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Inert gas washout tests, performed using the single or multiple breath washout technique (SBW and MBW, respectively), were first described over 60 years ago. As measures of ventilation distribution inhomogeneity, they offer complementary information to standard lung function tests such as spirometry as well as improved feasibility across wider age ranges and improved sensitivity in the detection of early lung damage. These benefits have led to a resurgence of interest in these techniques from manufacturers, clinicians and researchers, yet detailed guidelines for washout equipment specifications, test performance and analysis are lacking. This manuscript provides recommendations about these aspects, applicable to both the paediatric and adult testing environment, whilst outlining the important principles that are essential for the reader to understand. These recommendations are evidence-based where possible but in many places represent expert opinion from a working group with a large collective experience in the techniques discussed. Finally, the important issues that remain unanswered are highlighted. By addressing these important issues and directing future research, the hope is to facilitate the incorporation of these promising tests into routine clinical practice.
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1. Introduction

The architecture of the airway tree promotes even distribution and optimal mixing of inhaled gas with resident gas. Multiple breath and single breath inert gas washout tests (MBW and SBW, respectively) assess efficiency of ventilation distribution [1, 2]: in principle, efficiency of inert marker gas clearance from the lungs, or gas mixing within the time frame of a single breath, respectively. Suitable inert gases must be safe to inhale at concentrations used, not participate in gas exchange nor dissolve significantly in blood or other tissues. Options include both endogenous (Nitrogen, N₂, and Argon, Ar) and exogenous gases (Sulfur hexafluoride, SF₆, Helium, He, and Methane, CH₄). Marked ventilation distribution abnormalities occur in obstructive lung disease [3, 4] despite normal ventilatory capacity as measured by spirometry [5-10]. Washout tests may provide insight into mechanisms behind abnormal ventilation distribution and localisation of pathology. MBW is particularly attractive as it uses either relaxed tidal breathing (mostly in paediatric settings) or a fixed tidal volume (usually 1L in adults) without need for maximal effort, thereby offering feasibility in all age groups [5, 7, 9, 11-14], driving recent strong paediatric interest. Despite this and unique insights into disease onset, widespread clinical use has yet to be achieved and the further work required is limited by a lack of carefully validated robust commercial washout systems.

Washout recording systems determine inspired and expired inert gas volumes, by continuously measuring inert gas concentration synchronised with respiratory flow. Overall aims of this standardisation document are to promote and facilitate use of open-circuit washout systems (i.e. minimal rebreathing of expired air), and achieve quality assured results, comparable between laboratories, using validated systems suitable across age groups and disease conditions. This paper is directed to manufacturers, researchers, clinicians and
respiratory technicians. Recommendations are made for testing infants, children and adults, reflecting broad clinical and research interest. Application in different age groups may require age-specific modifications, assumptions and limitations. Specific aims of this document are to

1) describe the principles and physiological concepts behind MBW and SBW tests
2) outline equipment requirements, appropriate system quality control and validation
3) describe available washout outcomes, factors influencing their calculation, and insights provided into underlying mechanisms of ventilation distribution inhomogeneity
4) provide recommendations and test acceptability criteria in different age groups
5) highlight important future research.

Recommendations will continue to evolve as further insight is gained. Clinical utility has been summarised elsewhere [15-19]. Key recommendations are summarised in Table 1.

2. Mechanisms of Ventilation Distribution Inhomogeneity

Ventilation distribution occurs by convection and diffusion [20]. Three principal mechanisms generate inhomogeneity [21]:

1) Convection-dependent inhomogeneity (CDI) in the conducting airway zone (i.e. airways proximal to terminal bronchioles [22])
2) Diffusion-limitation related inhomogeneity in pathologically enlarged acinar structures (rare)
3) Interaction between convection and diffusion in an intermediate zone at the level of the diffusion-convection front.

In adult healthy lungs, this quasi-stationary diffusion-convection front - determining where these mechanisms can operate - is thought to be around the acinar entrance [23].

Inhomogeneity of ventilation distribution is reflected in delayed MBW marker gas clearance,
raised SBW phase III slope \((S_{III})\) (explained in Figure 1), and magnitude and progression of MBW concentration normalised phase III slopes \((S_{nIII})\) through subsequent breaths (Figure 2); in the latter, \(S_{III}\) normalisation by expired alveolar concentration is required to compare progression.

CDI results from differences in specific ventilation between lung units sharing branch points in the conducting airway zone in combination with sequential filling and emptying among these units [24]. CDI contributes to increased \(S_{III}\) in SBW and generates a continuous rise in \(S_{nIII}\) through subsequent MBW breaths [25]. Diffusion-Convection-interaction-Dependent Inhomogeneity (DCDI), which occurs in the region of the acinar entrance, increases \(S_{nIII}\) if structural asymmetry is present at branch points (e.g. differences in cross-sectional area and/or subtended lung volumes). In normal adult lungs, DCDI is the major contributor to SBW \(S_{III}\) slope [24] and DCDI contribution to MBW \(S_{nIII}\) reaches its maximum at approximately five breaths [25].

3. Single Breath Washout and Multiple Breath Washout tests

SBW and MBW assess ventilation distribution inhomogeneity at differing lung volumes. The most widely used \(N_2\) SBW test [1] involves a vital capacity (VC) manoeuvre performed at low constant flow (400-500 mL/s): exhalation to residual volume (RV), inhalation of 100\% \(O_2\) to total lung capacity (TLC), then washout during exhalation from TLC to RV [1, 26], where \(S_{III}\) is measured over the mid portion of the expirogram (Figure 1). For exogenous inert gas SBW, inert gas is washed in during inhalation from RV to TLC, before washout during exhalation to RV. VC SBW \(S_{III}\) is influenced to a greater degree by gravitational and non-gravitational inter-regional differences in gas distribution and airway closure during the inspiratory phase [27-29], compared to tidal breathing protocols. Actual peripheral airway
contribution to VC SBW SIII is uncertain. Modification by initial washin from functional residual capacity (FRC) to either TLC or a volume above FRC (e.g. one litre [30]), better reflects inhomogeneity present during near-tidal breathing and may be a more sensitive index of peripheral airway involvement [31].

MBW assesses ventilation distribution inhomogeneity during tidal breathing from FRC, by examining inert gas clearance over a series of breaths. Exogenous gas washout requires an initial washin phase. It requires only passive co-operation and minimal co-ordination, but is more time consuming. It appears to be the most informative of these tests. In contrast to MBW, SBW SIII using a single inert gas does not separate CDI and DCDI contributions, though some information about location of pathological processes may be gained by comparing simultaneous SBW SIII of inert gases with widely different molecular mass (MM, section 8). SBW may be sufficient for some patient groups: in patients for whom DCDI is thought to be the main mechanism, SBW initiated from FRC approximates the first tidal expiration of a MBW, which contains most of the DCDI information. Studies directly comparing SBW and MBW are rare or non-existent.

4. Equipment Specifications

Key components and principles exist when designing washout devices (Figure 3). Individual component recommendations are summarised in Table 2 and section E2 of the online supplement (OLS). It is unlikely that all individual criteria outlined will be fulfilled by any one system, which is why overall system performance during validation and subsequent testing is the central aspect (Table 3). Recommendations for online and offline washout software are summarised in Tables 4 and 5.
Accurately measured flow and inert gas concentration must be meticulously synchronised. Asynchrony between flow and gas signals in real time measurement is due to gas sample transit time from airstream to inert gas analyser and/or gas analyser response time. Inert gas concentration measurement should ideally occur across the mainstream to minimise the error introduced by streaming, and be synchronous with flow signal. Mainstream gas analysers generally have shorter rise times than sidestream analysers but may introduce additional equipment deadspace, which in turn may have detrimental effects on ventilation during testing. Short analyser rise times become increasingly important as breathing rate increases, such as in young infants. Overall contribution of characteristics such as these determines suitability for different age ranges, as illustrated by the detailed discussion of current published systems in section E2.7 OLS.

5. Validation of washout equipment

Recommended washout equipment validation is FRC measurement accuracy: FRC values within 5% of known volume for at least 95% of values [32] across the range of lung volumes, \( V_T \) and respiratory rates encountered during subsequent clinical testing [33, 34]. Validation should assess all stages of measurement including post-data acquisition processing procedures such as BTPS correction. Recently, optimised lung model design [35] has incorporated simulated BTPS conditions for validation of both established and emerging MBW systems [34] (Figure 4) and is the recommended approach. Validation should be repeated if significant changes in hardware or software algorithms occur [36]. All MBW ventilation inhomogeneity indices depend on accurate FRC determination, but FRC validation alone may not be sufficient to ensure accuracy of derived ventilation distribution indices. During subsequent clinical or research testing, biological controls should monitor measurement stability (e.g. 3-4 healthy staff members performing MBW in triplicate
monthly). Marked variation beyond normal observed pattern should prompt further careful evaluation of device performance and procedures.

A variety of factors may generate differences in reported indices between centres (Table 6), and until standardisation is achieved, normative data is at best tentative and likely to be inert gas, equipment and software specific. Experimental conditions under which normative data are obtained should be clearly described in manuscripts.

6. Suitability of current washout systems across age groups

The only current system applicable across all age groups is custom built and based on the respiratory mass spectrometer (RMS). RMS is the current gold standard gas analyser offering simultaneous measurement of multiple gases in constant conditions, full linearity, low sample flow and short response time [36]. This custom washout system exists in several centres [5, 7, 37-39], but may be too expensive and impractical for widespread use.

In MBW using N\textsubscript{2}, inhalation of 100% O\textsubscript{2} may alter breathing pattern in infants [40] and subsequent MBW outcomes, but impact on breathing pattern beyond infancy is considered minimal. As an alternative to emission spectrophotometer N\textsubscript{2} analyser systems (requiring vacuum pumps), indirect N\textsubscript{2} measurement systems have been proposed based on simultaneous O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} measurement [34] or changes in molar mass (MM) [33] (section E3 OLS). Potential for additive errors with indirect measurement places even greater emphasis on adequate quality control.

MM based measurement of SF\textsubscript{6} or He is also feasible [41-43]. Mainstream MM SF\textsubscript{6} washout has been validated in infants [43, 44], however, lack of validated correction algorithms for
detrimental temperature and humidity fluctuations limit utility beyond infancy [45].

Sidestream MM washout incorporating Nafion® tubing to stabilise temperature and humidity [46] has been validated for older age groups [41, 47], but current equipment dead space volume \((V_D)\) precludes use in infancy.

Modified photoacoustic analyser based systems have been validated for use in adults and school age children [9, 48], but are not currently commercially available. Feasibility into younger ages will depend on minimisation of longer analyser response times. Detrimental impact of high sample flow used in these systems on measured flows may be reduced by gas sensor placement distal to flow measurement, but requires careful evaluation. Sampling bias flow gas during low expiratory flows must also be avoided. The commercially available photoacoustic analyser based closed circuit system is not discussed in this manuscript [49].

7. Outcomes

7.1 Functional Residual Capacity

FRC measured by MBW \((\text{FRC}_{\text{gas}})\) represents the volume of lung gas, at end expiration (assessed at the breath immediately preceding washout), in direct communication with the airway opening, excluding gas trapped in lung regions not ventilated by tidal breaths. \(\text{FRC}_{\text{gas}}\) is therefore often lower than plethysmographic FRC \((\text{FRC}_{\text{pleth}})\), especially in obstructive lung disease [50].

\[
\text{FRC}_{\text{gas}} = \frac{V_{1G}}{C_{e1,1G(\text{initial} - \text{final})}} \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]
where, $V_{IG}$ is net volume of inert gas expired, and $C_{et}$ is end tidal concentration of inert gas. $V_{IG}$ is the sum of the integral products of exhaled flow and gas concentration for each washout breath, corrected for re-inspired gas, contained within the $V_D$ after the post-gas sampling point (post-gs, Figure 3, section E5.2 OLS).

Measured FRC can be corrected to represent different points in the airstream: FRC at the airway opening, $FRC_{ao}$, is calculated as FRC measured at the gas sampling point, $FRC_{gs}$, minus pre-gs $V_D$. FRC used in ventilation inhomogeneity index calculations must correspond to a common airstream measurement point (section E6.2 OLS).

Calculated FRC may continue to increase through the washout, particularly in subjects with airway disease and in MBNW (see section 8), yet studies rarely disclose when FRC measurement is determined. FRC end analysis threshold should correspond to the end test threshold used for ventilation inhomogeneity indices (e.g. $1/40^{th}$ of starting end tidal concentration for Lung Clearance Index, LCI). The effect of variation in FRC end-point on other FRC-derived indices may be significant. Methodology for reported FRC values should be clearly described.

### 7.2 Measures of Ventilation distribution inhomogeneity

A large number of ventilation distribution indices can be derived from information contained within SBW or MBW [21, 51, 52] (section E6.1 OLS):

1) **SBW $S_{III}$**, reflecting combined CDI and DCDI contributions, unless simultaneously performed with marker gases of widely different MM

2) **MBW global ventilation inhomogeneity indices**, reflecting efficiency of marker gas clearance
3) MBW SnIII analysis, distinguishing CDI and DCDI mechanisms

4) Airway closure and trapped gas volume ($V_{TG}$) assessment from SBW and MBW, respectively

Depending on the pathology under study, relationships between MBW-derived indices (e.g. $S_{acin}$, $S_{cond}$ and LCI) may help identify the type of structural changes generating increased ventilation distribution inhomogeneity [53].

### 7.2.1 Global measures

LCI is the most commonly reported MBW index in current paediatric literature, and defined as the number of FRC turnovers (TOs) required to reduce alveolar tracer gas concentration to a given fraction of its starting concentration, historically $1/40^{th}$ (2.5%) [54]. Alveolar tracer gas concentration has been estimated in various ways. In paediatric studies $C_{et}$ is widely used, despite potential variability in end-tidal point. Identification of end-test threshold for LCI has not been systematically validated, but we recommend using the first of three consecutive breaths with a $C_{et} < 1/40^{th}$ to avoid premature test termination with small breaths. LCI is calculated as the ratio of cumulative expired volume (CEV) to FRC, with CEV defined as the sum of all expiratory $V_T$ over the washout including this first post-threshold. This introduces a small bias (overestimation), however, the value of interpolated or more complicated curve fit methods to determine exact threshold crossing values is unclear. Alternate methods used should be explicitly stated.

Ideally indices should be assessed at airway opening without external $V_D$. This is however, not feasible and $V_T$ should be corrected for equipment $V_D$ as appropriate (Section E6.2 OLS). Post-gs $V_D$ can be reliably estimated from water displacement, however, pre-gs $V_D$ determination may be challenging, due to streaming within the facemask or filter [55].
Applied pre- and post-gs corrections should be clearly described. Where $V_D$ correction is implemented, it is advised that both corrected and uncorrected LCI values are reported.

In clinical and modelling studies indices such as LCI have small but significant relationships to underlying respiratory patterns ($V_T$, $V_D$ and FRC) particularly under disease conditions [51, 56, 57]. Effects of variation in respiratory rate and $V_T$ can be minimised using moment analysis (Section E6.4 OLS). This describes the degree of skewness of the washout curve to the right, as mean dilution numbers (MDN) or moment ratios [58]. $V_D$-independent assessment is feasible by correcting CEV for airways $V_D$ ($V_{D,aw}$) and using cumulative expired alveolar volume in calculations (CEV$_{alv}$, e.g. alveolar MDN [56] and alveolar LCI [59]). $V_{D,aw}$, measured using Fowler or Langley methods (section E4.2 OLS) [60, 61], should be based on CO$_2$ $V_{D,aw}$, or the first few washout breaths of inert gas $V_{D,aw}$, as the latter increases during MBW [25] due to early washout of very well ventilated lung regions with short pathways to the airway opening. However, moment ratio truncation to facilitate between-subject comparison (e.g. to 8 TO [62]), may detrimentally affect sensitivity [63], and feasibility. Healthy subjects may also require longer washout periods to reach these higher TO values, and accurate measurement may be compromised by limited signal resolution and high relative noise at the low gas concentrations encountered.

7.2.2 Normalised Phase III slope ($S_{III}$) analysis
MBW $S_{III}$ analysis has a theoretical [64], experimental [65], and lung modelling basis [66-68] from morphometric data in healthy adults [22], to distinguish ventilation inhomogeneity arising from DCDI and CDI mechanisms, expressed as the clinical indices $S_{acin}$ and $S_{cond}$, respectively [69] (Figure 5). For $S_{acin}$ and $S_{cond}$ determination, $S_{III}$ and gas concentrations must be accurately determined down to breaths with very low concentrations (section E6.6
OLS) and may not be feasible for all washout systems.

$S_{III}$ is dependent on many factors, both linear and non-linear, at least in healthy adult lungs: pre-inspiratory lung volume, inspired and expired volumes and flow [1, 20, 39, 70-75]. Consequently, these factors should ideally be kept similar between subjects to maintain diffusion-convection front location, and allow changes in indices to be linked to changes in corresponding lung structures. Breath holds at end-inspiration flatten $S_{III}$ and should be minimised [30, 60]. The beating heart generates flow pulses within airways [76] causing cardiogenic gas mixing. Cardiogenic oscillations superimposed on $S_{III}$ adds to signal noise. Automated $S_{III}$ calculation algorithms exist [77], but subjective observation is still necessary to review estimated slope accuracy.

7.3 Trapped Gas Volume

Airway closure occurs in lung units approaching regional RV [78], but may also occur at higher regional lung volumes in infants, older adults [79], obese subjects [80] and in the presence of peripheral airway obstruction. It may be a prominent phenomenon in airway disease. If present, the volume of trapped gas ($V_{TG}$) can be measured during MBW by including five inspiratory capacity (IC) breaths after conventional end test threshold is reached and measuring the volume of lung recruited (section E6.3 OLS). $V_{TG}$ measurement with both resident and exogenous MBW has been established for infants and children [81, 82]. Importantly, this method estimates only the gas volumes recruitable during these large breaths.

7.4 Closing volume and Closing capacity

Closing volume (CV) and closing capacity require accurate determination of SBW phase III to phase IV transition (Figure 1). CV reflects airway closure occurring preferentially in dependent lung regions and peripheral airway obstruction [78, 83, 84]. Relative merits of these indices have been reviewed elsewhere [85]. Although feasible in adults [86], paediatric utility of CV is limited [87]. Automated identification of phase IV is feasible [88].
8. Impact of inert gas choice

Derived indices may differ depending on the gas used for a number of reasons. Gas diffusion rate is inversely proportional to MM square root, but convective distribution is unaffected. Consequently, diffusion-convection front location is more proximal for lighter gases vs. heavier gases (e.g. He, MM=4 vs. SF₆, MM=146 g/mol). Greater series V_D for SF₆, compared to He, generates higher LCI values, irrespective of ventilation distribution itself. In healthy lungs SF₆ S_{III} are greater than He S_{III}, but may reverse in lung pathology [89-91]. In addition, rate of diffusive equilibration in enlarged peripheral air spaces (e.g. emphysema) may differ depending on gas choice generating differential S_{III} increase. Homogeneity of gas distribution present at the start of washout may differ depending on whether naturally resident or exogenous gas is used. Measurable differences may be informative. In simultaneous He and SF₆ measurement, disease processes distal to the acinar entrance generate greater abnormality in SF₆ indices, whereas disease processes proximal to the acinar entrance but in the zone of the convection-diffusion front will affect He indices preferentially. However, if disease processes affect SF₆ and He S_{III} to a similar extent, no relative S_{III} difference occurs [92].

Advantages of N₂ washout include widespread availability and affordability of 100% O₂, and avoidance of patient connection to equipment during washin periods between tests minimising patient discomfort. N₂ is resident in all lung units including very slowly ventilated lung compartments and may offer improved sensitivity to detect abnormality, compared to other inert gases, which may not equilibrate fully within these regions during washin. However, disadvantages also exist. Thresholds at which factors such as age, sleep state and sedation interact with 100% O₂ to affect breathing pattern remain unclear. N₂ is not truly inert and tissue N₂, present due to high atmospheric N₂ partial pressure, diffuses from
blood into alveoli along concentration gradients. This diffusion is greatest in well-ventilated lung regions washed out during initial portions of the test, and contribute to exhaled N\(_2\) later in the washout, potentially introducing greater error in longer tests (e.g. FRC overestimation). Estimation and correction of tissue N\(_2\) contribution is difficult due to limited available data to base correction [93], and adjustment for tissue N\(_2\) is not currently recommended [94].

Whilst different inert gas concentrations used in the literature are safe (e.g. 4% SF\(_6\) and 4% He), additional factors influence inert gas selection. SF\(_6\) may have adverse health effects at higher concentrations [95] and significant greenhouse potential [96]. Feasibility of scavenging following testing is unclear. SF\(_6\) is not universally approved for testing (e.g. USA and France). Low density of He renders it more susceptible to leaks during testing, which may aid leak detection. Cost of exogenous gas is increasing in many countries, partly due to increasing logistical requirements when used as a medical gas.

**9. Acceptability criteria for testing**

Quality control during testing is critical and extends beyond equipment performance and software feedback to also include close observation by the operator of the subject’s behaviour during testing and how this affects the data obtained. Adequate operator training and appreciation of all factors influencing test results is essential. Recommended acceptability criteria for MBW and SBW are summarised in Tables 7 and 8.

**9.1 Multiple Breath Washout**

Primary index of interest may differ between paediatric and adult testing (e.g. LCI and Sn\(_{III}\) indices in the current literature, respectively) influencing test termination criteria and
acceptability. Recommendations contained within this document attempt to provide a unified approach.

**Breathing pattern**

Measured FRC reflects lung volume at which washout is commenced (i.e. end-expiratory level, EEL). Stability of resting lung volumes before and throughout washout is critical [43, 45]. In infants, intrinsic FRC resetting during critical periods, visible as sighs, should prompt test exclusion. In general, large inspiratory breaths during washout may mobilise trapped gas and small inspiratory or expiratory breaths may result in steeper SIII. End-tidal volumes below FRC may result in steeper SIII and occurrence of phase IV, especially in obstructive lung disease. For SnIII analysis, first breath quality (in particular adherence to target inhalation and exhalation volume) is critical for accurate Sacin.

Relaxed tidal breathing has historically been used for global MBW derived indices. Studies introducing adult SnIII analysis used a strict 1L VT breathing regimen [97], chosen as a compromise between a) maintaining physiological breathing conditions, b) obtaining sufficient phase III to compute its slope, and c) having sufficient SnIII data points for statistically valid regression from approximately TO 1.5-6.0 [97]. This strict protocol is not feasible in all ages, nor in more advanced obstructive disease. In addition, due to marked variations in lung size, 1 L may greatly exceed normal VT and not be appropriate. In an attempt to implement SnIII analysis in younger ages during regular breathing (typically ≤ 16 years old), the following criterion for breath acceptability, based on a similar principle, is proposed: each breath must have sufficient phase III to compute SIII (at least 50% of VT). For tests fulfilling this criterion, volume compensation is then performed on SnIII: SnIII is multiplied by FRC (to correct for differences in lung size) and then by VT/FRC (to account...
for variations in $S_{III}$ due to changes in breathing pattern). This net multiplication of $S_{III}$ by $V_T$ (in L) facilitates comparison among subjects of differing lung sizes, yet needs to be critically interpreted in any particular study setting (Section E6.5 OLS). Where implemented, we recommend that both corrected and uncorrected $S_{acin}$ and $S_{cond}$ values are reported, such that posteriori analyses are possible, if and when this or other correction methods are validated. Insufficient $S_{III}$ for accurate estimation limits feasibility in infants [98].

Visual breathing pattern feedback may be useful to guide older adolescents and adults [9] but is problematic in younger subjects, for whom distraction with videos is recommended [6]. Measurements in infants should be performed during quiet non rapid-eye-movement sleep, with or without the use of sedation. No comparative study exists showing the potential effect of sedation on washout indices.

**Test termination**

MBW test termination after alveolar concentration (usually $Cet$) falls below $1/40^{th}$ of starting concentration for three consecutive breaths allows standard LCI to be calculated. For standard $S_{III}$ analysis and moment ratios MBW should pass beyond 6 and 8 TO, respectively [62].

**FRC repeatability**

Previously recommended within-session FRC repeatability criteria (within 10%, [99, 100]) have poor feasibility in paediatric testing [101], and may lengthen total testing time significantly. Repeatability within 10% should be viewed as encouraging. Tests should be carefully examined for technical issues if this is not met. Automatic exclusion of tests should occur if FRC differs by $> 25\%$ from median FRC over three tests.
In older children, FRC increases by >20% moving supine to sitting [102], but effects of transition from testing supine infants to seated pre-schoolers is unclear. Postural effects on ventilation distribution may also depend on severity and topographical location of airways disease. Consideration of these factors should also occur when comparing upright ventilation distribution tests to supine imaging studies.

**9.2 Single Breath Washout**

The need to maintain inspiratory and expiratory flows strictly between 400-500 mL/s and achieve reproducible VC manoeuvres currently limits feasibility to adults and children over 12 years [18]. $S_{III}$ volume compensation, using a similar approach to MBW, in this case by multiplying $S_{III}$ by VC, is feasible but not formally validated. It is unclear how much variation in historical predicted $S_{III}$ values [18] is due to physiological intrinsic or technical factors.

**10. Future work and Conclusions**

Important questions remaining unanswered for commercial and research washout systems, SBW and MBW test procedure and subsequent analysis are summarised in Table 9. Challenges arise when interpreting washout tests in infants and children where relationships between $V_D/V_T$ and $V_T/FRC$ and calculated indices must be considered. This is particularly relevant when undertaking studies of early lung disease or treatment effects to ensure that reported differences don’t reflect alterations in respiratory patterns alone. Longitudinal data for ventilation inhomogeneity indices during normal lung development with age are needed. Influence of sex and ethnic background is unclear.

Anatomical distinction between ventilation inhomogeneity represented by $S_{cond}$ and $S_{acin}$ relies on diffusion-convection front location, which has been simulated in an adult lung using available lung structure and airway dimensions. Extending applicability of such indices into childhood and disease processes requires further simulation of the diffusion-convection front based on realistic anatomical data. Beyond post-mortem data, anatomical and functional data obtained using modern CT scanning techniques or hyperpolarised noble gas MRI studies may provide this. Simulation studies in realistic lung models could also be used to validate $V_T$ correction of $S_{III}$ to compare ventilation inhomogeneity between varying age groups with varying $V_D$, $V_T$, and FRC. Until formal validation, studies incorporating $S_{III}$ analysis should
ideally include matched healthy control data for comparison and report both uncorrected and corrected values. Formal objective quality control thresholds for test acceptance and breath exclusion are also required. Shortening test duration whilst maintaining sensitivity and specificity will enhance feasibility and incorporation into routine clinical testing. Efforts to investigate ways to achieve this are already underway [101, 103].

Inert gas washout provides unique physiological information, which at the very least forms an important complement to current methods in the adult lung function laboratory, while offering improved feasibility and sensitivity compared to spirometry in younger children. A number of important challenges lie ahead for integration into routine clinical care. Standardisation of procedures and development of robust appropriately validated affordable commercial equipment is essential. This will only be achievable if manufacturers work in collaboration with researchers, as we seek to address the important issues and questions that remain unanswered. This standardisation document provides the basis for this future work.
Table 1. Key recommendations from this standardisation document

- Recommendations contained in this document are based on evidence where available. If no evidence exists, the recommendations are based on expert opinion, and will continue to evolve over time and be updated in future documents as further insight is gained.

- SBW and MBW testing offer complimentary information, but the choice of test used may be age and disease dependent. Depending on the pathology under study, relationships between MBW-derived indices may help identify the type of structural changes.

- A series of individual equipment component recommendations are provided in this document. It is, however, unlikely that all individual criteria outlined will be fulfilled by any one system, which is why overall system performance during validation and subsequent testing is the central aspect of importance.

- FRC measurement validation is an essential step and should assess all stages of measurement including post-data acquisition processing procedures such as BTPS correction. FRC measurement accuracy does not ensure accuracy of all other derived indices and biological control measurement and monitoring is essential.

- Responsibility for commercial system validation and ongoing reliability of system performance should lie with the manufacturer. However, close vigilance by the end-user is essential. Biological control measurement and monitoring during subsequent clinical and research testing is an essential component of this.

- FRC and ventilation inhomogeneity indices must relate to the same geometric reference point in the airstream. FRC end-point for measurement during the washout should correspond to the end of test threshold used for ventilation inhomogeneity index analysis (e.g. LCI threshold).

- The method of FRC determination, indices of ventilation distribution inhomogeneity calculation, and any corrections performed (e.g. VT or VC) must be clearly described. Both corrected and uncorrected values should be reported to facilitate a priori analysis in the future.

- Suitability of open-system inert gas washout equipment for use in different age ranges is determined by the overall contribution of characteristics such as equipment dead space and analyser dynamic properties.

- The choice of inert gas used is dependent on many factors, but impacts on the results obtained. Normative values are inert gas specific. Comparison of multiple simultaneously measured inert gases may provide additional information about the location of underlying pathology. Correction for tissue N₂ diffusion into the lung is not currently recommended due to a lack of appropriate data to base corrections on.

- A variety of factors may lead to differences in reported washout indices between centres and experimental conditions under which normative data are obtained should be clearly described.

- Quality control during testing is critical and extends beyond equipment performance and software feedback to also include close observation by the operator of the subject's behaviour during testing and how this affects the data obtained. Adequate operator training and appreciation of all factors influencing test results is essential.

- Breathing patterns during testing should be kept similar between subjects to facilitate comparison of results. In adults this is achieved by using strict breathing regimens where feasible, and in younger children (aged ≤ 16 years) by distraction to encourage relaxed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tidal breathing.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The end test threshold used for MBW tests will depend on the ventilation distribution index (or indices) being reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Formal FRC repeatability criteria for MBW indices should not be routinely applied, but FRC values within 10% should be viewed as encouraging. FRC values differing by more than 25% from the median of 3 test values should be excluded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of component recommendations for inert gas washout system characteristics (expanded with further explanation in section E 2.6 OLS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow measurement</td>
<td>Instantaneous flow accuracy within 5% across the range of flows encountered during clinical testing and volume accuracy within 3% using a precision calibration syringe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample flow</td>
<td>Ideally, all sidestream washout systems should correct for sample flow. If not performed or achievable, sample flow should be minimised: &lt;20mL/min for paediatric and &lt;40mL/min for adult apparatus where gas sample point is proximal to flow meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume drift</td>
<td>Accurate correction of volume drift is problematic due to difficulty separating technical and physiological components to observed drift. When an excessive drift, beyond the range usually observed, appears, attempts to identify physiological and/or technical causes (e.g. leaks) should be made as part of the routine quality control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas analyser accuracy</td>
<td>Linearity within 1% relative of full scale (e.g. 0-80% is +/- 0.8% at 80% N₂) to ensure appropriate assessment of starting concentration, and within 5% relative of any lower value (e.g. 0.25% at 5% N₂) down to 1/40th of the starting concentration. Initial assessment should incorporate both dry and humid conditions. Monitor gas analyser accuracy, stability and linearity annually using at least three reference points of gas concentration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas analyser rise time</td>
<td>A 10-90% analyser rise time of &lt;100 ms is recommended across all age groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sampling frequency</td>
<td>Data sampling should ideally be ( \geq 100 \text{ Hz} ) for both flow and inert gas concentration measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronisation of flow and gas signals</td>
<td>Alignment accuracy within 10 ms or one sample (whichever is longer).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment related dead space</td>
<td>Total equipment dead space for young children should be (&lt; 2 \text{ mL/kg bodyweight}, and ideally } &lt;1 \text{ mL/kg in infants. Recommendations should be adhered to in older subjects, until further evidence is available. An upper limit of 70 mL should be adhered to for adults including hygiene filters if used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment related resistance</td>
<td>Should be minimised for both inspiration and expiration to avoid effects on breathing pattern and FRC during test.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Overall recommendations for washout systems

- Instantaneous flow within 5%, and $V_T$ and CEV measurement accuracy within 3%.

- Quality of gas signals allowing determination of FRC, end tidal gas concentration and $S_{IH}$ down to $1/40^{th}$ of the starting inert gas concentration with sufficient accuracy and resolution (see below).

- FRC measurement accuracy within 5% of the true FRC value (for 95% of values), using a realistic lung model incorporating BTPS conditions across the intended volume range and breathing pattern of the system. Commercial systems manufacturers should perform this validation both prior to sale and whenever significant hardware or software modifications are made to existing devices. Re-evaluation should be performed as necessary if marked variation occurs beyond the normal observed pattern for biological controls during clinical or research use.

- The static and dynamic properties of the gas analyser (accuracy, response time and signal-to-noise ratio) should ensure a linear and accurate gas signal. End tidal inert gas concentrations should be within 1% relative to inert gas concentrations at the start and 5% relative to inert gas concentration at the end of the washout (i.e. at $1/40^{th}$ of the starting concentration).

The manufacturers of commercial inert gas washout systems should demonstrate these features prior to commercial release, with data being included within supporting documentation.
Table 4. Recommendations for online washout software

- Software to display flow, volume and respiratory rate monitoring are essential for both fixed breathing protocols (SBW, and MBW in adults and older adolescents) and to monitor and stabilise tidal breathing in younger subjects.
  - Volume time series display of BTPS adjusted data should be of sufficient length and size to detect volume drift.
  - Differentiating technical causes from physiological causes of volume drift may be difficult.
  - Sudden step changes in volume may indicate leak.

- Graphical display of inert gas concentration traces both during the washin and washout phases.
  - To assess suitability of timing to start the washout phase.
  - To monitor for leaks (see Table 5). This should include a clear display of the “zero” inert gas baseline concentration level, which may not be achieved in cases such as insufficient washout as supply or leak. If an automated correction of deviation from “zero” baseline is performed by the software, the magnitude of this deviation correction must be clearly visible to alert the user.

- Accurate breath detection of start and end of inspiration and expiration adhering to existing standards for identification of tidal breaths [32, 104]. These standards were developed for infants but are extendable for application in adults.
  - Distinguishing start and end of inspirations and expirations from minor fluctuations in flow during pauses and irregular breathing is usually accomplished using flow thresholds but a combination of flow and volume based criteria may be better.

- Accurate detection of end-tidal inert gas concentration.
  - Average over 5-10 samples (or 25-50ms), ending 5 samples (or 25ms) before the end of expiration (see Section E4.1 OLS).
  - Alternatively average over 95-98% of the expired volume.

- If SnIII progression is being measured, display the breath-by-breath inert gas expirogram to allow the user to ensure sufficient SIII is visible (≥50% of the expired tidal volume).

- To aid the user in determining when end-of-test thresholds are met, online analysis should display:
  - end-tidal inert gas concentration.
  - If SnIII progression or moment ratios are being measured - FRC and lung turnover (CEV/FRC for each breath) as the washout proceeds.

- To limit the time required for testing, automated calculation of the following indices should occur at the end of each test:
  - FRC.
  - Breath-by-breath calculation and display of airway deadspace ($V_{D,aw}$) – as useful quality control for leak detection.
  - Global ventilation distribution indices.

- Offline analysis and quality control can then be performed as required by the operator (as detailed in the next section).

- Warning messages should inform the operator when important quality control steps have not been fulfilled.
Table 5. Recommendations for offline washout software

- **Software transparency for**
  - All correction algorithms and factors applied to data (e.g. BTPS and temperature modelling).
  - All algorithms used for subsequently calculated indices.
  - Method used to synchronise flow and inert marker gas concentration signals.
  - Normative data or upper limit of normal (ULN) incorporated, including details of source and population characteristics (number of subjects, sex distribution, age range, ethnic group etc.).

- **General recommendations**
  - Full availability of raw data, calibrated data and BTPS-converted data which should be saved and readily exportable in widely acceptable formats, e.g. ASCII (.txt) or .xls.
  - Ability to assess accuracy of flow and inert gas concentration synchronisation, re-measure and manually adjust as necessary.
  - Ability to review tidal volume tracing to ensure correct identification of breath detection (start and end points), and manually adjust as necessary.
  - Ability to review inert gas expirogram for each breath, and manual adjustment if necessary, to ensure correct estimation of
    - End-tidal inert marker gas concentration.
    - SIII if SBW or if MBW SIII analysis is being performed.
  - Ability to examine for and correct any gas analyser drift occurring during the test. The zero calibration point may be useful as a reference for many of the gases used (N₂, CO₂, He and SF₆) whilst 100% can be used for O₂. Any correction applied should be clearly stated.
  - If available, monitor end-tidal CO₂ values during MBW to screen for hyperventilation.

- **FRC**
  - FRC is measured over all breaths of the washout, and updated after each breath, until a defined end point in time. The end point used for FRC determination should correspond to the end-test threshold used for ventilation inhomogeneity indices (e.g. LCI threshold).
  - Exhaled inert gas volume must be corrected for re-inspired gas from the post-gs $V_D$ for each breath.
  - Reported FRC is that measured at the gas sampling point (FRC$_{gs}$). If other FRC values are reported (e.g. FRC$_{ao}$, i.e. FRC$_{gs}$ – pre-gs $V_D$) these values should be described appropriately.
  - Report mean, SD and co-efficient of variation (CoV) of three technically acceptable measurements.
    - If only two technically acceptable measurements are available, report mean only, and state e.g. “based on two measurements alone”.
    - If FRC values are not within 10% of the highest FRC value, then alert the operator. Exclude FRC values which differ by >25% from the median FRC value across the three tests. Excluded tests should not be used for calculation of other MBW indices.

- **Indices of global ventilation distribution inhomogeneity (e.g. LCI and moment ratios)**
- Correct $V_T$ for external $V_D$ (section E6.2 OLS).
- Use appropriate corresponding FRC for calculation.
- Report mean, SD and CoV of three technically acceptable measurements.
  - If only two technically acceptable measurements are available, report mean and % difference, and state “based on two measurements alone”.
  - If LCI values are more than 1.0 TO apart (highest vs. lowest), then alert the operator to perform further tests.

- $Sn_{III}$ analysis (if performed)
  - Calculation of $S_{III}$ and $Sn_{III}$
    - $S_{III}$ limits set to maximise the phase III used for linear regression, excluding phase II and phase IV contributions, and be manually adjustable, typically
      - 50-95% of the expired volume in adults.
      - 65-95% of the expired volume in children.
    - Manual adjustment of the $S_{III}$ for breaths, where marked low frequency noise (or cardiogenic oscillations) or phase IV phenomena occur if automated estimations of $S_{III}$.
    - Expired inert gas concentration used for $S_{III}$ normalisation (e.g. mean expired concentration or mean $S_{III}$ concentration) should be clearly stated.
  - Acceptance criteria for breaths - Identify and discard $Sn_{III}$ values of breaths that do not fulfil the following criteria
    - Specific to tidal breathing protocols (e.g. paediatrics)
      - Adequate expired volume for $Sn_{III}$ calculation: volume corresponding to $S_{III}$ should be > 50% of expiratory $V_T$.
      - The expired volume should not be excessive: volume corresponding to $S_{III}$ should not be > 75% of expiratory $V_T$.
      - Note: to try and achieve suitable breaths, an initial tidal breathing range of 10-15 mL/kg can be used but may need to be adjusted for the individual patient depending on the expirogram seen.
    - Specific to adult protocols using $V_T$ of 1L
      - Expired volume should be > 0.950 L.
      - Expired volume should not be > 1.4 L.
    - A clear $S_{III}$ should be identifiable. Failure to identify $S_{III}$ due to the presence of artefact (e.g. breath hold, cardiogenic oscillations, cough) should prompt exclusion of that $Sn_{III}$ value.
    - When $Sn_{III}$ values are excluded do not discard the contribution of that breath to other indices (e.g. FRC and TO), only the $Sn_{III}$ value.
    - Tests should only contribute to overall $Sn_{III}$ analysis if at least 2/3 of the breaths remain after $Sn_{III}$ breath exclusion. If >1/3 of $Sn_{III}$ values have been excluded due to above criteria then that entire test should be discarded.
      - Number of excluded $Sn_{III}$ values and reasons for exclusion should be reported.
  - Presentation of $Sn_{III}$ data
    - Data collated from all acceptable breaths of the three technically
acceptable MBW tests.
- Acceptable 1st breath quality on all three tests for subsequent $S_{acin}$ calculation.
- In TO calculation, FRC and $V_T$ are calculated from the same airstream reference point used in ventilation inhomogeneity indices (section E6.2 OLS).
- Data displayed graphically as $S_{nIII}$ (y axis) versus TO for each breath (x-axis).
  - $S_{nIII}$ and $S_{nIII}*V_T$ (i.e. $V_T$-corrected $S_{nIII}$) displayed for each breath on two separate graphs.
- These indices rely on the fact that DCDI generates a horizontal asymptote and CDI does not and are therefore only valid in cases where $S_{nIII}$ progression does not show a horizontal asymptote.

- Clinical indices calculation
  - $S_{acin}$ calculation
    - Requires three technically acceptable 1st breath $S_{nIII}$ values.
    - $S_{acin}$ calculated as the mean $S_{nIII}$ of the three 1st breaths minus the $S_{cond}$ contribution (based on the mean TO value of the three 1st breaths).
  - $S_{cond}$ calculation
    - $S_{cond}$ calculated as the linear regression of $S_{nIII}$ values between approximately 1.5 and 6.0 TO.
    - Calculate 95% confidence interval of the $S_{cond}$ regression, reject outlying values and repeat linear regression. Data should be pooled from all three runs.
- If $S_{nIII}$ analysis is performed with only two or less technically acceptable MBW tests, this should be clearly stated on the report and results interpreted with caution.

- SBW phase III slope ($S_{III}$)
  - Report as mean, SD and CoV of three technically acceptable measurements.
    - If only two technically acceptable measurements are available, report mean and actual difference, and state “based on two measurements”.
    - If VC measurements not within 10% of highest VC value across the SBW tests, then alert the operator.
  - Report both $S_{III}$ (%/L) and $S_{III}*expiratory VC$ (%) separately.
Table 6. Factors that lead to variation in measured indices between centres and recording systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment related</td>
<td>Analyser rise time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analyser linearity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow measurement linearity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size of equipment related deadspace volume, including distance between gas and flow measurement points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure related</td>
<td>Inert gas used (and concentration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breathing stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age of subjects tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis related</td>
<td>Algorithms used for calculation of indices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BTPS correction applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corrections applied for equipment related deadspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drift correction algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synchronisation of flow and gas concentration signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptability criteria applied (e.g. FRC values within 10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. MBW measurement acceptability criteria

- **Testing position**
  - Infants - supine position with head in midline and in sniffing position to optimise upper airway patency.
  - Preschool and above - seated position, with head in midline.

- **Interface**
  - Infants and pre-schoolers - suitable facemask with adequate therapeutic putty volume to ensure adequate facemask seal and reduction of the pre-gas $V_D$ without obstructing the airway opening.
    - Nasal mask measurements are feasible during periods of preferential nasal breathing [105] but require further study.
    - Box shaped flow-volume loops may indicate an upper airway obstruction or external obstruction of the airway opening by therapeutic putty.
  - Older subjects - nose clip and maintain tight mouthpiece seal.

- **Three technically acceptable MBW runs should be performed, with acceptability defined by the following criteria:**
  - **Washin phase (or pre-washout phase for N2 MBW)**
    - Stable $V_T$ and end expiratory lung volume (EELV) over the preceding 30 seconds.
      - Deviation in EELV at start of test within 10% of mean $V_T$ of preceding five breaths.
      - An irregular small volume breath immediately prior to starting the washout may also lead to error in end tidal estimate of starting alveolar concentration.
    - Equilibration of exogenous washin gas within the breath cycle (i.e. inspiratory vs. expiratory end tidal concentration)
      - Variability < 1% relative to mean inspired concentration (i.e. <0.04% if the inspired concentration is 4%).
      - Adequate starting end-tidal inert gas concentration, stable over 30 seconds (i.e. equal to inspired gas concentration).
  - **Washout phase**
    - Regular breathing pattern
      - Sufficient breath size for adequate phase III slope identification (if $S_{III}$ analysis being performed).
      - Breathing protocols of 1 L $V_T$ are recommended in older adolescents (e.g. >16 years) and adults but may not be feasible in all age groups (e.g. $V_T$ 1.0-1.3L [97, 106, 107]).
    - No evidence of significant trapped gas release with larger breaths.
      - Release of trapped gas
        - Invalidates $S_{III}$ analysis and increases measured LCI.
        - May be difficult to avoid in advanced CF lung disease.
    - No coughing
    - Specific to infants during critical periods of the washin/washout
      - No evidence of apnoeas (may significantly decrease FRC).
      - No evidence of sighs (may significantly elevate FRC).
      - Critical period defined as the 10 breaths prior to achieving equilibration or during the 1st 10 breaths of the washout.
Criteria for test termination

- At least three consecutive breaths with end tidal inert gas concentration values below 1/40th of starting inert gas concentration.
- If SnIII analysis alone, then at least 6 TOs must be included.
- If moment analysis is being performed then at least 6 TOs should be included, as data collected at 8 TO in normal subjects are likely to be compromised by poor gas signal quality.

- No evidence of leak occurring during the test
  - Resident inert gas (e.g. N₂) - leak indicated by the following during the washout phase
    - Sudden spike in N₂ concentration during inspiration (consistent with post-gs inspiratory air leak).
    - Premature rise in N₂ signal early in expirogram of following breath, where N₂ concentrations should be zero in the initial absolute dead space portion (consistent with pre-gs inspiratory air leak).
    - \( V_{D,aw} \) decrease.
    - Sudden step changes of the volume trace.
    - Step-up of N₂ concentration plotted vs TO.
  - Exogenous inert gas - leak indicated by
    - Failure of equilibration between inspiratory and expiratory inert gas concentrations during washin (consistent with pre-or post-gs leak).
    - Sudden drop in inspiratory inert gas concentration during washin (consistent with post-gs leak).
    - \( V_{D,aw} \) increase during washout.

- Sufficient interval between runs when using resident inert gases to allow inert gas concentration to return to baseline values.
  - Twice the washout time is a conservative recommendation. If a shorter interval is used, then the operator must demonstrate that alveolar concentrations has been restituted [108].
  - This period may be lengthy in advanced obstructive disease.
  - Inadequate duration may significantly decrease measured FRC.

- The following should trigger further investigation for artefact but are not a reason to exclude tests alone.
  - Marked volume drift during testing or sudden changes in volume (without other evidence of leak).
  - FRC or LCI variability greater than 10%, measured as the difference between maximum and minimum values.

- Tests where FRC differs by greater than 25% from the median FRC value across the three tests should be automatically rejected.

- Test equipment and performance must adhere to infection control guidelines.
  - Use of bacterial filters may significantly increase \( V_D \) and preclude the use of certain systems in younger age groups.
Table 8. SBW measurement quality control

- Testing position - Seated position for all subjects.
  - In general SBW only feasible in older children and adults (≥12 years).

- Interface
  - Nose clip and maintain a tight mouthpiece seal.

- Three technically acceptable SBW runs should be performed, with acceptability defined by the following criteria:
  - Within-test criteria:
    - No evidence of leak (as described for MBW).
    - Inspiratory and expiratory flow maintained between 400 and 500mL/s over first three-quarters of inspiratory and expiratory portions of test manoeuvre.
      - Maintaining these flows at end of manoeuvre may be difficult.
      - Flow restrictors may be useful to maintain desired flow range.
    - Phase III slope default settings to 25-75% of expired volume.
      - Manually adjustable to ensure freedom from contamination by phase II, phase IV, and stochastic variations in SIII caused by low frequency noise or cardiogenic oscillations.
    - Specific to classical VC SBW manoeuvre
      - Inspiratory and expiratory VC breaths within 10% within same test.
    - Specific to modified SBW manoeuvre
      - Stable end expiratory level prior to starting the manoeuvre.
        - Deviation in EELV at start of test within 10% of mean $V_T$ of preceding five breaths.
        - Inspiratory volume above FRC reaches 1L within ±10%.
  - Between-test criteria:
    - Specific to classical VC manoeuvre
      - Expiratory VC values within 10% of highest value.
    - Specific to modified SBW manoeuvre
      - Inspiratory volumes within 10% of 1L.
      - Expiratory volumes within 10% of each other.
    - Re-establish baseline inert gas concentration before starting next test.
      - $N_2$ SBW - end-tidal $N_2$ concentration returns to baseline levels.
      - Exogenous SBW - end-tidal inert gas concentration <1/40$^{th}$ of inspired inert gas concentration.
    - Sufficient interval between tests of at least two minutes to stabilise volume history and to reset alveolar $N_2$ concentrations.

- Test equipment and performance must adhere to infection control guidelines.
Table 9. Important areas of interest for future studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of interest</th>
<th>Questions and needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment validation</td>
<td>Feasible validation methods for end-tidal inert gas concentration and phase III slope measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronisation of gas and flow signals</td>
<td>Optimal synchronisation method, protocol for measurement, and the thresholds for acceptable synchronisation error remain unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTPS correction</td>
<td>Optimal BTPS correction. Is dynamic BTPS correction required during testing? How are changes in temperature and relative humidity most accurately measured during inspiration and expiration?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment deadspace volume ( (V_D) ) estimation</td>
<td>Accurate estimation of effective external ( V_D ). Streaming may occur with equipment-related ( V_D ). Therefore water displacement measurement of ( V_D ) may overestimate influence of ( V_{D,ext} ) on breathing pattern. This includes facemasks and in-line bacterial filters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas analyser properties</td>
<td>Acceptable maximum response time for different age groups and breathing patterns?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample flow (Sidestream gas analysers)</td>
<td>Degree of error introduced by sample flow. What is an acceptable sample flow? Given its age-dependence, should it be considered as a % of ( V_T ) ? What is the most appropriate method to correct flow and marker gas volume for sample flow?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue Nitrogen</td>
<td>Effective correction for effect of tissue nitrogen diffusing into alveoli during washout. What is the error introduced into subsequent indices (FRC, LCI and ( S_{III} ) analysis)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_2 ) based MBW</td>
<td>At what age does 100% ( O_2 ) no longer have a detrimental effect on breathing pattern?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of sedation in infants</td>
<td>Effect of sedation on ability of infants to actively maintain FRC or effect on breathing pattern? This has been speculated upon but remains unproven [109, 110].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Measures of global ventilation inhomogeneity | Can test duration be shortened whilst preserving acceptable sensitivity?  
• Flexibility of current MBW end test thresholds (e.g. evaluation of 1/20th for LCI and 6 TO for moment ratios).  
• How many tests are needed to give an accurate estimate? [101]  
• Can washin data also be utilised to calculate indices?  
• Utility of interpolation or curve fitting methods to determine exact end-of-test for LCI. |
<p>| MBW ( S_{III} ) analysis            | Validity of paediatric correction of ( S_{III} ) by ( V_T ) to account for differences in tidal ( V_T ) and breathing pattern.                                                                                             |
|                                        | Most appropriate inert gas reference concentration for normalisation of ( S_{III} ).                                                                                                                                 |
|                                        | Formal objective criteria for exclusion of outlying ( S_{III} ) values.                                                                                                                                               |
|                                        | Can accurate estimates be obtained from two tests?                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Considerations for FRC, CEV            | Influence of geometric choice within the airstream and the time point chosen for FRC determination during the washout on FRC.                                                                                          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>and TO</th>
<th>CEV and TO on subsequently reported ventilation inhomogeneity indices.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance of FRC repeatability</td>
<td>FRC repeatability recommendations here are based on consensus and further research is needed to define these in future studies. The impact of FRC variability on SnIII indices is unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBW $S_{III}$ analysis</td>
<td>Validity of paediatric correction of $S_{III}$ by expiratory VC to account for differences in lung size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative data</td>
<td>Normative data needs to be collected for indices across different age, sex and ethnic groups. Standardisation of procedures is essential if results are to be comparable across centres and between devices. Differences in results obtained among gases with different molecular masses are expected; formal comparisons are lacking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial devices</td>
<td>Development of robust accurate commercial devices which can be used across wide age ranges.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Example of a typical SBW trace

N₂ expirogram showing calculation of S₃ in a VC SBW test in a 60 year old smoker. S₃ is calculated between 25% and 75% of the expired volume (S₃ 4.4%/L), to avoid the contribution of phase IV. The four phases of the expirogram are also demonstrated: phase I (absolute dead space), phase II (bronchial phase), phase III (alveolar phase) and phase IV. Closing volume (CV) is the expired volume (L) from the start of the upward deflection where phase IV starts to the end of the breath. If residual volume (RV) is known, closing capacity (CC) can be calculated: CC = CV + RV.
Figure 2. Example of a typical MBW trace.

Time series display of N$_2$ (%) and volume (L) from an N$_2$ MBW test in a 15 year old girl with cystic fibrosis. Stable breathing and end-tidal inert gas concentration are seen prior to commencing the washout phase.
The figure above illustrates a generic washout system. Hardware required for washout is relatively simple: a flow meter, a fast responding inert gas analyser, a gas delivery system and a patient interface. The equipment-related dead space volume ($V_D$) can be divided into pre- and post-gs as shown in the adjacent figure. Post-gs $V_D$ effectively introduces a small rebreathing chamber. Pre-gs $V_D$ is an extension of anatomical $V_D$. 
Figure 4. Recommended lung model for FRC validation incorporating BTPS conditions and mimicking in vivo clinical testing conditions [34].

The lung model consists of two separate chambers, an inner and outer chamber. The inner chamber is partially divided (communicating at its inferior aspect) into two compartments: the lung compartment (A) and the ventilated compartment (B). FRC volume is generated by filling the inner chamber with distilled water to a measured height and calculated from known geometric dimensions. Water in the outer chamber is heated (C) such that inner chamber water temperature reaches 37°C, and a portable ventilator (D) is connected to the ventilated compartment of the inner chamber and transmitted hydraulic pressure generates the lung chamber breathing pattern: chosen to simulate physiological $V_T$/FRC, $V_T$, and respiratory rates likely to be encountered during intended clinical testing. For example, whilst $V_T$ remains similar (8mL/kg) across age ranges FRC changes from approximately 20 mL/kg in infants [111] to 40 mL/kg in adults [112]. MBW equipment can be attached to the outlet of the lung compartment (E) during validation tests.
Figure 5. Normalised phase III slope (Sn_{III}) analysis.

MBW recording illustrating derived phase III slope parameters. Measured concentration-normalised phase III slope, Sn_{III}(measured), for each breath is plotted (O) against its corresponding TO value. Progressive Sn_{III} values increase throughout the TO range considered. If this does not occur, the quality of the recording should be closely examined.

The index of CDI, S_{cond}, is calculated as the increase in measured Sn_{III} per unit TO between approximately TO 1.5 and 6.0 per unit TO (——). For explanation purposes the DCDI contribution to the Sn_{III} for each breath is also plotted [Δ, Sn_{III}(DCDI)]. This is calculated by subtracting the CDI contribution to Sn_{III} for each breath from the Sn_{III}(measured) for each breath. In other words, for each breath, “Δ” value equals “O” value minus CDI contribution.

S_{acin} is defined as the DCDI contribution to the first breath Sn_{III} (solid Δ) figure. The complete contribution of the DCDI mechanism reaches a plateau beyond TO 1.5 and is equivalent to the intercept of the S_{cond} regression line. These indices rely on the fact that DCDI generates a horizontal asymptote and CDI does not and are therefore only valid in cases where Sn_{III} does not show a horizontal asymptote.
13. Reference List


108. Salamon E, Gain K, Hall GL. Wait time effect on repeat multiple breath nitrogen washout FRC. European Respiratory Journal 2010: 656s.
