Exercise performance and gas exchange after bilateral video-assisted thoracoscopic lung volume reduction for severe emphysema


ABSTRACT: Lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS) improves dyspnoea and pulmonary function in selected patients with severe emphysema. The purpose of this study was to assess the effects of LVRS on exercise performance and gas exchange in relation to changes in pulmonary function. In 40 patients (63.2±1.4 yrs, mean±SD) with severe emphysema (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) 29±1% predicted, residual volume/total lung capacity (RV/TLC) ratio: 0.63±0.01) we assessed dyspnoea, pulmonary function and exercise performance before and 3 months after bilateral video-assisted thoracoscopic LVRS.

The Medical Research Council dyspnoea score fell from 3.5±1.0 to 1.4±0.1 (p<0.0005); FEV1 increased by 55±9% to 44±2% pred (p<0.0005), RV/TLC decreased from 0.63±0.01 to 0.51±0.02 (p<0.0005). The diffusing capacity remained unchanged. Maximal work load during bicycle ergometry increased from 34.3±2.0 to 48.9±2.4 W (p<0.01 to 0.51±0.02 (p<0.0005). The increase in maximal ventilation during exercise (VE,max) from 29.5±1.5 to 38.6±1.8 L·min⁻¹ (p<0.0005) was associated with increases in tidal volumes at isovolt and maximal exercise while corresponding breathing frequencies remained unaltered. The increases in V'O₂,max and V'E,max correlated with the increases in FEV1 and the decreases in RV/TLC.

We conclude that the improvement in pulmonary hyperinflation and airflow obstruction after bilateral thoracoscopic lung volume reduction surgery may reduce ventilatory limitation, thereby increasing exercise capacity. Eur Respir J 1998; 12: 785–792.

Lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS) has become a novel palliative therapeutic option for a subgroup of patients who are impaired in their daily activity by dyspnoea due to pulmonary emphysema with severe hyperinflation. The surgical principle is based on the concept of BRANTIGAN et al. [1] which was revived by COOPER et al. [2]. From the published experience of several groups it has become obvious that bilateral resection results in greater improvement of pulmonary function [2–8] than unilateral resection [9–11], and that stapled resection causes larger changes than laser resection [9].

Patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are primarily limited in their exercise performance by a decrease in ventilatory capacity due to abnormal pulmonary mechanics [12]. Studies in a relatively small number of patients after bilateral LVRS by median sternotomy [13] and after unilateral video-assisted thoracoscopic LVRS [14] have suggested that a decrease in bronchial obstruction and reduction in pulmonary hyperinflation enable the patient to achieve a higher maximal minute ventilation after LVRS and therefore contribute to reduce the patients’ exercise limitation.

The goal of this study was to investigate exercise performance and gas exchange in patients with severe pulmonary emphysema before and after bilateral video-assisted thoracoscopic LVRS in relation to changes in pulmonary function.

Patients and methods

Patients

We studied 40 consecutive patients with severe pulmonary emphysema, selected for bilateral LVRS by video-assisted thoracoscopy according to previously established criteria [15]. These included the following (incomplete list): advanced emphysema with dyspnoea at rest or on minimal exertion, a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) <35% predicted, a total lung capacity (TLC) of >130% predicted and no significant coronary artery disease [16]. The mean (±SD) age of the 27 males and 13 females was 63.2±1.4 yrs (range 42–78 yrs). Their mean body mass index (BMI) was 21.8±0.5 kg·m⁻² (range: 15.2–30.9). All
were former smokers, three had homozygous α1-antitrypsin deficiency (ZZ). They were on a regular treatment consisting of inhalations of β-adrenergic agents and topical corticosteroids. Every patient had received one or several courses of systemic corticosteroids in the past without functional benefit. Patients did not participate in a systematic pre- or postoperative rehabilitation programme.

The following measurements were carried out within 2 weeks before and 3 months after surgery.

**Dyspnoea**

Dyspnoea during daily activity was rated on a scale from 0 to 4 (with increasing severity) according to the American Thoracic Society (ATS) Modified Medical Research council (MRC) score [17].

**Pulmonary function**

Tests were performed 10 min after inhalation of two puffs of salbutamol. Spirometry and measurements of thoracic gas volumes were performed with a mass flow meter and a body plethysmograph (6200 Autobox®, Sensor Medicics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA). Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) was measured with an infrared analyser (Model 66200® SenorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) which uses methane as inert tracer gas. Criteria for acceptability and reproducibility and predicted normal values were according to the European Community of Coal and Steel (ECCS) [18].

**Six minute walking distance**

The patients walked in the same hospital hallway without oxygen supplementation encouraged by a technician.

**Arterial blood gases**

Arterial blood gases were sampled by puncturing the radial artery of the patient sitting on the bicycle at rest and immediately before the patient stopped to exercise (i.e. at maximal exercise) and analysed by an automated blood gas measurement system (AVL 995-S, AVL® Medical Instruments, Schaffhausen, Switzerland).

**Cardiopulmonary exercise tests**

These were performed on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Bosch Medicare, Zurich, Switzerland) according to a progressive ramp protocol with a slope of 5 W·min⁻¹ to exhaustion. Expiratory ventilation, oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide output were measured breath-by-breath and averaged over successive 15 s intervals by a computerized exercise and metabolic measurement system (VMax, SensorMedics). Heart rate and rhythm were monitored by a three lead electrocardiogram. Arterial blood gases were analysed by an automated blood gas measurement system (AVL 995-S; AVL® Medical Instruments). Maximal values (max) for minute ventilation (VE,max), respiratory frequency (fR,max), tidal volume (Vt,max), oxygen uptake (VO₂,max), carbon dioxide consumption (VCO₂,max), respiratory exchange ratio (RQ,max), heart rate (HR,max) and maximal work rate (Wmax) were taken as the values corresponding to the 15 s interval with the highest oxygen uptake (VO₂,max). The dead space to tidal volume ratio (Vd/VT) was calculated at rest and at maximal exercise according to the modified Bohr equation:

\[ Vd/VT = \left( \frac{(P_{a,CO_2} - PE,CO_2)/P_{a,CO_2}}{0.115/VT} \right) \]

Where \( P_{a,CO_2} \) is arterial carbon dioxide tension, \( PE,CO_2 \) is mixed expired carbon dioxide, and 0.115 L is apparatus dead space. External work efficiency (ΔW/O₂/ΔW) was computed by performing a linear regression of \( V^'O_2 \) versus work rate at ranges below the anaerobic threshold or up to 75% \( V^'O_2,max \). Breathing reserve was calculated as \((FEV_1 \times 37.5) - VE,max \) and expressed in L·min⁻¹ and as percentage of \((FEV_1 \times 37.5) \). Heart rate reserve was defined as \((215\text{-age})-HR,max \) and expressed in beats·min⁻¹. The alveolar-arterial partial pressure gradient for oxygen (PA-aO₂) was calculated from the alveolar gas equation as:

\[ PA-aO_2 = FIO_2 \left( P_B - PH_2O \right) - \frac{P_{a,CO_2}}{RER} \]

using measured values for \( P_{a,CO_2} \), barometric pressure (\( P_B \)), and respiratory exchange ratio (RER). Partial pressure of water (\( PH_2O \)) was taken as 6.3 kPa (47 mmHg), and inspired oxygen fraction (\( FIO_2 \)) as 0.21.

**Surgical technique**

LVRS was performed bilaterally by video-assisted thoracoscopy as described previously [4, 5]. Resection was aimed at the most destroyed areas of the lungs previously identified by computed tomography (CT) of the chest [19] and perfusion scintigraphy. Excised pieces of lung had an estimated cumulative volume of approximately 20–30% of the lung volume. The staplers were not buttressed. At the end of the procedure, drainage tubes were placed bilaterally into the pleural cavity and connected to suction of 10–20 cmH₂O or Heimlich valves. Extubation was performed in the theatre immediately after the operation. Patients stayed in the hospital for a median duration of 13 days, ranging 5–51 days. Median chest tube drainage time was 9 days (3–48 days).

**Statistics**

Values are presented as means±SEM. Preoperative parameters of pulmonary function and maximal exercise performance were compared with corresponding postoperative values by paired t-tests for dependent samples. Submaximal exercise performance at isowatt work rate ranges was analysed by computing individual means of cardiorespiratory variables over successive 5-W work rate ranges. The effects of LVRS and of work loads on group means were assessed by multivariate analysis of variance followed by the Newman-Keuls multiple comparisons procedure, where appropriate. The association between preoperative baseline parameters and changes in variables of exercise performance were quantified by the Pearson product moment coefficients of correlation. A probability of \( p<0.05 \) was considered as significant.
Results

Dyspnoea and pulmonary function

According to the selection criteria, preoperative patients suffered from heavy dyspnoea related to severe airflow obstruction and hyperinflation (table 1). Three months after LVRS, dyspnoea had improved, as demonstrated by a decrease in MRC dyspnoea score of 2–4 points in all patients. Obstructions to airflow and hyperinflation were significantly reduced. The latter became apparent due to a marked reduction in residual volume (RV), TLC and their ratio, and by an increase in vital capacity (table 1).

Gas exchange at rest

Preoperatively, six patients qualified for long-term oxygen therapy (arterial oxygen tension $P_{a,O_2}$ 0.73 kPa (55 mmHg)) [20], but none of the patients had a $P_{a,CO_2}$ >6.4 kPa (48 mmHg). Postoperatively, the $P_{a,O_2}$ at rest had increased, whereas $P_{a,CO_2}$ had decreased slightly (table 1). $P_{a,a,O_2}$ remained unchanged. Furthermore, we did not observe significant changes in DL_CO.

Exercise performance

Preoperative assessment revealed a severe impairment in exercise performance with a mean 6 min walking distance of 279±14 m (range 45–450) and a mean $V'O_{2,max}$ of 10.0±0.4 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ (table 2). Since breathing reserve was <15 L·min$^{-1}$ in all patients, and even less than zero in some, while heart rate reserve was considerable, their exercise performance was restrained by ventilatory limitation at a very low work rate (table 2). Consistent with these findings, breathing pattern at maximal exercise was rapid and shallow with a low $V'T$ and $V'T/C$ to inspiratory vital capacity ($V'I/V'C$) ratio (fig. 1). Due to increased dead space ventilation, the ventilatory equivalent for CO$_2$ ($VE'/V'CO_2$) was high.

After surgery, the mean 6 min walking distance was significantly improved and 35 of the 40 patients increased maximal performance during bicycle exercise (table 2). $V'O_{2,max}$ increased by a mean of 2.8 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in 37 patients, in one of them up to 6.8 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$, but decreased between 0.7 and 1.2 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in three patients. The postoperative gain in maximal performance was associated with a change in breathing pattern towards slower and deeper breathing at corresponding work rates and levels of ventilation (fig. 1). The reductions of airflow obstruction and hyperinflation (table 1) were accompanied by an increase in $V'E,max$ (table 2).

The individual changes in $V'E_{max}$ and $V'O_{2,max}$ were significantly correlated with the changes in FEV$_1$ (R=0.72, p<0.05, and R=0.53, p<0.05, respectively) and the changes in RV/TLC (R=0.60, p<0.05, and R=0.49, p<0.05, respectively). As the increase in breathing reserve was only moderate, the patients' maximal exercise performance remained limited by the reduced ventilatory capacity (table 2). Elimination of CO$_2$ was improved due to a reduction in dead space ventilation at maximal exercise as evidenced by reductions in $V'D/V'T$, $V'E/V'CO_2$ and a slight but statistically significant reduction in $P_{a,CO_2}$ despite an even higher workload. The heart rate reserve remained unchanged, but the oxygen pulse at maximal exercise ($V'O_{2,max}/HR_{max}$) increased (table 2).

Before surgery, $P_{a,O_2}$ during maximal exercise decreased by a mean of 1.05±0.17 kPa (7.9±1.3 mmHg) (12%; p<0.0005) from baseline, resulting in a exercise $P_{a,O_2}$ below 8.0 kPa (60 mmHg) in 24 of 39 patients. After LVRS, the mean exercise-induced drop was 1.48±0.17 kPa (11.1±1.3 mmHg) at a higher level of maximal workload (table 2). After LVRS, $P_{a,O_2}$ in 18 of 39 patients still dropped below 8.0 kPa (60 mmHg) during exercise, and the increase in

| Table 1. – Dyspnoea, lung volumes and gas exchange at rest (mean values±SEM) |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| **Dyspnoea score** | Before surgery | After surgery | ΔPostop-Preop % |
| FVC L | 3.5±0.1 | 1.4±0.1 | -2.0±0.2 |
| % pred | 24±1 | 96±2 | 55±9 |
| FEV$_1$ L | 0.82±0.04 | 1.25±0.09 | 0.4±0.07 |
| % pred | 29±1 | 44±2 | 15±2 |
| IVC L | 3.15±0.14 | 3.77±0.17 | 0.62±0.12 |
| % pred | 86±3 | 102±2 | 16±3 |
| TLC L | 8.45±0.23 | 7.74±0.21 | -0.69±0.11 |
| % pred | 139±3 | 127±3 | -11±2 |
| RV L | 5.32±0.16 | 3.96±0.16 | -1.34±0.16 |
| % pred | 239±8 | 178±8 | -60±7 |
| RV/TLC | 0.63±0.01 | 0.51±0.02 | -0.12±0.02 |
| FRC L | 6.42±0.19 | 5.29±0.16 | -1.11±0.14 |
| % pred | 198±5 | 164±5 | -34±4 |
| DL$_{CO}$ mL·kPa$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ | 11.2±0.6 | 11.6±0.5 | 0.3±0.6 |
| % pred | 44±2 | 46±2 | 1±2 |
| pH | 7.41±0.01 | 7.41±0.00 | 0.18±0.18 |
| $P_{a,CO_2}$ mmHg | 38±1 | 35±1 | -3±1 |
| $P_{a,O_2}$ mmHg | 65±1 | 71±2 | 6±1 |
| $P_{a,a,O_2}$ mmHg | 31±2 | 29±2 | -2±2 |

*: differences significant at p<0.0005, and 95% confidence intervals not overlapping zero; ΔPostop-Preop: difference in postoperative minus corresponding preoperative value expressed in absolute units (or in %) of preoperative value; FRC: functional residual capacity; FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV$_1$: forced expiratory volume in one second; IVC: inspiratory vital capacity; TLC: total lung capacity; RV: residual volume; DL$_{CO}$: carbon monoxide diffusing capacity of the lung; $P_{a,CO_2}$: arterial carbon dioxide tension; $P_{a,O_2}$: arterial oxygen tension; $P_{a,a,O_2}$: alveolar-arterial pressure difference for oxygen. (0.133 kPa = 1 mmHg.)
Table 2. Exercise performance (mean values±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
<th>ΔPostop-Preop</th>
<th>ΔPostop-Preop %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wmax</td>
<td>34.3±2.0</td>
<td>48.9±2.4</td>
<td>14.6±2.1</td>
<td>56±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pred</td>
<td>27±2</td>
<td>37±2</td>
<td>11±2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'O₂,max</td>
<td>10.0±0.4</td>
<td>12.8±0.3</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
<td>31±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td>636±27</td>
<td>803±30</td>
<td>166±20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pred max</td>
<td>36±2</td>
<td>45±3</td>
<td>9±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔV'O₂/AW</td>
<td>8.9±0.4</td>
<td>9.6±0.3</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL·min⁻¹·W⁻¹</td>
<td>587±30</td>
<td>821±34</td>
<td>234±24</td>
<td>47±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQmax</td>
<td>0.91±0.01</td>
<td>1.02±0.01</td>
<td>0.11±0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fRmax</td>
<td>29.6±1.2</td>
<td>28.5±0.9</td>
<td>-0.9±1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breaths·min⁻¹</td>
<td>29.5±1.5</td>
<td>38.6±1.8</td>
<td>9.2±1.2</td>
<td>35±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'Total</td>
<td>1.03±0.05</td>
<td>1.38±0.07</td>
<td>0.35±0.05</td>
<td>39±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'Emax/TVC</td>
<td>28±1</td>
<td>37±1</td>
<td>9±1</td>
<td>36±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'E,max /L·min⁻¹</td>
<td>29.5±1.5</td>
<td>38.6±1.8</td>
<td>9.2±1.2</td>
<td>35±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing</td>
<td>1.1±1.1</td>
<td>8.4±2.6</td>
<td>7.3±2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reserve</td>
<td>1±4</td>
<td>11±4</td>
<td>9±3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'E,max /V'O₂,max</td>
<td>46.3±1.1</td>
<td>48.1±1.3</td>
<td>1.9±1.1</td>
<td>-5±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'E,max /V'O₂,max</td>
<td>51.3±1.3</td>
<td>47.3±1.2</td>
<td>-4.0±1.4</td>
<td>-7±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRmax</td>
<td>119±3</td>
<td>122±3</td>
<td>3±2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR reserve</td>
<td>33±3</td>
<td>30±3</td>
<td>-3±2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V'O₂,max /HRmax</td>
<td>5.45±0.25</td>
<td>6.70±0.28</td>
<td>1.25±0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.36±0.01</td>
<td>7.36±0.01</td>
<td>-0.00±0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P'ACO₂</td>
<td>41±1</td>
<td>37±1</td>
<td>-4±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>41±1</td>
<td>37±1</td>
<td>-4±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P'O₂</td>
<td>57±2</td>
<td>60±2</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>39±2</td>
<td>44±3</td>
<td>5±3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 min walk</td>
<td>279±14</td>
<td>366±12</td>
<td>87±11</td>
<td>52±16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values were taken at maximal oxygen uptake (V'O₂,max) with the exception of external work efficiency (ΔV'O₂/AW). Differences significant at p<0.0005, and 95% confidence intervals not overlapping zero. ΔPostop-Preop: difference in postoperative minus corresponding preoperative value expressed in absolute units (or in %) of preoperative value. Wmax: maximal work rate; V'O₂,max: maximal carbon dioxide production; RQmax: maximal respiratory exchange ratio; fR: respiratory frequency; V'Total: maximal tidal volume; V'E,max: maximum minute ventilation; V'O₂,max: maximal oxygen consumption; V'D Dead: maximal dead space; HR: heart rate. For further definitions see legend of table 1. (1 mmHg = 0.133 kPa).

PA-aO₂ from rest to exercise was higher 3 months after LVRS than before surgery (1.84±0.31 kPa (14.8±2.3 mm Hg) versus 1.04±0.17 kPa (7.8±1.3 mm Hg); p<0.05). Before surgery P'ACO₂ increased by 9% from a resting value of 4.30±0.13 kPa (38±1 mm Hg) to 5.45±0.13 kPa (41±1 mm Hg) at maximal exercise (p<0.01). After LVRS there was no such exercise-induced increase in P'ACO₂ from rest to maximal exercise (P'ACO₂ at rest was 4.66±0.13 kPa (35±1 mm Hg); at maximal exercise 4.92±0.13 kPa (37±1 mm Hg), p<0.01) (tables 1 and 2).

To evaluate whether certain baseline characteristics of pulmonary function or exercise performance would assist in the selection of candidates for LVRS, we determined the median gain in V'O₂,max which was 2.70 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. This number was rounded to the nearest integer (i.e. 3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and the patients were then divided into two groups according to whether their postoperative gain in V'O₂,max was >3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (favourable responders, n=19) or <3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (modest responders n=21). With the exception of a slightly lower V'O₂,max, V'E,max and forced vital capacity (FVC) in the group with greater increase in V'O₂,max (>3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), the differences were statistically not significant (table 3). Furthermore, there was a loose negative correlation between preoperative V'O₂,max, V'Total and V'E,max with the postoperative gain in V'O₂,max (table 4), while the correlations of changes in V'O₂,max with preoperative measures of airflow obstruction and hyperinflation (FEV₁ and RV/TLC) were statistically not significant (table 4). On the other hand, the changes in V'O₂,max were correlated with the changes in V'E,max, V'Total and FEV₁ (table 4).

Discussion

We prospectively investigated the effects of bilateral video-assisted thoracoscopic LVRS on dyspnoea, pulmonary function and exercise performance in 40 patients with severe pulmonary emphysema. We found significant improvements in dyspnoea, airflow obstruction and hyperinflation. Furthermore, the 6 min walking distance and the maximal performance during bicycle spirometry improved. This was related to changes in respiratory mechanics and breathing pattern. Our findings corroborate the results of earlier studies that included a detailed analysis of exercise performance after LVRS by median sternotomy [13] and unilateral video-assisted thoracocopy [14]. We were able to extend the observations to a larger group of patients and to the study of the effects of bilateral LVRS by video-assisted thoracocopy.

Dyspnoea and pulmonary function at rest

According to our selection criteria for LVRS, the patients preoperatively suffered from severe dyspnoea related to pronounced airflow obstruction and hyperinflation (table 1). The reduction of breathlessness during daily activities as reflected in a decrease in the mean MRC dyspnoea score of 2 points (table 1) and the degree of improvement in pulmonary function (mean increase in FEV₁ of 55%, mean decrease in TLC of 8%, table 1) are similar to corresponding changes in dyspnoea and pulmonary function achieved by bilateral LVRS in other studies involving patients.
EXERCISE PERFORMANCE AFTER LVRS

with a comparable preoperative pulmonary impairment [3]. For example, the mean changes in FEV₁ and TLC were +51% and -14%, respectively, in 101 patients, 6 months after bilateral LVRS by median sternotomy [3]. In two other studies that included a detailed analysis of exercise performance, FEV₁ and TLC changed by 35% and 7%, respectively, three months after bilateral LVRS by median sternotomy [13], and FEV₁ increased by 30% 3–6 months after LVRS by unilateral video-assisted thoracoscopy [14]. The comparisons of our data with those from the cited studies suggests that the gain in pulmonary function achieved after bilateral LVRS by video-assisted thoracoscopy is similar to that after bilateral LVRS by median sternotomy [13], but may exceed the improvements obtained after unilateral LVRS by video-assisted thoracoscopy [14].

Maximal exercise performance

The increase in the 6 min walking distance of 52% from the preoperative value indicated an improvement in general exercise performance after LVRS, similar to the increase of 28–59% in this test found by other investigators [7, 21]. Accordingly, maximal performance during incremental bicycle exercise was significantly improved as well, as evidenced by an increase in maximal workload of 56% and in the V'⁰₂,max of 31% (table 2). Corresponding values three months after LVRS by median sternotomy were 46% (∆W_max) and 25% (∆V'⁰₂,max) [13]. For the study investigating the effects of unilateral video-assisted thoracoscopic LVRS [14] the relative changes in these variables were not reported, but the absolute values of W_max increased from 37 to 52 W, and V'⁰₂,max increased from 9.7 to 11.8 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ [14]. These changes are of a similar order of magnitude to those in the current study (table 2). The relatively greater improvement in W_max (56%) than in V'⁰₂,max (31%) was not related to a increase in external work efficiency since ∆V'⁰₂/∆W remained unchanged (table 2). Potential explanations for this effect are a greater motivation to perform exercise, an increase in cardiovascular fitness, as suggested by an unchanged heart rate at higher workload and a higher oxygen pulse (table 2), and increased muscle strength. In contrast to the protocol reported by other centres [14], these
Ventilatory mechanics and breathing patterns

The decreased or absent breathing reserve at maximal exercise suggests that exercise limitation in our patients was primarily related to a decreased ventilatory capacity, which is consistent with the known effects of severe chronic obstructive lung disease [22]. After surgery, when airflow obstruction and hyperinflation were improved (table 1), $V_{E,\text{max}}$ increased significantly. The correlation of the individual increases in $V_{E,\text{max}}$ and $V'_{O_{2,\text{max}}}$ with the increases in $FEV_1$ ($R=0.72$, $p<0.05$, and $R=0.53$, $p<0.05$, respectively) and with the decreases in $RV/TLC$ ($R=-0.60$, $p<0.05$, and $R=-0.49$, $p<0.05$, respectively) support the hypothesis that the improvements in airflow obstruction and hyperinflation are important factors contributing to increased exercise performance after surgery.

We found that the augmentation in $V'_{E,\text{max}}$ after LVRS was achieved by an increase in $VT$ while maximal breathing frequency remained unchanged (table 2). In addition, ventilation at various levels of isowatt exercise was similar before and after surgery, but after LVRS the corresponding levels of $V'_{E}$ were achieved by higher $VT$ and lower respiratory frequency ($f_R$) than preoperatively (fig. 1). Therefore, the rapid shallow breathing patterns which are characteristic for patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) during exercise [13, 14, 23] were partially improved by LVRS. These observations corroborate the findings of BENDITT et al. [13] in a group of emphysema patients with a similar degree of impairment prior to and improvements after LVRS.

In normal subjects, the increase in $V'_{E}$ during exercise is initially achieved by increasing both $VT$ and $f_R$. At higher work rates, however, when $VT$ has reached about 50–60% of vital capacity, further increases in $V'_{E}$ are predominately due to increases in $f_R$ [24]. In the current study, the $VT/TVC$ ratio at maximal exercise was only 28% before surgery but rose slightly to more normal values (37%) after LVRS (table 2). This is consistent with partial relief of severe airflow obstruction which may have been associated with a reduction in expiratory flow limitation and dynamic hyperinflation. Our data do not allow differentiation between various factors that may have contributed to the changes in breathing patterns and the increase in $V'_{E,\text{max}}$ such as improvements in bronchial obstruction related to restoration of elastic recoil [25], reduction of dynamic hyperinflation [26], and increases in inspiratory and expiratory muscle performance [27].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. – Comparison of baseline characteristics of pulmonary function and exercise performance as a function of postoperative gain in maximal oxygen uptake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V'<em>{O</em>{2,\text{max}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_R_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V'<em>{T</em>{\text{max}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V'_{E,\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_V/TLC$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$HR_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_V/TLC$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*; differences significant at $p<0.05$. For definitions see legends to tables 1 and 2.

| Table 4. – Correlation of preoperative baseline values and changes in various parameters with improvement in maximal oxygen uptake ($V'_{O_{2,\text{max}}}$) |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Correlation of $\Delta V'_{O_{2,\text{max}}}$ with preoperative values | Correlation of $\Delta V'_{O_{2,\text{max}}}$ with changes* |
| $R$ | $p$-value | $R$ | $p$-value |
| $V'_{O_{2,\text{max}}}$ mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ | $-0.60$ | $<0.001$ | $1$ | $0.34$ | $0.032$ |
| $W$ % pred | $-0.28$ | $NS$ | $0.10$ | $NS$ |
| $f_R$ breaths·min⁻¹ | $-0.21$ | $NS$ | $0.57$ | $<0.001$ |
| $V'_{T}$ L | $-0.34$ | $0.037$ | $0.76$ | $<0.001$ |
| $V'_{E}$ L·min⁻¹ | $-0.41$ | $<0.001$ | $0.53$ | $<0.001$ |
| FEV1 % pred | $-0.21$ | $0.026$ | $27\pm1$ | $0.001$ |
| $R_V/TLC$ | $0.29$ | $NS$ | $-0.49$ | $0.001$ |

*; changes were calculated as the difference between postoperative-preoperative value in per cent of the preoperative value of corresponding variables. R: coefficient of variation versus $V'_{O_{2,\text{max}}}$ (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). For definitions see legends to tables 1 and 2.
Gas exchange

The impairment of gas exchange at rest was reflected in a low diffusing capacity (preoperative DL,CO of 44% pred, table 1) as has previously been described for severe emphysema [28] and observed in candidates for LVRS in other centres [25, 29]. We did not observe a significant change in diffusing capacity after LVRS, which is consistent with data by Martin et al. [29] and Scurba et al. [25]. We believe that this is due to the fact that no significant amount of functional lung tissue is removed. Alternatively, the loss of lung parenchyma related to resection is offset by recruiting compressed parts of the remaining lung, thereby enhancing gas exchange capacity. Gelb et al. [30] even described a rise in DL,CO from 9% pred preoperatively to 38% pred after LVRS, and, in a more recent paper, from 18% to 43% pred [31]. These differences might be related to distinct morphologies of emphysema [19] or to differences in the amount and selection of resected target areas.

As a group, our candidates for LVRS, for whom hypercapnia (PaCO2 > 7.3 kPa (55 mmHg)) was an exclusion criterion [4], had only mild hypoxaemia at rest, and only six of them required long-term oxygen therapy according to standard criteria [20]. Three months after LVRS, we found a mild increase in resting PaO2 associated with a decrease in PaCO2, the PA-aO2 remaining unaltered (table 1). Two of the six patients who were on long-term oxygen therapy preoperatively no longer fulfilled the criteria for this treatment. Similar trends of changes in blood gases were reported by others [3, 13].

Before surgery, PaO2 decreased during exercise in comparison with resting values. After LVRS, the exercise-induced decrease in PaO2 persisted and the difference in the PA-aO2 between rest and exercise even increased. However, we did not measure the blood gases at comparable levels of exercise pre- and postoperatively (i.e., before surgery (table 2)). The capacity to maintain a relatively low PaO2 at a level of maximal exercise which exceeded that before surgery was related to a greater V'CO2,max which increased by 47% (table 2). This was achieved by the combined effects of an augmentation in V'E,max (by 35%) and a reduction in V'D,max/V'T,max (by 5%), the latter being less important since the ventilatory equivalent for CO2 decreased only slightly (by 7%, table 2). In other words, while dead space ventilation during maximal exercise was reduced to some degree after LVRS, the gain in V'CO2 was mainly related to improvements in ventilatory mechanics which provided the capacity to take deeper breaths at an unchanged R at during maximal exercise. These findings corroborate data reported by others [13, 14].

Correlation of preoperative pulmonary function and exercise performance with outcome after LVRS

The only baseline characteristics that differentiated patients with a >3 mL·kg-1·min-1 increase in V'O2,max from those with an increase in V'O2,max of 0.3 mL·kg-1·min-1 were V'O2,max and V'E,max. As a group, the patients with greater improvements in V'O2,max had lower baseline V'O2,max and V'E,max than the remainder of the patients (table 3). However, there was a large overlap among the groups and only 36% and 17% of the variability of the postopera-


