An in vivo comparison of a catheter mounted pressure transducer system with conventional balloon catheters
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Calibration of the pressure transducers connected to the balloon catheter was also performed using a water manometer as a reference, and with positive and negative pressures generated by an air-filled syringe. The calibration procedures were repeated at the beginning of each laboratory session. The outputs from both the CMT and balloon catheter systems were acquired via an analogue-to-digital converter to a laboratory software package (Labview 2.2, National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), installed on a desk-top computer (Macintosh Centris 650). Data were sampled at rates between 0.1 and 1,000 Hz, as required for different parts of the study.

In vitro study of CMT system

The linearity of the amplifier outputs was tested by recording the outputs for 10 cmH\textsubscript{2}O incremental steps of pressure between 0–200 cmH\textsubscript{2}O with the CMT immersed in a water manometer.

The frequency response of the catheters was tested by recording the time taken for the recorded pressure to change from 10 to 90% of its new value when exposed to a square-wave pressure change. This was carried out by inserting the distal of the two transducers, sampling pressure at a frequency of 1,000 Hz, into a balloon which was inflated to 100 cmH\textsubscript{2}O, and then burst with a scalpel blade. This manoeuvre was assumed to cause an instantaneous pressure drop and the frequency response was the time taken for the recorded pressure to fall between 90 and 10 cmH\textsubscript{2}O [8].

The stability of the gain and baseline were investigated by two methods.

First method. The outputs of the two channels of the CMT amplifier were recorded during repeated exposure of the CMT to the same two set pressures at hourly intervals. For this part of the study the amplifier outputs were read directly from the amplifier galvanometers. At hourly intervals, the CMT catheter was placed within the calibration chamber which was connected to a water manometer, and recordings were made with the chamber pressure set at both 0 and 40 cmH\textsubscript{2}O by means of an attached syringe. Between the recordings, the catheter was immersed in a water bath at 37°C. In this way, any baseline drift over time in the output for either channel, and any change in the voltage increment produced by the 40 cmH\textsubscript{2}O increase in pressure could be quantified. An additional CMT and amplifier were used, and this procedure repeated with each CMT connected to each amplifier in turn, to check whether the stability of gain and baseline varied between transducer/amplifier combinations. These procedures were repeated after allowing the CMT catheter to dry in air for varying periods from a few hours to three weeks, and with differing amplifier gain settings, to assess the effects of these changes on the stability of the baseline and gain.

Second method. This investigation of baseline drift was designed to assess whether the rate and degree of any drift followed a predictable pattern. This was investigated by assessing the drift during continuous recordings made from each of the transducers while they were maintained at fixed pressures. A range of stable, positive and negative pressures for this were provided within a 100 cm length of water-filled tubing. This was suspended, in a "J" shape, with the upper end sealed, and the lower end open to the atmosphere. The CMT catheter was passed along this tubing and the transducers were positioned at specified pressures by measuring and adjusting the vertical distances from the transducers to the water meniscus at the open end of the tubing. The transducers were maintained in the same positions for 6 h, and the output of the CMT amplifier was recorded continuously, with sampling every 10 s. This procedure was repeated with the transducers positioned at a range of pressures between -15 and +15 cmH\textsubscript{2}O, in 5 cmH\textsubscript{2}O incremental steps.

In vivo study

In seven normal subjects, two balloon catheters were passed through one nostril and the CMT through the other. Both balloon catheters were advanced until their distal tips were 70 cm from the nares and within the stomach. One was withdrawn by 30 cm to lie in the mid-oesophagus, to record P\textsubscript{oes} [9]. The remaining catheter within the stomach recorded P\textsubscript{ga}. The oesophageal and gastric balloons were filled with 0.5 mL and 2.0 mL of air, respectively, and these volumes were checked periodically throughout the studies. The CMT catheter was advanced so that the transducers were at 65 and 35 cm from the nares, corresponding to the midpoints of each balloon. The correct positions of the oesophageal balloon and the proximal transducer of the CMT were checked by the Baydur manoeuvre [10] and adjustments made as necessary.

Pressure recordings were made with each subject performing a number of respiratory manoeuvres. These were 1) maximum static inspiratory (P\textsubscript{I,max}) and expiratory (P\textsubscript{E,max}) pressures in the sitting position [11, 12], 2) P\textsubscript{di} changes elicited by single cervical magnetic stimulations (CMS) of the phrenic nerves (twitch P\textsubscript{di}) [13], and 3) tidal breathing, sniffs of varying intensities [14] and coughs of varying intensities [15], in each of five body positions sitting, semi-recumbent, supine, and right and left lateral positions.

Pressures were analysed for three P\textsubscript{I,max} and P\textsubscript{E,max} manoeuvres, five tidal breaths in each position, sniffs and coughs (six sniffs for maximum relaxation rate), 10 and eight CMS stimulations. Sniffs, coughs and CMS stimulations were at functional residual capacity (FRC), P\textsubscript{I,max} at residual volume (RV) and P\textsubscript{E,max} at total lung capacity (TLC). Recordings affected by oesophageal peristaltic waves were discounted. The following pressures, pressure changes and rates of pressure change, recorded simultaneously by the two systems, were used in the analysis: 1) the absolute pressure (relative to atmospheric) recorded at baseline and peak pressure for each manoeuvre, 2) the changes in P\textsubscript{oes} generated by P\textsubscript{I,max} and P\textsubscript{E,max} manoeuvres, 3) changes in P\textsubscript{di} during tidal breathing, sniffs and CMS, 4) the changes in P\textsubscript{oes} and P\textsubscript{ga} generated by coughs, and 5) the maximum relaxation rate (MRR) (% pressure fall:10 ms\textsuperscript{-1}) of P\textsubscript{oes} for sniffs in the sitting position [16]. Sniffs selected for MRR analysis had: 1) peak negative pressures 50–90% of the maximum recorded for that subject, 2) were of <500 ms duration, 3) peak pressure
was maintained for <50 ms, and 4) had pressure waveforms displaying smooth upstroke and decay curves [17].

The Poes recording was noted to be particularly affected by cardiac contraction in some body positions. The magnitude of this cardiac artefact was calculated by measuring the maximum pressure change from the baseline, corresponding to the heartbeat, for each subject during tidal breathing in the supine position.

The agreement between the CMT and balloon catheters was assessed by the method of Bland and Altman [18]. Two figures were calculated, the bias of CMT compared to balloon catheters and the limits of agreement for the two methods. The study protocol was approved by the local research ethics committee.

Results

CMT: linearity, frequency response and stability of gain and baseline

The output of the CMT with its amplifier was linear across the pressure range tested. The frequency response of the transducer was between 250 and 333 Hz. The baseline of the CMT drifted with time. The maximum drift recorded was the equivalent of a pressure change of 30 cmH2O over 2 h. Drift could be in either a positive or negative direction, was not linear, and most of the drift occurred during the first hour of use. The extent of this drift varied with different transducer/amplifier combinations, and also with how long the CMT had been allowed to dry. The drift was least if the catheter was used daily, and increased greatly if the catheter was allowed to dry for several weeks. If the catheter had been dry for some time, the extent of drift could be reduced by soaking it in water for an hour before use. The baseline drift was also reduced by lower amplifier gain settings. With these measures the drift could be reduced to <3 cmH2O in the first hour, but the rate and extent of drift could not be predicted. Continuous baseline recordings, under optimal conditions for stability, are shown in figure 1, at a range of constant pressures. The gain remained stable over time for all the transducer/amplifier combinations.

In vivo study

All seven subjects found the CMT easier to swallow than the balloon catheters, and more comfortable during use. However, whereas the rigidity of the catheters allowed the investigator to assist the passage of the balloon catheters through the pharynx, the greater flexibility of the CMT necessitated active swallowing by each subject.

Figure 2 shows representative tracings of the balloon catheter and CMT recordings of pressure during sniffs in the sitting position for one subject. The agreement for the two methods for absolute pressure are shown in figure 3 for Pga, and Poes. These two figures compare directly all pairs of measurements recorded by the two systems. For both Pga and Poes, the pressures recorded by the balloon catheter system were, on average greater than those recorded by the CMT, the mean differences being 4.3 and 7.2 cmH2O, respectively. This difference between the pressures recorded by the two systems remained the same across the range of Pga measurements, from -15 to +180 cmH2O; and Poes measurements from -100 to +180 cmH2O.

The agreement for the two methods for manoeuvres in the sitting position, are shown in figure 4 for Poes during Plmax (Plmax Poes) and Pe,max manoeuvres (Pe,max Poes), twitch Pdi for CMS, Pdi during tidal breaths, sniff Pdi, cough Poes and MRR. These measurements of pressure changes by the two systems agree more than measurements of absolute pressure. The differences (bias) between the measurements of the two systems were close to zero (table 1), except for the more rapidly changing pressure signals such as sniffs and CMS, where the CMT system recorded larger pressure changes.

The bias and limits of agreement for the two methods for all manoeuvres in all positions are shown in table 1. The mean±SD amplitude of the cardiac artefact in the supine position measured by the CMT system was 5.47±5.1 cmH2O, compared to the corresponding measurements with the balloon catheters of 2.15±1.3 cmH2O.

![Fig. 1. Drift of the catheter-mounted miniature pressure transducer baseline over 6 h while exposed to constant pressures in the range -15 to +15 cmH2O.](image)

![Fig. 2. Oesophageal (...) and gastric (---) pressures recorded simultaneously with the balloon catheters (a) and the catheter-mounted miniature pressure transducer system (b) during sniffs of varying intensities. - - - - : transdiaphragmatic pressure.](image)
Discussion

The CMT investigated in this study gave very similar results for changes in $P_{oes}$ and $P_{ga}$ during the range of manoeuvres used in assessing respiratory muscle strength, to those provided by conventional balloon catheter systems. They were also more easily tolerated than balloon catheters, as has been reported previously [2, 5].

In estimating absolute pressures the limits of agreement for CMT were wide compared to balloon catheters. Explanations for this include the following. 1) The 10 cm balloons of the balloon catheters are likely to have recorded the most negative pressure occurring along their length, as the contained bubble of air within the balloon will move to the site where the pressure is least. This is in contrast to the CMT system recording from an area of 10 mm$^2$. 2) There may have been hydrostatic pressure differences between the $P_{ga}$ recordings of the two systems due to the air-filled balloon floating on gastric fluid and the CMT tip being immersed. 3) Baseline drift of the CMT pressure recordings. The CMT has the disadvantage that the absolute pressure level cannot be checked with respect to atmospheric pressure during the conduct of a study. Some assessment of the magnitude of drift can be established by re-checking the baseline after removal of the catheter at the end of a study, however as the drift is not linear, this will only allow approximations. Baseline drift has been noted in several studies of CMT systems [2–6].

With the CMT used in the present study, the physical characteristics of the chemical coating of the pressure transducers are affected by prolonged dryness and baseline drift can be reduced by prior soaking. The baseline was also more stable with lower amplifier gain settings, and the manufacturer recommends that the gain be adjusted to give an amplifier output of 1 V at full scale deflection.

In comparing these measurements made by the CMT system with those made by balloon catheter systems, it should be borne in mind that balloon catheter systems are themselves subject to some inaccuracies in the measurement of $P_{oes}$ pressure, depending on the physical characteristics of the catheters and balloons used [8]. Although $P_{oes}$ is generally a close approximation to pleural pressure, the relationship between these two pressures can vary, particularly in relation to posture and distance from the cardia [10].

Disadvantages of the CMT system

The main disadvantage of the CMT system is the instability of the baseline. This makes the system unsuitable for

![Fig. 3. Bland and Altman plots comparing the agreement of measurements of absolute pressure by the catheter-mounted miniature pressure transducer (CMT) and balloon catheter systems for: a) gastric pressure and b) oesophageal pressure. Results are for seven subjects, with 1,769 pairs of measurements. Differences between paired measurements on vertical axis, and means of pairs on horizontal axis. ---: mean difference, or bias; - - - -: limits of agreement (±2 SD).]

Table 1. Tabulated results of Bland and Altman analyses for all manoeuvres in all positions. Mean difference between pairs of measurements shown as "bias", and two standard deviations of this difference as "limits of agreement".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Bias (balloon catheters - CMT)</th>
<th>Limits of agreement (%2 sd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sitting tidal $P_{di}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.5 - 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting sniff $P_{di}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>-5.2 - 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting cough $P_{ga}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.8 - 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting cough $P_{oes}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-1.7 - 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{I,max}$ $P_{oes}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9 - 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{E,max}$ $P_{oes}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.8 - 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{I,max}$ $P_{oes}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.6 - 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{E,max}$ $P_{oes}$ cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{di}$ with CMS cmH$_2$O</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum relaxation rate</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMT: catheter-mounted miniature pressure transducer; $P_{di}$: transdiaphragmatic pressure; $P_{ga}$: gastric pressure; $P_{oes}$: oesophageal pressure; $P_{I,max}$: maximum inspiratory pressure; $P_{E,max}$: maximum expiratory pressure; CMS: cervical magnetic stimulation.
Fig. 4. – Bland and Altman plots comparing the agreement of measurements of change of pressure by the balloon catheter and catheter-mounted miniature-pressure transducer systems, in the sitting position. Results are for seven subjects: a) oesophageal pressure (Poes) during maximum inspiratory pressure manoeuvre (20 pairs of measurements), b) Poes during maximum expiratory pressure manoeuvre (22 pairs), c) cervical magnetic stimulations induced twitch transdiaphragmatic pressure (Pdi) (56 pairs), d) tidal breathing (42 pairs), e) sniff Pdi (66 pairs), f) cough Poes (53 pairs), and g) maximum relaxation rate (42 pairs). Differences between paired measurements on vertical axis, and means on horizontal axis. –– : mean difference, or bias; --- : limits of agreement (±2 sd).
studies in which absolute pressures need to be accurately measured. Cardiac artefacts affecting the Pos recording were noted with both systems, and were most marked in the supine position. But, whereas the magnitude of these was very similar for different subjects when measured with the balloon catheters, they varied considerably when measured by the CMT system. This cardiac artefact is likely to result from direct pressure from overlying mediastinal structures. The variability seen with the CMT system probably arises from variations in the positioning of the small oesophageal transducer with respect to these mediastinal structures. Therefore, particularly in supine subjects, it may be necessary to repeat manoeuvres to obtain recordings unaffected by the cardiac artefact.

The need for active swallowing of the catheter is not a disadvantage in conscious, cooperative patients with normal swallowing mechanisms. However, sedated patients, or those with neuromuscular disease impairing swallowing, would not be able to assist the passage of the catheter. A more rigid catheter would be required in such cases.

Advantages of the CMT system

The main advantage of the CMT is that it is more comfortable to pass through the nose and swallow, and remains comfortable in use, at least over the few hours required in this study. A small additional advantage is that there is no need to check repeatedly the volume of air in the balloons.

The higher frequency response of the CMT system records rapidly changing pressures more accurately, by avoiding the blunting of pressure changes that arise in an air-filled system because of the compression or rarefaction of air. As a result the recorded pressure changes of coughs, sniffs, CMS, and the rate of change of pressure in MRR studies, tends to be slightly higher. This may mean that normal ranges established with balloon catheters may not be strictly applicable.

One potential advantage of the system, at least in Europe, arises from difficulties in obtaining commercial supplies of balloon catheters as a consequence of changes in laws in the European Union relating to product licensing.

Cost, storage and cleaning

One CMT with two transducers, of the type used in this study with the appropriate amplifier costs £2,000 (November 1997). Commercial balloon catheters cost £20 for a pair. Costs for transducers and amplifiers for balloon catheter systems depend on specifications; typical costs would be £450 per transducer and £900 for a multichannel amplifier.

The CMT catheters can be sterilized by soaking with cold sterilization solutions such as glutaraldehyde, and are then stored dry at room temperature. The two catheters used in this study did not deteriorate after six months of use, including ~20 sterilizations each in glutaraldehyde.

In summary, catheter-mounted miniature pressure transducers are well tolerated, have a rapid response rate and accurately measure pressure changes. However, baseline drift can be a problem and the system is less accurate for the measurement of absolute pressures.
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