Inhalation of swine dust induces cytokine release in the upper and lower airways


ABSTRACT: In healthy subjects, acute inhalation of swine dust causes an influx of inflammatory cells into the airways and increased bronchial responsiveness. The exposure may also cause fever and generalized symptoms. It seems likely that proinflammatory cytokines are involved in the response to inhaled swine dust. Nasal and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) were performed before and after exposure. Exposure caused fever (>38°C) in three subjects, and approximately 25% of the subjects experienced symptoms. Bronchial responsiveness to methacholine increased by 3.5 (1.6–4.8) doubling doses (median (25th–75th percentile)). Following exposure, granulocytes increased more than 50 fold in BAL fluid and more than 40 fold in nasal lavage fluid. IL-1α and IL-1β increased significantly in BAL fluid (p<0.05) and nasal lavage fluid (p<0.01). IL-6 increased 25 fold in BAL and 15 fold in nasal lavage fluid (p<0.001). TNF-α was below detection limit (0.25 ng·L⁻¹) in most subjects before exposure and increased following exposure to 3.8 (2.4–5.7) and 1.3 (0.6–2.3) ng·L⁻¹ in BAL and nasal lavage fluid, respectively, (p<0.001). Total inhalable dust was 20.5 (14.6–30.0) mg·m⁻³ and the concentrations of airborne endotoxin, 3-hydroxylated (2-OH) fatty acid and muramic acid were 1.2 (0.8–1.4), 3.5 (2.2–4.5) and 0.9 (0.3–1.9) µg·m⁻³, respectively. There was a significant correlation between the IL-6 response in BAL fluid and exposure to dust endotoxin activity and 3-OH fatty acids (p<0.05). Otherwise, no significant correlations were found between exposure and the cytokine response.

We conclude that exposure to swine dust causes an intense upper and lower airway inflammation, which involves the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1, interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α.


Swine farmers have increased numbers of inflammatory cells, predominantly neutrophilic granulocytes, in the airways as assessed by bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) [1]. Exposure to airborne swine dust may cause symptoms, such as headache, fever, fatigue and malaise [2, 3], and leads to an intense airway inflammation [3], and increased bronchial responsiveness to methacholine [4], in healthy, previously unexposed subjects. It is not clear which components in the pig house environment are responsible for the airway reaction. Swine dust contains microorganisms, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [5]. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or endotoxin, is present in the walls of Gram-negative bacteria, and inhalation of LPS causes an intense acute airway inflammation [6]. We have, however, found evidence that endotoxin may not be the sole component in swine dust causing the inflammatory reaction [7, 8]. It has previously been demonstrated in healthy subjects, that interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) increase in peripheral blood a few hours after swine dust exposure [9]. IL-6 has systemic effects, and causes fever and induces production of hepatic acute phase proteins [10]. TNF-α, acting in close conjunction with interleukin-1 (IL-1), has a variety of effects in the regulation of the local inflammatory response [11]. It is, thus, plausible that these cytokines, which are probably produced and released in the airways following exposure to swine dust, are of importance for the local inflammatory reaction and, to some extent, may explain some of the symptoms following exposure.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate whether or not the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α are produced and released in the upper and lower airways following exposure to airborne swine dust. The...
inflammatory reaction was assessed by BAL and nasal lavage before and after exposure to dust in a swine confinement building. Furthermore, the aim was to evaluate a possible relationship between the airway inflammatory response and exposure to airborne dust. Dust levels of endotoxin were assessed both by a Limulus assay and by analysis of 3-hydroxy (3-OH) fatty acid, whereas levels of peptidoglycan were measured using muramic acid analysis.

Material and methods

Subjects

Twenty two (13 males and 9 females) nonsmoking volunteers, 22–50 (median 31) yrs of age, participated in the study. The subjects were previously not, or only occasionally, exposed to farm dust. None of the subjects had a history of allergic or respiratory diseases. None had experienced symptoms from the airways during the last month prior to the investigation. All subjects gave written informed consent, and the study was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

Study design

Spirometry followed by a bronchial methacholine challenge and BAL were performed on two separate days more than 2 weeks prior to the exposure to swine dust.

On the day of exposure, a nasal lavage was performed in the morning. The subjects were then exposed to swine dust for 3 h in a swine confinement building containing approximately 700 swine, weighing about 100 kg each. During these 3 h, the participants assisted the farmer in guiding the pigs through weighing boxes, a procedure that causes considerable aerosolization of settled dust. One or two subjects were exposed on each occasion. Each subject carried a personal dust sampler as dust. One or two subjects were exposed on each occasion. Each subject carried a personal dust sampler.

Seven hours after the start of exposure, another nasal lavage was performed, followed by spirometry and a bronchial methacholine provocation. Twenty four hours after the start of exposure, a second BAL was performed. Blood samples were drawn before, 5, 7 and 24 h after the exposure. Oral temperature was measured before, 5 and 7 h after the start of the exposure. The subjects were also instructed to measure oral temperature if they felt febrile after this time period.

All participants completed a symptom questionnaire, with questions about shivering, headache, weakness, muscle pain and nausea. The symptoms were reported using a five-grade scale (1 - 5), where: 1 denoted no symptom; and 5 indicated very severe symptoms. The subjects were regarded as symptomatic only if they responded with grade 4 or 5.

Nasal lavage

The procedure for nasal lavage previously described by BASCOM and PIPKORN [12, 13] was used, with minor modifications. During the lavage, the subject was seated with the neck extended to an angle of approximately 45° and with the soft palate closed. Five millilitres of 0.9% NaCl was instilled into each nostril, using a needleless syringe. After 10 s, the subject flexed the neck forward and expelled the liquid into a plastic basin, which was placed on ice during processing.

The volume of the combined lavage portions was measured and centrifuged at 200×g for 10 min at +4°C. The supernatant was aliquoted and kept frozen at -70°C until analysis. The pellet was resuspended in 0.9% NaCl with 0.1% human serum albumin (HSA), and the cells were counted in a Bürké chamber and the number of cells·mL⁻¹ of recovered fluid calculated. Cytocentrifuge-prepared slides were stained with May-Grünwald Giemsa stain and 300 cells were counted for cell differentials.

Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL)

Bronchoscopy was performed through the mouth with a flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope (Type 4B2; Olympus Optical Co. Ltd, Japan) under local anaesthesia with 2% lidocaine (xylocaine®, Astra, Södertälje, Sweden) after premedication with benzodiazepine and atropine. The bronchoscope was wedged in a middle lobe bronchus, and sterile saline solution at 37°C was instilled in five aliquots of 50 mL. After each instillation, the fluid was gently aspirated and collected in a siliconized plastic bottle kept on ice. The cellular component was immediately centrifuged at 400×g for 5 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was frozen in aliquots at -70°C for subsequent analysis. The BAL technique has been described in detail previously [14].

The pellet was resuspended in Hank’s solution Tris buffer 0.17 mol·L⁻¹, pH 7.4, SBL No. 56122; Stockholm, Sweden) and the cells were counted in a Bürker chamber. Smears for differential counts were prepared by cytocentrifugation after staining with May-Grünwald Giemsa. Four hundred cells were counted. The viability of the cells was measured by trypan blue exclusion.

Analyses in BAL and nasal lavage fluid

The concentrations of interleukin-1α and -1β (IL-1α and IL-1β) interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in lavage fluids were measured in duplicate by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). For IL-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α, a Quantikine™ high sensitivity (HS), two-site (sandwich) ELISA kit (R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, UK) was used. The Quantikine™ HS immunoassay uses an enzyme amplification system with alkaline phosphatase as described previously [15]. The lower detection limit of the assay for IL-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α was 0.125, 0.156 and 0.25ng·L⁻¹, respectively. If the concentration detected was above the standard curve the assay was repeated using a diluted sample and (normal sensitivity) Quantikine™ kits (R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, UK). As a control experiment, BAL fluid from seven subjects, obtained before and after exposure, was concentrated 14 fold by lyophilization and was subsequently analysed using Quantikine™ IL-6 and TNF-α kits (R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, UK). The results were compared with those obtained by using the Quantikine™ high sensitivity kit in nonconcentrated BAL fluid obtained from the same subjects in the same experiment.

IL-1α was analysed using a commercially available kit (R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, UK) with ELAST™ amplification system (DuPont, Nen®, Boston, MA, USA).
After conjugation with the second antibody, biotinyl-tyramide solution (1%) was added to each well of the microplate and the incubation lasted for 15 min at room temperature. The samples were then incubated with 1:500 streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for 30 min and the ELISA substrate was added. The standard curve for IL-1α included measurements between 0.49 and 31.3 ng·L⁻¹. Due to lack of lavage fluids, IL-1α was analysed in nasal lavage fluid from 19 subjects and in BAL fluid from 10 subjects, and IL-1α was analysed in lavage fluids from 10 subjects.

HSA was measured using inhibition ELISA, HSA (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)/0.15% Kathon™ CG at 10 µg·mL⁻¹ was bound to 96-well microtitre plates (Maxisorp, Nunc, Denmark) overnight at room temperature. After washing, standard dilutions (0.11–9 µg·mL⁻¹) of HSA, a human serum protein calibrator (Dakopatts, Copenhagen, Denmark), nasal lavage or BAL fluid were added to the wells in duplicate. The nasal lavage and BAL fluid were diluted 50 and 100 times, respectively, in PBS/0.1% Tween 20/0.15% Kathon/1 mM ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA)/1 M NaCl (dilution buffer). Peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-human albumin (Dakopatts, Copenhagen, Denmark) was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature after mixing the plate. After washing, the substrate TM Blue (soluble form, TSI-CDP, Høngsør, Denmark) was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature after mixing the plate. After washing, the substrate TM Blue (soluble form, TSI-CDP, Milford, MA, USA) was added, and the reaction terminated after 15 min with 1 M H₂SO₄. Absorbance was read at 450–650 nm using a Thermomax 250 reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), and the results were analysed with Softmax® software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). For duplicate intra-assay and interassay samples coefficients of variation (CVs) of <10 and 20%, respectively, were accepted. The CVs of the intra-assay and interassay variation were 4.3 and 7.4%, respectively.

Analyses in blood

IL-6 in serum was analysed with Quantikine™ IL-6 kits (R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, UK).

Lung function and bronchial responsiveness

Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and vital capacity (VC) were measured according to the American Thoracic Society (ATS) criteria [16] with a low resistance rolling-seal spirometer (OHIO model 840; Airco, Madison, WI, USA). Local reference values were used [17, 18].

Bronchial responsiveness was assessed by a methacholine provocation test. Inhalation of diluent was followed by inhalation of doubling concentrations of methacholine starting at 0.5 mg·mL⁻¹. The challenge was stopped either when FEV₁ had decreased by 20% compared to the value obtained after inhalation of diluent, or after inhalation of the highest methacholine concentration (32 mg·mL⁻¹). The cumulative dose causing a 20% decrease in FEV₁ (PD20) was calculated. The method is standardized with regard to inhalation flow (0.4 L·s⁻¹) and volume (0.8 L·breath⁻¹, 15 breaths during 60 s). The details of the procedure have been described previously [19].

Exposure measurements

Dust was sampled at an airflow of 2.0 L·min⁻¹ with personal samplers using 25 mm head open-phase filter cassettes (IOM) and air suction pumps (SKC Ltd, Dorset, UK). The cassettes were carried in the breathing zone and were equipped with 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters (Nucleopore®, Costar Corp. Headq., Cambridge, MA, USA). Total dust was measured by weighing (Mettler® ME 22 balance; Mettler, Greisensee, Switzerland) after 24 h of conditioning and compared with reference filters.

Endotoxin was extracted from filters by shaking in 10 mL of pyrogen-free water for 60 min. The extracts were centrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 g and the supernatants were frozen at -70°C until analysis. Endotoxin was measured after dilution with a chromogenic version of Limulus amebocyte lysate assay (QCL-1000; Endotoxin, BioWhittaker, Walkersville, USA, with Escherichia coli 0111:B4 as standard).

Aliquots of the filter extracts were transferred to test tubes equipped with Teflon-lined screw caps, dried, and heated over night at 100°C in 4 M hydrochloric acid. One millilitre of hexane was then added to each tube and the heptane phase was transferred to a separate tube after shaking. After evaporation of the hexane phase to dryness, the sample was heated overnight in 4 M methanolic hydrochloric acid at 100°C, extracted, purified by using a disposable silica gel column and subjected to trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatization. The molecular weight of environmental LPS is approximately 8,000 and contains four hydroxylated fatty acids. The number of 3-OH fatty acid moles was, therefore, divided by 4 and multiplied by 8,000 to give an estimate of the LPS weight. Analysis of 3-OH fatty acid was then performed as described previously [20]. The aqueous (acidic) phase was evaporated, subjected to TMS derivatization, and analysed for muramic acid [21]. Muramic acid forms 10–20% of the total peptidoglycan mass. The peptidoglycan content was, thus, estimated by multiplication of the muramic acid concentration by 100/15.

Statistics

Results are presented as medians (25th–75th percentiles) with the exception of lung function parameters, which are presented as mean values (SEM). For comparisons, Wilcoxon signed rank tests were used. Differences were considered significant when the p-value was less than 0.05. Correlations were calculated with Spearman's rank correlation. For comparisons between cytokine measurements with different kits, Pearson's coefficient of correlation was used.

Results

The oral temperature was 36.2°C (range 36.1–36.4°C) prior to exposure and increased to 37.0°C (range 36.7–37.4°C) following exposure. Three subjects had oral temperature >38°C. Twenty one of the 22 subjects responded to the questionnaire (table 1). Two experienced shivering, two headache, three malaise and one muscle pain, according to our estimation of significant symptoms. No symptoms were recorded prior to exposure.
The granulocyte concentration in BAL fluid increased more than 50 fold (from 1.9 (1.0–2.4) to 107 (53–199) ×10⁶ cells·L⁻¹; p<0.001). The number of lymphocytes and monocytes was more than doubled following exposure (from 4.9 (3.1–8.7) to 10.0 (6.3–19.9) ×10⁶ cells·L⁻¹ and from 85 (68–99) to 188 (133–275) ×10⁶ cells·L⁻¹, respectively; p<0.01 and p<0.001).

The total cells in nasal lavage fluid increased from 3.6 (1.8–7.4) ×10⁶ cells·L⁻¹ before exposure to 79 (35–185) ×10⁶ cells·L⁻¹ after exposure. Prior to exposure, differential count was possible in nasal lavage fluid in only 13 subjects. In these subjects there was an increase in neutrophils from 2.7 (0.3–13.1) to 21 (45–229) ×10⁶ cells·L⁻¹.

The results of the cytokine analyses are presented in figures 1 and 2. Following exposure, TNF-α and IL-6 increased in BAL fluid in all subjects and the levels of IL-1α and IL-1β were unchanged (below detection limit) in four and two subjects, respectively, but increased in all other subjects. IL-1α and IL-1β in nasal lavage fluid increased following exposure in all subjects.

TNF-α in BAL and nasal lavage fluid was below the detection limit (0.25 ng·L⁻¹) in most subjects before exposure and increased to 3.8 (2.4–5.7) and 1.3 (0.6–2.3) ng·L⁻¹, respectively, after exposure (p<0.001). IL-6 concentration increased more than 25 fold (from 0.43 (0.21–0.78) to 11.6 (8.1–21.0) ng·L⁻¹; p<0.001) in BAL fluid and 15 fold in nasal lavage fluid (from 1.4 (0.6–2.6) to 21 (14–40) ng·L⁻¹; p<0.001).

In BAL fluid, there was a slight but significant increase in IL-1α and IL-1β (p<0.05), while the increases in nasal lavage fluid were more pronounced: 3.2 (2.2–5.8) to 6.0 (5.6–9.3) ng·L⁻¹ (p<0.01) and 2.6 (0.8–3.9) to 8.5 (6.2–19.8) ng·L⁻¹ (p<0.001), respectively.

There was a good correlation between measurements using the high sensitivity kit and normal kits on concentrated samples (Pearson’s r² = 0.96 for IL-6, and 0.62 for TNF-α; p< 0.001).

The albumin concentration in BAL and nasal lavage fluid approximately doubled from 19 (14–25) to 40 (25–47) mg·L⁻¹ (p<0.001) and from 12 (10–20) to 33 (23–66) mg·L⁻¹ (p<0.001), respectively.

IL-6 concentration in venous blood increased from 0.6 (0.3–0.9) to 19.2 (5.8–32.9) ng·L⁻¹ 5 h after exposure, and decreased to 9.9 (4.8–25.9) and 1.4 (1.1–2.0) ng·L⁻¹.
ng·L⁻¹ 7 and 24 h after exposure, respectively. All post-exposure values were significantly higher than the pre-exposure values (p<0.001).

**Lung function and bronchial responsiveness**

Pre-exposure FEV₁ and VC were 101 (1) and 104 (2) % of predicted value. FEV₁ fell by 5% (4.4 (0.2) to 4.2 (0.2) L; p<0.001) and VC by 2% (5.4 (0.2) to 5.3 (0.2) L; p<0.01) 7 h after the start of exposure.

PD₂₀ for methacholine fell from 5.2 (1.3–24.4) mg before exposure to 0.7 (0.2–1.2) mg after exposure (p< 0.001), which corresponds to a decrease by 3.5 (1.6–4.8) doubling doses of methacholine. PD₂₀ following exposure was decreased in all subjects and was less than half the value before exposure in 20 out of the 22 participants.

**Exposure**

Total airborne inhalable dust concentration was 20.5 (14.6–30.0) mg·m⁻³. The endotoxin concentration was 1.2 (0.8–1.4) µg·m⁻³ according to the Limulus analysis, and 3.5 (2.2–4.5) µg·m⁻³ according to 3-OH fatty acid analyses. The concentration of muramic acid 0.9 (0.3–1.9) µg·m⁻³ corresponded to a peptidoglycan concentration of 6.0 (2.0–12.7) µg·m⁻³.

**Correlations**

There was a significant correlation between post-exposure IL-6 levels in BAL fluid and the inhaled dust endotoxin activity (Rho = 0.49; p<0.05) and 3-OH fatty acid content (Rho = 0.47; p<0.05). No other significant correlations were found between exposure and the cytokine response in lower or upper airways. A weak but significant correlation was found between the increase in albumin in BAL fluid and the endotoxin activity in inhaled dust (Rho = 0.48; p< 0.05).

Post-exposure levels of IL-6 and TNF-α in BAL were significantly correlated with the increase in granulocytes (Rho = 0.55; p<0.02 for both). Otherwise, no significant correlation was found between the cellular reaction and the cytokine response.

The increase in bronchial responsiveness (PD₂₀ doubling doses) did not correlate significantly with the cellular or cytokine response.

**Discussion**

In the present study, it has been confirmed that exposure to swine dust causes symptoms, such as fever, headache and malaise, intense airway inflammation, with a dramatic influx of inflammatory cells into the upper and lower airways, and increased bronchial responsiveness [3, 4, 22]. In addition, we have shown that inhaled swine dust causes a release of the proinflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1α and IL-1β, in the upper and lower airways. There are many cell types, such as monocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes, fibroblasts, neutrophils, epithelial and endothelial cells, in the airways, which are capable of IL-1 production [23]. Both IL-1α and IL-1β could be produced by one cell type but there...
are also cells which are specialized to produce IL-1 or one or the other type. Thus, monocytes/macrophages are the major source of IL-1β [24], whilst T-cells are predominantly IL-1α producers [25]. IL-6 is produced by epithelial and endothelial cells, monocytes/macrophages and lymphocytes [26], and alveolar macrophages are the major source of TNF-α in the lower airways [11]. We have previously demonstrated that swine dust induces secretion of IL-6 (but not IL-1 and TNF-α) from a bronchial epithelial cell line [27], and IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α from alveolar macrophages in vitro [28]. It thus seems probable that an important source of the cytokines found in BAL fluid in the present study are epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages.

It is not clear which cells are responsible for the cytokine production in the nose. Nasal epithelial cells may be one of the major sources for IL-1 and TNF-α [29]. In the present study, we could not demonstrate an increase in cells other than neutrophils in nasal lavage fluid following exposure. However, macrophages, dendritic cells and lymphocytes are known to be increased in the nasal mucosa in rhinitis [30], and may also have been of importance as sources of cytokines in the present study.

The exposure took place whilst weighing pigs. This procedure results in a high degree of agitation and aerosolization of settled dust and the exposure to airborne dust is very high. We found a concentration of airborne dust which was about twice as high as that found in pig houses during regular working shifts [5]. It is not clear which are the components in swine dust that are responsible for the inflammatory reaction. Air sampled from pig confinement buildings contains grain dust, ammonia, fungi and bacteria, mostly Gram-positive but also Gram-negative [5]. LPS from endotoxin in Gram-negative bacteria is a potent stimulus for cytokine production in alveolar macrophages, and inhalation of endotoxin induces airway inflammation with a cellular reaction dominated by neutrophils [6]. Although endotoxin could be responsible for the airway reaction, there are findings indicating that other components are probably of importance. Studies in our laboratory have shown that swine dust is more potent than LPS in stimulating the release of IL-6 and IL-8 from epithelial cells [7], whilst LPS seems to be a more potent stimulus than swine dust inducing production of those cytokines in alveolar macrophages (unpublished observation).

TNF-α and IL-1 influence cell migration during inflammatory reactions. These cytokines act synergistically on the stimulation of polymorphonuclear neutrophilic granulocyte migration [31], and stimulate vascular endothelial cells to promote transendothelial passage of neutrophils, albumin, and fluid in vitro and in animal experiments [32–34]. TNF-α, IL-1 and LPS induce the expression of the adhesion molecules intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and E-selectin on endothelial cells, promoting adhesion to granulocytes, monocytes and lymphocytes [35–38]. The production of TNF-α and IL-1 in the upper and lower airways could, thus, contribute to the influx of inflammatory cells following exposure. There are results from animal experiments supporting such an assumption [39]. It is, however, plausible that other mechanisms are also of importance. We have shown that interleukin-8 (IL-8) [21] and leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄) increase in lavage fluid following exposure to swine dust (unpublished observations). Both these mediators are potent chemotactic factors for inflammatory cells and probably contributed to the chemoattraction of granulocytes and lymphocytes in the present setting.

Data from animal experiments suggest that the cytokine production commences less than 1 h following exposure to endotoxin [40]. We have previously shown that TNF-α and IL-6 reach maximal levels in peripheral blood 2–5 h and 4–11 h after exposure, respectively [9]. Although the blood concentrations were not followed in detail in the present study, the data support our previous findings. In the present study, there were still high cytokine concentrations in the lavage fluid although BAL was performed 24 h after exposure. We do not know the time course for the airway reaction and the BAL fluid is obtained at a time-point when it is not clear whether the inflammatory reaction is increasing or declining. The exposure may induce an acute inflammatory reaction, which continuously decreases after exposure although it is still detectable 24 h after exposure. There is also a possibility that the inhalation of dust leads to airway deposition and that deposited material acts as a continuous stimulus for the inflammatory response.

We did not find a correlation between the inflammatory response and the increase in bronchial responsiveness on an individual basis. For two reasons, such a relationship should not necessarily be anticipated. Firstly, the BAL does not primarily reflect the reaction in the airways, but rather in more peripheral airways, predominantly the alveolar space. Secondly, the bronchial methacholine challenge was performed 7 h after exposure, i.e. at a time-point when the cellular and cytokine responses in the lower airways were not studied. The present study was not designed to give information about the relationship between airways inflammation and the increase in bronchial responsiveness.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that three hours exposure to dust in a pig house leads to an intense inflammation in upper and lower airways and that this reaction involves cytokines, such as interleukin-1 and -6 and tumour necrosis factor-α.
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