Airway resistance and atopy in preschool children with wheeze and cough
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ABSTRACT: The extent to which the measurement of airways resistance by the interrupter technique (Rint) distinguishes preschool children with previous wheeze from those with no respiratory symptoms and helps to classify subjects with persistent cough, was investigated.

Rint was measured before and after salbutamol treatment in 82 children with recurrent wheeze, 58 with isolated cough and 48 with no symptoms (control subjects). Their mean age (range) was 3.7 yrs (2–5 yrs).

Median baseline Rint was higher (p<0.0001) in wheezers than in either coughers or control subjects (1.16, 0.94 and 0.88 kPa L⁻¹ s⁻¹ respectively); coughers did not differ significantly from control subjects (p=0.14). The median ratio of baseline to post-salbutamol measurements (bronchodilator response (BDR)) in the groups differed significantly (1.40, 1.27 and 1.07, p≤0.01 for all), suggesting that coughers occupy an intermediate position. A BDR ratio >1.22 had a specificity and sensitivity for wheeze of 80% and 76% respectively. Twenty-eight coughers had a BDR ratio >1.22. Wheezers’ immunoglobulin E was inversely related to baseline Rint.

It is concluded that measurements of airway resistance by the interrupter technique are useful for classifying preschool children with respiratory symptoms and could be used to monitor the effect of interventions. The relation between atopy and airways resistance suggests that they have separate roles in preschool wheezing. Coughers with a high bronchodilator response could represent “cough-variant” asthma in children who have baseline airway resistance by the interrupter technique measurements similar to control subjects. Whether these children develop classical asthma will only be known at follow-up later in childhood.


Asthma is usually diagnosed only on a symptom history. The reliability of symptom reports has been questioned [1] and the need for objective measurements has been highlighted [2, 3]. Recurrent wheeze and cough are particularly common in the preschool age group [4, 5]. Guidelines suggest treatment with asthma medication. The effect of drugs on preschool wheeze has been judged only by change in reported symptoms [6, 7]. Where cough is the sole complaint, the British Thoracic Society’s recent guidelines state “criteria for defining asthma in the presence of chronic or recurrent cough have not been adequately defined” [8]. Clinical and epidemiological aspects of persistent cough differ from those of asthma [9, 10] and only the minority of night-time coughers turn out to have asthma [11]. “Cough-variant asthma” may be an asthma phenotype [12] and it seems important to identify those persistent coughers who might benefit from asthma treatment.

Until recently there has been no suitable lung function test for preschool children for ambulatory use [13]. Supposing bronchodilator response (BDR) to be one of the defining features of asthma, then if BDR could be demonstrated in children with a history of isolated cough or wheeze, then the prescribing of asthma treatment to such children could be better defended [14]. Risk factors for wheezing include small airways and atopy [15]. It has been proposed that poor lung function in young nonatopic wheezers represents structural, stable changes in the lung that predispose to wheezing with viral infections. If this is true and if these changes reflect airways smaller than normal, then children in this group would be expected to demonstrate high airways resistance between wheezy episodes. On the other hand, young atopic children who wheeze have normal lung function at birth [16]. Does the airway resistance in these children remain normal between wheezy episodes and do atopic children respond to bronchodilator treatment better than nonatopics who may have smaller airways? The theoretical background for measuring airways resistance using the interrupter technique (Rint) has been well described [17–20]. Using the technique, BDR testing has been undertaken in a small group of wheezy children [15]. Decrease in Rint following bronchodilator treatment could be demonstrated in most who were not wheezy at the time of the test. The hypotheses for the current study were: 1) that Rint and BDR in previously wheezy children will differ from those in control subjects; 2) that Rint in persistent coughers will identify some subjects who resemble previously wheezy children; and 3) that non-atopic previously wheezy children will have increased Rint and lower BDR than atopic children.
The purposes of the present study were to compare baseline \( R_{in} \) and BDR in preschool children with a history of previous wheezing with children with no history of respiratory symptoms and children with recurrent or persistent isolated cough, and relate measurements to atopic status.

The study received approval by the local Ethics Committee.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Control subjects were children aged 2–<5 yrs who had no history of wheeze, recurrent or persistent cough. They were enrolled from volunteer children attending the hospital with uncomplicated problems not related to the chest.

Consecutive children aged 2–<5 yrs attending the chest clinic who were able to undertake the test were recruited to the following groups: 1) children known to have wheezed (wheezers) in the past 6 months but not known to have wheezed in the month before testing, not wheezy at the time of recruitment and on no treatment. These children had presented with a respiratory illness to the ambulatory department at least 1 month before recruitment and had, at that time, been observed to wheeze by the doctor who examined them; 2) family practitioners were asked by letter to refer children with recurrent or persistent cough (coughers), with three episodes in the last 6 months or every day for three consecutive weeks, in the absence of fever, upper respiratory tract infection, snoring or other illness and on no treatment. Wheeze had not been reported or heard on physical examination. Apart from a history of cough, these subjects were healthy.

Baseline airways resistance by the interrupter technique and bronchodilator response testing

\( R_{in} \) measurements were made as previously described [13] using a single commercial device (Microlab 4000; Micromedical Ltd, Gillingham, Kent, UK) throughout the study. Before each patient was tested, volume and flow were calibrated using a precision 1 L syringe (Vitalograph, Buckinghamshire, UK). After a period of quiet breathing, in response to a trigger during expiration at the peak of a tidal flow, a single shutter closed automatically within 10 ms and stayed closed for 100 ms. The mean of six acceptable readings was considered a measurement of \( R_{in} \). Salbutamol, 400 \( \mu \)g given by a 450 mL spacer device (Volumatic; Allen and Hanbury’s, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UK), was used for all subjects for BDR testing.

Height was measured with children in stockinged feet using a wall-fixed stadiometer (Castlemead, Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire, UK). Serum immunoglobulin (IgE) was measured by radioimmunoassay and skin-prick testing (SPT) to common aeroallergens (house dust mite, grass pollens, cat and dog danders and feathers) and to histamine and saline controls (Rayer Corporation, Elkhart, IN, USA) was undertaken on those coughers and wheezers who gave permission. A weal of >3 mm to one prick classified a subject as SPT positive.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using Nanostat (AlphaBridge Ltd, London, UK). The distributions of baseline and post-salbutamol measurements were skewed to the right. Transformation to logarithms (base 10) produced a close approximation to normality [21] and rendered the standard deviations within the three subject groups approximately equal. The transformed values were analysed using Normal theory methods (t-tests, analysis of variance, simple and multiple regression), and Chi-squared and logistic regression as appropriate. BDR was the difference between the log baseline and log post-salbutamol measurements, which is the logarithm of the ratio between the original values. The sensitivity and specificity of baseline and ratio measurements were studied by constructing receiver operating curves (ROCs), in which sensitivity is plotted against (one minus specificity) from the data of wheezers and control subjects, i.e. true positives and true negatives [22]. Age-adjusted log IgE was related to age-adjusted log baseline \( R_{in} \) and log ratio measurements.

**Results**

The number of control subjects recruited was 63, all of whom had baseline testing. The parents of 48 control subjects (76%) consented to BDR testing. There were missing values for heights in six control subjects. There were 58 coughers and 82 wheezers, all of whom had baseline and BDR testing. There was a missing height measurement in one cougher. Table 1 shows the subjects’ age, height and sex in the three groups. There were no significant differences between the groups.

Baseline, post-salbutamol and bronchodilator response measurements

Table 2 and figure 1 show measurements of baseline, post-salbutamol \( R_{in} \) and ratios for children in the three groups who had both measurements. Table 2 gives medians and quartiles obtained by back-transforming the estimates obtained using the logged data.

Effects of age, height and sex on baseline airway resistance by the interrupter technique, post-salbutamol airway resistance by the interrupter technique and bronchodilator response

The effects of age and height were investigated by fitting regression equations for age (fig. 2), the slopes in the three

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. – Age, height and sex of subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males:females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD age yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD height cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coughers: subjects with three coughing episodes in the last 6 months or every day for three consecutive weeks; wheezers: subjects who wheezed in the past 6 months but not known to have wheezed in the month before testing.
Differences between measurements in the three groups

Log baseline and log post-salbutamol measurements were adjusted for age. At baseline, the coughers did not significantly alter from the control subjects (log difference 0.024, SEM 0.018, p=0.18) but the mean for the wheezers was significantly greater than those of the other two groups.

Receiver operating curves

There was overlap of both baseline and BDR (ratio) measurements in the wheeze and control groups (table 2 and fig. 1). The ROCs, by demonstrating sensitivity and specificity of measurements in previously wheezy subjects (wheezing heard on examination by a doctor), describe this overlap. The data for these curves (fig. 3) were derived from the estimated means and standard deviations of the baseline and BDR variables. The ROC for the baseline measurements was adjusted for age. These curves suggest that the BDR measurement is a better discriminator between positive and negative wheezers than the baseline.

For 80% specificity, a baseline measurement >1.45 kPa·L⁻¹·s⁻¹ is needed. This has a sensitivity of 60%. Applying this threshold value to the coughers, two of 58 subjects had measurements above this value. For 80% specificity, a BDR measurement >1.2 is needed. This has a sensitivity of 76%. Of the 58 coughers, 28 had BDR measurements above this value.

Fig. 1. – Ratio of baseline airway resistance by the interrupter technique (Rint) to post-salbutamol Rint. Bronchodilator response in control subjects (n=48), coughers (n=58) and wheezers (n=82) with median values, lower and upper quartiles. See legend to table 2 for definitions.

Fig. 2. – Relationships between log baseline airway resistance by interrupter technique (Rint) and age. ○: control subjects; ■: coughers; Δ: wheezers. ……: control subjects, y = 0.078x + 0.24; ……: coughers, y = 0.049x + 0.16; ……: wheezers, y = 0.066x + 0.38. See legend to table 2 for further definitions.
Relationship of atopic status to baseline airway resistance by the interrupter technique and bronchodilator response

The atopic status of control subjects was not known. Serum measurements of IgE were available for 41 coughers and 60 wheezers. These were transformed to log values (base 10) for analyses. IgE measurements increased significantly with age (regression coefficient=0.244, SEM=0.082, p=0.004). Wheezers had significantly higher IgE measurements than coughers (table 2; p=0.002), and this difference remained significant after age was taken into account (log difference=0.39, SEM 0.15, p=0.01). There was no significant relationship between age-adjusted IgE measurements in coughers and either age-adjusted log baseline $R_{int}$ or log ratio $R_{int}$ (regression coefficient for baseline -0.0177, SEM 0.0191, p=0.36; regression coefficient for ratio 0.0132, SEM 0.0175, p=0.45). While there was no relationship between age-adjusted IgE and log ratio measurements (regression coefficient=-0.0198, SEM 0.0147, p=0.18), there was a significant inverse relationship between age-adjusted log IgE and age-adjusted log baseline $R_{int}$ in wheezers (fig. 4) (regression coefficient -0.0550, SEM 0.0190, p=0.005).

SPT was undertaken in 31 coughers and 41 wheezers. There was no significant difference in the percentage of coughers (39%) and wheezers (41%) who were SPT positive (p=0.81). There was no relationship between age-adjusted log baseline or log ratio $R_{int}$ measurements and positive SPT in coughers or wheezers.

Discussion

Reported wheeze remains the cornerstone of the epidemiological assessment of asthma [23, 24]. Because of the concern that there is reporting bias of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze [25] and uncertainty as to whether persistent cough should be considered asthma [12], the need for objective testing for asthma in preschool children as well as school children is compelling [33]. There is debate about the diagnostic value of tests of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) [26] and to date there are no satisfactory diagnostic tests using inflammatory markers. It is possible to test BHR in this age group [27] but to the authors’ knowledge, the success of this technique in a busy ambulatory setting has not been described. The feasibility and practicality of $R_{int}$ have been described here and it was shown that full BDR testing can be undertaken successfully in 53%, 71% and 91% of subjects in the 2–<3, 3–<4 and 4–<5 yr age groups respectively [13]. There appears to be broad agreement that asthmatics have reversible airways narrowing, at least at some time in the course of their disease. The current study examines this aspect of asthma in preschool children.

This study has shown that baseline $R_{int}$ in a group of preschool children who have been observed to have wheezed in the 6 months before testing but not in the month before testing is significantly higher on average than in a group of children with no respiratory symptoms. Baseline $R_{int}$ in a group with recurrent cough did not differ significantly from those with no history of respiratory symptoms but there was a large difference between coughers and wheezers so that baseline $R_{int}$ measurements in coughers resembled control subjects more than wheezers. Baseline measurements were negatively related to age but the mean ages of the groups were similar so that age accounts for very little of any difference. The post-salbutamol measurements were similar in all three groups suggesting that there is little evidence that for most subjects at this age there is irreversible damage. It would be interesting and important to know whether those subjects with high post-salbutamol measurements continued to have high airways resistance.

Age and height predicted the baseline measurements to a very similar degree. Age is simpler to determine under clinical conditions and the authors suggest that it be used rather than height. This means that $R_{int}$ could be a very suitable tool for epidemiology studies.

The correct way to describe BDR has been widely discussed [28–30]. For the current study the ratio of baseline measurement to post-salbutamol measurement was chosen to describe BDR data. BDR measurements differed significantly between all three groups. BDR was significantly increased in coughers compared to control subjects, in contrast to the comparison of baseline measurements...
which were similar. Post-salbutamol measurements in coughers were lower than in control subjects but not significantly. However, they were significantly lower than in wheezers. There was no correlation between BDR with the age-adjusted level of overall $R_{at}$ (measured as the mean of pre and post measurements). (It is known that spurious correlations are obtained if measurements of change, such as the log ratio, are related to the final values [31]) so that there was not a significant tendency for children within a group who had high $R_{at}$ measurements to show high reversibility when measured in ratio terms.

Recent studies of persistent cough suggest that children with this symptom do not resemble asthmatics as previously supposed [9–11, 32]. Nevertheless, it seems reasonable to consider that some children reported to have persistent or recurrent cough would have poorly perceived wheeze. Objective testing could help to distinguish those children with "cough-variant" asthma and in whom asthma treatment should be considered from those who do not have "cough-variant" asthma. Parents know when their children are coughing although they do not know how much [33] and so recruiting children to a study on the basis of parents' reports of persistent cough is reasonable. The inclusion criteria for this group included wheeze never having been reported or heard: The authors chose to recruit children to the "wheezing" group only if they had been heard to wheeze on examination by a doctor. This was the gold standard for asthma for the current purposes. Similarly, children who had never had persistent respiratory symptoms of cough or wheeze and were well at the time of the study were the gold standard for nonasthmatics. The ROCs for baseline and BDR in control subjects and wheezers suggest that BDR is the more discriminating. For epidemiology studies, where parents and children may not agree to being given bronchodilator (24% of those who undertook baseline testing were unwilling in this study) and baseline measurements are all that can be ob-tained, a reduction in specificity may have to be accepted to maintain sensitivity. In practice, if children who are asymptomatic have baseline measurements higher than the selected threshold, parents and children may reconsider BDR testing. At least then the children can be identified for follow-up.

Other techniques have been used to measure baseline resistance and BDR in children. Using the forced oscillation technique, a change of 19% resistance was considered to represent BDR in a group wheezy at the time of the test [34]. The same measurements in healthy children and stable asthmatics using the impulse oscillation technique did not distinguish between the groups [35]. Half of the asthmatics were on treatment. A change in resistance of >40% was considered to represent reversibility. Wheezers in the present study differ from those in the study by HeLLnKXX et al. [35] as they were on no treatment.

IgE measurements were higher in the wheezers than in the coughers. This has been noted previously [10]. IgE measurements were inversely related to baseline measurements in the wheezers after age-adjustment. In fact, the wheezers with the highest IgE had age-adjusted baseline measurements comparable to those in the control group. If serum IgE is assumed to reflect atopy, then the less atopic wheezers would appear to have higher airway resistance and this could reflect smaller airways, something which has been previously suggested [16]. IgE was not related to BDR in either coughers or wheezers. Whether treatments other than bronchodilators, such as corticosteroids alter $R_{at}$ measurements and BDR in atopic and nonatopic children, needs now to be examined. SPT results were not significantly related to any $R_{at}$ measurement. This could reflect the small number of subjects who agreed to SPT or to delay in the development in SPT positivity to inhaled allergens [36].

In summary, the differences between baseline airway resistance by the interrupter technique and bronchodilator response were measured in groups of preschool children with no history of respiratory symptoms (control subjects), children with persistent isolated cough (coughers) and children who have been observed to wheeze at least 1 month previous to testing (wheezers). Although coughers did not have significantly higher baseline airway resistance by the interrupter technique values than control subjects, many responded to salbutamol to an extent comparable with children with previous wheeze. Whether their symptoms respond to regular bronchodilator or other asthma treatment is not known. Coughers as a group, respond poorly or not at all [36–38] but perhaps those with high bronchodilator response measurements would benefit. The airway resistance by the interrupter technique is ready to be used to help classify children with vague respiratory symptoms. It could also be used as a tool in preschool children with cough and wheeze to measure change in lung function in response to interventions.
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