

## **Vehicle exhaust outside the home and onset of asthma among adults**

Lars Modig<sup>1</sup>, Kjell Torén<sup>2</sup>, Christer Janson<sup>3</sup>, Bengt Jarvholm<sup>1</sup>, Bertil Forsberg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine: Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine: Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Sciences: Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

### **Corresponding Author**

Lars Modig, Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden.

Phone: +46 90 785 23 79, Fax +46 90 785 24 56

E-mail: lars.modig@envmed.umu.se

**Running head:** Vehicle exhaust and adult asthma

**Key words:** Cohort, adults, asthma, incidence, vehicle exhaust

**Word count:** 3605

## Abstract

Few studies have investigated the relationship between vehicle exhaust and new onset of asthma among adults. The aim of this prospective cohort study was to investigate the relationship between the cumulative incidence of asthma and onset asthma among adults and vehicle exhaust concentrations at home.

Participants from three Swedish cities included in the RHINE (Respiratory Health in Northern Europe) Cohort constituted the study population. Exposure at each participant's home was calculated using dispersion models. We also used less than 50 meter distance to nearest major road as a more simple indicator of exposure. The adjusted model included 3609 participants of which 107 were classified as onset cases and 55 as true incident cases of asthma.

There was a positive association between asthma onset (Odds Ratio, OR per 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3 = 1.46$ , 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.07-1.99) and incident asthma (OR per 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3 = 1.54$ , 95% CI 1.00-2.36) and the levels of  $\text{NO}_2$  which remained statistically significant after adjusting for potential confounders. The relationship between asthma and  $\text{NO}_2$  was not significantly modified by sex, hay fever or wheeze.

This study suggests that elevated levels of vehicle exhaust outside the home increase the risk of onset and incident asthma among adults.

Abstract word count: 200

## Introduction

The adverse health impact of air pollution is well known, and previous epidemiological studies have suggested that both cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions as well as total mortality increase with increasing levels of air pollution [1].

The prevalence of asthma and asthmatic symptoms has increased over the last decades, and is a global health issue [2]. Asthma is considered a multifactor disease with different phenotypes, and air pollution has been suggested as one important factor. Recent studies have shown that air pollution is related to the prevalence of asthmatic symptoms and hospital admissions for asthma [3-5]. The relationship, however, is ambiguous since there are studies showing no relationship to the prevalence of asthma [6]. Previous studies have shown that children living closer to high traffic flow areas have a higher incidence of asthma in comparison to children living further away [7, 8]. Adverse effects of air pollution on lung function have also been shown [9]. However, few studies have investigated the relationship between air pollution and the onset of asthma among adults. One US study showed a non-significant increased risk of developing asthma among adults exposed to high levels of particles [10], while a Swedish study showed similar results for adults living close to roads with high traffic levels [11]. The incidence of asthma associated with traffic-related air pollution has been poorly investigated, largely due to a lack of usable prospective cohorts. The lack of population cohorts coupled with exposure misclassification have been mentioned as two important shortcomings linking traffic-related air pollution to respiratory health effects [12].

Earlier studies of traffic-related air pollution and health impacts have used relatively coarse measures of exposure often at a city level, while more recent studies have tried to increase the spatial resolution of the exposure information [7, 11, 13, 14]. High spatial resolution exposure

data is needed for vehicle exhaust when there are large within-city gradients in pollution levels. It is necessary for identifying relatively “weak effects” and also for specifying exposure-response relationships to facilitate impact assessment for such sources.

The aim of this study was to assess the relationship between traffic-related air pollution levels and the cumulative number of onset and incident cases of asthma among adults. We used a prospective cohort and validated meteorological dispersion models to calculate the levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) with high spatial resolution outside each participant’s home. We also used a distance less than 50 m from home to nearest major road with greater than or equal to 8000 vehicles per 24 hours as a more simple measure of exposure.

## Methods

### Study design and subjects

The RHINE (Respiratory Health in Northern Europe) Cohort has been described in detail in previous papers, and is therefore only briefly summarized [15]. The RHINE Cohort is based on the random sample of people receiving the first screening questionnaire sent out within the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS). The questionnaire was sent out in 1990 and included questions regarding respiratory symptoms such as wheezing, attacks of asthma and current use of asthma medication. All participants who answered the first questionnaire received the follow-up questionnaire in 1999, a procedure that differentiates the RHINE Cohort from the ECRHS Cohort, where only a subsample of the participants that received the first survey were included in the follow up. The RHINE Cohort includes five northern countries (Estonia, Denmark, Iceland, Norway, and Sweden), each with one representative city with the exception of Sweden where three cities were used (Gothenburg, Umeå, and Uppsala). In this study we have used the results from the three Swedish cities. The

study population in Sweden consisted of 10 800 participants, born between 1945 and 1973, from the municipalities of Gothenburg, Uppsala, and Umeå, the main city in the southern part of Västerbotten County.

The population at risk were those who had negative answers to the questions on “attacks of asthma during the last 12 months” and “current use of asthma medication” in the first survey, and also had answers to those questions at follow-up together with at least one answer on either of the questions regarding “ever asthma” or “doctor diagnosed asthma”. To be defined as an onset case of asthma observed during the follow-up period, the participant had to have negative answers to the questions “attacks of asthma during the last 12 months” and “current use of asthma medication” in the first survey, followed by a positive answer to at least one of these questions at the follow up, followed by a positive answer to either “Do you have or have you ever had asthma?” or “Have you ever had asthma diagnosed by a doctor?”. In addition to the definition of onset asthma a more strict definition of cases (incident asthma) was used, where reporting onset age of asthma within the follow up period was needed for being classified as a case.

The centre point of each participant’s home address at inclusion in the cohort was georeferenced as the x and y coordinates within the Swedish coordinate system (RT 90). Georeferencing was performed by local authorities in each of the three municipalities of Gothenburg, Uppsala, and Umeå.

## Exposure

The vehicle exhaust levels outside each participant’s home were indicated by the winter half-year concentration (October-March) of NO<sub>2</sub> from dispersion models. Within Gothenburg,

Uppsala, and Umeå the concentration gradients are primarily caused by traffic flow. Our rationale for using winter half-year values was that the majority of historical measurements available for validation of the models were from that part of the year, when levels are about 10-20% higher. Meteorological dispersion models were used to calculate the levels within each city, at a resolution of 50 x 50 meters. The models are based on detailed information regarding meteorology, topography, emission sources, and emission factors for different sources (traffic, heating, and industry), which are combined to predict the spatial distribution of the urban background levels. In this study the input data for the models were based on information from the year 1990 to correspond to the georeferenced addresses from the beginning of the follow-up period. For Gothenburg and Uppsala, locally adapted models managed by the city authorities were used, while an external model was used for Umeå (Gothenburg: Enviman; Uppsala: Airviro; Umeå: TAPM) [16-18]. In a recent research project financed by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, the models for Gothenburg and Uppsala were evaluated, showing the modelled levels to be highly correlated to measured values, the degree of explained variation ( $r^2$ ) was 0.79 and 0.71, respectively [19]. The Umeå model was fine tuned using measured levels, which resulted in an absolute correction of background levels upwards. The  $r^2$ -value between modelled and measured (n=24 sites) values in Umeå was 0.68. Figure 1 illustrates the exposure gradients in Umeå.

The results from the dispersion models were linked to the coordinates of each participant's home at inclusion in the cohort, resulting in a specific level of exposure for each participant.

Of the initial 10 800 participants, 7279 had answers from both surveys, and of these 5403 fulfilled the criteria for inclusion in the population at risk. Of these, 4736 could be georeferenced based on the local registry of dwellings. The dispersion models did not cover

the outskirts, which reduced the number of participants with a modelled value of NO<sub>2</sub> to 3824. There was no significant difference in the proportion of onset asthma cases among those who did receive a modelled value of NO<sub>2</sub> and those who did not (p=0.86).

As a more simple indicator of exposure the distance between each participant's address and the closest major road was measured. A major road was defined as a road with 8000 or more vehicles per 24 hours. Of the participant's included in the at risk population, 4736 had geocoded addresses which made it possible to calculate the distance from the home to the closest major road.

## Statistical analysis

In order to determine whether a significant relationship between NO<sub>2</sub> and asthma occurred, we used multiple logistic regression with asthma as the dichotomous dependent variable. The analysis was made done for both outcome variables (onset and incident asthma). The results are presented as odds ratios (OR) per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> change in the NO<sub>2</sub> levels [20]. The analysis was first done with NO<sub>2</sub> at home grouped into tertiles, with the first tertile used as reference. In addition to analyses of the whole study population, separate analyses were done on a portion of the population based on gender, presence of hay fever, and wheeze at inclusion by including variables estimating the NO<sub>2</sub> effect for each stratum, separately. Each of the variables was also tested for interactive effects on the relationship between NO<sub>2</sub> on asthma.

The analysis based on distance to a major road was made by comparing those living close to a major road, defined as less than 50 meter, with those living further away.

All analyses were made both un-adjusted and adjusted. The adjustment was made by including a pre determined set of potential confounding variables, Body Mass Index (BMI), gender, age, smoking, water damage or mould in the home at any time during the last eight years and city, simultaneously in the main analysis. Socio-economic index (SEI) based on job title was used for approximately 80% of the participants classified into five categories, and was used only used for sensitivity analysis [21]. Smoking was divided into three categories: non-smokers, former smokers and current smokers, while BMI and age were included as continuous variables. We used Pearson's Chi-square tests to compare participants with and without modelled values of NO<sub>2</sub> with regard to the number of asthma cases, and to compare cases with and without asthma. Pearson's Chi-square test was also used to compare smoking habits and the prevalence of hay fever among participants included in the study with those



who were excluded. Mean values were compared using Student's t-test. For evaluation of statistical significance we used the 95%-confidence level. Analyses were made using SPSS 14.0 statistical software package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

## Results

Table 1 represents the 3824 persons at risk with a modelled NO<sub>2</sub> value, and the distribution of the co-variables separately for both definitions of cases and non-cases of asthma. The follow-up study shows that women developed asthma more frequently than men ( $p_{\text{onset}} = 0.01$ ,  $p_{\text{incidence}} = 0.02$ ), and hay fever at inclusion was more common among cases. One-hundred sixteen onset cases and 58 incident cases corresponds to a cumulative incidence of 3.0% (116/3824) and 1.5% (58/3824) respectively. The mean follow-up period was 8.2 years. Of the 3824 persons, 107 onset cases and 55 incident cases had information on all co-variables and could be included in the analysis. The cases occurred among 3609 subjects with all data needed to be included in the adjusted model. There was a no significant difference in the proportion of men and women ( $p=0.10$ ) included in the study in comparison to those excluded, and no significant difference existed when prevalence of smoking and water damage or mould at home was considered.

Table 2 shows the distribution of NO<sub>2</sub> levels as mean values and percentiles for cases and non-cases separately. The overall winter half-year concentration mean level was 18 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in total, 19 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Gothenburg and Umeå and 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Uppsala. When all subjects were included in the analysis, the onset cases had a higher mean level of NO<sub>2</sub> outside the home compared to the non-cases ( $p=0.03$ ), while there was no significant difference for incident cases ( $p=0.12$ ).

Table 3 shows the distribution of onset and incident cases in each of the exposure categories based on distance to nearest major road. One-hundred forty-six onset cases and 68 incident cases could be classified based on distance nearest major road. Of these 135 onset cases and 64 incident cases had information on all co-variates and could be included in the fully adjusted analysis. The cases occurred among 4460 subjects with all data needed to be included in the adjusted model.

The analysis of the relationship between onset and incident cases of asthma and the levels of NO<sub>2</sub> outside the home showed a positive and significant coefficient indicating an increased risk of developing asthma among adults with increasing levels of NO<sub>2</sub> outside the home, Table 4. The OR in the fully adjusted model was 1.46 (95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.07-1.99) for the onset definition and 1.54 (95% CI 1.00-2.36) for the incident definition of cases per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in NO<sub>2</sub>-level (see online supplementary material table 4a for results for co-variates). When NO<sub>2</sub> was grouped into tertiles there was a dose-response pattern with higher estimates for the third tertile (OR<sub>onset</sub> = 1.58, 95% CI 0.96-2.6, OR<sub>incident</sub> = 2.06, 95% CI 0.98-4.32) than for the second tertile (OR<sub>onset</sub> = 1.17, 95% CI 0.70-1.94, OR<sub>incident</sub> = 1.77, 95% CI 0.86-3.64 ) with the first tertile used as reference.

There was a significant risk for developing asthma when a participant lived less than 50 meters from a major road. The OR for onset and incident asthma was 2.92 (95% CI 1.70-4.98) and 3.88 (95% CI 1.93-7.82), respectively (see online supplementary material table 4b for results for co-variates).

We do not have the full residential history. However, when excluding the large proportion of persons with a different address at follow up and inclusion (approximately 60%), relative risks tend to increase.

Including SEI in the model changed the NO<sub>2</sub> estimate only marginally. The mean NO<sub>2</sub>-level in the five SEI categories was similar; 17.1, 17.6, 17.5, 18.1, and 17.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

Separate estimates were made for men and women, participants with and without hay fever, and with and without reported wheeze in 1990 (Table 5). There were no significant effect on the relationship between NO<sub>2</sub> and asthma associated with gender, hay fever or wheeze which was true for both the onset and the incident asthma definition ( $p_{\text{onset}} = 0.71, 0.58, 0.53$  and  $p_{\text{incident}} = 0.63, 0.30, 0.18$ ).

## Discussion

This prospective study of young adults, aged 20-44 years at inclusion, shows that the risk of developing asthma increases with the levels of NO<sub>2</sub> outside the home.

Although the literature is scarce and not completely unanimous, our results confirm the findings of previous longitudinal studies among adults suggesting air pollution is a risk factor for asthma [10, 11, 22, 23]. Studies based on the non-smoking cohort of Seventh-day Adventists from California, reported associations between ozone and particulate matter and asthma. This study assessed the exposure by interpolating levels from fixed monitoring stations. A recent study showed incident asthma among adults in ECRHS to be significantly related to estimated values of NO<sub>2</sub> [23]. A part of our study population was included in the ECRHS study and though that study used a less detailed exposure model the results were strikingly similar. The Swedish case-control study showed a non-significant relationship between incident asthma in adults and proximity to high traffic flow areas, while the same tendency could not be shown for NO<sub>2</sub> [11]. These results are similar to the findings in this study except that we now find significant results for both NO<sub>2</sub> and the more simple proximity indicator of exposure. Some previous prevalence studies have shown no significant relationship between asthma and objective measures of exposure to vehicle exhaust [6, 24]. Results comparisons between studies built on different designs and exposure metrics and resolutions are difficult. Our study and other recent findings highlight the need for more longitudinal studies with high resolution on exposure data.

We used two different definitions of asthma where the first (onset) aimed to identify incident cases, but also included subjects that had an onset of asthma symptoms during follow-up related to a pre-existing asthma. The cumulative incidence of onset with our definition was

3.1% after 8.2 years of follow up, which is slightly higher than results presented by Thorén et al (2.1%) for the entire RHINE-population using a somewhat different case definition [15]. By including only those cases reporting an age of asthma onset within the follow-up period, our definition (incident asthma) becomes more specific towards selecting only true incident cases of asthma. Almost half of the cases available using our wider definition were lost when the stricter definition was applied, resulting in a cumulative incidence rate of 1.5%. As a result, the effect estimate increased but was only borderline significant which we expected since a more strict definition both lowers the number of cases and the misclassification of cases and non-cases. This effect was also observed in the study by Jacquemin et al as an increase in the effect estimate when excluding cases with an asthma onset year before start of the follow-up [23]. The use of a stricter definition of onset asthma strengthened the effect which supports the conclusion that the results are due to a real effect of vehicle exhaust on asthma and asthma-related symptoms and not due to misclassification.

Approximately half of onset cases reported wheeze in the initial survey. Wheeze is a known risk factor for asthma and is thought to be a potential early stage in the development of asthma [15]. One could argue that participants reporting wheeze at inclusion should be excluded on the premise that they are more or less asthmatics. This study, however, showed that 16 % (866) of the population at risk reported wheeze in the initial survey, and of these 866 persons approximately 8% were classified as onset cases of asthma at follow-up. Furthermore, the results from the analysis of interaction showed that there was no significant interaction between wheeze and NO<sub>2</sub>.

Adult onset asthma is a heterogenic disease with many factors contributing to different phenotypes of the disease [25]. Despite the fact that many risk factors for asthma have been

identified, the actual mechanisms causing the asthma symptoms are still under investigation. The difference between allergic and non-allergic asthma has been widely discussed. Though these two phenotypes show obvious clinical differences including differences in trigger factors, they seem to share many immunological and pathological mechanisms, meaning that they cannot be fully separated as two different mechanisms causing asthma [26]. In this study there was no significant difference in the effect of NO<sub>2</sub> on developing asthma when comparing those reporting hay fever and those who did not. In a selected sub-sample with a large proportion of symptomatic participants, only about half of the IgE positive reported hay fever (results not shown). Consequently, the degree to which self-reported hay fever is a good marker of atopy has to be further evaluated before any conclusions can be drawn from these results.

In this study NO<sub>2</sub> was used as a marker for vehicle exhaust, common in epidemiological studies of vehicle-related air pollution. Previous studies have shown measured levels of NO<sub>2</sub> to be correlated to other vehicle-related air pollutants [27]. The frequent measurement of NO<sub>2</sub> by both local and national authorities has created a large quantity of data at various locations which is crucial for validation of dispersion models. Most pollutants, such as NO<sub>2</sub> and fine particles penetrate indoors. The indoor/outdoor ratio for NO<sub>2</sub> in Umeå, Sweden was 0.4-0.7 [28]. A certain amount of uncertainty exists when using indicators to compare cities or areas because different amounts and patterns of traffic may affect the pollution mix. This is also a problem when comparing results from studies using other measures of exhaust fumes [11]. As we also used proximity to a major road as a more simple measure of exposure, we can conclude that the findings in this study are consistent regarding the direction of the effect.

Dispersion models in relation to other exposure measures are seldomly used in this type of study, possibly due to the complexity of the models and the need for large amounts of information regarding emission factors, traffic counts, and meteorological data [14, 29]. In this study the levels of NO<sub>2</sub> were modeled in 50 meter squares which allowed for a high degree of variation in exposure levels between study subjects. We used the pollution levels outside of homes to indicate the exposure to vehicle exhaust, which is an approximation of the actual exposure, best shown by personal measurements. However, recent studies have evaluated alternative measures of living in close proximity to traffic against personal measurements and have shown reasonable agreement [30]. In comparison to distance to closest major road, the results from the dispersion model accounts for all nearby sources and does not explicitly focus only on the major roads as the source of exposure. Large roads are the most influential in dispersion models but other factors modifies the levels, for example, wind patterns and other factors influencing the dispersion.

The dispersion models did not include the outskirts of the municipalities, which posed a limitation to the study by reducing the number of subjects that could be included in the analysis. The difference in the proportion of incident asthmatics was only marginal when comparing those with and without a modeled value of NO<sub>2</sub>.

Although one of the main strengths in this study design is the possibility to follow subjects prospectively over a period of time, studying the development of asthma among adults adds uncertainties regarding their exposure before the initial survey. We used the exposure levels at baseline to represent the exposure during the entire follow-up. Among incident cases 70% reported onset between 1990 and 1995. This, coupled with the premise that the induction of

asthma is not related to a very short period of exposure, was the motivation for using 1990 as the year for exposure modeling.

In summary, this prospective cohort study adds to the growing evidence that vehicle exhaust may not only worsen existing respiratory symptoms but also induce asthma. Although this study does not indicate which specific part of the vehicle exhaust causes the effects, the results demonstrate the general impact of vehicle exhaust on development of asthma in adults.



## Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the field work done by all co-workers in the RHINE Study, which made this study possible. And for valuable comments from associated professor Christer Johansson at Stockholm University. We would also like to acknowledge our founders; The Swedish Emissions Research Programme (EMFO), The Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, The Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research (FAS) and the Swedish Asthma and Allergy Foundation.

## References

1. Brunekreef B, Holgate ST. Air pollution and health. *Lancet* 2002; 360(9341): 1233-1242.
2. Woolcock AJ, Peat JK. Evidence for the increase in asthma worldwide. *Ciba Found Symp* 1997; 206: 122-134.
3. Nitta H, Sato T, Nakai S, Maeda K, Aoki S, Ono M. Respiratory health associated with exposure to automobile exhaust. I. Results of cross-sectional studies in 1979, 1982, and 1983. *Arch Environ Health* 1993; 48(1): 53-58.
4. Zemp E, Elsasser S, Schindler C, Kunzli N, Perruchoud AP, Domenighetti G, Medici T, Ackermann-Lieblich U, Leuenberger P, Monn C, Bolognini G, Bongard JP, Brandli O, Karrer W, Keller R, Schoni MH, Tschopp JM, Villiger B, Zellweger JP. Long-term ambient air pollution and respiratory symptoms in adults (SAPALDIA study). The SAPALDIA Team. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159(4 Pt 1): 1257-1266.
5. Buckeridge DL, Glazier R, Harvey BJ, Escobar M, Amrhein C, Frank J. Effect of motor vehicle emissions on respiratory health in an urban area. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002; 110(3): 293-300.
6. Heinrich J, Topp R, Gehring U, Thefeld W. Traffic at residential address, respiratory health, and atopy in adults: the National German Health Survey 1998. *Environ Res* 2005; 98(2): 240-249.
7. Brauer M, Hoek G, Smit HA, de Jongste JC, Gerritsen J, Postma DS, Kerkhof M, Brunekreef B. Air pollution and development of asthma, allergy and infections in a birth cohort. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29(5): 879-888.
8. Zmirou D, Gauvin S, Pin I, Momas I, Sahraoui F, Just J, Le Moullec Y, Bremont F, Cassadou S, Reungoat P, Albertini M, Lauvergne N, Chiron M, Labbe A. Traffic related air

pollution and incidence of childhood asthma: results of the Vesta case-control study. *J*

*Epidemiol Community Health* 2004; 58(1): 18-23.

9. Gauderman WJ, Vora H, McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, Thomas D, Lurmann F, Avol E, Kunzli N, Jerrett M, Peters J. Effect of exposure to traffic on lung development from 10 to 18 years of age: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2007; 369(9561): 571-577.

10. Abbey DE, Hwang BL, Burchette RJ, Vancuren T, Mills PK. Estimated long-term ambient concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and development of respiratory symptoms in a nonsmoking population. *Arch Environ Health* 1995; 50(2): 139-152.

11. Modig L, Jarvholm B, Ronnmark E, Nystrom L, Lundback B, Andersson C, Forsberg B. Vehicle exhaust exposure in an incident case-control study of adult asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 28(1): 75-81.

12. Jerrett M. Does traffic-related air pollution contribute to respiratory disease formation in children? *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29(5): 825-826.

13. Dockery DW, Pope CA III, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay ME, Ferris BG Jr, FE. S. An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329: 1753-1759.

14. Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Lurmann F, Kuenzli N, Gilliland F, Peters J, McConnell R. Childhood asthma and exposure to traffic and nitrogen dioxide. *Epidemiology* 2005; 16(6): 737-743.

15. Toren K, Gislason T, Omenaas E, Jogi R, Forsberg B, Nystrom L, Olin AC, Svanes C, Janson C. A prospective study of asthma incidence and its predictors: the RHINE study. *Eur Respir J* 2004; 24(6): 942-946.

16. Opsis, Furulund, Sweden. <http://www.opsis.se>. Date last accessed: December 15 2008.

17. Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI), Norrköping, Sweden. <http://www.indic-airviro.smhi.se>. Date last accessed: December 15 2008.

18. Hurley PJ. The Air Pollution Modell (TAPM) Version 3. Part 1: Technical Description: Commonwealth Scientific and industrial Research Organisation; 2005.
19. Johansson C, Sällsten G, Bouma H, Johannesson S, Gustafsson S, Eneroth K, Norman M, Kruså M, Tinnerberg H, Bellander T. Exposure comparison between measurements and calculations based on dispersion modelling. *Swedish National Air Pollution and Health Effect Program (SNAP)* 2006. (Research Report).
20. Kleinbaum D, Klein M. Logistic Regression - A Self-Learning Text. 2 ed. Springer-Verlag Inc, New York, 2002.
21. Gunnbjornsdottir MI, Franklin KA, Norback D, Bjornsson E, Gislason D, Lindberg E, Svanes C, Omenaas E, Norrman E, Jogi R, Jensen EJ, Dahlman-Hoglund A, Janson C. Prevalence and incidence of respiratory symptoms in relation to indoor dampness: the RHINE study. *Thorax* 2006; 61(3): 221-225.
22. McDonnell WF, Abbey DE, Nishino N, Lebowitz MD. Long-term ambient ozone concentration and the incidence of asthma in nonsmoking adults: the AHSMOG Study. *Environ Res* 1999; 80(2 Pt 1): 110-121.
23. Jacquemin B, Sunyer J, Forsberg B, Aguilera I, Briggs D, Garc AER, Gotschi T, Heinrich J, Jarvholm B, Jarvis D, Vienneau D, Kunzli N. Home Outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> and New Onset of Self-Reported Asthma in Adults. *Epidemiology* 2008.
24. Cesaroni G, Badaloni C, Porta D, Forastiere F, Perucci CA. Comparison between various indices of exposure to traffic-related air pollution and their impact on respiratory health in adults. *Occup Environ Med* 2008; 65(10): 683-690.
25. Wenzel SE. Asthma: defining of the persistent adult phenotypes. *Lancet* 2006; 368(9537): 804-813.

26. Humbert M, Menz G, Ying S, Corrigan CJ, Robinson DS, Durham SR, Kay AB. The immunopathology of extrinsic (atopic) and intrinsic (non-atopic) asthma: more similarities than differences. *Immunol Today* 1999; 20(11): 528-533.
27. Modig L, Sunesson AL, Levin JO, Sundgren M, Hagenbjork-Gustafsson A, Forsberg B. Can NO(2) be used to indicate ambient and personal levels of benzene and 1,3-butadiene in air? *J Environ Monit* 2004; 6(12): 957-962.
28. Hagenbjork-Gustafsson A, Forsberg B, Hestvik G, Karlsson D, Wahlberg S, Sandstrom T. Measurements of indoor and outdoor nitrogen dioxide concentrations using a diffusive sampler. *Analyst* 1996; 121: 1261-1264.
29. Bellander T, Berglind N, Gustavsson P, Jonson T, Nyberg F, Pershagen G, Jarup L. Using geographic information systems to assess individual historical exposure to air pollution from traffic and house heating in Stockholm. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001; 109(6): 633-639.
30. Wichmann J, Janssen N, van der Zee S, Brunekreef B. Traffic-related differences in indoor and personal absorption coefficient measurements in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. *Atmospheric environment* 2005; 39: 7384-7392.

Table 1. Characteristics of cases and non-cases from the population at risk. The table includes those who received a modelled value of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and could be classified as cases or non-cases according to the definition of onset and incident asthma.

	Onset asthma			Incident asthma		
	Cases	Non-cases	p-value	Cases	Non-cases	p-value
Total (n)	116	3708		58	3766	
Men (n)	41	1739		18	1762	
Women (n)	75	1969	0.01 <sup>†</sup>	40	2004	0.02
BMI* (mean)	25.4	24.7	0.06 <sup>‖</sup>	25.3	24.7	0.26 <sup>‖</sup>
Age in 1999 (mean)	39.4	39.7	0.61 <sup>‖</sup>	39.7	39.7	0.97 <sup>‖</sup>
Smoking (%)				41/32/2		
(never/former/current)	46/29/25	51/25/24	0.50 <sup>**</sup>	7	51/25/24	0.32 <sup>**</sup>
Hay fever (%)	48.7	18.6	<0.00 <sup>**</sup>	40.4	19.2	<0.00 <sup>**</sup>
Water/mould <sup>††</sup> (%)	24.3	23.2	0.74 <sup>**</sup>	20.7	23.3	0.76 <sup>**</sup>

\*Body Mass Index, <sup>†</sup>The proportional difference of men and women among cases, tested by Pearson's Chi-square test, <sup>‖</sup>p-values from Student's t-test comparing cases with asthma with those without asthma, <sup>\*\*</sup>p-value from Pearson's Chi-square tests comparing cases with asthma with those without asthma, <sup>††</sup>Water damage or mould in the home at any time during the last 8 years.

Table 2. Descriptive information on the modelled winter average levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) for cities and for onset and incident cases and non-cases.

	Mean (std*)	Percentiles			Min <sup>†</sup>	Max <sup>‡</sup>	p-value <sup>**</sup>
		p25	p50	p75			
Total	17.9 (6.4)	12.9	17.2	21.9	3.3	45.6	
Gothenburg	19.1 (6.8)	13.1	18.3	24.4	3.3	45.6	
Umeå	18.9 (5.8)	15.0	18.5	22.4	7.9	41.4	
Uppsala	14.6 (4.7)	10.8	14.7	17.8	5.4	28.7	
Onset asthma							
Non-cases	17.9 (6.4)	12.9	17.1	21.8	3.3	45.0	0.03
Cases	19.2 (7.1)	13.7	17.8	24.0	6.8	45.6	
Incident asthma							
Non-cases	17.9 (6.4)	12.9	17.1	21.8	3.3	45.6	0.12
Cases	19.2 (6.1)	15.6	18.2	24.1	6.8	33.7	
Distance to major road <50 m							
Onset cases	25.1 (7.8)	21.7	23.2	29.4	12.7	45.6	
Non-cases	24.1 (6.8)	19.8	23.1	27.3	9.6	44.6	
Incident cases	24.3 (6.0)	21.1	24.4	29.1	12.7	32.7	
Non-cases	24.1 (6.9)	20.0	23.1	27.3	9.6	45.6	

<sup>\*</sup>Standard deviation, <sup>†</sup>Minimum value, <sup>‡</sup>Maximum value, <sup>\*\*</sup>p-values from Student's t-test comparing the mean value for cases and non-cases.

Table 3. The number of cases and non-cases for two definitions of onset asthma in relation to distance to nearest major road.

Distance to major road ( $\geq 8000$ vehicles per 24 h)	Onset asthma		Incident asthma	
	Cases	Non-cases	Cases	Non-cases
50 m or more	129	4370	58	4441
<50 m	17	220	10	227



Table 4. Associations (odds ratios) between exposures to nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), distance to nearest major road and the onset and incidence of asthma.

	Onset asthma		Incident asthma	
	OR <sup>*</sup>	95% CI <sup>†</sup>	OR	95% CI
Crude <sup>‡</sup>				
NO <sub>2</sub> (per 10µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.39	1.05-1.84	1.36	0.92-2.02
Distance to nearest major road <50 m (yes vs. no)	2.62	1.55-4.42	3.37	1.70-6.69
Fully adjusted <sup>**</sup>				
NO <sub>2</sub> (per 10µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.46	1.07-1.99	1.54	1.00-2.36
Distance to nearest major road <50 m (yes vs. no) <sup>††</sup>	2.92	1.70-4.98	3.88	1.93-7.82

<sup>\*</sup> Odds ratio. <sup>†</sup> Confidence interval. <sup>‡</sup> Crude model, includes the same population as in the fully adjusted model. <sup>\*\*</sup> The fully adjusted model including: city, sex, body mass index (BMI), age, smoking history, water damage or mould in the home at any time during the last 8 years (Water/mould). <sup>††</sup> Major road is defined as ≥8000 vehicles per 24 hours

Table 5. Results (odds ratios) from the adjusted logistic regression model, describing the relationship between nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and the risk of onset and incident asthma among men and women and subjects with and without hay fever and wheeze, respectively.

Strata	Onset asthma			Incident asthma		
	OR <sup>*</sup>	95% CI <sup>†</sup>	p-value for interaction	OR <sup>*</sup>	95% CI <sup>†</sup>	p-value for interaction
Women	1.30	0.96-2.04	0.71	1.67	0.98-2.74	0.63
Men	1.57	0.97-2.52		1.32	0.64-2.74	
Hay fever	1.31	0.85-2.04	0.58	1.15	0.59-2.24	0.30
No hay fever	1.55	1.03-2.35		1.79	1.04-3.05	
Wheeze	1.62	1.03-2.57	0.53	2.08	1.12-3.87	0.18
No wheeze	1.34	0.90-2.00		1.19	0.66-2.12	

<sup>\*</sup>Odds ratio per 10µg/m<sup>3</sup> from the fully adjusted model including: city, sex, body mass index, age, smoking history, and water damage or mould in the home at any time during the last 8 years, <sup>†</sup>Confidence interval.

### Figure legend

Figure 1. A map illustrating the results from the dispersion model for Umeå in 50 meter squares, darker colours indicate higher winter mean levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>).

