Functional and morphological heterogeneity of emphysema and its implication for selection of patients for lung volume reduction surgery
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ABSTRACT: Lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS) in patients with advanced pulmonary emphysema aims to alleviate symptoms and enhance quality of life by improving respiratory mechanics. The theoretical concepts of the operation predict the greatest functional benefit in patients with marked hyperinflation, and with airflow obstruction due to loss of elastic recoil. Consistent observations in several centres, have confirmed these expectations. To achieve maximal reduction in lung volume at the least cost of functional tissue, resection is targeted to the lung zones with the most severe destruction by emphysema, leaving zones with relatively well-preserved tissue intact. Heterogeneity in emphysema distribution as assessed by visual scoring of the chest computed tomography scan according to a simple grading system has been shown to correlate with LVRS outcome variables. Therefore, evaluation of lung volume reduction surgery candidates has to include the functional and morphological characteristics of the emphysema as well as a general assessment of perioperative risk. However, the knowledge of potential predictive factors of lung volume reduction surgery outcome is so far based on retrospective analysis of highly selected patients. Therefore, many questions in respect of the selection of ideal candidates for this procedure remain unanswered at the present time.


There is little doubt, that lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS) has the potential to improve lung function, exercise tolerance, shortness of breath and health-related quality of life in many carefully selected patients with severe emphysema. The degree of improvement in these parameters exceeds that of a placebo effect and the efficacy of comprehensive pulmonary rehabilitation [1–8]. The mechanisms by which these beneficial effects are achieved are primarily related to improvements in respiratory mechanics, whereas improvements in gas exchange, in particular in arterial oxygenation, are only minimal and presumably not clinically relevant in the majority of patients [7, 9].

From several recent studies on the long-term effects of LVRS [10–13], it has become obvious that the improvement in lung mechanics peaks at 3–6 months after surgery and declines steadily thereafter. Despite this, in the majority of patients, significant and clinically relevant improvements are maintained for ≥2 yrs after surgery. Insufficient data are currently available regarding the long-term survival of patients after LVRS. Owing to the specific selection for surgery, which excludes patients with relevant comorbid conditions, e.g. coronary artery heart disease, etc., comparisons with historical controls are not appropriate. Until this gap in the knowledge is closed, it seems prudent to consider LVRS as a palliative therapy that should be performed only in patients who are severely symptomatic.

Functional improvement after LVRS is observed in the majority of patients selected according to empirically developed guidelines [14, 15]. The selection criteria are based on sound physiological assumptions and inferred

Emphysema, a complex and heterogeneous lung disease

Emphysema is defined as abnormal enlargement of the airspaces distal to the terminal bronchioles, accompanied by destruction of their walls, and without obvious fibrosis [16]. Chronic bronchitis, on the other hand, is a disease of the large airways characterized by bronchial gland enlargement, and is often accompanied by bronchiolitis, an inflammation of the small airways leading to their narrowing and eventual obstruction. In an individual patient with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), these three morphological components generally coexist to varying degrees and contribute distinctively to the abnormalities in lung and chest wall mechanics, gas exchange and pulmonary circulation. In addition, other factors such as the patient’s constitution, nutritional status and immune responsiveness as well as genetically co-determined properties such as the control of breathing and α1-antitrypsin deficiency, modify the clinical presentation and functional features of COPD. Approximately 10% of patients with COPD also have clinical features of asthma. Other patients are prone to recurrent broncho-pulmonary infection. They produce copious amounts of sputum and experience repeated exacerbations. In still other patients, more or less conspicuous tubular bronchiectases can be detected by means of high-resolution computed tomography (CT). Although the predominant clinical features of intrinsic airways disease are commonly regarded as an exclusion criterion for LVRS, the impact of such aspects on the outcome of surgery has not been systematically studied. The impact of α1-antitrypsin deficiency on the outcome of LVRS has recently been studied. Cassina et al. [17] found similar initial improvements after LVRS in patients with this hereditary disorder compared to smokers with emphysema. The pulmonary function declined much faster in the α1-antitrypsin group than in the group with smoker’s emphysema. However, their findings could not be confirmed in a smaller group of patients [18].

Severe COPD is almost always accompanied by emphysema. Three different types of emphysema can be recognized, at least when the disease is not in too advanced a state. 1) Centriacinar emphysema begins in the respiratory bronchioles and spreads peripherally. This type of emphysema is associated with longstanding cigarette smoking and predominantly involves the upper lung zones. 2) Panacinar emphysema involves the entire acini uniformly and predominates in the lower zones of the lungs. This form of emphysema is generally seen in patients with homozygous α1-antitrypsin deficiency but often occurs in association with centriacinar emphysema in smokers without this proteinase inhibitor deficiency. 3) Distal acinar emphysema, also known as paraseptal emphysema, predominantly involves alveolar ducts and sacs, the distal portion of the acini. The lesions tend to occur adjacent to the fibrous septa or the pleura and may lead to spontaneous pneumothorax. An additional distinct form of emphysema, bullous disease of the lung, is characterized by large and eventually growing bullae, which may compress adjacent relatively uninvolved lung.

In many patients, combinations of these various forms of emphysema coexist. Furthermore, from a surgical point of view and with respect to the volume reduction procedure, this anatomical classification is of secondary usefulness and has been superceded by morphological categories, which take into greater consideration the localization, distribution and degree of emphysema as assessed by CT.

Role of functional evaluation in prediction of lung volume reduction surgery outcome

Pivotal to the surgical concept of LVRS are the distinctive functional consequences of pulmonary emphysema, i.e. loss of lung elasticity accompanied by a reduction in airway calibre and pulmonary hyperinflation with concomitant impairment of respiratory muscle function. LVRS aims to improve ventilation by restoring the pulmonary elastic recoil and reducing the size of the hyperinflated lung. However, the exact mechanisms underlying the improvement in lung mechanics and respiratory muscle function are still incompletely understood [19]. It remains unclear which of the two above-mentioned features are most closely linked with a favourable functional outcome [20, 21]. Brantigan et al. [22] originally proposed that, in emphysema, increasing elastic recoil by multiple wedge resections decreases obstruction to airflow by means of airway tethering. Several years later, measurements of elastic recoil before and after LVRS supported these assumptions. In 20 patients undergoing LVRS, 16 experienced an increase in elastic recoil [23]. These patients experienced a significantly greater improvement in exercise capacity than the four in whom elastic recoil did not change.

According to this same concept, patients with obstruction due to intrinsic airway disease would not benefit as much from LVRS. This hypothesis was tested by Ingenito et al. [24]. Based on the assumption that markedly elevated inspiratory resistance may be the functional hallmark of a relevant component of intrinsic airway disease,
they measured lung resistance during inspiration. During expiration, resistance to airflow in patients with emphysema is limited by airway collapse due to positive intrathoracic pressure. During inspiration, intrathoracic pressure is subatmospheric, tending to pull airways open; thus, dynamic collapse does not occur [25]. In theory, lung resistance measured during inspiration should discriminate between patients with airflow obstruction primarily as a result of parenchymal tissue destruction, decreased lung elastic recoil, and loss of airway tethering and those who also have significant intrinsic airway disease related to airway narrowing, mucus plugging and obstruction of the airways [26]. INGenito et al. [24] studied two groups of patients with similar preoperative forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), total lung capacity (TLC), and residual volume (RV), but different inspiratory airway resistance. Univariate analysis and multivariate regression showed that only preoperative inspiratory airway resistance was significantly correlated with the postoperative change in FEV1. However, this study may have been hampered by the fact that TLC and RV were measured by means of helium-dilution, and, therefore, pulmonary hyperinflation and its role may have been underestimated.

Recently, FESSler and PERMUTT [27] emphasized the importance of the decrease in vital capacity (VC) as a consequence of hyperinflation as one of the major mechanisms of impairment in respiratory mechanics and symptoms in severe COPD. Their theoretical model predicts that LVRS should improve flow limitation primarily by improving the match between the size of the lungs and the ribcage. This is achieved by decreasing RV more than TLC and thus achieving a gain in VC. Based on certain theoretical assumptions FESSler and PERMUTT [27] anticipated that the effect of LVRS on the direction and magnitude of the change in VC would be dominated by RV/TLC. A maximal gain in VC and FEV1 after surgery would be expected in LVRS candidates with the highest RV/TLC. In their view, the measurement of elastic recoil pressure determines neither the best candidates nor the mechanisms of their improvement after LVRS. Support ing these predictions, a major increase in VC after LVRS has been reported by several groups, and the gain in FEV1 after LVRS was correlated with the degree of reduction in RV/TLC [3, 14, 28–30].

Hypercapnia often complicates severe COPD, and was associated with increased mortality in early studies [31]. Some authors have been reluctant to operate on patients with more than mild elevation of their arterial carbon dioxide tension (PaCO2) since they expected increased perioperative mortality in these severely ill patients [3, 32–34]. Others have been less concerned and found neither an increase in perioperative mortality nor inferior functional results [35–38]. In another study, only a minor increase in mean arterial oxygen tension (PaO2) and a concomitant small drop in PaCO2 were observed up to 6 months after surgery [7]. Based on this experience and a review of the literature, it was concluded that gas exchange remained essentially unchanged in most patients after LVRS. Therefore, this operation should not be offered with the promise that blood gas levels will be improved, and, conversely, impaired gas exchange in candidates for LVRS per se does not exclude successful surgery.

These observations of no relevant changes in arterial blood gas levels after LVRS are supported by the finding that the diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) is not improved by surgery. The degree of reduction in DLCO reflects the severity of emphysema, and an extremely low DLCO (<20% of the predicted value) is suggestive of such an extensive destruction of lung tissue, so called vanishing lung, that LVRS is no longer an option.

One of the long-term sequelae of LVRS that has been of concern is the development or worsening of pulmonary arterial hypertension due to reduction of the vascular bed. A mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAPmean) of >35 mmHg is regarded as reflecting considerably impaired pulmonary vascular reserve, and is, therefore, a contraindication for LVRS [14]. It has been documented, however, that in patients with no or only mild pulmonary hypertension, PAPmean at rest remains unaltered [39], and the commonly observed mild exercise-induced pulmonary hypertension does not worsen after LVRS [40, 41]. Therefore, the authors do not routinely perform an invasive evaluation of pulmonary haemodynamics before LVRS.

**Role of preoperative imaging in the prediction of lung volume reduction surgery outcome**

In addition to functional characteristics, emphysema of such a severity that LVRS is considered a therapeutic option may be diagnosed by chest radiography. The most reliable method of obtaining information on the degree and distribution of emphysema is chest CT scanning. High-resolution CT imaging is the most sensitive technique for detecting pulmonary emphysema and relevant bronchial disease, i.e. bronchiectases. Spiral CT serves to detect pulmonary nodules, since this patient population is at high risk for occult bronchogenic carcinoma, which can be detected in a relevant number of candidates for LVRS [42].

The notion that LVRS would be particularly beneficial in patients with a heterogeneous distribution of emphysematous destruction, i.e. with large bullae and areas that are relatively well preserved, is based on earlier experience with bullectomy. Radiographic imaging has been used to assess the location and amount of bullous destruction. For more than four decades, surgery has been successfully used to improve lung function in patients with giant bullous emphysema. Patients with bullae larger than one-third of a hemithorax and an FEV1 of <50% pred seemed to benefit the most [43]. The improvements in pulmonary function were assumed to result from decompression of adjacent lung tissue by means of the removal of large space-occupying bullae [44]. Many centres performing bullectomy, either unilaterally or bilaterally, have the same experience, with usually spectacular functional improvements. However, the prevalence of this type of emphysema is rather low.

Many groups, including COOPER et al. [14], who pioneered the modern concept of LVRS, preferentially select patients with marked heterogeneity in the severity of emphysema in their lungs, i.e. with distinct areas of destroyed parenchyma as well as relatively well-preserved lung tissue. They argue that those parts of the lung
that are most severely affected, as assessed by CT and perfusion scan of the lung, should be chosen as target areas for resection. Slone and Gierada [45] showed good correlations between certain aspects of morphology and functional outcome. They based their analysis on a sophisticated classification system of emphysema morphology considering specific aspects of LVRS. Favourable radiological features included marked heterogeneity of emphysema, particularly upper lobe predominance accompanied by mildly affected lung areas and the presence of compressed lung.

A simplified surgically oriented classification system based on CT findings has been proposed (fig. 1). It distinguishes between homogeneous, moderately heterogeneous and markedly heterogeneous emphysema distribution, and considers the predominance of the involved lobes [46]. Fair interobserver agreement was found on application of this classification system. Functional improvement after LVRS was greatest in markedly heterogeneous emphysema, with a mean increase in FEV1 of 81% compared with 44% for intermediately heterogeneous and 34% for homogeneous emphysema (fig. 2). However, the degree of individual gain varied greatly. Furthermore, regression analysis revealed that 78% of the variation in postoperative gain in FEV1 could not be explained by preoperative characteristics of pulmonary function or chest CT morphology [46].

Wisser et al. [47] studied the relation between morphological grading of emphysema and improvement after LVRS. The morphology of emphysema was quantified using standard chest radiographs and CT imaging on the basis of four variables: degree of hyperinflation, degree of impairment in diaphragmatic mechanics, degree of heterogeneity and severity of parenchymal destruction.

Comprehensive concept for assessment of lung volume reduction surgery candidates

To separately assess the relative contribution of various functional and morphological characteristics of emphysema in LVRS candidates to surgical outcome, 3-month postoperative data from 70 patients after video-assisted thoracoscopic LVRS was analysed [8]. Based on theoretical considerations [27] and previous experience [46], the hypothesis that each of the 3 factors, 1) mechanical impairment in terms of hyperinflation/airflow obstruction, 2) emphysema severity as assessed by impairment in diffusing capacity, and 3) degree of heterogeneity of distribution of emphysematous destruction estimated by CT radiological classification [46], had a significant impact on functional improvement was tested. By carefully matching patients according to two of these three factors and contrasting them with regard to the third, and by multiple regression analysis, evidence for all of these three assumptions was found. The weight of the individual and combined factors was relatively low, however.

By using preoperative FEV1, RV/TLC, DLCO, chest CT and perfusion scintigraphic heterogeneity scores as the independent and FVC, RV/TLC, and FEV1 as dependent variables, coefficients of multiple correlations of 0.68,
0.64 and 0.51 for changes in FVC, RV/TLC and FEV1 as a result of LVRS, respectively, were found. Approximately half (46%) of the variability in these outcome measures was explained by the model. To improve prediction of surgical outcome on the basis of individual LVRS candidates, receiver operating characteristic curves that provide sensitivities and specificities for a given improvement in outcome variables were constructed. For example, the specificity was 95% for predicting a gain in FEV1 >0.3 L, and in FVC of >1 L, on the basis of a preoperative visual analogue chest CT heterogeneity score of >95%, a perfusion scintigraphic heterogeneity score, of 92%, an RV/TLC ratio of >0.77 and a DLco of <27% pred (fig. 3). However, patients with such severe functional compromise due to emphysema, and, at the same time, heterogeneous distribution of lung destruction are relatively uncommon. The specificity of the prediction of LVRS outcome is therefore often much lower.

There are other reasons that explain the difficulties in selecting patients for LVRS. Data from several studies confirm that factors not assessed by conventional pulmonary function tests or CT radiography are relevant to surgical outcome [28, 48]. Many of these factors have so far not been identified, but it is obvious that the amount of reduced lung volume, the selection of target areas for resection and the surgical technique used are major determinants of outcome.

A major obstacle to the study of correlations between physiological outcomes and preoperative parameters is the lack of accurate information on the amount of lung tissue excised by the surgeon. In other words, the "dosage of surgery" is ill defined. The goal of the operation is to remove 20–30% of the lung volume, preferably targeting the regions of most severe emphysema and preserving lung tissue that is less severely diseased. This fraction is generally only roughly estimated from inspection of the removed pieces of partially atelectatic lung at the time of surgery. Weighing of resected specimens is meaningless since the volume and density of resected and unresected lung in situ is not known. The importance of the amount of reduced lung volume is not only theoretically sound but corroborated by analysis of LVRS data. By including a

---

**Fig. 3.** – Receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC) were derived by analysis of preoperative characteristics and outcome of 70 patients after thoracoscopic lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS). They depict the sensitivities and specificities for experiencing a predefined gain in an LVRS outcome measure at various cut-off points of the preoperative variables. This may help in the assessment of a surgical candidate on an individual basis. ROC curves for: a) the criterion "gain in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) >0.3 L" for chest computed tomography visual analogue heterogeneity scores (area under the curve (AUC) 0.68±0.06); b) the criterion "gain in forced vital capacity (FVC) >1 L" for scintigraphic visual analogue heterogeneity scores (AUC 0.64±0.07); c) "gain in FVC >1 L" for residual volume/total lung capacity (AUC 0.72±0.07); and d) "gain in FVC >1 L" for diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (AUC 0.70±0.06). AUC were all >0.5, the value achieved by chance (p<0.05). The cut-off levels for each variable corresponding to 25, 50, 75 and 95% specificity are labelled (%). (Redrawn from [8].)
recently proposed measure of the amount of resected lung volume in situ, the fractional reduction in residual volume (1-RV after surgery/RV before surgery) [27], into the above-described model for prediction of outcome after LVRS, predictive accuracy was significantly improved [8].

Another finding of note is the positive correlation of emphysema heterogeneity scores with the surrogate measure of resected lung volume in situ [8]. This indicates that a surgeon may be influenced in his operative technique by preoperative findings in that he might be less reluctant to resect a relatively large amount of lung tissue in patients with distinctly heterogeneous emphysema, but remove less tissue in patients with a homogeneous emphysema.

Common to all analyses of predictive factors for LVRS is that they have been performed retrospectively in highly preselected patients. Therefore, conclusions based on these data should be interpreted cautiously.

Conclusion

The rapidly growing experience with lung volume resection surgery has demonstrated convincingly that this novel surgical treatment modality is a valuable option in highly selected patients with advanced pulmonary emphysema. In experienced hands, the perioperative mortality of this procedure is <5%. Evaluation of lung volume resection surgery candidates should include functional and morphological characteristics of the emphysema as well as a general internal medical assessment of perioperative risk.

Major obstacles in the interpretation of published studies on selection criteria comprise its retrospective nature and the inability to accurately quantify the amount of resected emphysematous lung tissue. Future investigations should be directed at improving identification of the patients with the highest risk:benefit ratio for this operation.
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