Staging of lung cancer: the role of noninvasive, minimally invasive and invasive techniques
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ABSTRACT Accurate staging and restaging of primary tumour and mediastinal nodes in patients with lung cancer is of significant importance. For primary tumours, computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest are recommended. Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging should be used in patients with curative intent treatment to evaluate metastatic disease. Diagnosis of the primary tumour should be performed using bronchoscopy or CT-guided transthoracic needle aspiration. In patients with enlarged mediastinal nodes and no distant metastasis, invasive staging of the mediastinum is required. For suspicious N2 or N3 disease, endoscopic needle techniques, such as endobronchial ultrasound and transbronchial needle aspiration, oesophageal ultrasound and fine needle aspiration, or a combination of both, are preferred to any surgical staging technique. In cases of suspicious nodes and negative results using needle aspiration techniques, invasive surgical staging using mediastinoscopy or video-assisted thoracic surgery should be performed. In central tumours or N1 nodes, preoperative invasive staging is indicated.

Restaging after induction therapy remains a controversial topic. Today, neither CT, PET nor PET/CT scans are accurate enough to make final further therapeutic decisions for mediastinal nodal involvement. An invasive technique providing cytohistological information is still recommended.
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Introduction
Lung cancer represents the most common cause of death among patients with malignant disease in industrialised countries [1, 2]. Nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for nearly 85% of all lung cancer cases [3]. Correct staging of patients with lung cancer provides accurate information on the local and distant extent of the disease, guides the choice of treatment and enables an estimation of prognosis. Methods of staging include: imaging, such as computed tomography (CT), 2-deoxy-2-\(^{18}\)F)fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) or integrated FDG-PET/CT scan; needle-based biopsy techniques, such as endobronchial ultrasound transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) or oesophageal ultrasound needle aspiration (EUS-NA); transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) and CT-guided transthoracic fine needle aspiration (TTFNA); and finally surgical techniques, including mediastinoscopy, mediastinotomy or video-assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) techniques. Complete resection is an essential factor for potential cure. While in the early stages I and II, surgery has been accepted as the major curative treatment, locally advanced stages IIIA and IIIB are rarely cured by local treatment modalities, such as surgery or radiotherapy alone. Various multimodality protocols in these stages, including chemotherapy and radiotherapy, have resulted in increased survival rates, although in some subgroups additional surgery was performed [4-7]. Therefore, an important aim of staging procedures will be to select candidates for primary surgery or, in stage IIIA/IIIB disease, for multidisciplinary decision. Furthermore, the additional question of whether restaging techniques can provide the clinician with information about the prediction of response of the primary tumour and mediastinal nodes remains to be answered.

The aim of this review is to evaluate and update the role of noninvasive, minimally invasive and invasive techniques in the staging and restaging of lung cancer. Parameters that were evaluated were sensitivity, specificity, false-negative and false-positive rate, and accuracy. In this manuscript, guidelines and recommendations of the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS), the American College of Chest Physicians, the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) and the German Cancer Society were taken into consideration.

Staging of lung cancer

Diagnosis of primary tumour (T-status)
Posteroanterior and lateral radiograms remain the most common radiological examinations for thoracic diseases and the initial available diagnostic tool if lung cancer is suspected in most countries. Suspected lesion or mass, atelectasis, enlargement of the mediastinum or pleural effusion are findings that prompt extensive diagnostic evaluation. In patients with suspected lung cancer, a CT scan of the chest with contrast, including the upper abdomen with the liver and adrenal glands, is strongly recommended [8]. CT scans can help not only in tumour diagnosis, but also in correlation with the tumour size and extent, to structure the clinical evaluation and tumour staging. CT scan is quite sensitive in detecting tumour mass for centrally located tumours, and also for peripheral pulmonary nodules. Only a few studies have analysed the criteria for malignancy and reported that imaging tests are highly sensitive for identifying malignant solitary nodules, but the specificity is variable and often poor (sensitivity, 98–100%; specificity, 54–93%) [9]. Due to the precise information about the location of the lesion, a CT scan has to be done before invasive examinations as bronchoscopy or mediastinoscopy are performed.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is used in the staging of lung cancer for better representation of the anatomical relationship between the tumour and the chest wall or/and the mediastinal structures, due to the higher contrast of soft tissue. This advantageous effect in association with the absence of artefact formations in the cervicothoracic passage, the neuroforamina and the spinal channel ranks, MRI is the first choice in imaging of sulcus superior tumours and shows contact or infiltration of the vertebral column [10, 11].

FDG-PET imaging can provide metabolic information about the primary tumour, the mediastinal nodes and any distant metastasis. The importance of FDG-PET in reflection of the primary tumour is different. In the assessment of tumour mass, the difference between tumour and atelectasis can be seen; for solitary pulmonary lesions the size of the tumour is important. The prevalence of malignancy varies by size (0–1% for nodules <5 mm, 6–28% for nodules 5–10 mm, and 64–82% for nodules >20 mm). The sensitivity of FDG-PET for identifying a malignant solitary lesion is consistently high (80–100%), whereas the specificity is lower and more variable across studies (40%–100%) [9, 12, 13].

Bronchoscopy is the most important diagnostic method, particularly in centrally located tumours, where the accuracy rate is close to 100% [14]. In peripheral tumours, the combination of different additional biopsy techniques, such as catheters, brushes, needles or forceps, is necessary. Modern navigation techniques for the diagnosis of small pulmonary nodules could increase the diagnostic accuracy [15, 16].

The reliability of oesophageal ultrasound and fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) for staging the direct tumour invasion into the mediastinum (T staging) was evaluated in one study. The authors reported a
false-negative rate of about 30%, indicating that this technique should not be recommended for assessing mediastinal invasion for primary tumours [17].

In studies of CT-guided needle biopsy (TTFNA), the sensitivity and specificity were excellent (90%) when biopsy yielded a specific benign or malignant result. Particularly in lesions less than 3 cm, TTFNA resulted in higher sensitivity rates than bronchoscopy [18]. The most common complication was pneumothorax, which was reported in about 10%–30% of cases [19, 20].

Finally, VATS has been used to evaluate T4-tumours described by CT scan, meaning that an interdisciplinary multimodality concept can be introduced. Involvement of T4 structures could be found in 38% of patients, and a malignant pleural effusion in 6%. In 4% of the patients, unsuspected malignant pleural findings are described [21, 22].

**Diagnosis for lymph nodes (N-status)**

The extent of lymph node involvement in patients with NSCLC is the most important prognostic factor and influences different therapeutic strategies. Patients with clinical N1, N2 and N3 disease are heterogeneous groups with different outcomes and survival. In cases of hilar lymph node enlargement (>1 cm in short axis) on the CT scan, and in the absence of evidence of mediastinal or distant metastasis, the evaluation of the hilar lymph nodes status is not recommended, because these nodes can be removed during lung resection. An exception is represented by patients with the involvement of multiple hilar nodes or bulky hilar disease. Instead these patients are candidates for neoadjuvant treatment [23]. In the subgroups of patients with IIIA-N2 disease, induction chemotherapy combined with radiotherapy and surgery has proven to be effective [24–27]. In patients with N3 disease, some studies with a limited number of patients have shown a down-staging effect after combined chemo-radiation, meaning that selected patients underwent surgery with encouraging results [28–30].

The IASLC recommendations effected several modifications in the lymph node map compared with the previous maps of Naruke et al. [31] and MOUNTAIN and DRESLER [32]. First, the difference between nodal zone and nodal station is defined and the anatomical borders of the nodal stations are clearly described [33]. A nodal zone is described as an anatomical area including one or more neighbouring nodal stations (the aortopulmonary zone includes the subaortic and para-aortic nodal stations 5 and 6, the lower zone the paraoesophageal and pulmonary ligaments stations 8 and 9, etc.). Secondly, a shift of the anatomical mediastinal midline to the left paratracheal margin was introduced (oncological mediastinal midline) affecting the nodal stations 2R/L and 4R/L. This means that right-sided tumours, those involving pretracheal lymph nodes or lymph nodes on the left anatomical midline (right oncological midline) are classified as N2 disease, while those cases of left-sided tumours are classified as N3 [34].

**Noninvasive techniques**

CT still presents the major imaging investigation for the primary tumour as well as for mediastinal nodes. CT scan is accurate for describing nodal size (>1 cm diameter in the short axis), but the clinical relevance of nodal enlargement for staging is limited because of the low sensitivity of 57% and the positive predictive value (PPV) of only 56%. Additionally the clinical relevance of lymph nodes enlargement for staging is unsatisfactory because tumour involvement was also found in up to 20% of small nodes (negative predictive value (NPV) of 83%) [35].

The use of FDG-PET has resulted in an improvement in the accuracy of lung cancer staging. Different studies have demonstrated that FDG-PET is superior to CT for mediastinal staging, with rates of sensitivity and NPV of 85% and 93% respectively, comparable to the rates of mediastinoscopy. However, because of the positive FDG uptake by inflammatory processes, the specificity and PPV of FDG-PET are lower than those of mediastinoscopy and EBUS-TBNA. In clinical stage IA, with the intention of curative treatment, a FDG-PET scan can be performed for mediastinal and extrathoracic staging. In clinical stage IB-IIIB, with intention of curative treatment, a FDG-PET scan is strongly recommended [36].

A further technical development is the integrated FDG-PET/CT scan, in which the high sensitivity of FDG-PET and the excellent morphological resolution and anatomical detail description of CT scan are fused. Due to the more precise anatomical correlation between tumour and atelectasis, and regional relationship between the involved lymph nodes and the surrounding mediastinal tissue and structures, several studies have shown an increased diagnostic accuracy of integrated FDG-PET/CT scan comparable to FDG-PET alone [37–40].

In 2007 and 2014 the ESTS council published guidelines for preoperative lymph node staging for NSCLC. The working group recommended that in patients with clinical stage I NSCLC and negative mediastinal FDG-PET images, invasive staging techniques like mediastinoscopy can generally be omitted [34, 40]. Invasive mediastinal staging is recommended in cases with N1 suspected nodes, in tumours >3 cm and in
centrally located tumours without suspected nodes on CT scan or FDG-PET images [40]. In 30% of patients with N1 disease on CT scan, involved N2 or N3 nodes were found [41]. For tumours >3 cm, particularly adenocarcinomas with high FDG uptake, further invasive staging techniques for the mediastinal nodes should be considered [42]. Finally, the prevalence of pathological N2 disease in central tumours was found to be as high as 22%; therefore, in this group, more accurate lymph node staging using invasive techniques is required [43].

**Minimally invasive techniques**

Minimally invasive techniques or invasive nonsurgical techniques are needle-based biopsy techniques. TBNA is used only in some centres and the reported accuracy for mediastinal staging in NSCLC varies widely. Holty et al. [44] performed a meta-analysis and found that the sensitivity of TBNA is critically dependent on the prevalence of mediastinal metastasis. When properly performed, TBNA was highly specific for identifying mediastinal metastasis in patients with NSCLC, but because of the low sensitivity and high false-negative rates of 78% and 28%, respectively, the TBNA technique could not be established as a routine diagnostic method in the staging of lung cancer. Today, EBUS-TBNA and EUS-NA have completely replaced TBNA for mediastinal staging of lung cancer.

The use of endobronchial and endo-oesophageal ultrasound needle aspiration techniques has rapidly increased since different societies published guidelines regarding staging methods for NSCLC [8, 36, 40]. EBUS-guided TBNA is mainly used for the mediastinal lymph node stations 2R/L, 4R/L and 7, as well as for the hilar lymph node stations 10, 11 and 12. Most studies using EBUS-TBNA have involved patients with discrete lymph node enlargement on the CT scan or FDG-PET scan and a median disease prevalence of approximately 58%. The overall median sensitivity was 89% (range 46–97%) and the median NPV was 91% (range 60–99%). A systematic approach of all lymph nodes stations could not be reached in all studies, but following the evaluation of N2 or N3 disease, only small differences between the systematic and the selective approach were reported [36, 45, 46].

The yield of EUS-guided FNA is particularly suitable for assessment in the posterior part of the levels 4L, 5 and 7 and the inferior mediastinal levels 8 and 9. Examination of the left adrenal gland, coeliac lymph nodes and the liver is also possible. This procedure requires skilled and experienced investigators. The overall prevalence in the published studies was 58%, the median sensitivity was 89% (range 50–100%), the median specificity was 100%, and the median NPV was 86% (range 68–100%) respectively [36, 47, 48]. Complications such as bleeding or infection are rare and no mortality for either ultrasound guided needle technique has been reported.

The combined use of EBUS-TBNA/EUS-NA results in a near-complete assessment of all mediastinal lymph nodes, with exception of stations 5 and 6. The median sensitivity and specificity were 91% and 100%, respectively, and the median NPV was 96% [49–52]. In a further randomised study, minimally invasive endosonography followed by surgical staging, if nodal involvement was not found, was compared to surgical staging alone. Sensitivity was 79% in the surgical staging group versus 85% in endosonography and 94% in the combining endosonography/surgical group. Combining endosonography and surgical staging also resulted in fewer unnecessary thoracotomies [51].

**Invasive techniques**

Cervical mediastinoscopy was introduced by Carlens in 1959 and, until the distribution of the video-assisted mediastinoscopy (VAM) in 1995 and the use of the endobronchial and endo-oesophageal ultrasound needle aspiration techniques in 2005, this was the gold standard for invasive complete staging of the upper mediastinum in patients with potentially operable lung cancer. Mediastinoscopy is performed under general anaesthesia in the operating room and in most hospitals as an outpatient procedure. According to the lymph node map proposed by IASLC [33], right and left upper and lower paratracheal stations (2R/L and 4R/L), the pretracheal station 3 and the subcarinal station 7 can be evaluated. Morbidity and mortality rates are low, with an average of 2% and less than 0.1% respectively. The median sensitivity of cervical mediastinoscopy was 78% and the median NPV was 91% in 9267 patients, according to data published by Silvestri et al. [36]. Approximately 42%–57% of false-negative biopsies resulted in nodal stations that were not reached by cervical mediastinoscopy (stations 5, 6, 7 posteriorly and stations 8 and 9). The advantage of VAM is the improved visualisation of the operative field, leading to a higher accuracy and the possibility of teaching this technique without compromising the safety of the procedure [53, 54]. An additional advantage is the clear removal of the subcarinal lymph node (station 7) and the safe visualisation of the oesophagus [55]. Zakkar et al. [56] analysed 108 papers published between 1989 and 2011 reporting on conventional mediastinoscopy and VAM; both procedures showed no mortality and a low morbidity, although more lymph node stations could be removed by the VAM. There were no differences in the accuracy or NPV.
Extended cervical mediastinoscopy through a suprasternal approach lateral to the aortic arch, first described by Ginsberg et al. [57], is used to assess the subaortic and paraaortic nodal stations 5 and 6 in addition to the classical paratracheal and subcarinal lymph node stations reached by cervical mediastinoscopy. The median sensitivity was 71% and the median NPV was 91%. Because of the demanding technical approach, only a few institutions with large experience in cervical mediastinoscopy have routinely used this procedure. For lymph node stations 5 and 6, the left anterior mediastinotomy, first described by Chamberlain, offers a safe approach to these stations. It is used predominantly for mediastinal staging of tumours of the left upper lobe. This procedure requires general anaesthesia with double lumen tube and in most hospitals is used as an outpatient procedure. At the end of the operation, the chest tube can be removed after hyperinflation of the lungs. The median sensitivity was approximately 71% and the NPV was 91% with a prevalence of 26% [58, 59].

In addition to VAM, some groups have developed extended techniques for mediastinal lymphadenectomy through a cervical approach. Using video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy a complete lymphadenectomy of lymph nodes of stations 2 and 4 bilateral, 7 and 8 is possible. Transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy (TEMLA) is a more radical procedure, and is performed through a small collar incision in the neck. The sternal manubrium is elevated with a special retractor and bilateral visualisation of the laryngeal recurrent and vagus nerves is possible. This enables complete removal of all mediastinal nodal stations (1, 2, 3A, 3P, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8) except for the pulmonary ligament nodes (station 9) and the most distal left paratracheal nodes (station 4L). Some authors used these techniques not only as staging procedure, but also as radical lymphadenectomy before anatomical lung resection. The advantage for both methods is the reduction of false-negative results because of potential micrometastasis in the removed nodes. In both procedures, a high accuracy between 96% and 98% and NPV between 97% and 99% has been reported [60–62].

VATS can also contribute to complete mediastinal staging because all mediastinal nodes can be assessed. General anaesthesia and a double lumen tube are necessary; in some cases, it is difficult to reach the left paratracheal nodes. Morbidity and mortality are extremely low: 2% and 0%, respectively. The median value of sensitivity is 99% and the NPV 96% (4% false-negative rate) [63, 64].

**Distant metastasis**

Independent of tumour stage, if extrathoracic metastases are suspected, imaging techniques are required. In clinical stage IIIB disease with intended curative treatment, extrathoracic imaging using FDG-PET scan or integrated FDG-PET/CT scan and head MRI is indicated, even if clinical symptoms were absent. FDG-PET imaging led to a higher rate of correct identification of M1b disease [65]. If FDG-PET scan is not available or for different reasons cannot be carried out, bone scan and abdominal ultrasound is recommended [8].

**Restaging of lung cancer**

In about 50–80% of patients with NSCLC IIIA/IIIB disease induction chemotherapy or combined chemoradiotherapy resulted in tumour response and the clearance of involved N2 or N3 lymph nodes. In selected cases additional surgery showed encouraging results with long-term survival [5–8, 66]. Patients with non-response of the primary tumour or persisting mediastinal node involvement have a worse prognosis compared with those with proven pulmonary and mediastinal down-staging [67–69]. Restaging is important because resection after induction treatment may be associated with an increased postoperative morbidity and mortality compared with patients having surgery alone [70, 71]. Restaging tests include CT scan, FDG-PET or integrated FDG-PET/CT scan, endoscopic methods of needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA or EUS-FNA) and invasive surgical methods such as mediastinoscopy, repeat mediastinoscopy and VATS.

**Diagnosis for primary tumour (T-status)**

In the restaging of primary tumour, most studies involving CT scans have shown variability in the specificity and false-negative rates for the detection of residual cancer with a median of 31% and 54% respectively (range 0–100%). The false-negative rates by CT scan were higher after chemotherapy alone than after combined chemoradiotherapy [72, 73]. An important observation in patients during neoadjuvant treatment is the asymptomatic course of pulmonary embolism. We found, during restaging on CT scan or in the perfusion scan, a partial or complete segmental failure in one or both lungs in 12% of patients [74].

Most of the PET studies showed a better reliability for detection of residual tumour than CT scan [73, 75, 76] The average at primary site for sensitivity, specificity, false-negative and -positive rates amounted to 76%, 71%, 36% and 12%, respectively. The false-negative rates varied between 13 and 100%, the median rate of 36% is disappointingly high. According to the false-positive rates, there is no difference in the quantitative PET assessment between patients after chemotherapy and those after chemoradiotherapy. However the change in the standardised uptake values (SUV) has been correlated with pathological changes of the primary...
tumour. Cerullo et al. [73] described that the change in the maximum SUV had a linear relationship to the percentage of nonviable tumour cells in the resected lungs, indicating that FDG-PET scan is a more accurate predictor than a change in size shown on the CT scan. Additionally, it was found that when the maximum SUV decreased by 80% or more, it was more likely that the patient was a complete responder, irrespective of cell type.

Bronchoscopy is useful for re-evaluating tumour response in the central airways and defining local operability. For centrally located tumours with endobronchial growth, flexible or rigid bronchoscopy with biopsies of the prospective resection margins is recommended [74].

**Diagnosis for mediastinal nodes (N-status)**

Studies evaluating the reliability of mediastinal restaging by CT scan showed a lower sensitivity, specificity and accuracy than by primary staging, indicating that CT scan is not appropriate for identifying patients with partial or complete response of the involved mediastinal nodes. Relating to median false-negative rates of 31% and false-positive rates of 34–66%, CT imaging is unable to predict the tumour viability after chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy [77–79].

PET scan is less sensitive in the restaging of mediastinal lymph nodes after chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy than before induction treatment, with higher false-negative rates, ranging from 28% to 36%. A potential reason for these poor results could be changes in the tumour, such as fibrosis of the surrounding tissue and altered perfusion due to the induction treatment [75, 76]. There were no differences found between patients after chemotherapy alone and those after combined chemoradiotherapy. The reported sensitivity was 50%–60% and the specificity was 83–90%. The optimal timing of PET restaging was recommended to be 1 month after the end of induction therapy [79]. It should be mentioned that maximum SUV values depend on the local apparatus and settings; therefore, the absolute values cannot be extrapolated to other centres.

The use of an integrated FDG-PET/CT scan has been reported in two studies. Due to the better localisation of focal FDG uptake in the mediastinum, the specificity significantly increased to 93% and the false-negative rate decreased to 13% [80]. SUV maximum values from two serial PET/CT scans, before and after three chemotherapy cycles, allowed prediction of the histopathological response in the primary tumour and mediastinal lymph nodes (sensitivity and specificity of 73% and 89%, respectively) and had a prognostic value [81]. In another study, repeat PET/CT was found to be more accurate than CT alone for all pathological stages [82]. As a result of the 25% false-positive rate and 20% false-negative rate in cases of suspicious residual tumour in the mediastinal nodes, invasive techniques for nodal staging are required [34].

A limited number of groups have reported their experiences with needle aspiration techniques in the evaluation of mediastinal nodes after induction treatment. Endoscopic EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA techniques for restaging showed different results in relation to the NPV, due to the different prevalence of N2 disease and the selective approach only of a small number of nodal stations per patient (average of one to two nodal stations per patient). The reported NPV varied between 20% and 78%, indicating that in the case of negative endoscopic needle aspiration results, invasive surgical mediastinal restaging should be confirmed [83–85].

In one study with only 11 patients, TBNA without visualisation resulted in a false-negative rate of 0%, which can be explained by the small number of patients and the selection of one nodal station with persistently enlarged mediastinal nodes [86].

Repeat mediastinoscopy (reMS) as a restaging procedure was reported only by a small number of surgeons and was rarely performed before neoadjuvant protocols were introduced in the treatment of locally advanced lung cancer. ReMS was considered technically demanding because of tissue adhesions that were encountered, particularly between trachea and innominate artery. However reMS was feasible in up to 98% of cases. Mortality was almost zero, and morbidity was very low (1.9%). Sensitivity rates were 29–76%, specificity was 100%, and accuracy was 60–93%. PPV and NPV values reached 100% and 85%, respectively. Accuracy and sensitivity were lower than reported for the initial mediastinoscopy for primary staging, due to adhesions and severe fibrosis. The number of removed or biopsied lymph nodes varied in the published series, but was significantly higher, than in the endoscopic needle aspiration techniques. Persisting nodal disease at repeat mediastinoscopy carries a poor survival in the majority of cases because of occult metastases; therefore, indication for surgical intervention in such an unfavourable group of patients should be evaluated very carefully [80, 87–90].

There are two reports from the same group with experience of TEMLA as a restaging technique. In the first study, only seven out of 63 patients had a previous mediastinoscopy before induction chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 95.5%, 100% and 98.3% respectively; the NPV was 97.4% and PPV was 100% [91]. In the second report, EBUS/EUS needle techniques were
compared with TEMLA. In the restaging group, endoscopic staging was performed in 88 patients and TEMLA in 78 patients. There was a significant difference between EBUS or EUS and TEMLA for sensitivity (64.3% and 100%; p<0.01) and NPV (82.1% and 100%; p<0.01) in favour of TEMLA [92].

Finally, the first cervical mediastinoscopy as a restaging procedure was reported by LARDINOIS et al. [53]. In 195 patients without pretreatment and 24 patients after the completion of induction therapy, mediastinal lymph nodes were biopsied using a video-assisted approach. The accuracy of video mediastinoscopy was assessed for each patient according to the results obtained from mediastinal lymph node dissection performed during lung resection. Video mediastinoscopy in patients without pretreatment revealed sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 87%, 100%, and 95.6%, respectively; in patients after induction therapy, these results were 81%, 100%, and 91%, respectively. In a systematic review of restaging after induction treatment for stage III (N2) lung cancer, CANDELA et al. [93] compared restaging diagnostical methods with pathological results at surgery. Although restaging methods are commonly used to select or exclude patients from surgery, the data showed them to be quite unreliable. Compared with CT, PET, reMs, EBUS or EUS (false-negative rate of 15%–30%), primary mediastinoscopy seems to be the most reliable method for re-assessment of N2 disease.

The only VATS study for mediastinal restaging was reported by JAKLITSCH et al. [94]. In a prospective multi-institutional trial, the feasibility of video thoracoscopy to restage the ipsilateral nodes in mediastinoscopy staged IIIA (N2) NSCLC was proven. A total of 47 video thoracoscopy procedures (69%) restaged the mediastinum. Video thoracoscopy was unsuccessful in 21 patients (31%). The sensitivity was 67%, the specificity 100% and the NPV 73%. Video thoracoscopy restaging was limited by radiation and was unable to reach the 4R nodal station.

**Summary for first staging and restaging**

For patients with either a known or suspected lung cancer that are eligible for treatment, CT scan of the chest and upper abdomen including the liver and adrenal glands is recommended. Diagnosis of the primary tumour should be performed using bronchoscopy or CT-guided TTFNA. PET imaging, if available, should be used in patients with curative intent treatment to evaluate metastatic disease. Head
MRI (or CT scan if not available) in patients with III or IV stage disease should be performed, even without any clinical symptoms. In patients with enlarged mediastinal nodes and no distant metastasis, with or without increased PET uptake, invasive staging of the mediastinum is recommended. For suspicious N2 or N3 disease, endoscopic needle techniques, such as EBUS-TBNA, EUS-FNA or a combination of these two methods, is preferred to any surgical staging technique. In cases of negative results using needle aspiration techniques and suspicious nodes, invasive surgical staging using mediastinoscopy or VATS should be performed. In patients with NSCLC in the left lung and potentially curative treatment, invasive approach of the nodal station 5 and 6 via Chamberlain procedure, VATS or extended cervical mediastinoscopy is required. Imaging findings suggestive of distant metastatic disease need further evaluation and tissue histology only in patients with curative intent treatment (fig. 1).

Recommendations about restaging are difficult to define because high false-positive and -negative rates between 20% and 30% have been reported, despite the variety of available techniques. Also in experienced centres a number of down-staged patients have been first identified in the histopathological specimens after resection. CT scan of the chest and upper abdomen is the most widespread method for predicting radiographic response of the primary tumour after induction treatment. However, CT scan cannot predict complete eradication of viable tumour. PET imaging or integrated PET/CT-scan is also commonly used, but radiotherapy can cause pathological uptake due to inflammation; therefore, this method is also not sufficiently reliable. ReMs has been proven to be safe and feasible in experienced centres, but due to adhesions and fibrosis, the approach is technically challenging and the false-negative rate of up to 25% disappointing. The experience with EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA is still limited; the reported false-negative rate of 15% is lower than for repeat mediastinoscopy. Finally, after the initial use of needle-based techniques, first cervical mediastinoscopy as a restaging technique seems to be the most effective method for assessing nodal disease after induction treatment and selecting potential candidates for surgery (fig. 2).
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