical stainings were prepared as described above. After thorough blending 10 BAL samples were divided in two portions, with one portion incubated in 0.5% DTT for 10 min before processing and then processed as described previously.

Immunocytological staining

Seven monoclonal antibodies were used to characterize the macrophages phenotypically (table 1). The stainings were performed using a three-step, indirect immunoperoxidase method. Frozen slides were slowly warmed to room temperature before processing. Slides

Table 1. – Panel of primary monoclonal antibodies used to characterize macrophages recovered with induced sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody (clone)</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reactivity</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human dendritic cells (RFDI)</td>
<td>Reacts with an epitope within the HLA class II complex</td>
<td>Dendritic cells, nonreactive with monocytes, granulocytes</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human mature macrophages (RFD7)</td>
<td>Reacts with an antigen expressed in mature tissue phagocytes</td>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human C3bi receptor, CD11b, (2LM19c)</td>
<td>Reacts with the cell surface receptor for the C3bi complement fragment</td>
<td>Granulocytes, monocytes, tissue macrophages</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human ICAM-1, CD54, (6.5B5.(1))</td>
<td>Reacts with human ICAM-1</td>
<td>Broad; leukocytes, endothelial and epithelial cells</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human macrophage, CD68 (PG-M1)</td>
<td>Reacts with an intracytoplasmic antigen probably associated with lysosomal granules</td>
<td>Macrophages, nonreactive with antigen-presenting cells</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human transferrin receptor CD71 (Ber-T9)</td>
<td>Reacts with the transferrin receptor</td>
<td>Proliferating cells, macrophages</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human HLA-DR (CR3/43)</td>
<td>Reacts with the β-chain of all products of the DP, DQ and DR subregions</td>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human cytokeratin (MNF116)</td>
<td>Reacts with an epitope present in a wide range of cytokeratins</td>
<td>Broad: human epithelial cells (negative control)</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICAM: intracellular adhesion molecule; HLA: human leukocyte antigen.
were fixed in -20°C acetone for 10 min and rehydrated for 5 min in Tris-buffered saline (TBS), pH 7.6, containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The slides were then incubated with 80 µL of the appropriate dilutions of: primary monoclonal antibodies (table 1), ALP-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse antibody (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and ALP-conjugated swine antirabbit antibody (Dako), 30 min each. The incubations took place in humid chambers and the samples were carefully washed with TBS between the steps. The immunological reaction was visualized using freshly prepared ALP substrate (Phosphatase Fast Red Sigma solution, Sigma) containing 1 mmol·L⁻¹ levamisole (Sigma) to inhibit endogenous macrophage ALP activity. The enzyme-substrate reaction was interrupted with tap water and the slides were counterstained with Harris Haematoxylin (Histolab, Gothenburg, Sweden) for 30 s, blued in tap water and air-dried. For mounting, Glycérine Mountant (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used.

The slides were viewed with a light microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The macrophages were identified on the basis of morphological features and positive cells were recognised by red staining (fig. 1). A minimum of 600 cells were counted by two independent observers and the mean value of the two observations was used. An irrelevant monoclonal antibody (MNF116, anti-human cytokeratin; Dako) negative for macrophages was used to assess background staining.

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as medians with upper and lower quartile values. Statistical comparisons were made using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U-test for comparisons between smokers and nonsmokers and Wilcoxon matched-pairs test for intraindividual comparisons of BAL and sputum material from the same subject. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Intraindividual comparison of induced sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage cell-count data

No case had to be excluded from the study due to excessive squamous cell contamination of the sputum material. The cell viability did not differ between IS and BAL samples from smoking or nonsmoking individuals. In both groups, sputum material contained a lower total cell count than the BAL fluid (p<0.01 smokers, p<0.05 nonsmokers, table 2). Sputum samples from smokers as well as nonsmokers showed lower percentages of macrophages (both p<0.05) and higher percentages of neutrophils (p<0.05) in their differential cell counts compared with BAL samples. Additionally, nonsmokers' IS samples showed a lower percentage of lymphocytes (p<0.05) than the BAL fluid samples, while no similar difference was detected between lymphocyte proportions in IS and BAL material from smokers. The percentage of eosinophils did not differ between IS and BAL material from smokers or nonsmokers. When comparing cell counts in sputum and BAL fluid within the total population, without separation of smokers and nonsmokers, higher degrees of significance were reached (table 2).

Intraindividual comparison of induced sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage macrophage phenotypes

Macrophages collected with induced sputum differed phenotypically from those collected with BAL (table 3). Sputum macrophages showed a higher expression of CD71 (p<0.05) in both smokers and nonsmokers, compared with BAL macrophages.

Smokers had a significantly higher percentage of macrophages expressing RFD7 (p<0.05) in sputum samples, whereas the difference in RFD7 expression between nonsmokers sputum and BAL macrophages did not reach significant levels.

When comparing IS and BAL macrophage phenotypes in the total population (table 3), sputum macrophages showed a higher expression of RFD7 (p<0.01), CD71 (p<0.01) and CD54 (p<0.05) than BAL macrophages. Furthermore, IS macrophages showed a higher expression of CD68 (p<0.05) than BAL macrophages.
Table 2. – Intraindividual comparisons of viability, total (TCC) and differential cell counts in induced sputum (IS) and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) material collected from smokers and nonsmokers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smokers</th>
<th>Nonsmokers</th>
<th>Total population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS n=9</td>
<td>BAL n=9</td>
<td>IS n=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viability</td>
<td>88.9 (81.0–93.0)</td>
<td>88.5 (85.0–90.5)</td>
<td>83.6 (77.5–92.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCC &lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0–2.1)</td>
<td>54.6 (35.0–74.7)**</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4–2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>59.2 (52.4–80.4)</td>
<td>97.2 (95.8–97.7)**</td>
<td>41.2 (33.3–52.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5–1.7)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0–2.2)*</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0–6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>34.0 (16.8–49.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3–1.7)*</td>
<td>52.2 (47.0–61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2–0.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5–1.7)*</td>
<td>0.5 (–)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are presented as medians of percentage values with upper and lower quartile values. *: p<0.05, between IS and BAL; **: p<0.01, between IS and BAL; ***: p<0.001, between IS and BAL; –: ns. Comparisons were made using Wilcoxon’s matched pairs test.

The proportion of macrophages expressing CD54 was higher in nonsmokers sputum material (p<0.05). No corresponding difference in CD54 expression could be detected comparing IS and BAL material from smokers.

The macrophage expression of CD11b, HLA-DR and RFD1 did not differ significantly between IS and BAL in either smokers or nonsmokers.

**Dithiothreitol-exposed bronchoalveolar lavage immuno-staining results**

DTT-incubated BAL samples did not show any significant difference in macrophage antigen expression compared to samples not exposed with DTT (data not shown). Since only three smoking subjects were included in the BAL-DTT-incubated BAL study, comparisons were made without separating staining results from smokers and nonsmokers.

Smokers versus nonsmokers: comparison of cell count data in sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage, respectively

The cell viability did not differ significantly between smokers and nonsmokers in IS or BAL material. The total cell count was higher in BAL fluid from smokers than from nonsmokers (p<0.001), while the total cell count did not differ significantly between the groups in sputum material.

In IS, as well as in BAL, smokers showed a higher percentage in macrophages (p<0.001 BAL, p<0.05 IS). The percentage of lymphocytes decreased in BAL samples from smokers, while no similar difference in lymphocyte proportions was detected comparing sputum samples from smokers and nonsmokers. The neutrophil and eosinophil proportions did not deviate significantly between smokers and nonsmokers in IS or BAL.

Smokers versus nonsmokers comparison of macrophage phenotypes in sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage, respectively

When comparing immunostaining results from the two groups, smokers macrophages showed a difference in phenotype compared to macrophages collected from nonsmokers. The same phenotypical variance between groups was detected in both BAL and sputum material; a significantly lower expression of RFD1 (p<0.01 IS, p<0.05 BAL), RFD7 (p<0.05 IS, p<0.05 BAL), CD54 (p<0.05 both) and CD71 (p<0.05 both) in smokers macrophages compared with nonsmokers macrophages. The expression of CD11b, CD68 and HLA-DR did not differ significantly between the groups.

**Discussion**

In the present study it was demonstrated that the total cell count and the relative proportions between leukocytes differed in IS and BAL material collected from healthy individuals. Sputum contained fewer cells, a lower percentage of macrophages, a lower proportion of lymphocytes (in nonsmokers), but a larger percentage of neutrophils than BAL fluid. The eosinophil cell count did not differ significantly.

Table 3. – Intraindividual comparisons of the percentage of macrophages positive for intracellular and surface markers in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid and induced sputum (IS) from smokers and nonsmokers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smokers</th>
<th>Nonsmokers</th>
<th>Total population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS n=9</td>
<td>BAL n=9</td>
<td>IS n=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFD1</td>
<td>62.0 (42.0–62.5)</td>
<td>70.0 (52.0–71.0)*</td>
<td>82.0 (71.0–86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFD7</td>
<td>55.0 (50.0–61.0)</td>
<td>48.0 (39.0–54.0)*</td>
<td>75.0 (62.0–86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD11b</td>
<td>49.5 (22.0–53.0)</td>
<td>52.0 (40.0–56.0)*</td>
<td>54.0 (52.0–64.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD54</td>
<td>33.0 (14.0–43.0)</td>
<td>34.0 (26.0–37.5)*</td>
<td>64.0 (58.0–73.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>43.0 (37.0–55.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (26.0–54.0)*</td>
<td>61.0 (54.0–81.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD71</td>
<td>70.5 (65.0–83.0)</td>
<td>60.0 (55.5–67.0)*</td>
<td>85.0 (77.0–89.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
<td>92.0 (86.0–95.0)</td>
<td>88.0 (69.0–95.0)*</td>
<td>98.0 (96.0–99.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are presented as medians of percentage values with upper and lower quartile values. HLA: human leukocyte antigen. *: p<0.05, between IS and BAL; **: p<0.01, between IS and BAL; –: ns. Comparisons were made using Wilcoxon’s matched pairs test.
between IS and BAL material. When analysing macrophage antigen expression in IS and BAL there was a higher percentage of sputum macrophages expressing RFD7 (in smokers and total population), CD71 (in smokers and nonsmokers), CD54 (in nonsmokers and total population) and CD68 (in total population) than BAL macrophages. The relative differences in proportions of leukocytes found in healthy individuals compares fairly well to the results of van et al. [12], who compared IS and BAL from healthy nonsmokers and to the results of investigators demonstrating similar relative differences between cell types in sputum and BAL from patients with asthma and/or chronic bronchitis [1, 2, 13].

The distribution of cell types has been shown to vary in different lung compartments; in the alveoli the macrophage is the predominant cell type, while the percentage of neutrophils increases in more proximal parts of the airways [11]. Comparing airway lining lavage fluid (ALF), material recovered with a method that allows selective studies of cells from the airways, with BAL fluid, Rask et al. [11] found a significantly higher percentage of neutrophils in ALF from healthy individuals.

After correcting for differences in cell-counting methods (the differential cell counts in the other studies included epithelial and unidentifiable cells, whereas ours did not), the ALF macrophage and neutrophil cell count data demonstrated by Rask et al. [11] corresponded well to the IS cell-count results. Taken together, these data suggest that IS may provide material similar to that obtained by ALF.

Pulmonary macrophages have among other functions been postulated to perform a regulatory role, establishing immunological balance in the lungs, by stimulating or suppressing T-cells [14–17]. These activities are performed by functionally different and phenotypically distinct populations of macrophages, which have been proposed to be identifiable using combinations of the monoclonal antibodies RFD1 and RFD7 [18]. RFD1 recognizes antigen-presenting cells, while the RFD7 epitope is expressed by mature phagocytes. In a study of T-cell and macrophage subsets in the human, normal bronchial wall, Power et al. [19] demonstrated dominant proportions of macrophages expressing a suppressor phenotype (RFD1+RFD7+, 46%), or an effector phenotype (RFD1-RFD7-, 44%) over those with an inducer phenotype (RFD1+RFD7+, 11%). With reservations for not using a double-staining technique when studying the expression of RFD1 and RFD7, sputum material was found to contain a higher percentage of macrophages expressing RFD7 compared with BAL material. Moreover, sputum macrophages generally showed a higher expression of CD71, an antigen that has been described as characteristic for proliferating macrophages [20].

When comparing BAL and IS from the total population, sputum macrophages showed a higher expression of CD68, a lysosomal granulae-associated epitope expressed by macrophages and negative for antigen-presenting cells [21]. Taken together, these results could lead to the presumption that macrophages collected using induced sputum represent maturing or phenotypically mature macrophages. Any functional differences corresponding to the phenotypic difference between the airway and alveolar macrophage populations remain to be elucidated. Non-smokers sputum material contained a higher percentage of macrophages, positive for the adhesion molecule CD54, in contrast to their BAL fluid. A similar difference could not be found in smokers' macrophages. Hypothetically, this divergence could be attributed to the generally lower expression of CD54 in smokers' sputum or BAL material shown in this study as well as in other reports [22]. However, that hypothesis should, then, also be true for other markers expressed differently by smokers and nonsmokers' macrophages.

The present results show that the macrophage expression of HLA-DR is similar in IS and BAL, a result in keeping with those of Rask et al. [11], who found no difference in HLA-DR expression between ALF and BAL macrophages. HLA class II seems to be constitutively expressed on nonsmokers' alveolar macrophages [23]. Functional studies should be undertaken to investigate any differences in antigen-presenting capacity between macrophage populations hypothetically derived from different departments of the lung.

In order to investigate any DTT-mediated effect on immunocytochemical staining results for the antibodies used in this study, a number of BAL samples was incubated with DTT before processing. When comparing the immunocytochemical staining results of these samples, with samples not exposed to DTT collected at the same time and from the same individual, there was no difference in the expression of any of the seven antibodies used. This indicates that induced sputum can be utilized in immunocytochemical studies of the cell-surface markers that were accounted for.

In the present study, sputum analyses were performed on the whole expectorated samples, without separating sputum plugs from saliva. According to the authors' experience, this is a reliable method by which to retrieve sputum material from healthy subjects. In't Veen et al. [24], in a recent work, demonstrated good repeatability of cell differentials in whole sample sputum analysis. Our method resulted in a varying percentage of squamous cell contamination, but the general cytospin quality was very high. No case had to be excluded owing to sputum squamous cell contamination over 80%.

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that material collected with induced sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage differs in total as well as differential cell counts. Macrophages recovered with induced sputum seem to be expressing a phenotype somewhat different from that of bronchoalveolar lavage macrophages. Furthermore, effects mediated by dithiothreitol on pulmonary macrophage immunocytochemical staining results for markers used in this study was excluded. Presuming that macrophages collected with induced sputum derive from the airways rather than the alveoli and considering the tendency of increased expression of cell-surface epitopes characteristic for maturing or mature, effector phagocytes on induced sputum macrophages, the results could imply that the airways are inhabited by mature macrophages, serving a function somewhat different from that of the alveolar macrophages. The results obtained from analysing induced sputum in healthy individuals could possibly offer a baseline against which sputum cell counts in different pathological conditions could be compared.
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