Measurement of parasternal intercostal EMG during an infective exacerbation in patients with Cystic Fibrosis
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Abstract

Introduction: The parasternal intercostal muscle electromyogram (sEMGpara) is a measure of neural respiratory drive and reflects lung disease severity in stable CF. The aim of the study was to measure sEMGpara in acute infective exacerbations of CF and compare changes in sEMGpara with those in conventional lung function measures.

Methods: Twelve patients with cystic fibrosis admitted to hospital with an acute chest infection were studied.

Results: There was a significant reduction in mean (SD) sEMGpara [Δ sEMGpara -38 (19) %, p < 0.001] between admission and discharge. Spirometry also improved significantly from admission to discharge; Δ FEV1 % predicted 39 (30) %, p < 0.001 and Δ VC % predicted 22 (18) %, p < 0.001.

Conclusion: sEMGpara has potential value as a non volitional measure of change in respiratory function in CF.
1. Introduction

In patients with cystic fibrosis (CF), chronic endobronchial infection and concomitant inflammation of the small airways leads to progressive pulmonary destruction and eventual respiratory failure [1, 2]. Although a consensus on the definition of an acute pulmonary exacerbation is lacking, symptoms may include breathlessness, malaise, low grade fever, and increased frequency of cough and sputum production. Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and vital capacity (VC) are the standard measures used to assess changes in lung function during an acute exacerbation [1-4] and the effects of treatments such as antimicrobial therapies, bronchodilators and physiotherapy. FEV₁ can be difficult to measure in acutely ill patients as it is dependent on both patient cooperation and effort [4]. Alternative monitoring tools to assess disease severity and the impact of treatment are therefore sought.

Neural respiratory drive (NRD) measured by diaphragm electromyography (EMGdi) provides a sensitive, real time, breath by breath measure of load on the respiratory system [5]. The invasive nature of this technique, however, limits its application. The parasternal intercostal muscles are obligate inspiratory muscles recruited in concert with the diaphragm as ventilation increases [6]. We have previously demonstrated that recording the parasternal intercostal EMG using surface electrodes (sEMGpara), provides a non–invasive alternative to the EMGdi technique [7]. As with EMGdi, sEMGpara provided a measure of the load on the respiratory muscle pump which directly reflects pulmonary function and disease severity in CF as well as providing a physiological correlate to exercise induced breathlessness [7]. Measurement of sEMGpara could provide a useful technique to assess changes in respiratory function in patients with CF during an infective exacerbation. The advantage of the sEMGpara technique over conventional lung function parameters is that it is non volitional.

We hypothesised that sEMGpara can track changes of neural respiratory drive during an exacerbation of CF. We further hypothesised that the measurement of sEMGpara may be used to monitor respiratory disease status in unstable CF patients. The aim of the study was, therefore, to investigate the feasibility and potential usefulness of measuring sEMGpara in patients with CF during an acute infective episode and compare the measurements to standard lung function.
2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

Patients with CF, admitted to King’s College Hospital with an acute exacerbation of their lung disease were studied. The study was approved by King’s College Hospital research ethics committee and all participants provided informed, written consent. The clinical diagnosis of an acute exacerbation was determined by a CF specialist physician; patients presented with increased cough, volume and purulence of sputum, tachypnoea, dyspnoea, reduced exercise tolerance and a decrease in pulmonary function (FEV$_1$ % predicted) compared to their best FEV$_1$ % predicted in the last 12 months [8].

2.2. Pulmonary function

FEV$_1$ and slow vital capacity [9] were measured in all patients in the seated, upright position at the patient’s bedside using a portable electronic spirometer (Vitalograph Gold Standard®, Vitalograph ® Buckingham, UK).

2.3. Electromyographic measurements

sEMGpara was recorded using bipolar surface electrodes (Kendall Arbo®, Tyco healthcare®, Neustadt, Germany) placed bilaterally 3cm from the midpoint of the sternum in the second intercostal space. The positive electrode was placed on the right side of the chest and the reference electrode on the lateral aspect of the right clavicle [10, 11]. Prior to placement of the surface electrodes the skin was thoroughly cleaned with abrasive gel (Nuprep™ abrasive skin gel, Weaver & Co, USA). The area was swabbed with an alcohol wipe to ensure that no residual gel was left on the skin (Sterets™ pre – injection swabs, Medlock, UK) [12, 13]. Measurements of sEMGpara were performed at the bedside with the patient in an upright, seated position with the arms supported.

The EMG signals were amplified and bandpass filtered between 10 Hz and 3 kHz (RA-8® biomedical amplifier, Yinghui Medical Tech Ltd®, Guangzhou, China) and acquired and displayed on a laptop computer (MacBook, Apple Computer Corp, Cupertino, CA, USA) running Chart® Version 5.4 software (ADInstruments®, Colorado Springs/CO, USA) with analog to digital sampling at 2kHz (Powerlab® ADInstruments®, Colorado Springs/CO, USA). Post acquisition bandpass filtering between 20 Hz and 1 kHz was applied to all
recordings using the acquisition software. Peak root mean square (RMS) per breath was calculated and averaged over a minute.

To allow for comparison between patients and with other studies the resting peak RMS sEMGpara per breath averaged over a minute measured on discharge was normalised to the EMG signal obtained during a maximal volitional manoeuvre also performed at discharge. Four different maximal manoeuvres were performed; Inspiratory capacity (IC), maximal static inspiratory effort against an occluded airway, maximal sniff and maximal voluntary ventilation for fifteen seconds (MVV). The MVV was only performed once. The other respiratory manoeuvres were repeated at least five times and, irrespective of manoeuvre, the numerically largest EMG was used for normalisation. We have previously demonstrated that this is a reproducible method of normalising sEMGpara signals [7].

2.4. Study protocol

sEMGpara and spirometry measurements were performed within 48 hrs of admission and on the day of discharge. The maximal volitional manoeuvres to normalise the sEMGpara recording were performed on the day of discharge only. Any adverse effects or comments from the patients regarding the measurement technique were also noted.

2.5. Data analysis

All data with the exception of oxygen saturation (SaO₂) and length of stay were normally distributed and therefore expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD). SaO₂ is reported as median and range. Differences in variables between admission and discharge were assessed using paired t-tests for the normally distributed data. Differences in SaO₂ were assessed using the Mann Whitney test. The association between Δ sEMGpara and Δ FEV₁ % predicted was examined using person correlation coefficients.
3. Results

Twelve patients with CF (mean (SD) age 23 (4) years, 4 female) took part in the study. The median (range) length of stay was 8 (5 – 22) days. Clear, phasic, inspiratory activity of the parasternal intercostal muscle was obtained in all subjects at both time points (figure 1). There was a significant reduction in mean (SD) sEMGpara [Δ sEMGpara 38 (19) %, p < 0.001] measurements between admission and discharge. No adverse measurement effects were noted. Patients reported that they liked the measurement technique as it was simple to perform.

The reductions in sEMGpara were associated with improvements in FEV\textsubscript{1} (ΔFEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted 39 (30) %, p = 0.001), VC (ΔVC % predicted 22 (18) %, p = 0.004) and PEF (ΔPEF 22 (12) %, p = 0.003) between admission and discharge in all patients (table 1, fig 2). The % increase in FEV\textsubscript{1} %predicted was not statistically different from the reductions observed in sEMGpara µV.

There was considerable individual variability in the change in FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted (range 5 to 120%) and the corresponding change in sEMGpara measurements (range -9 to -83%) (table 1). Reflecting this variability, there was no correlation between the increase in FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted and the fall in sEMGpara between admission and discharge (r = 0.13, P = 0.69).

There was no significant difference in median (range) SaO\textsubscript{2} between admission and discharge [95 (92 - 99) Vs 95 (93 – 99), p= 0.30]. The mean (SD) resting level of sEMGpara%max was 11(4) % on the day of discharge.
Table 1: Demographic, lung function and sEMGpara measured in CF patients on the day of admission and the changes in individual patient measured variables between admission and discharge from hospital following treatment for an acute exacerbation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Length of stay (days)</th>
<th>BMI(A)</th>
<th>FEV1%pred(A)</th>
<th>ΔFEV1</th>
<th>VC % pred(A)</th>
<th>ΔVC</th>
<th>PEF (l/s)(A)</th>
<th>ΔPEF</th>
<th>sEMGpara µV(A)</th>
<th>ΔsEMGpara µV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean(SD) | 22 (4) | 8 (5-22)* | 20 (3) | 41 (17) | 39 (30) | 64 (17) | 22 (18) | 338 (70) | 22 (12) | 19 (11) | -38 (19) |

BMI, body mass index; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in one second; VC, vital capacity; sEMGpara, surface parasternal electromyogram; % pred, % predicted; A, admission.

* Length of stay expressed as median & range
4. Discussion

The main finding of the current study was that during successful hospital treatment for acute infective exacerbations of CF there was a reduction in neural respiratory drive, as measured by parasternal intercostal muscle EMG activity with a corresponding improvement in lung function (FEV₁ &VC). For the group of 12 patients the overall improvement in sEMGpara (µV) was similar to the improvement in FEV₁% predicted, there was, however, no correlation between these two variables.

4.1. Critique of the methods

Surface EMG recordings often have poor between subject and between occasion reproducibility, due to variations in electrical contact and electrode position relative to the underlying muscle. We have previously demonstrated reproducible between occasion parasternal EMG signals measured during resting tidal breathing, with a mean inter occasion coefficient of variation of 0.05 (0.04) µV [7]. Using Bland and Altman analysis Maarsingh et al, [11] reported good reproducibility of sEMGpara measured during resting tidal breathing in young adults and both healthy and asthmatic school children. They recorded a mean difference for all the groups of 1 µV or less.

Under resting conditions tidal breathing is principally under autonomic control, and hence sEMGpara provides a non volitional measure of NRD. Raw non-normalised sEMGpara therefore provides a measure of improvement in clinical status in individual patients and can be used to monitor changes in respiratory load over time.

Normalising the sEMGpara signal to that obtained from a maximal manoeuvre (sEMGpara%max), although requiring patient cooperation, provides a relative measure of disease severity and allows comparison between CF patients and other studies [7]. In the current study, sEMGpara measurements at discharge were normalised using maximal manoeuvres performed at discharge; procedures to normalise sEMGpara on admission were not performed as we felt the ability of acutely unwell patients to produce maximal volitional efforts was likely to be impaired. Not normalising sEMGpara does not dimish the use of this technique as a monitoring tool to assess change in respiratory disease status.
The use of surface electromyography to record respiratory muscle activity has been criticised because of difficulties in separating postural activity of the trunk muscles from that of the breathing muscles [14]. To minimise EMG contamination from the muscles of the trunk, all measurements were performed in the relaxed, upright seated position, with the patients’ arms and trunk supported. Overt postural muscle EMG contamination during the parasternal EMG recordings was not observed [15, 16]. EMG signals can also be contaminated by background electrical noise [17]. In the current study this was overcome by using shielded cables and a 50Hz notch filter on the EMG amplifier. The amplifier was also connected to one of the electrical earthing points on the ward.

Electromyography using surface electrodes is also affected by the thickness of subcutaneous fat. None of the CF patients studied were overweight and no change in BMI was observed during their admission.

4.2. Significance of the findings

There was a significant decrease in sEMGpara (µV) following the treatment and resolution of the infective episode in the patients. The fall in sEMGpara reflected a fall in the respiratory drive required to maintain ventilation at levels appropriate for adequate gas exchange, and was most likely a result of combined reductions in airway obstruction, hyperinflation, and a change in chest wall configuration.

Even though there was 39% mean reduction in sEMGpara following the resolution of the infective episode, the resting level of sEMGpara%max on discharge was much higher than that previously reported in young healthy individuals (5.8 (3)% [7]. This increased level of NRD as measured by sEMGpara%max was in keeping with that previously reported in CF [7], Asthma[18] and COPD [19].

sEMGpara could be of potential value in monitoring CF lung disease. As sEMGpara measures the overall load on the respiratory muscle pump it may better reflect lung disease severity than individual pulmonary function tests, which only measure one aspect of lung pathology e.g. FEV1 as a measure of airway obstruction. Similarly other respiratory variables such as respiratory rate, do not provide directly quantifiable measures of load on the respiratory system and work of breathing and can be influenced by emotional state.

sEMGpara provides a novel complementary method to assess improvements in respiratory status in patients with CF following an acute exacerbation of their chest disease. The method is non volitional and provides a quantifiable breath by breath measure of the load on the
respiratory muscle pump. Moreover sEMGpara could provide a monitoring technique in circumstances where conventional spirometry is difficult to perform or inappropriate, such as in patients with severe acute respiratory failure, severe haemoptysis, severe breathlessness, and intractable cough [20].

In some of the patients the change in sEMGpara was greater than the change in FEV1 % predicted, reflecting the poor relationship between FEV1 and other pathophysiological changes in pulmonary mechanics (hyperinflation, intrinsic PEEP, dynamic compliance, VQ mismatch, hypoxia and hypercapnia) which occur in CF lung disease. In other patients the change in sEMGpara was smaller than the change in FEV1 % predicted. The clinical status of the patients at admission may have resulted in sub maximal FEV1 efforts and account for the larger observed improvements in FEV1. Future studies could further explore this hypothesis by comparing daily changes in FEV1 and sEMGpara to better understand the sensitivity of these measures or by applying an alternative, non volitional test of pulmonary function, such as impulse oscillometry or multiple breath inert gas washout. The logistics of applying such tests in acutely unwell patients are at present however limited.

5. Conclusion

sEMGpara provides a non–invasive measure of NRD in patients with CF. Potentially sEMGpara may be a useful non volitional monitoring tool in patients with CF to assess changes in ventilatory mechanics and load following an infective exacerbation of their chest disease. sEMGpara provides a complementary measure to conventional lung function in acutely unwell CF patients. Normalisation of the sEMGpara recordings (sEMGpara%max) allows for comparison between different patients and patient groups. Subsequent studies should explore the sensitivity of sEMGpara measurements compared to conventional lung function tests, both in the acute setting and long term monitoring, and for assessing responses to therapeutic interventions.
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*Figure 1:* sEMGpara recorded in a patient with CF (FEV₁ 20%predicted) on admission and on discharge from hospital following treatment for an infective exacerbation of their chest disease.
Figure 2: Individual changes in sEMGpara \( \mu V \) (a), FEV\(_1\) % predicted (b) VC % predicted (c), FEV\(_1\) / VC (d), PEF (e) measured on day of admission and discharge from hospital. Each line represents an individual patient.