Periodontitis is related to lung volumes and airflow limitation- a cross-sectional study

SHORT RUNNING TITLE: Periodontal disease and lung function

1 Birte Holtfreter, 1 Stefanie Richter, 1 Thomas Kocher, 2 Marcus Dörr, 3 Henry Völzke, 3 Till Ittermann, 2 Anne Obst, 2 Christoph Schäper, 4 Ulrich John, 1 Peter Meisel, 5 Anne Grotevendt, 2 Stephan B. Felix, 2 Ralf Ewert, 2 Sven Gläser

1 - Unit of Periodontology, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Periodontology, and Endodontology, University Medicine, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Germany
2 - Department of Internal Medicine B - Cardiology, Intensive Care, Pulmonary Medicine, and Infectious Diseases, University Medicine, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Germany
3 - Institute for Community Medicine, SHIP/Clinical-Epidemiological Research, University Medicine, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Germany
4 - Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University Medicine, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Germany
5 - Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, University Medicine, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Germany

TOTAL WORD COUNT: 3792
ABSTRACT WORD COUNT: 199
KEY WORDS: population-based study, periodontal disease, clinical attachment loss, lung function, airflow limitation, lung volume
CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

Dr. rer. nat. Birte Holtfreter
Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald
University Medicine
Department of Restorative Dentistry, Periodontology, and Endodontology
Unit of Periodontology
Rotgerberstr. 8
17475 Greifswald
Germany
Tel. +49-38 34-86 73 97
Fax +49-38 34-86 71 71
E-mail: birte.holtfreter@uni-greifswald.de
CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest associated with this work.

SOURCES OF FUNDING STATEMENT

SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Research Net (CMR) of the University of Greifswald, Germany, which is funded by grants from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, grant 01ZZ96030, 01ZZ0701), the Ministry for Education, Research, and Cultural Affairs and the Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. The CMR encompasses several research projects that share data of the population-based Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP; www.medizin.uni-greifswald.de/cm). Further, this work was supported by the Kompetenznetz Asthma/COPD (Competence Network Asthma/COPD) funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (FKZ 01GI0881-0888). BH and SR were supported by unlimited grants from GABA, Switzerland.

All authors state to have significantly contributed to the conception and design as well as the analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and revising it critically for important intellectual content, and the final approval of the manuscript submitted.

DISCLOSURES

All authors state to have nothing to disclose.
ABSTRACT

This study aims to assess the potential association of periodontal diseases with lung volumes and airflow limitation in a general adult population.

Based on a representative population sample of the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP), 1463 subjects aged 25-85 years were included. Periodontal status was assessed by clinical attachment loss (CAL), probing depth (PD), and number of missing teeth (NoMT). Lung function was measured using spirometry, body plethysmography, and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. Linear regression models using fractional polynomials were used to assess associations between periodontal disease and lung function. Fibrinogen and high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) were evaluated as potential intermediate factors.

After full adjustment for potential confounders mean CAL was significantly associated with variables of mobile dynamic and static lung volumes, airflow limitation and hyperinflation (p<0.05). Including fibrinogen and hs-CRP did not change coefficients of mean CAL; associations remained statistically significant. Mean CAL was not associated with total lung capacity and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. Associations were confirmed for mean PD, extent measures of CAL/PD, and NoMT.

Periodontal disease was significantly associated with reduced lung volumes and airflow limitation in this general adult population sample. Systemic inflammation did not provide a mechanism linking both diseases.
INTRODUCTION

Periodontitis is one of the most prevalent human infections. The majority of adults suffer from some degree of periodontitis, with 15-20% of the adult population having severe periodontal disease [1, 2]. Periodontitis is an oral bacterial infection that results in gingival inflammation, breakdown of the supporting connective tissue, pocket formation between the gingiva and the tooth, destruction of alveolar bone, and eventually exfoliation of teeth [3]. While probing depth reflects current disease status, clinical attachment loss equals the reduction in the supporting connective tissue of the tooth and reflects cumulative disease experience.

To date, few studies have investigated the relationship between periodontitis [4-14] or oral hygiene [12, 13, 15] and respiratory diseases, although common causes might exist. Both diseases have been related to systemic inflammation [16-20]. Individuals with airflow limitation demonstrate airway and systemic inflammation depending on the severity of lung disease, physical fitness and potential comorbidities [17-19]. Besides smoking [21] and obesity [22], risk factors for lung function decline and airflow limitation are ageing and pulmonary diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [23].

Merely on the basis of few spirometry parameters, some cross-sectional and case-control studies reported significant associations of periodontal disease with history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung function [4-8, 12]. Furthermore, periodontal disease was related to the longitudinal decline in spirometric lung volumes [9, 10, 14]. If periodontal disease causally contributes to a declining lung function as an independent risk factor, it would provide a rationale to consider periodontal prevention and treatment as therapeutic option to target a decreasing lung function or at least to support dedicated treatments of pneumological diseases. However, the mechanisms behind these observations still remain unclear and controversial.
One theoretical pathway linking both diseases comprehends aspiration of saliva harbouring oral bacteria into the lung [24]. Another pathway may be up-regulated inflammation in the lungs by systemic low-grade inflammation induced by periodontal diseases [16]. Systemic inflammation markers were also associated with reduced spirometric lung volumes [17-20]. So far, the interrelationship between periodontal disease, inflammation, and lung function remains poorly understood.

Thus, the major aim of this study was to further clarify the association between periodontal disease and airflow limitation, lung volumes, and diffusing capacity based on a highly standardized setting of comprehensive lung function test parameters within the population-based Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). We further evaluated i) the strength of confounding by smoking, height, and educational status and ii) the role of systemic inflammation as an intermediate factor.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Population

SHIP is a prospective cohort study in the Northeast of Germany. The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board by the Ethics Committee. Details on the study design are given elsewhere [25, 26]. Of 7008 randomly selected adults aged 20-79 years with German citizenship, 6262 were invited. Of those, 4308 individuals (68.8%) participated in the baseline study (SHIP-0, 1997-2001). Follow-up examinations (SHIP-1) were performed 5 years after, including 3300 subjects aged 25-85 years [27]. Of these, 1809 subjects (885 men, 924 women) participated in a sub-study including body plethysmography, spirometry, helium dilution and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (Fig. 1). The median time interval between core examination of SHIP-1 and lung function examination was 18 days (interquartile range 0-74 days). Further, we excluded those with missing lung function data.
(n=9), missing dental examinations (n=8), regular intake of non-steroidal anti-rheumatics or steroids (n=274), high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) >10 mg/l (n=42) indicating acute and active infection, systemic inflammatory processes, or physical trauma [28], or missing confounder data (n=13). For the remaining 1463 individuals with complete data, the number of missing teeth was available in all subjects, probing depth data were recorded in 1327 subjects, and attachment loss data were available in 1268 subjects (Fig. 1). In total data of 1268 participants were evaluated for main analyses.

**Periodontal Variables**

Periodontal disease was assessed by probing depth (PD) and clinical attachment loss (CAL). Measurements were assessed at mesiobuccal, midbuccal, distobuccal, and midpalatinal/midlingual tooth sites for all teeth except third molars according to the half-mouth method, that is the first and fourth (right side) or the second and third quadrants (left side) were examined, alternating in each subject. A manual periodontal probe was used (PCP2, Hu-Friedy Co., Chicago, IL, USA). PD (severity of current disease) equals the distance from the free gingival margin to the bottom of the periodontal pocket. CAL (severity of cumulative disease) equals the distance from the cemento-enamel junction to the pocket base. CAL was not recorded when cemento-enamel junction determination was indistinct (e.g., wedge-shaped defects, fillings, crown margins). Measurements were rounded to the closest millimetre. Mean CAL/PD over all measurement sites and the percentage of sites with CAL/PD ≥4 mm was calculated. The number of missing teeth excluding third molars was determined.

Dental examinations were conducted by calibrated and licensed dentists. Biannual calibration exercises on subjects not connected to the study yielded intra-class correlations between 0.70-0.89 per examiner and an inter-rater correlation of 0.90 for attachment level measurements.
**Lung Function Variables**

Details of lung function examinations that have been used in this study are given elsewhere [20]. In brief, lung function examinations were performed using a body plethysmograph equipped with a pneumotachograph (VIASYS Healthcare, MasterSceen Body/Diff., JAEGER, Hoechberg, Germany), meeting the American Thoracic Society criteria [29] and recommendations of the European Respiratory Society (ERS) [30, 31].

For the purpose of the study the following lung function variables have been assessed: airway resistance ($R_{tot}$), inspiratory vital capacity ($\text{slowVC}$), functional residual capacity ($\text{FRC}_{\text{pleth}}$), residual volume ($\text{RV}$), total lung capacity ($\text{TLC}$). Forced vital capacity ($\text{FVC}$), forced expiratory volume in one second ($\text{FEV}_1$), and maximal expiratory flow at 25% of $\text{FVC}$ ($\text{MEF}_{25}$) were determined by spirometry. $\text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC}$ (%) and $\text{RV}/\text{TLC}$ (%) were calculated.

All subjects were advised not to smoke tobacco and not to use any inhaler 1 hour prior to the test.

After a break of at least 3 minutes, alveolar volume ($V_A$) using Helium dilution [31] and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide as corrected for current haemoglobin and $V_A$ ($\text{TLCOc-V}_A$) was measured by a single breath manoeuvre [32].

**Pre-exercise diagnostics**

Sociodemographic and medical variables were assessed by computer-assisted personal interviews. Information on socioeconomic status and behavioural characteristics were retrieved from the computer-guided interview. School education level was categorised based on the East German three-level school system (<10, 10, >10 years). High physical activity was classified as at least 2-3 hours per week. To precisely assess the participant’s tobacco smoking, different information was combined: smoking status (never/former/current), daily
versus less than daily smoking, number of cigarettes smoked per day, and time since when former smokers quitted smoking. Accordingly, participants were categorised into never smokers, current less than daily smokers, former smokers who quitted $\leq 10$, $>10$ and $\leq 20$, $>20$ years ago, and current daily smokers. The number of pack years was calculated as packs/day $\times$ years of smoking. Never smokers were those who answered “No” to the question whether they smoke or had ever smoked every day.

Body height and weight were determined using calibrated scales, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated by kilogram divided by square metre. Waist circumference (WC) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm of the narrowest part of the waist midway between the lower rib margin and the iliac crest in the horizontal plane in standing position and with light clothing.

Previous history of diseases was based on self-reported physician’s diagnosis. Use of medication influencing lung function was recorded according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code [33]. The following drugs were considered: steroids (ATC A11ED, A14A, D07, G01B, H02, J01XC, M01BA, N02CB, R01AD, S01BA, S01BB, S01BC, S01BX, S01CA, S01CB, S02B, S02C, S03B, S03C) and non-steroidal anti-rheumatics (ATC M01). Diabetes mellitus was defined as self-reported physician’s diagnosis or intake of anti-diabetic medication (ATC A10) in SHIP-0 or as self-reported physician’s diagnosis or intake of anti-diabetic medication (ATC A10) between baseline and follow-up (SHIP-1). Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) were defined using self-reported physician’s diagnosis.

**Blood Sampling**

For laboratory examinations, non-fasting blood samples were obtained from the cubital vein in supine position. Hs-CRP (Dade Behring, Eschborn, Germany), plasma fibrinogen
according to Clauss (Electra 1600 analyser, Instrumentation Laboratory, Barcelona, Spain), and leukocyte counts (Coulter® MaxM™, Coulter Electronics, Miami, USA) were determined. To adjust diffusing capacity for the potential influence of blood haemoglobin, haemoglobin concentrations were determined on the same day, immediately before lung function measurements. Hence, a sample of capillary blood was taken from the ear lobe and immediately transferred to the blood gas and haemoglobin analyser (Radiometer ABL 510, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark).

**Statistical Analyses**

Continuous data were expressed as median (25th; 75th quantile). Categorical data were presented as numbers (percentages). Subjects were classified as having a low (≤) or a high (>) mean CAL value compared to the age-and sex-specific median for mean CAL. Differences between subjects with low and high mean CAL were tested using Mann-Whitney-U-tests (continuous data) or Chi square tests (categorical data).

Linear regression models were used to assess the association between periodontal and pulmonary variables. First-degree fractional polynomials (FPs) were applied to explore and graph nonlinear associations [34]. To evaluate goodness of fit, deviances were compared using \( \chi^2 \) distributions with corresponding degrees of freedom [34]. If none of the FP models fitted the data significantly better than the linear model, linear regression was applied. Starting with the exposure-effect-estimate for mean CAL from the model including age, sex, and time between core and pulmonary examination, confounders making the most difference in the estimate were added one by one (forward selection) [35]. A change-in-estimate of >5% was considered important. Final models were adjusted for age, sex, time between core and pulmonary examination, diabetic status, waist circumference, presence of asthma, physical
activity, smoking status, school education, and height (as age- and sex-specific quintiles).

Presence of COPD did not change estimates for mean CAL by >5%.

Next, to assess the possibility that systemic inflammation might link periodontal disease with lung function, fibrinogen and hs-CRP (M6) were additionally included in the full model. Relative change in estimate for mean CAL (exposure) was estimated to assess a putative intermediate position of systemic inflammation.

In sensitivity analyses, models were adjusted for either height and weight or BMI. Furthermore, subjects were restricted to never smokers and periodontal variables were substituted by the percentage of surfaces with CAL or PD ≥4 mm.

As recommended for epidemiological studies with missing data, multiple imputations of missing lung function data via chained equations were performed to reduce potential bias due to missing values in complete case analyses [36]. Using the STATA procedure ice with 20 runs [37], parameters of lung function were predicted by age, height, waist circumference, congestive heart failure (self-reported physician’s diagnosis, echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular or valvular dysfunction, or in accordance to the Rotterdam Study heart failure definition [38]), systolic blood pressure, diabetes, evidence of coronary artery disease (myocardial infarction, signs of ischaemia in ECG), related medications (chronic use of non-steroidal anti rheumatics and steroid intake), and pack years in strata of sex and smoking status [39]. Afterwards regression analyses were repeated using the imputed data.

Statistical significance was considered at a two-sided p<0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA/SE 12.0 [40].
RESULTS

Subjects with high mean CAL were less often well educated, were more often current smokers, and had higher levels of systemic inflammation compared with subjects with low mean CAL (Table 1). In addition, they had a deteriorated lung function (p<0.05).

Association between mean CAL and Pulmonary Variables

In the overall sample (Table 2), significant associations of mean CAL with dynamic lung volumes (FVC (Fig. 2a), FEV₁ (Fig. 2b)), static lung volumes (FRCPleth), and airflow limitation (FEV₁/FVC, MEF25, Rtot, and RV/TLC (Fig. 2c)) were seen after full adjustment for potential confounders. Restricted to never smokers, mean CAL was significantly (p<0.05) associated with dynamic lung volumes (FVC and FEV₁) and with RV/TLC ratio (Fig. 2, grey function). Data imputation confirmed associations with dynamic lung volumes (FVC, FEV₁), static lung volumes (FRCPleth), and airflow limitation (FEV₁/FVC, MEF25 and RV/TLC).

To assess the strength of confounding by smoking, height, and education more closely, stepwise adjusted models were evaluated (Table 3). After adjustment for age, sex, time between core and pulmonary examination, diabetes, waist circumference, physical activity, and asthma (M1), mean CAL was significantly related to all pulmonary variables (p<0.05), except TLC. Adjustment for smoking (M2) reduced coefficients for mean CAL by >5% for all variables except Rtot. Further adjustment for body height (M3) led to a more pronounced change in coefficients of mean CAL in relation to FVC, FEV₁, MEF25, FRCPleth, and TLC compared with an adjustment for education (M4). In the full model (M5), mean CAL was significantly associated with FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, MEF25, Rtot, FRCPleth, and RV/TLC (p<0.05). Higher levels of mean CAL were related to lower levels of FVC (Fig. 2a) and FEV₁ (Fig. 2b), and higher levels of RV/TLC (Fig. 2c). In addition, fibrinogen and hs-CRP were considered as intermediates linking periodontal disease with lung function. For those
pulmonary variables showing significant associations with mean CAL in the full model (M5), inclusion of fibrinogen and hs-CRP (M6) did not change coefficients of mean CAL.

**Sensitivity Analyses**

Considering mean PD as the periodontal exposure (Suppl. Table 1), relevant associations with FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, FRCpleth, and RV/TLC were seen in the overall sample (p<0.05). Restricting subjects to never smokers, the association with FVC missed statistical significance (p=0.063). Data imputation confirmed these results. Associations were highly confounded by smoking and body height (Suppl. Table 3).

Considering the NoMT as the exposure (Suppl. Table 2), significant associations with FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, Rₜ₉, FRCpleth, and RV/TLC were found (p<0.05). Restricting analyses to never smokers, NoMT was significantly associated with FVC and TLCOc-Vₐ (p<0.05). Evaluation of imputed data confirmed the associations with FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, Rₜ₉, and RV/TLC (p<0.05).

Exchanging the exposure variable by the percentage of sites with CAL/PD ≥4 mm results were confirmed. Substituting height and waist circumference by either body height and weight or BMI did not change the results substantially.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, periodontal disease was independently associated with reduced dynamic and static lung volumes, and with airflow limitation, but not with diffusing capacity. These associations, however, were heavily confounded by smoking and body height. Systemic inflammation as assessed by serum fibrinogen levels and hs-CRP seemed to mediate associations between periodontal disease and lung function only to a minor part. In never smokers, a deteriorated periodontal status was associated with lower lung volumes (FVC,
FEV₁) and higher RV/TLC ratios. Our findings are consistent with previous evidence about associations between periodontal disease and airflow limitation in predefined populations with COPD [4-10]. Importantly, our study offers more accurate definitions of airflow limitation providing a comprehensive evaluation of lung function.

Dental plaque induces gingivitis and is the primary etiological factor for the progression of periodontal diseases [41]. Thus, the effective removal of dental plaque is essential for the prevention of periodontal disease. In SHIP, the percentage of positive plaque sites was associated with lower lung volumes (FVC, FEV₁, \( p=0.02 \)) and higher RV/TLC ratios (\( p=0.004 \), data not shown). Results are in agreement with other studies having reported relations of plaque and/or oral hygiene with pneumonia [15], ventilator-associated pneumonia [42, 43], and COPD [12]. Oral hygiene may be efficient in reducing home-associated pneumonia [15, 44]. Further, several meta-analyses [42, 43, 45] support the use of oral antiseptics to reduce risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Because oral colonisation with oral or respiratory pathogens was not assessed in this study, associations between pathogen burden and lung function were not feasible. However, these studies considerably complement the scientific evidence for an association between periodontitis and lung diseases.

Besides hygiene issues in pneumonia and COPD, associations of oral microorganisms and pulmonary infections due to aspiration have been investigated [46]. Usually aspiration pneumonia is related to less virulent bacteria, streptococci, and anaerobes that reside in the upper airways or the gastrointestinal tract [46].

Smoking mainly confounds the association between periodontitis and lung function [5]. Smoking is involved in the pathogenesis and progression of periodontal diseases [47]. The peripheral vasoconstrictive effect of tobacco smoke and nicotine reduces the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to gingival tissue [48] and alters gingival inflammatory response [47]. On the other side, smoking affects respiratory defences, promotes chronic lung diseases in
respiratory infections, damages the airway epithelium, and has effects on lung clearance by suppressing cough and the protective waving action of cilia in the airways [49].

In this study, inclusion of smoking status strongly attenuated coefficients in our models that evaluated lung volumes, airway limitation, and diffusion capacity. Because we considered smoking status and number of pack years for adjustment, residual confounding by smoking was reduced considerably. However, residual confounding by the number of cigarettes smoked appears to be an aspect consistently observed in the current literature, where associations between periodontitis and lung function were only reported among current smokers [5, 10]. To avoid residual confounding by active smoking experience, analyses were also restricted to never smokers. Restricting analyses to never smokers halved the number of pulmonary variables associated with mean CAL. This aspect underlines the importance of major confounding by smoking and number of cigarettes smoked on the relationship between periodontal diseases and lung function.

It has been previously described that the socio-economic status is associated with lung function independently of smoking [50]. In line with this, in our study adjustment for education attenuated associations between periodontal disease and airflow limitation, quantified by FEV1/FVC, Rrs, RV/TLC, and diffusion, quantified by TLCOe-VA, more strongly compared with body height in our study. However, having only adjusted for education, residual confounding by other socio-economic factors cannot be ruled out.

In this study, adjustment for body height changed coefficients of mean CAL for static and dynamic lung volumes and MEF25 by more than 10%. This leads to the question how body height might be related to both lung volume and periodontitis. Body height reflects intrauterine influences, birth weight as well as weight and environmental factors in early childhood (e.g., nutrition, illness, psychosocial stress), which in consequence constrain the growth of the airways and may be reflected in reduced lung volumes. These constraints
occurring in foetal and early childhood may also hamper inflammatory responses to infections [51-53]. Meisel et al. [54] showed that body height was associated with periodontitis and concluded that individuals born with a high susceptibility to infectious and inflammatory diseases in childhood may present impaired length growth. Reaching adulthood, growth comes to a halt, but the individual remains susceptible to inflammatory sequelae. Thus, smaller persons tend to develop more severe periodontitis.

A number of potential mechanisms have been proposed to explain the biological plausibility for an association between periodontal disease and lung function [55, 56]. The oral cavity provides an optimal environment for bacterial growth because of its humidity and temperature. One mm$^3$ of plaque contains more than $10^6$ bacteria with 300 different anaerobic and facultative anaerobic species [57]. The most common route by which the oral cavity may influence pulmonary function is aspiration of saliva harbouring oral bacteria into the lung [24]. Aspiration of small amounts of saliva during sleep is quite common, even in healthy subjects [58]. Subjects with severe periodontal disease harbour elevated levels of periodontal pathogens in saliva compared with healthy subjects [59]. The amount of pathogens reflects pathogen burden in periodontal pockets [59]. When aspirated, oral pathogens cause local damage to the small airways [58].

Further, oral bacteria stimulate the inflamed periodontal tissues to release cytokines via the sulcus fluid into the saliva. In subjects with periodontal disease salivary biomarker load is higher than in healthy subjects [59]. Aspirated cytokines may promote the adhesion and growth of respiratory pathogens in the lower airways [55]. Subsequently, the respiratory epithelium may release cytokines and attract neutrophils, which in turn infiltrate airway parenchyma and release proteolytic enzymes and toxic oxygen radicals that damage the epithelium [60, 61]. As a consequence, the resultant inflamed mucosal epithelium may be particularly prone to infection.
Low grade systemic inflammation, specifically addressed in this study, might provide a further plausible link. Elevated levels of cytokines and higher levels of circulating inflammatory markers such as CRP and fibrinogen have not only been associated with periodontal diseases [16], but also with reduced lung function [17-20]. However, restricting models to those showing significant associations with mean CAL after full adjustment, inclusion of fibrinogen and hs-CRP did not reduce coefficients for mean CAL by more than 5%. Thus, systemic inflammation might only be of minor importance when considering the link between periodontal diseases and lung volume. Nevertheless, results are in agreement with others showing that hs-CRP and fibrinogen were associated with TLC [17-20].

There are several strengths of our analysis, the major one being the population-based approach including a general German adult population sample with a broad age range (25-85 years). Second, various clinical periodontal definitions were used covering both current (probing depth) and cumulative disease extent (attachment loss, tooth count); all definitions presented a consistent impression of the effect of periodontitis on lung function. Dental examiners had been calibrated twice a year. Third, a highly standardized method in obtaining comprehensive lung function parameters using standard spirometry, body plethysmography, helium dilution for measurement of alveolar volume, and diffusing capacity measurement for carbon monoxide was used.

Three limitations of our study merit comment. One of these is its cross-sectional design, known to preclude the establishment of a definite cause and effect relationship. Second, selection bias due to non-participation in pulmonary function tests may have occurred; younger and healthier subjects volunteered and no subjects with advanced COPD were identified among the study participants. To encounter this, multiple imputations of missing lung function data via chained equations were performed to reduce potential bias due to missing values in complete case analyses [36]. After imputation, associations between
periodontal disease and lung function were confirmed. Third, since periodontal measurements were taken half-mouth, extent of the disease might be underestimated, and observed associations with lung function might be biased towards the null [62]. However, use of mean values of PD and CAL limits underestimation and bias of risk estimates.

CONCLUSION
To conclude, periodontal disease was significantly associated with reduced lung volumes, hyperinflation and airflow limitation in this general population sample independently of potential confounders. Smoking and height highly confounded the association between periodontitis and lung function. Systemic inflammation did not provide a mechanism linking both diseases. In future, large cohort studies with long observation periods evaluating comprehensive lung function parameters are needed to validate the observed associations and to assess causality more closely. In this context, a comprehensive consideration of confounding bias is strongly suggested and analyses restricted to never smokers are encouraged. Further, investigations on the association between oral pathogen burden and lung function would complement results from clinical trials. Further, it needs to be investigated by randomized clinical trials whether prevention or treatment of periodontitis might have a beneficial impact on lung function.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the study population stratified by mean clinical attachment loss (n=1268).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low mean CAL ** (n=640)</th>
<th>High mean CAL ** (n=628)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung function variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between core and pulmonary examination in days</td>
<td>18 (0; 71)</td>
<td>19 (0; 82)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic lung volumes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC in ml</td>
<td>4001 (3367; 4744)</td>
<td>3882 (3269; 4668)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ in ml</td>
<td>3417 (2882; 4123)</td>
<td>3298 (2748; 3960)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obstruction/Airflow limitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC in %</td>
<td>85.8 (82.2; 88.9)</td>
<td>85.2 (81.5; 88.8)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF25 in l/s</td>
<td>1.60 (1.18; 2.08)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.09; 1.97)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rtot in kPa/s·l</td>
<td>0.21 (0.17; 0.27)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.17; 0.28)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Static lung volumes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCpleth in ml</td>
<td>3.54 (3.02; 4.15)</td>
<td>3.55 (2.99; 4.17)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC in ml</td>
<td>6300 (5475; 7207)</td>
<td>6317 (5398; 7225)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC in %</td>
<td>34.4 (28.5; 40.2)</td>
<td>35.6 (29.7; 41.9)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diffusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLCOc-Vₐ in mmol/kPa/min/l</td>
<td>1.452 (1.316; 1.605)</td>
<td>1.406 (1.267; 1.596)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Periodontal variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CAL in mm</td>
<td>0.94 (0.37; 1.75)</td>
<td>3.03 (1.96; 4.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sites with CAL ≥4 mm in %</td>
<td>2.0 (0; 10.0)</td>
<td>35.6 (7.7; 67.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PD in mm</td>
<td>1.89 (1.71; 2.09)</td>
<td>2.46 (2.05; 2.97)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sites with PD ≥4 mm in %</td>
<td>2.3 (0; 6.8)</td>
<td>16.0 (4.2; 32.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth count</td>
<td>24 (22; 27)</td>
<td>22 (15; 26)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Laboratory variables**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitive CRP in mg/L</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6; 2.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6; 2.7)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (Clauss) in g/L</td>
<td>2.9 (2.5; 3.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.6; 3.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte count in Gpt/L</td>
<td>6.3 (5.2; 7.2)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.5; 7.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subject characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at core examination in years</td>
<td>50.1 (39.9; 60.3)</td>
<td>50.1 (39.8; 60.2)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 years</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 years</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>never smoker</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current less than daily smoker</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>former smoker since ≤10 years</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>former smoker since &gt;10 and ≤20 years</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>former smoker since &gt;20 years</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current daily smoker</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years (packs/day × years)</td>
<td>0 (0; 6.9)</td>
<td>3.0 (0; 15.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (yes)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (high)</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index in kg/m²</td>
<td>26.8 (24.1; 29.8)</td>
<td>27.2 (24.5; 30.8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height in cm</td>
<td>171 (164; 178)</td>
<td>170 (164; 177)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight in kg</td>
<td>79.4 (69.1; 89.8)</td>
<td>79.4 (69.1; 91.8)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference in cm</td>
<td>91 (82; 100)</td>
<td>92 (82; 102)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coronary artery disease 4.4% 5.3% 0.46

Data are given as percentage or median (25th and 75th percentile).

* Mann-Whitney-U-test for continuous data and $\chi^2$ test for categorical data.

** classified as $\leq$ (low) or $>$ (high) age-and sex-specific median for mean CAL

Physical activity was defined as sportive activity of at least 2-3 hours per week. Coronary artery disease was defined as myocardial infarction or signs of ischemia in ECG.

CAL, clinical attachment loss; FVC-forced vital capacity; FEV$_1$-forced expiratory volume in one second; MEF-maximal expiratory flow at 25% of FVC; $R_{toe}$-total airway resistance; FRC$_{pleth}$-functional residual capacity derived body plethysmography; TLC-total lung capacity; RV/TLC-residual volume to total lung capacity ratio; TLCO$_c$-VA-diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (single breath), corrected for haemoglobin level and ventilated area (assessed by Helium dilution); CRP-C-reactive protein; COPD-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (subject’s reported physician’s diagnosis).
Table 2. Linear regression evaluating the associations between mean clinical attachment loss (CAL, exposure) and pulmonary variables (outcomes) using fractional polynomials (FP) the overall sample, in never smokers, and using imputed data. Regression coefficients (B) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for mean CAL are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall sample (n=1268)</th>
<th>Never smokers (n=565)</th>
<th>Imputed data (n=2577)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FP B (95% CI)</td>
<td>FP B (95% CI)</td>
<td>FP B (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC in ml</td>
<td>X² -360 (-636; -85) *</td>
<td>X³ -992 (-1668; -316) **</td>
<td>X² -385 (-614; -156) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ in ml</td>
<td>X² -480 (-722; -238) ***</td>
<td>X³ -738 (-1306; -170) *</td>
<td>X² -367 (-575; -159) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC in %</td>
<td>X -4.93 (-7.97; -1.89) **</td>
<td>X 0.63 (-2.96; 4.23)</td>
<td>X -1.61 (-3.42; 0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF25 in l/s</td>
<td>X -0.23 (-0.46; -0.01) *</td>
<td>X -0.03 (-0.37; 0.31)</td>
<td>X -0.16 (-0.34; 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R̄tot in kPa/s * l †</td>
<td>X³ 0.071 (0.024; 0.118) **</td>
<td>X -0.001 (-0.053; 0.051)</td>
<td>X³ 0.050 (0.003; 0.096) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCpleth in ml †</td>
<td>X³ 0.94 (0.54; 1.34) ***</td>
<td>X 0.22 (-0.20; 0.64)</td>
<td>X³ 0.36 (-0.02; 0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC in ml †</td>
<td>X 211 (-104; 526)</td>
<td>X 126 (-394; 646)</td>
<td>X 12 (-286; 309)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC in % ‡</td>
<td>X 5.62 (2.93; 8.32) ***</td>
<td>X³ 16.94 (8.63; 25.26) ***</td>
<td>X 3.40 (0.99; 5.81) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLCOc-VA in mmol/kPa/min/l $</td>
<td>X -0.0003 (-0.128; 0.127)</td>
<td>X 0.094 (-0.123; 0.311)</td>
<td>X -0.019 (-0.116; 0.078)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Linear regression using fractional polynomials for mean clinical attachment loss (CAL) adjusted for age, sex, time between core and pulmonary examination, diabetes, waist circumference, physical activity (at least 2-3 hours/week), asthma, COPD, smoking status (six categories), height (age- and sex-specific quintiles), and school education; X=mean CAL/10.
* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001; † one additional missing value; ‡ three additional missing values, $ twelve additional missing values in the overall sample model and seven additional missing values in never smokers.

CI-confidence interval; FVC-forced vital capacity; FEV$_1$-forced expiratory volume in one second; MEF-maximal expiratory flow at 25% of FVC; FRC$_{\text{pleth}}$-functional residual capacity derived body plethysmography; R$_{tot}$-total airway resistance; TLC-total lung capacity; RV/TLC-residual volume to TLC ratio; TLCOc-$V_A$-diffusing capacity for carbon dioxide (single breath), corrected for haemoglobin level and ventilated area (assessed by Helium dilution).
Table 3. Linear regression evaluating the associations between mean clinical attachment loss (exposure) and pulmonary variables (outcomes) using fractional polynomials (FP) for the overall sample. Regression coefficients (B) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for mean clinical attachment loss are reported (n=1268).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FP</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2= M1+smoking</th>
<th>M3= M2+height</th>
<th>M4= M2+school education</th>
<th>M5= full model</th>
<th>M6= M5+Fibrinogen+hs-CRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC in ml</td>
<td>X²</td>
<td>-557 (-864; -249) ***</td>
<td>-457 (-773; -141) **</td>
<td>-377 (-648; -106) **</td>
<td>-391 (-711; -70) *</td>
<td>-360 (-636; -85) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ in ml</td>
<td>X²</td>
<td>-715 (-981; -449) ***</td>
<td>-567 (-839; -295) ***</td>
<td>-500 (-738; -262)</td>
<td>-508 (-784; -232) ***</td>
<td>-480 (-722; -238) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC in %</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-4.82 (-7.03; -2.60) ***</td>
<td>-3.26 (-5.55; -0.97) **</td>
<td>-3.28 (-5.58; -0.99) **</td>
<td>-3.36 (-5.69; -1.03) **</td>
<td>-4.93 (-7.97; -1.89) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF25 in l/s</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.42 (-0.64; -0.21) ***</td>
<td>-0.26 (-0.48; -0.04) *</td>
<td>-0.23 (-0.45; -0.01) *</td>
<td>-0.25 (-0.48; -0.03) *</td>
<td>-0.23 (-0.46; -0.01) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rao in kPa/s* 1 †</td>
<td>X²</td>
<td>0.083 (0.036; 0.136) **</td>
<td>0.079 (0.031; 0.126) **</td>
<td>0.074 (0.028; 0.121) **</td>
<td>0.073 (0.024; 0.121) **</td>
<td>0.071 (0.024; 0.118) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCpleth in ml †</td>
<td>X³</td>
<td>1.08 (0.63; 1.53) ***</td>
<td>0.90 (0.45; 1.35) ***</td>
<td>0.97 (0.57; 1.36) ***</td>
<td>0.93 (0.47; 1.38) ***</td>
<td>0.94 (0.54; 1.34) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC in ml †</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>165 (-183; 513)</td>
<td>77 (-285; 438)</td>
<td>194 (-116; 504)</td>
<td>156 (-211; 523)</td>
<td>211 (-104; 526)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC in % ‡</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7.53 (4.97; 10.10) ***</td>
<td>5.76 (3.11; 8.41) ***</td>
<td>5.63 (2.98; 8.28) ***</td>
<td>5.72 (3.03; 8.42) ***</td>
<td>5.62 (2.93; 8.32) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLCo-Vₐ in mmol/kPa/min/l $</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.134 (-0.258; -0.009) *</td>
<td>0.019 (-0.106; 0.145)</td>
<td>0.010 (-0.115; 0.135)</td>
<td>0.005 (-0.123; 0.133)</td>
<td>-0.0003 (-0.128; 0.127)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Linear regression using fractional polynomials for mean clinical attachment loss (CAL); X=mean CAL/10.

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001; † one additional missing value; ‡ three additional missing values, $ twelve additional missing values.

FP, fractional polynomial; B, linear regression coefficient; CI-confidence interval; FVC-forced vital capacity; FEV₁-forced expiratory volume in one second; MEF-maximal expiratory flow at 25% of FVC; Rao-total airway resistance; FRCpleth-functional residual capacity derived body plethysmography; TLC-total lung capacity; RV/TLC-residual volume to TLC ratio; TLCo-Vₐ-diffusing capacity for carbon dioxide (single breath), corrected for haemoglobin level and ventilated area (assessed by Helium dilution).

M1: adjusted for age, sex, time between core and pulmonary examination, diabetes, waist circumference, physical activity (at least 2-3 hours/week), asthma, and COPD.
M2: M1 additionally adjusted for smoking status (six categories)
M3: M2 additionally adjusted for height (age- and sex-specific quintiles)
M4: M2 additionally adjusted for school education
M5: M2 additionally adjusted for height (age- and sex-specific quintiles) and school education (full model)
M6: M5 additionally including fibrinogen (cont.) and hs-CRP (cont.)
Figure 1. Flow chart describing the derivation of the study sample.

SHIP-0, baseline
4308 participants, age 20-81 years

SHIP-1, 5-year follow-up
3300 participants, age 25-86 years

N=1491, refused lung function examination

1809 subjects with lung function examination
N=9, no lung function examination
N=8, no dental examination
N=274, regular intake of non-steroidal anti-rheumatics or steroids
N=42, hs-CRP >10 mg/l
N=13, missing confounder data

1463 subjects with lung function examination, dental examination, and covariate data

1463 subjects with tooth count data (see Suppl. Table 2)
N=96, edentulous in examined side
N=6, refused periodontal examination
N=26, periodontal measurements not recordable

1335 subjects with periodontal examination
N=6, probing depth not recordable

1327 subjects with probing depth data (see Suppl. Table 1)
N=59, attachment loss not recordable

1268 subjects with attachment loss data (see Tables 1-3)

Figure 2. Associations between mean clinical attachment loss (CAL) and a) forced vital capacity (FVC), b) forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), and c) residual volume to total lung capacity ratio (RV/TLC) in the overall sample (black; n=1268) and in never
smokers (grey; n=565) according to M5 in Table 3. Confidence limits (dashed lines) and P values from fully adjusted regression analyses are given.