Nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine induced by repetitive exposure to cedar pollen in guinea-pigs


ABSTRACT: Nasal hyperresponsiveness is one of the characteristic features of the pathogenesis of allergic rhinitis. This study examined whether repetitive inhalation of antigen (Japanese cedar pollen) led to the development of nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine in sensitized conscious guinea-pigs.

Guinea-pigs were repeatedly challenged by pollen inhalation once every week following sensitization by means of intranasal application of pollen extract plus aluminium hydroxide. The upper airways obstruction (increase in specific airway resistance (sRaw)) in response to intranasally instilled histamine was measured as an index of nasal (hyper)responsiveness.

The hyperresponsiveness to histamine gradually developed with repeated pollen inhalation challenge, and the airway response at the 20th and 24th challenges was three to four orders of magnitude higher than that in nonsensitized animals. Similar degrees of hyperresponsiveness were observed at 10 h and 2 days after a pollen inhalation challenge, but the hyperresponsiveness had almost disappeared by day 7. The increased responsiveness was suppressed by pretreatment with mepyramine but not with atropine. The maximum sRaw, which was observed 10 min after histamine instillation, was largely blocked by naphazoline. Hyperresponsiveness was hardly observed on methacholine instillation.

The present allergic rhinitis model, showing marked nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine after repeated intranasal allergen challenge in guinea pigs, should be useful for investigating the pathogenesis of allergic rhinitis.
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Nasal hyperresponsiveness is one of the major disorders in patients suffering from allergic rhinitis, which is characterized by symptoms of rhinitis in response to exposure to daily life stimuli such as cold air, hot spicy food, dust and fumes. When patients with upper airway hyperresponsiveness are challenged by intranasal application of histamine, sneeze, rhinorrhea and nasal airway obstruction are more evident than in healthy individuals [1]. In particular, >80% of patients with perennial rhinitis show obvious nasal hyperresponsiveness to nonspecific stimuli, suggesting that repetitive exposure to allergen increases sensitivity to not only that allergen but also other stimuli [2]. Although the mechanism of the occurrence of hyperresponsiveness in the upper airways as well as that in the lower airway has been the subject of considerable study, its details are still unclear. The mechanism of upper airway hyperresponsiveness has mainly been investigated in rhinitis patients [3–6]. However, owing to the limitations of clinical research, an adequate experimental animal model is indispensable for investigating the mechanism that brings about the symptom of rhinitis.

Recently, an allergic rhinitis model developed by repetitive inhalation challenge with quantitative amounts of Japanese cedar pollen as antigen in sensitized guinea-pigs was reported [7]. Following intranasal sensitization by instillation of the antigen and aluminium hydroxide adjuvant, the animal develops not only increasing levels of specific anaphylactic antibodies in its serum but also more frequent sneezes and exhibits biphasic elevation of specific airway resistance (sRaw) in response to repeated pollen inhalation challenge.

In the present study, experimental allergic rhinitis was further characterized in terms of the acquisition of nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine, and pharmacological analyses were performed in order to elucidate the part of the mechanism underlying the occurrence of hyperresponsiveness.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male, 3-week-old, Hartley guinea-pigs weighing 250–300 g were purchased from Japan SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan). The animals were housed in an air-conditioned room at a temperature of 23±1°C and 60±10% humidity, illuminated 08:00–20:00 h. They were fed a standard laboratory diet and given water ad libitum. The first sensitization was started 2 weeks after purchase. Animals were examined in the conscious condition, and, for sensitization, they were given local anaesthesia.
This animal study was approved by the Experimental Animal Research Committee at Kyoto Pharmaceutical University.

Reagents

Reagents and their sources were as follows: Japanese cedar (Cryptomeria japonica) pollen (donated from the laboratory of Torii Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Chiba, Japan), histamine dihydrochloride and methacholine chloride (MCh) (Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan), mepyramine maleate and atropine sulphate (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), and naphazoline hydrochloride (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA). The other reagents used were the highest grade of commercial product available.

Al(OH)₃ gels were prepared from 0.5 N NaOH and 0.5 N Al₂(SO₄)₃ as previously described [8].

Study design

Guinea-pigs were repeatedly challenged once every week with cedar pollen following sensitization with pollen extract plus Al(OH)₃. The time-course of change in sRaw after intranasal instillation of histamine was measured 2 days after the 13th pollen inhalation challenge in sensitized guinea-pigs. The nasal responsiveness to various concentrations of histamine and MCh in the sensitized guinea-pigs was evaluated 2 days after the 20th and the 22nd challenges, respectively, in comparison with that in the nonsensitized animal. Furthermore, the nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine and MCh was evaluated 10 h and 2 and 7 days after the 24th and the 26th antigen provocation, respectively. In a separate group of sensitized animals, time-related changes in nasal responsiveness to histamine during the course of repetitive antigen inhalation challenges were investigated using the animals 2 days after the respective 1st-20th pollen challenges. The effects of mepyramine (a histamine receptor (H₁) antagonist), atropine (a cholinergic receptor antagonist) and naphazoline (an α-adrenergic) on nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine were examined on the 2nd day after the respective 22nd, 24th and 26th challenges. The possible participation of nasal vascular permeability in the hyperresponsiveness to histamine was also assessed by means of dye leakage method.

Preparation of Japanese cedar pollen extract

The cedar pollen extract used for the sensitization was prepared according to a previously described method [7]. In brief, the pollen was suspended at 100 mg·mL⁻¹ in physiological saline and allowed to stand for 18 h at 4°C with mild stirring. The suspension was then centrifuged (1,700 × g, 15 min), and the resultant supernatant used as the sensitization antigen; this was stored at -80°C until use. The protein concentration in the solution was quantified according to the method of BENSADOUN and WEINSTEIN [9]; it was estimated to be 500 μg protein·mL⁻¹.

Sensitization and challenge

As previously described [7], guinea-pigs were bilaterally intranasally sensitized by instillation with 3 μL per nostril of cedar pollen extract adsorbed on Al(OH)₃ gel at 1 μg protein·mg Al(OH)₃⁻¹·10 mL⁻¹ twice daily for 7 days. Prior to each sensitization, the upper airway mucosal surface was topically anaesthetized by subjecting the animal to a 2-min inhalation of a 4% lignocaine hydrochloride mist (Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan), which was generated via an ultrasonic nebulizer (NE-U12; Omron, Osaka, Japan). This procedure was conducted in order to obtain effective sensitization by means of prolonged retention of the antigen plus Al(OH)₃ in the nasal cavity; it has been reported that lignocaine reduces the ciliary beat frequency of the guinea-pig airway in vitro [10] and that topical anaesthetic drugs do not decrease mucosal absorbency [11]. Then, the sensitized animals were bilaterally intranasally challenged once every week by quantitative inhalation of the cedar pollen at a dose of 1.8 mg·nostril⁻¹ via a handmade inhalation apparatus [12]. When the pollen was applied to both nostrils of spontaneously breathing guinea-pigs, almost all of the inhaled pollen was trapped in the upper airways; 10 and 60 min after the inhalation, 81 and 55% of the pollen, respectively, was found in the upper airway, but less than 0.001% reached the lower airways [12]. The decreased amount of pollen in the upper airway with time after inhalation was mostly accounted for by pollen cell walls found in the oesophagus and stomach.

When nonsensitized guinea-pigs were forced to inhale pollen, the guinea-pigs showed no increases in either sRaw or responsiveness to histamine (data not shown).

Measurement of specific airway resistance

sRaw was measured by means of a two-chambered double-flow plethysmographic system according to the method of PENNOCK et al. [13]. In brief, the animal was placed with its neck extending through the partition of a two-chambered box, and sRaw was measured using a Data analyser Pulmos-I (Medical Interface Project Station, Osaka, Japan) and a PC 9801 FA computer (NEC, Tokyo, Japan) after monitoring the airflow via sensors attached to both the front and rear chambers.

Nasal responsiveness to histamine and methacholine chloride

In order to estimate the time-course of the response to histamine in the nasal airway, 10 μL·cavity⁻¹ 10⁻⁴ M histamine was instilled into both nasal cavities of the conscious sensitized guinea-pigs 2 days after the 13th pollen inhalation challenge, and then sRaw was monitored for 60 min. Two days after the 20th and the 22nd inhalation challenges, the airway responsiveness to, respectively, histamine and MCh of the sensitized guinea-pigs was measured. The time-course of changes in nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine and MCh following antigen challenge in sensitized guinea-pigs was evaluated 10 h and 2 and 7 days after the 24th and 26th antigen provocations, respectively. The hyperresponsiveness tests described above were performed according to the following procedures: saline (10 μL·cavity⁻¹) and increasing doses of histamine or MCh were consecutively applied bilaterally to the nasal cavities of the sensitized guinea-pigs or the nonsensitized guinea-pigs at an interval of 20 min. sRaw was measured before
suspension centrifuged for 10 min at 1,700 rpm according to the method of KATAYAMA et al. [14]. For the controls, nonsensitized saline-instilled and nonsensitized histamine-instilled groups were prepared.

Effects of drugs on the increased specific airway resistance induced by histamine and methacholine chloride

In order to evaluate the effects of antihistaminic drugs and anti-cholinergic drugs on nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine, mepyramine (10 mg·kg⁻¹, i.p.) and atropine (1 mg·kg⁻¹, p.o.) were administered 1 h before application of saline to the sensitized group on the 2nd day after the respective 22nd and 24th challenges.

On the 2nd day after the 26th challenge, naphazoline (0.1 mg·kg⁻¹, i.v.), an α-adrenergic, was administered 8 min after the application of histamine (10⁻⁴ M) or MCh (10⁻² M). sRaw was measured just before and 2 min after α-adrenergic treatment.

Measurement of the change in vascular permeability

Plasma extravasation into the lamina propria and luminal entry as a result of histamine instillation were evaluated. Evans blue (1%, 1 mL·kg⁻¹, i.v.) was administered to the sensitized guinea-pigs 2 days after the 28th pollen inhalation. One hour later, 10⁻⁴ M histamine was instilled into both nasal cavities in the same manner as described above. Ten minutes after instillation, the guinea-pigs were sacrificed by exsanguination under pentobarbital anaesthesia (40 mg·kg⁻¹, i.v.). The following procedures were carried out for quantification of the dye that had leaked into the luminal entry and lamina propria. To quantify the dye in the luminal entry, the nasal cavities were perfused with 2 mL·animal⁻¹ saline through the pharynx and the perfusate was collected. The perfusate was centrifuged (1,700 × g, 10 min), and then the amount of Evans blue in the supernatant measured colorimetrically at 620 nm. To qualify the dye in the lamina propria, the nasal mucosal tissue was removed after perfusion of the head with 40 mL·animal⁻¹ saline through the carotid artery. The tissue was treated with alkali (1.2 N KOH, 18 h at 37°C, 2 mL·animal⁻¹), the suspension centrifuged for 10 min at 1,700 × g and the dye in the supernatant colorimetrically measured at 620 nm, according to the method of KATAYAMA et al. [14]. For the controls, nonsensitized saline-instilled and nonsensitized histamine-instilled groups were prepared.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed by means of one-way analysis of variance. If a significant difference was detected, the individual group difference was determined using Bonferroni’s multiple test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Time-course of change in specific airway resistance after histamine instillation

Figure 1 shows the time-course of the change in sRaw after instillation of 10⁻⁴ M histamine into both nasal cavities of the sensitized guinea-pigs 2 days after the 13th pollen inhalation challenge. Instillation of histamine caused a swift elevation of sRaw which peaked at 10 min, followed by gradual diminution until 60 min after instillation. However, a moderate increase in sRaw was still detectable at 60 min. Based on these results, sRaw was measured 10 min after histamine and MCh instillation.

Nasal responsiveness to histamine and methacholine chloride

The nasal responsiveness to histamine and MCh of the sensitized guinea-pigs was evaluated 2 days after the respective 20th and 22nd pollen challenges in comparison with that of the nonsensitized animals. These results are shown in figure 2. In the sensitized animals, 10⁻⁸ and 10⁻⁶ M histamine tended to elevate sRaw, and significant dose-dependent increases were observed at 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻² M. In contrast, the nonsensitized guinea-pigs showed only modest increases, even at 10⁻² M. The dose/response curve for histamine indicated that the sensitized guinea-pigs were 3–4 orders of magnitude more sensitive to histamine than the nonsensitized animals. Conversely, no apparent difference was seen between the two groups in the dose-related changes in sRaw in response to MCh.

Time-course of change in nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine and methacholine chloride after pollen inhalation challenge

The time-course of changes in nasal hyperresponsiveness of the sensitized guinea-pigs to histamine and MCh were evaluated 10 h and 2 and 7 days after the respective
24th and 26th antigen challenges in comparison with nonsensitized group. Figures 3 and 4 show the results. In the sensitized group, the dose/sRaw response curve for histamine at 10 h was shifted to the left by 3–4 orders of magnitude, which was similar to that obtained on the 2nd day. However, this marked hyperresponsiveness had almost disappeared by the 7th day. Conversely, no apparent difference was seen between the nonsensitized and sensitized groups in the dose/sRaw response to MCh at 10 h, or 2 or 7 days.

Nasal responsiveness to histamine during the course of repetitive challenges

Table 1 shows the baseline sRaw 2 days after the respective 1st–20th pollen challenges in the sensitized group, which were measured before histamine instillation. The sRaw were not significantly different from the nonsensitized group at any time.

Figure 5 shows the results of evaluating nasal responsiveness to $10^{-4}$ M histamine 2 days after the respective 1st–20th pollen challenges in sensitized guinea-pigs. At the 1st–3rd pollen inhalations, histamine caused moderate changes in the sensitized group that were greater than those in the nonsensitized group, but these increases were not significantly different from those in the nonsensitized group. At the 4th pollen challenge, significant hyperresponsiveness was obtained. The degree of hyperresponsiveness was elevated in an antigen challenge-dependent fashion until the 20th antigen challenge.

Effects of mepyramine and atropine on the increased specific airway resistance induced by histamine

Figure 6 shows the effects of mepyramine and atropine on nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine. Pretreatment with 10 mg·kg$^{-1}$ mepyramine suppressed the hyperresponsiveness to a level similar to that found in the nonsensitized group. The inhibitory action of the drug against the responses induced by both $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-2}$ M histamine was significant. However, pretreatment with 1 mg·kg$^{-1}$ atropine had no inhibitory effect on the response.
Effect of naphazoline on spontaneous and histamine-
and methacholine chloride-induced increase in specific
airway resistance

Figure 7 shows the effects of intravenous naphazoline
on spontaneous & Raw in the nonsensitized group and the
elevated & Raw induced by histamine (10^-4 M) and MCh
(10^-2 M) in the sensitized group 2 days after the respective
24th and 26th challenges. In the nonsensitized group
without histamine instillation, naphazoline potently low-
ered the spontaneous & Raw (by ~0.6 cmH2O·s). Conver-
sely, in the sensitized group, the drug not only completely
blocked the increased & Raw induced by histamine, but
also lowered the normal level (by ~0.35 cmH2O·s). How-
ever, the degree of lowering in the sensitized group was
slightly weaker than that in the nonsensitized one. Napha-
zoline blocked the increase in & Raw induced by instillation
of MCh to a level similar to that observed in the non-
sensitized, α-adrenergic-treated group.

Nasal vascular permeability response to histamine

Table 2 shows the nasal vascular permeability induced
by 10^-4 M histamine instillation 2 days after the 28th
pollen challenge in the sensitized group. When histamine
was instilled into the nasal cavities of nonsensitized gui-
nea-pigs, no changes in the amount of dye in the airway
tissues were observed 10 min after instillation. However,
in the sensitized group, histamine induced significant
increases in the amount of Evans blue in both the lamina
propria and luminal entry, by 10 and 0.35 mg·animal^-1,
respectively, compared to nonsensitized saline-instilled
group.

As the concentrations of Evans blue and total protein in
the plasma were estimated to be 102±7.4 μg·mL^-1 (n=6)
and 50.4±0.9 mg·mL^-1 (n=6) respectively the amount of
plasma protein and plasma volume that had leaked into the
lamina propria and luminal entry were calculated as ~5 and
~0.2 mg·animal^-1 and ~100 and ~3.5 mL·animal^-1, re-
spectively.

Discussion

In the present study, it was investigated whether nas-
al hyperresponsiveness, one of the characteristic symp-
toms of patients suffering from allergic rhinitis, was also
observed in the model used when a chemical stimulus,

Table 1. – Specific airway resistance (sRaw) 2 days after
allergen challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allergen challenge</th>
<th>Nonsensitized group</th>
<th>Sensitized group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>sRaw cmH2O·s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.21±0.05</td>
<td>1.30±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.56±0.06</td>
<td>1.43±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.49±0.06</td>
<td>1.42±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.54±0.08</td>
<td>1.60±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.40±0.07</td>
<td>1.41±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.57±0.07</td>
<td>1.44±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.81±0.06</td>
<td>1.71±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.31±0.07</td>
<td>1.31±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.88±0.15</td>
<td>1.76±0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SEM (n=12).
histamine, was applied to the nasal cavities. Because the guinea-pig functionally respirates through the nose but not through the mouth, \( R_{aw} \) can be taken as the total resistance of the upper and lower airways in the animal. It has been reported that the early bronchoconstrictor response is characterized by rapid and shallow breathing in a guinea-pig asthmatic model [15]. When guinea-pigs were forced to inhale a fine mist of histamine solution (10\(^{-3}\)–10\(^{-1}\) M), ~80% of which was trapped in and acted on the bronchi, rapid and shallow respiration was observed (unpublished data). Conversely, it has been previously reported that the pollen inhalation challenge-induced elevation of \( R_{aw} \) correlated well with the decrease in respiratory frequency in the present experimental allergic rhinitis model [7]. A similar correlation was also observed after intranasal instillation of histamine (data not shown). Furthermore, intranasal instillation of leukotriene \( \text{D}_4 \), a well-known potent airway smooth muscle constrictor, did not have any effect on \( R_{aw} \), even at high concentration in nonsensitized guinea-pigs (unpublished data, manuscript in preparation). NARITA et al. [16] have reported that 10 min and 1 h after application of 100 \( \mu \)L Evans blue solution as a nasal drip, most of the dye was found within the nasal cavity, and there was no staining in the larynx, trachea or bronchus. These results and reports indicate that intranasally instilled agonists almost exclusively affect the upper airway, not the lower airway, and that the mechanisms of upper airway obstruction are quite different from those of the lower airways. Therefore, the increase in \( R_{aw} \) induced by histamine instillation, which was chosen as the index for hyperresponsiveness to the agonist, can be considered to reflect upper airway obstruction in the present model.

The intensity of nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine instillation increased with the number of antigen exposures, and nasal hyperresponsiveness was evident at 10 h and 2 days, but not at 7 days, following the inhalation provocation. In individuals with pollinosis, in whom the excessive mucus production is a prominent clinical symptom, the increased nasal mucus flow may further enhance the association between nasal congestion and nasal hyperresponsiveness [17].

**Fig. 6.** – Effect of: a) mepyramine (■) and b) atropine (Δ) on nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine in sensitized (■) guinea-pigs (○: nonsensitized guinea-pigs) 2 days after the 22nd (mepyramine) or the 24th cedar pollen inhalation challenge (atropine). Mepyramine (10 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and atropine (1 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) were administered orally 1 h prior to the saline instillation. Data are presented as mean±SEM (n=8–12). Specific airway resistances (\( R_{aw} \)) before saline instillation (B) were 1.86±0.10, 1.95±0.12 and 2.04±0.14 cmH\(_2\)O s\(^{-1}\) in nonsensitized, sensitized and sensitized drug-treated guinea-pigs respectively (NS) (a) and 2.09±0.09, 1.76±0.14 and 1.91±0.11 cmH\(_2\)O s\(^{-1}\) (NS) (b) Δ: change; S: saline instillation. *,**: p<0.05, p<0.01 compared to the nonsensitized group.

**Fig. 7.** – Effect of naphazoline on nasal hyperresponsiveness to: a) histamine (10\(^{-5}\)); and b) methacholine chloride (MCh, 10\(^{-2}\) M) in sensitized guinea-pigs 2 days after the 22nd pollen inhalation challenge. Naphazoline (8 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) was administered intravenously 8 min after intranasal instillation of histamine or MCh. Changes in specific airway resistance (\( R_{aw} \)) were measured 2 min after naphazoline treatment. Data are presented as mean±SEM (n=8). \( R_{aw} \) before the instillation were 1.44±0.12, 1.65±0.15, 1.53±0.11 and 1.45±0.07 cmH\(_2\)O s\(^{-1}\) in nonsensitized, nonsensitized naphazoline-treated, sensitized and nonsensitized naphazoline-treated guinea-pigs respectively (NS) (a) and 1.78±0.17, 1.46±0.04, 1.65±0.15 and 1.45±0.03 cmH\(_2\)O s\(^{-1}\) (NS) (b). Δ: change.

**Table 2.** – Amount of Evans blue in the lamina propria and luminal entry following intranasal instillation of histamine in sensitized guinea-pigs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Evans blue μg·animal(^{-1})</th>
<th>Lamina propria</th>
<th>Luminal entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonsensitized saline-instilled</td>
<td>19.9±3.9</td>
<td>0.40±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsensitized histamine-instilled</td>
<td>21.4±2.0</td>
<td>0.41±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitized/histamine-instilled</td>
<td>31.4±1.4*</td>
<td>0.75±0.17**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SEM (n=10). *: p<0.05 compared to nonsensitized/saline-instilled group. **: p<0.05 compared to nonsensitized/histamine-instilled group.
antigen exposure period and the antigen-free period can clearly be distinguished, nasal symptoms including sneezing, rhinorrhea and nasal obstruction are markedly enhanced in the pollen season, but no apparent hyperresponsiveness is observed during the off-season [6]. In addition, it is generally acknowledged that when a provocative antigen is kept away from allergic rhinitis patients, their hyperresponsiveness disappears within a couple of weeks. Thus, the occurrence and restoration pattern of the hyperresponsiveness observed in the present model are remarkably similar to those of clinical allergic rhinitis. Furthermore, Prieto et al. [5] reported that nasal hyperresponsiveness in patients with perennial allergic rhinitis is more evident than that in individuals with seasonal rhinitis, suggesting that repetitive antigen exposure is an important factor in the acquisition of nasal hyperresponsiveness to stimuli. The exposure frequency-dependent increase in nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine shown in the present study further indicates the utility of the present allergic rhinitis model for investigating the detailed mechanism of the induction of nasal hyperresponsiveness.

Considering the pathophysiological action of histamine on blood vessels [17, 18], this amine may induce both dilatation of the nasal resistance or capacitance blood vessels and increases in nasal capillary permeability. In the present study, the histamine-induced elevation of $s_{raw}$ was transient, with a peak at 10 min after stimulation in sensitized guinea-pigs; naphazoline, a potent vasorestrictive $\alpha$-adrenergic, not only completely suppressed the increase in $s_{raw}$ induced by histamine but also further potently lowered the spontaneous $s_{raw}$, although not to the extent seen in nonsensitized naphazoline-treated animals. The present experiments regarding plasma extravasation demonstrated that histamine increases vascular permeability into the lamina propria and the luminal entry of sensitized guinea-pigs. This indicates that both blood vessel dilatation, to a large degree, and the oedematous response, to a lesser degree, contribute to the elevation of $s_{raw}$ induced by histamine.

The present nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine was largely suppressed by the $H_1$ antagonist mepyramine, but not by atropine, which suggests that histamine directly stimulates $H_1$ receptors on the blood vessels inducing the hyperresponse without involving the cholinergic nerve reflex. Ikiyoshi et al. [19] demonstrated increased expression of $H_1$ receptor messenger ribonucleic acid in the nasal mucosa of patients with allergic rhinitis. This has not yet been examined in the present model, but it remains to be clarified whether the hyperresponse is due to the increased number of $H_1$ receptors, enhanced signal transduction in the intracellular events following receptor stimulation or other events. Conversely, it is well known that the acceleration of serous secretion by nasal glandular cells is stimulated directly by cholinergic agonists and indirectly by histamine via the cholinergic nerve reflex. In the present model, it was observed that MCh induced serous secretion in not only sensitized guinea-pigs but also nonsensitized ones. The increased $s_{raw}$ induced by nasal instillation of MCh was almost completely blocked by naphazoline, to a level similar to that observed when MCh-untreated guinea-pigs were treated with $\alpha$-stimulant. Furthermore, atropine did not prevent the increase in $s_{raw}$ induced by histamine. Taking all of these results into consideration, it appears that the serous nasal secretion induced by MCh contributes less to the increased $s_{raw}$ than the dilation of the nasal vessel, which participate to a large extent in this response.

The degree of the late phase asthmatic response has been suggested to be associated with the degree of increased bronchial responsiveness to stimuli [20, 21]. It is well known that late phase nasal blockage is also observed after antigen provocation in allergic rhinitis patients [4]. However, the relationship between the late nasal response and the nasal hyperresponsiveness to some stimuli has not yet been clarified. Previous work with the present model has demonstrated that early and late phase increases in $s_{raw}$ are evident at the 4th–10th inhalation challenges, but, at the 13th–20th challenges, both biphasic responses are diminished [7]. Differing from these results, the present study indicated that hyperresponsiveness to histamine was still increased at these relatively late challenges. Therefore, it seems that the increase in nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine is not directly associated with the occurrence of antigen-induced late phase nasal blockage.

In conclusion, an experimental allergic rhinitis model showing potent nasal hyperresponsiveness to histamine has been established. The symptoms elicited are quite similar to clinical cases and more intense than those of other experimental models [16, 22], indicating the usefulness of this model for investigations into the occurrence of nasal hyperresponsiveness.
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